

of Metabolism and Residue Data
for the Plant Protection Product Fenhexamid WG 50 (500 g/kg)

Substance(s)

FENHEXAMID

(Annex Prenewal)

Data Requirements

Regulation EC/1141/2010

on the renewal of the inclusion of AIR2 acrive substances
in conjunction with

Directive 91/412/FF

According to OECD format guidance for industry data submissions

ANNCO/10387/2010 (2): 8 - 6n the renewal of active substances included in Annex I)

Annex IIIA

Section 4. Point 8

Document VI

Pate

2012-02-09

Author(s)



Document M-III /Tier 2, Sec. 4, Point 8 - Metabolism and Residue Data of Fenhexamid 50 WG (Submission for Annex I renewal)

This document, the data contained in it and copyright sperein are owned by Bayer Cropseence.

No part of the document or any information contained therein magne disclosect to any third, party without the prior written authorisation of Bayer Cropseence.

Document M-III /Tier 2, Sec. 4, Point 8 – Metabolism and Residue Data of Fenhexamid 50 WG (Submission for Annex I renewal)

IIIA 8 Metabolism and Residues Data on the Plant Protection Product

This document intends to support the application for the Annex I renewal of the active substance fenhexamid (AIR2) and applies in conjunction with the corresponding chapter of the Annex II dossier (IIA, section 4, point 6) where all updated information on metabolism and residue of this compound is included.

The representative uses chosen for the Annex I renewal are: grapest strawberries and torratoes and the GAPs supported for the inclusion renewal are the same as those evaluated for the first inclusion.

During the EU review further grape trials conducted in the EU were submitted and subsequently evaluated (ECCO Peer Review Meetings, Full Report on Fenhexanid'- BBA, Braunschweig, February 2000). As a registration on grapes was granted in the DSA during the Peer Review process, Bayer AG recommended reconsidering the grape MRL proposal given in the draft assessment report (2 mg/kg) by submitting the US data so that the MRL would also cover imports ECCO Peer Review Meetings, 'Full Report on Fenhexamor' ECCO Team - BBA, Braunschweig, 2000, pages 155 – 186). The data submitted were considered sufficient to derive processing factors, but one open point was the recalculation of material balancing where the necessary data are available. Two processing studies on grapes are be submitted with this AIR dossier providing information on mass balances (preparation of wine and raisins).

Relative to the metabolism and residue section all further data requirements and residue section and residue section all further data requirements and residue section all further data requirements

In the process of the MRL review or ogram under Article 12/2 of the MRL Reg. 396/2005, Tier I Summaries from all trials (trials from the original dosser, additional European grape trials and US data on grapes) were provided to the RMS (UK CRD) so that all necessary data are already available.

The residues arising from the supported uses were concluded in the original EU review as not being harmful to human or animal heath. The TMD for a 60-kg adult was 5.4% of the ADI, based on the FAO/WHO European det (Final EU-eview report 6497/16/99-rev. 2 of 19 October 2000). The total NEDIs (UK det) for adults, children and infants were max. 4% of the ADI (ECCO Peer Review Meetings, 'Full Report on Fenhex amid' CCO Team at BBA, Braunschweig, 28 February 2000).

The chronic dietary risk assessment was produced applying the EFSA PRIMo model (version 2) for estimation on the dietary intake of pestigned residues. The TMDI calculations using the EFSA PRIMo model (rev. 2) yielded a maximum usage of the ADI of 20 %. The estimate of the short term exposure was not considered necessary and hus rot performed since an ARfD is not allocated. It can be concluded that a risk for the consumer coes not arise from the long term or short term exposure to fenhexamid.

Since all metabolism and residue information revised in the context of AIR renewal of fenhexamid is presented in the Annex Mossier and did not trigger any additional information that would need to be reported in this Annex III dossier chapter – reference can be made to the updated Annex II dossier, section 4, point 6.

Document M-III / Tier 2, Sec. 4, Point 8 – Metabolism and Residue Data of Fenhexamid 50 WG (Submission for Annex I renewal)

Therefore, the preparation of a separate Annex III residue chapter is not necessary as long as all required information is entirely covered in the Annex II dossier.

	Z S
IIIA 8.1	Stability of Residues
IIIA 8.1.1	Stability of residues during storage of samples
IIIA 8.1.2	Stability of residues in sample extracts
IIIA 8.2	Stability of Residues Stability of residues during storage of samples Stability of residues in sample extracts Supplementary studies on metabolism in plants or livestock Supplementary residue trials (supervised field trials)
IIIA 8.3	Supplementary residue trials (supervised field trials)
IIIA 8.3.1	Grapes
IIIA 8.3.2	Strawberries & Francisco Strawberries
IIIA 8.3.3	Supplementary residue trials (supervised field trials) Grapes Strawberries Tomatoes Supplementary Livestock Feeding Studies Poultry Lactating ruminants (goat or cow) Pigs Nature of residue in fish Supplementary Studies on Industrial Processing and/or Household Preparation Nature of residues Distribution of the residue in peel/pulp Balance studies on a core set of representative processes Follow-up studies potable waters; irrigated crops Follow-up studies to determine concentration or dilution factors Potable waters
IIIA 8.4	Supplementary Livestock Feeding Studies
IIIA 8.4.1	Poultry A S S S S S S S S S S S S S S S S S S
IIIA 8.4.2	Lactating ruminants (goat of cow)
IIIA 8.4.3	Pigs No. 17 No. 17 No. 17 No. 18 No.
IIIA 8.4.4	Nature of residue in fish
IIIA 8.5	Supplementary Studies on Industrial Processing and/or Household
	Preparation 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5
IIIA 8.5.1	Nature of residues of the sidues of the sidu
IIIA 8.5.2	Distribution of the residue in peri/pulp
IIIA.8,5.3	Balance studies on a core set of representative processes
IIIA 8.5.4	Follow-up studies potable waters; irrigated crops
IIIA 8.5.4.	Follow-up studies to determine concentration or dilution factors
IIIA 8.5.4.2	Potable waters
IIIA 8.5.43	Irrigated crops
IIIA 8.6	Supplementary Studies for Residues in Representative Succeeding Crops
IIIA 8.7	Proposed Residue Definition and Maximum Residue Levels

Document M-III /Tier 2, Sec. 4, Point 8 – Metabolism and Residue Data of Fenhexamid 50 WG (Submission for Annex I renewal)

IIIA 8.7.1	Proposed residue definition
IIIA 8.7.2	Proposed maximum residue levels (MRLs)
IIIA 8.8	Proposed Pre-Harvest Intervals, Re-Entry or Withholding Periods
IIIA 8.8.1	Proposed residue definition Proposed maximum residue levels (MRLs) Proposed Pre-Harvest Intervals, Re-Entry or Withholding Periods Pre-harvest interval (in days) for each relevant exop Re-entry period (in days) for livestock, to areas to be grazed Re-entry period for man to crops, buildings or spaces treated
IIIA 8.8.2	Re-entry period (in days) for livestock, to areas to be grazed
IIIA 8.8.3	Re-entry period for man to crops, buildings or spaces treated
IIIA 8.8.4	Withholding period (in days) for animal feedings artfs
IIIA 8.8.5	Waiting period before sowing or planting crop to be protected
IIIA 8.8.6	Waiting period between application and handling treated products
IIIA 8.8.7	Waiting period (in days) before sowing or planting succeeding crops
IIIA 8.9	Other/Special Studies & O S S S S S S S S S S S S S S S S S S
IIIA 8.10	Estimation of Exposure Phrough Diet and Other Means
IIIA 8.10.1	TMDI calculations
IIIA 8.10.2	NED calculations
IIIA 8.10.3	NESTI calculations A S S S S S S S S S S S S S S S S S S
IIIA 8.Yi	Summary and Eyaluation of Residue Behaviour