

Document Title

Licso-04 Licso-Tier 2 Summary of the Toxicological Studies and Exposure Data and Information on the Plant Protoction Product for

(SANCO/10387/2010 rev. 8 son the renewal of active substances included in Annex I)

According to OECD format guidance for industry data submissions on plant protection products and their active substances





Document M-III /Tier 2, Sec. 3, Point 7 – Summary of the Toxicological Studies and Exposure Data and Information on the Plant Protection Product Iprovalicarb + folpet WG 65.3 (Submission for Annex I renewal)



Document M-III /Tier 2, Sec. 3, Point 7 – Summary of the Toxicological Studies and Exposure Data and Information on the Plant Protection Product Iprovalicarb + folpet WG 65.3 (Submission for Annex I renewal)



<text><text><text><text> So and a second and a second and a second and a second a Document M-III /Tier 2, Sec. 3, Point 7 - Summary of the Toxicological Studies and Exposure Data and Information on the Plant Protection Product Iprovalicarb + folpet WG 65.3 (Submission for Annex I renewal)

Document M-III /Tier 2, Sec. 3, Point 7 – Summary of the Toxicological Studies and Exposure Data and Information on the Plant Protection Product Iprovalicarb + folpet WG 65.3 *(Submission for Annex I renewal)*

IIIA1 7Toxicological Studies and Exposure Data and Information on the Plant
Protection Product

IIIA1 7.1 Acute toxicity

Iprovalicarb is not acutely toxic via oral, dermal or inhalation route. It is not ritating to skin or eyes and was not a skin sensitizer in the Magnusson-Kligmann test. The acute toxicity studies on the representative formulation IPV+FLP WG 65.3 were carried out after the first inclusion of iprovalicarb. IPV+FLP WG 65.3 is not acutely toxic via the oral and the detanal routes. It is moderately irritating to skin and severely irritating to the eyes. This product was not submitted to a skin sensitizing assay such as Bruhler or Magnusson-Kligmann assays, since the concentration of folpet contained in the product will anyway lead to a sensitizing positive response. The toxicity data package has been conducted on the formulated product provalicarb + folpet WG 65.3 with the composition code UVP 05539447. This formulation had a composition slightly different (inerts) from the formulation iprovalicarb + folpet WG 65.3 composition code VVP 06361579 which is commercialized. The differences however, are without toxicological relevance as demonstrated in the bridging document (N°: M-246642-03-1). Therefore the data backage is fully representative for iprovalicarb + folpet WG 65.3

Type of study/species	Result & D & A A	Reference
Acute oral /rat	LD 2500 mg/kg	M-026075-01-1
Acute dermal / rat	LD ₅₀ 2000 mg/kg	(2000) M-026071-01-1
Skin irritancy / rabbit	Moderately initiant	(2000) M-021579-02-1
Eye irritancy / rabbit		(2000) M-021570-02-1
Acute sensitization	Assumed sepsitizet due to high content of forpet which is acknown skin sensitizer.	-

Separate testing for inhalation by icity was not conducted, because it was not triggered according to the criteria of Directive 94/79/EEC due the results obtained during the characterization study of this WG formulation by the second study of the second study study

Therefore, the following classification/labelling is proposed for iprovalicarb + folpet WG 65.3: - EU directive 1999/45/EC

Xa Irritant, R41 : May cause severe damage to eyes" R43@ "Mag cause sensitization by skin contact"

¹ Events : "Physical, chemical and technical properties of iprovalicarb + folpet WG 65.3", January 2005, doc. M-244466-01-1

Document M-III /Tier 2, Sec. 3, Point 7 – Summary of the Toxicological Studies and Exposure Data and Information on the Plant Protection Product Iprovalicarb + folpet WG 65.3 (Submission for Annex I renewal)

- GHS (rev.4) 2011:

Eye irritation: Category 1: DANGER, H318, causes severe eye damage

Eye irritation: Category 1: DANGER, H318, causes severe eye damage
Skin sensitization: Category 1: WARNING, H317, may cause an allergic skin reaction
Regulation (EC) No 1272/2008 (CLP):
Eye irritation: Category 1: DANGER, H318, causes severe eye damage
Skin sensitization: Category 1: WARNING, H317, may cause an allergic skin reaction
IIIA1 7.1.1 Acute oral toxicity

IIIA1 7.1.1 Acute oral toxicity

Report:	KIIIA1 7.1.1/01; 2000 2000 2000 2000 2000 2000 2000 2
Title:	SZX 0722 9 WG + Folpet \$6,3 (c.nc fprovaticarb; Kølpet). Study for acute oral texicity in
	rats.
Document No	M-026075-01-1 A & Q Q A O A O A
Guidelines:	OECD Guidelines Nº 423 (2001) EC 67/54/548/EBC (1967) EPA OPPTS 870/1100
GLP	Yes of the second secon

Material and methods:

SZX 0722 9 WG + folpet, 56.3, (Formulation 07373/0048(0046), development number 3000244654) contained the active ingredients iprovalicants (9.7%) and folget (56.9%) and was formulated in demineralised water with Cremophor EL® 2%. The test substance was administered in a single dose by stomach tube to wasted male and female rats. The application volume was 10 ml/kg bw. The posttreatment observation period was 14 da



Clinical signs Piloefection decreased motility and reactivity, spastic gait, laboured breathing and diarrance in males and females at 2000 mg/kg bw; additional constipation and light-coloured faeces in females

Body weights: No toxicological effects on body weights or body weight gain.

Gross necropsy: No significant findings.

Document M-III /Tier 2, Sec. 3, Point 7 – Summary of the Toxicological Studies and Exposure Data and Information on the Plant Protection Product Iprovalicarb + folpet WG 65.3 (Submission for Annex I renewal)

Conclusion:

SZX 0722 + Folpet WG 65.3 is not acutely toxic to rats following oral administration. According to the criteria for classification in Commission Directive 2001/59/EC, this formulation is not classified.

According to Regulation (EC) No 1272/2008 (CLP) this formulation is not classified.	2
	Ro
IIIA1 7.1.2 Acute percutaneous (dermal) toxicity	
Report: KIIIA1 7.1.2/01; 2000 X <th>,</th>	,
Title: SZX 0722 9 WG + Folpet 56.3 (c.n., Iprovaticarb; Folpet) Study or acute dermat toxicity in rats.	city
Document No M-026071-01-1 A R Q Q O O A	- 0 ,
Guidelines: OECD Guidelines Nº 402 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7	
GLP Yes of the second s	

Material and methods:

SZX 0722 9 WG + folpet 56.3, (formulation 073/73/0048(0046), development number 3000244654), contained the active ingrédients iprovalicart (9.7%) and tolpet (56.9%). The lest substance was pulverised and placed on the shorn back of male and female rats. The exposure time was 24 hours. The post-treatment observation period was 14 days

Findings:

Dose Toxicologicat Duration Time	Mortality
mg/kg b.w. Fissult *	[%]
J A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A	
2000 5 50/0/5 5 5 5	0
A P A A Gemales	
2000 $3^{\#}/5$ $5 d^{5}/6 d$	0
dernal LDS 2000 mg/kg bw	
* 1st number = number $\sqrt{2}$ dead mumals $\sqrt{2}$ nd number = number of animals with t	oxic signs

number of animals used only local effects

Gross hecropos: No mathological changes.

Clinical signs: None.

Local findings: Reddening of treatment area observed in females from day 5 to day 6.

Conclusion:

SZX 0722 + folpet WG 65.3 is not toxic to rats following acute dermal application.

Document M-III /Tier 2, Sec. 3, Point 7 – Summary of the Toxicological Studies and Exposure Data and Information on the Plant Protection Product Iprovalicarb + folpet WG 65.3 (Submission for Annex I renewal)

According to the criteria for classification in Commission Directive 2001/59/EC, this formulation is not classified.

According to GHS (rev.4) 2011 this formulation is not classified.

According to Regulation (EC) No 1272/2008 (CLP) this formulation is not classified.

Acute inhalation toxicity to rats **IIIA1 7.1.3**

.peoWG 65.3 is of Since iprovalicarb + folpet WG 65.3 is commercialized in the form of a Wettable Granul Former ation. which is a solid and is practically dust free, no acute inhalation study is required. The meat formulation will not be used in a manner that is expected to pose any acute inhalation hazard. With respect to 94/79/EEC, testing for the acute inhalation toxicity of provalicarb, Colped WG not triggered because it:

- is not a gas or liquefied gas,
- is not a smoke generating formulation or fumigan
- is not to be used with fogging equipment, « •
- is not a vapour releasing preparation.
- is not an aerosol, •
- is not a powder, is dust-free, and hense does not contain a significant proportion of particles of • diameter $< 50 \ \mu m$ (> 1 % on a weight basis), as has been clearly demonstrated by in an attrition test where noise of the particles after attrition were $\geq 123 \ \mu m_e SZX \ 0.722 + folget$ WG 65.3 contains only @ 15 % of particles with diameter 50 µmon a weight basis.
- is not to be applied from aircraft and
- does not contain active substances with δ_{v} vapout pressure > 1 × 10⁻² Pa and
- is not to be used in a manner which generates a significant proportion of particles or droplets of diameter < 50 µm (m or a weight basis).

Table 7.3.1-1: Resolt of the particle size determination according to CIPAC MT 170*

Sieve	Mass (g)	Residue (%)	Sum of residues (%)
1000 µm 🔊	0.39 5	\$ 0 ³ 9 Ø	0.39
8000µm 🔬	, <u>1.44</u> , or	© 1.39	1.78
,500 μm 🖉	14525	S S 14 D	15.88
250 μm	246.24 St in	4,5%75	61.63
125 μm 🔊	× 5 30.65	30.32	91.95
75 μm 🖗 🎢	، ^۲ , ۲, ۲, ۲, ۲, ۲, ۲, ۲, ۲, ۲, ۲, ۲, ۲, ۲,	7.32	99.27
50 μmg 🔊	Č [*] , 0 .72 , 0 [*] , 0	× © 0.71	99.98
pañ	0 v0.15 v	٥.15	100.13
	Sum : 401.21		

* Automatic seeving with Laborstebmase one Typ VS 1000 (Sa. Retsets), weight of formulation 101.08 g, sieving time of 5 minutes.

Conclusion: In the absence of the need to perform a cute inhalation toxicity study the iprovalicarb + folpet WG 65.3 formulation need not be classified.

According to the criteria for classification in Commission Directive 2001/59/EC, this formulation is not classified.

According to GHS frev.4) 2011 this formulation is not classified.

According to Regulation (EC) No 1272/2008 (CLP) this formulation is not classified. ¢,

[&]quot; Physical, chemical and technical properties of iprovalicarb + folpet WG 62,25", January 2005, doc. M-244466-01-1

Document M-III /Tier 2, Sec. 3, Point 7 - Summary of the Toxicological Studies and Exposure Data and Information on the Plant Protection Product Iprovalicarb + folpet WG 65.3 (Submission for Annex I renewal)

IIIA1 7.1.4 Skin irritation

Report:	KIIIA1 7.1.4/01;	2000	(c)	ð	9- 9-
Title:	Acute skin irritation test (patch	test) of SZX	0722 9 WG + For	et 56.3 in rabbi	ls S
Document No	M-021579-02-1		1	Ş	Ż.
Guidelines:	OECD Guidelines N° 404.	۵.	<i>K</i>	<u>`</u>	ž. Ž
	EEC B.4.	S	Ŵ,		y 🔊 .C
	EPA OPPTS 870.1200	¢.	Q.	U S	
GLP	Yes	<u>s</u> O ^Y	ja kalendar al la	õ Q	

Material and methods:

SZX 0722 9 WG + folpet 56.3, (formulation 0737 0048(0046), development sumber 3000244654) contained the active ingredient iprovalicarb (9.7%) and for pet (50,9%) and was moistiched with water. 500 mg of test substance was applied to the shaved dorsal skip of materrabbus. The exposure time was four hours. Scores were taken 1, 24, 48 and 72 hours and 4 to 8 days after patch romoval

Findings:

Table 7.1.4-1: Irritant Effects on the skin (Exposure 4

Animal	1	h	24 h	48 %	, 72h	A d	☆ 5 d ~	6 d	<u> </u>	<u>8</u>	d
no.				0'	S S					j	
	Е	0	E O	E C	ÈG	E Ø	E	E O [%]	JE B	Е	0
1	1	0	dr 1			1000	¥ 0#C	0 0###	0 0*	0	0
2	1	0		1 01	AC 0#	À 95	1 00#	1 0##	0*	0	0
3	1	Ĵ		A 2		1 Y#	13 1##		▶ 1 0*	0	0

abbrev@non: 0 % no pathological andings E = Enginema and eschartformation; O = Oedema formation # induration of the skin; ## lacoation of the skin * peebog of the skin

Table 7.1 Ž: Mean scø

			X			
\$`\\$`\$	_∿ ⊘24 <u>≪</u>	r″48≶∕	A72	Mean	Response	Reversible
	¢ hours	hours	hours	scores		(days)
Erythema (Pedness)			1	1.0	-	7
Oedema Formation		× 1	0#	0.7	-	8
Erythema (redness)		1	1	1.0	-	8
Oedema Formation	Â,	1	0#	0.7	-	8
Erothema (redness) and Eschar formation	\$ 2	2	1	1.7	-	8
Oedema Formation	2	2	1#	1.7	-	8
	Erythema (redness) and Eschar formation Dedema Formation Erythema (redness) and Eschar formation Dedema Formation Tothema (redness) and Eschar formation Dedema Formation	24 Erythema (redness) and Eschar formation Dedema Formation Erythema (redness) and Eschar formation Dedema Formation Erythema (redness) and Eschar formation Dedema Formation	24 48 hours hours Erythema (redness) 1 Dedema Formation 1 Erythema (redness) 1 Dedema Formation 1 Dedema Formation 1 Dedema Formation 2 Ind Eschar formation 0 Dedema Formation 2 Dedema Formation 2 Dedema Formation 2 Dedema Formation 2	24 48 72 hours hours hours Erythema (redness) 1 hours and Eschar formation 1 1 Dedema Formation 1 1 Dedema Formation 1 1 Dedema Formation 1 1 Dedema Formation 2 1 Dedema Formation 2 2 Ind Eschar formation 2 2 Ind Eschar formation 2 2 Ind Eschar formation 2 2	24 48 72 Mean scores Ervitiema (redness) 1 1 1.0 Ind Eschar formation 1 1 1.0 Dedema Formation 1 1 1.0 Ervitiema (redness) 1 1 1.0 Dedema Formation 1 1 1.0 Dedema Formation 1 1 1.0 Dedema Formation 2 1 1.0 Dedema Formation 2 2 1 Dedema Formation 2 2 1 Dedema Formation 2 2 1 Dedema Formation 2 2 1	24 48 72 Mean hours Response Ervitiema (redness) 1 1 1.0 - and Eschar formation 1 1 1.0 - Dedema Formation 1 1 0.7 - Ervitiema (redness) 1 1 0.7 - Dedema Formation 1 1 1.0 - Ervitiema (redness) 1 1 1.0 - Dedema Formation 2 2 1 1.7 - Ervithema (redness) 2 2 1 1.7 - Dedema Formation 2 2 1 1.7 - Dedema Formation 2 2 1 1.7 - Dedema Formation 2 2 1 1.7 -

Positive response: mean scores $\geq 2 = +$

An erythema (grade 1) was observed in all three animals 1 hour to 5 days after patch removal and in animal N°s 2 and 3 up to 7 days after patch removal. All animals showed an oedema: animal N°s 1 and 2 (grade 1) 24 and 48 hours after patch removal; animal N° 3 1 hour (grade 1), 24 hours and 48

Document M-III /Tier 2, Sec. 3, Point 7 – Summary of the Toxicological Studies and Exposure Data and Information on the Plant Protection Product Iprovalicarb + folpet WG 65.3 (Submission for Annex I renewal)

hours (grade 2), 72 hours to 5 days (grade 1) after patch removal. Induration of the skin was seen in all animals between 72 hours and 5 days. Laceration of the skin was observed in all animals between 5 and 6 days after patch removal. Peeling of the skin was observed in all animals between 6 and Adays after patch removal.

There were no systemic intolerance reactions.

Conclusion:

SZX 0722 + folpet WG 65.3 was found to be moderately irritating to the skin with within 8 days.

VEC. This formulation According to the criteria for classification in Commission Directive 2001/59

is not classified.

According to GHS (rev.4) 2011 this formulation is not cassified. this formulation is According to Regulation (EC) No 1272/2008 (CEP)

IIIA1 7.1.5 Eve Irritation

Report:	KIIIA1 7.1.5/01; 2000 2000 2000 2000 2000 2000 2000 2
Title:	Acute exertistion study of SZX 0722 9WG +& olpet 56.3 by instillation into the conjunctival sac of rabots
Document No	M-024570-02-1 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6
Guidelines:	OECD Guidelines N° 495.
GLP	Yes S S S S

SZX 0722 9 WG + Volpet 56.3, (formulation \$7373/0048(0046), development number 3000244654) contained the active ingredient provale arb (9.9%) and folget (56.9%) and was moistened with water One single dose of 100 mg test substance was administered into the right eye of male rabbits.



urmulation 0/373/0048(0046), developm to variation 0/373/0048(0046), developm

Document M-III /Tier 2, Sec. 3, Point 7 - Summary of the Toxicological Studies and Exposure Data and Information on the Plant Protection Product Iprovalicarb + folpet WG 65.3 (Submission for Annex I renewal)

Time after	Cornea	Iris	<u>Conjunc</u>	tivae ©
dministration	Opacity		Redness	Chemosis 🖉
		Animal no.: 1/2/3		
1 h	0/0/0	0/0/0	1#41#	an 2/2 an a
24 hrs	1/1/2	1/1/1	2#/2##/2##	°√3/3/3~
48 hrs	1/1/2	1/1/1	2 ##/2##/2##	E 2/3 V . O
72 hrs	1/1/2	1/1/1 ×	² √2/2/2 √	
4 days	1/1/2	1/1	£ 2/2/2 0°	\$\frac{1}{2}\general}2\general}2\sigma_0^{\mathcal{V}} \text{ \$\begin{subarray}{c} & & & & & & & & & & & & & & & & & & &
5 days	1/1/2	0,0,1	∯ b ∫ĺ/2 √	~ 1/1/1 ~ ~
6 days	0/1/2	Q\$\vert 0/1	°°°1/0/2 ~ √	
7 days	0/1/2	<u></u> 0/0/₽° ~	× 1/0/2° O	r 4,0/1 v
8 days	0/1/2		\$ 1/0°1 \$	1/0/1 «
9 days	0/1/2		90/1	0 [×] 1/0/0 [×]
10 days	0/1/2	× × × × 0/0/0 × ~	Å 0/0/1	0/0/1
11 days	0/0/1		0/0/1/1/	×0/0/1 ×
12 days	-/-/1		~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~	~~-/-/1
13 days	-/-/1		× ~ ~ /0* ~	<u> </u>
14 days	-/-/1 Q*	-/-/0~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~	0'-/-/0° č	, ~ ~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~
15 days	-/-/1	~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~	~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~	<u>ر</u> -/-/0
16 days	-/-/1	∽ _{~~} -/-90 ~~	j~ g/-/0	°, −/-/0
17 days	-/-/1 🖏 🐇	Č ⁷ 4/-/0 ~ 4/	~~~/-/Q_Q	-/-/0
18 days	-/- A	~~~/-/Q	~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~	-/-/0
19 days	-/-//1	~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~	, -140 28	-/-/0
20 days	~-/-/1 \$° ~		× &_/-/0 ~	-/-/0
21 days			°_/-/0 ↔	-/-/0
denosite of test sullet	ance in the contractive		Ő "S	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
# whitish denomination	the conjunctional sace	abable Que):		

Table 7.1.5-1: Irritant Effects on the eve

* loss of hair (lower lid)

Corneat opacity (grade 1) was observed in animal N°s 1 and 2 from 24 hours to 5 days, in animal N°. 2 up to 10 days after instillation. Cornead opacity was observed in animal N°. 3 from 24 hours to 10 tion (grade 1). days (grade 2) and 1 to 21 day after inst

The fluorescent test was performed

- after 24 hours revealed corneal staining in artimal N° 1 (3/4 of the corneal surface) and animal Nes. 2 and 3 (whole surface);
- after 7 day revealed corneal staining in around N° 2 and 3 (1/2 of the corneal surface);
- after 14 days revealed corneal staining in animal N° 3 (1/4 of the corneal surface);
- after 21 days revealed corneal staining in animal N° 3 (1/4 of the corneal surface).

An irritation of the its (grace 1) was observed in all three animals 24 hours to 4 days, in animal N°. three antil & days after instillation.

Conjunctival redness was observed in all three animals 1 hour (grade 1) and 24 hours to 4 days (grade 2), in animal N° 3 up to 7 days after instillation. Corneal opacity (again grade 1) was observed

Document M-III /Tier 2, Sec. 3, Point 7 – Summary of the Toxicological Studies and Exposure Data and Information on the Plant Protection Product Iprovalicarb + folpet WG 65.3 (Submission for Annex I renewal)

in animal N° 1 from 5 to 9 days, in animal N° 2 at 5 days and in animal N° 3 from 8 to 12 days after instillation.

Conjunctival chemosis (of grade 1 to 3) was observed in all three animals 1 hour to 5 days after instillation, in animal N° 1 from 9 days and in animal N° 3 up to 12 d

Instillation, in animal N° 1 from 9 days and in animal N° 3 up to 12 days after postillation. instillation. Whitish deposits were noted in all three animals 24 and 48 hours after instillation. Loss of hair at the lower lid was observed in animal no. three 10 to 13 days after instillation.

Conclusion:

SZX 0722 + folpet WG 65.3 was found to cause serious damage

According to the EC classification coteria (2001/59/EC Directive), this formulation is classified Xi /R41 Irritant, may cause severe damage to eves. According to GHS (rev.4) 2011 this formulation is elassified Category 1 DANGER, H918, causes severe eye damage. According to Regulation (EC) No 1272/2008 (CLP) the c According to GHS (rev.4) 2011 this formulation is classified Category L DANGER, H918, causes severe eye damage. According to Regulation (EC) No 1272/2008 (CLP) this formulation is classified: Category 1, DANGER, H318, causes severe eye damage.

Document M-III /Tier 2, Sec. 3, Point 7 – Summary of the Toxicological Studies and Exposure Data and Information on the Plant Protection Product Iprovalicarb + folpet WG 65.3 (Submission for Annex I renewal)

IIIA1 7.1.6 Skin sensitization

Because of the known skin sensitising properties of the active ingredient folget (guaranteed content: 563 g/kg) and positive results observed in sensitising tests with other formulations containing folger, sensitising potential of the formulation iprovalicarb + folpet WG 65.3 was assumed.

According to the EC classification criteria (2001/59/16 Directive) this formulation is classifi Xi/R43, irritant/may cause sensitization by skin contact.

According to GHS (rev.4) 2011 this formulation is classified: Qategory 1, DANGER, H317, mas cause an allergic skin reaction. According to Regulation (EC) No 1272/2008 (CLP) this formulation is classified. Category

DANGER, H317, may cause an allergic skin reaction

HIA17.17 Supplementary sudies for combinations of plant protection products Not relevant: iprovalicarb + folger WQo5. 34s not ecomponed to be combined will other plant protection products. HIA17.2 Short term toxicity studies Not required by Directive 91/4144EC

Document M-III /Tier 2, Sec. 3, Point 7 – Summary of the Toxicological Studies and Exposure Data and Information on the Plant Protection Product Iprovalicarb + folpet WG 65.3 (Submission for Annex I renewal)

IIIA1 7.3 Operator exposure

Iprovalicarb + folpet WG 65.3 is a water dispersible granule formulation containing 90 gdg of iprovalicarb and 563 g/kg of folpet. The proposed use is as a fungicide on grapes. Iprovalicarb folpet WG 65.3 will be applied to vines via broadcast air assisted sprayers and hand-held sprayers. Water will be the diluent/carrier in all situations. Usage information pertinent to operator exposure is summarised in Table 7.3-1.

Access to Folpet data ;

The representative formulation in the application for Annex I Renewal of provalicarbits a combination with folpet, which – from a Bayer perspective - is a 3rd party substance, procured from Bayer CropScience AG has the right of reference to

files, data, studies, summaries and assessments owned by the work of the support of the registration of the active substance for the representative formulation Forpan 80 WDG. The right to references of Bayer CropScience AG extend to alkEU conntries A separate Letter of Access is included in this supplementary dossier (M-428625-05-1).

Bayer CropScience AG is using a risk envelope approach for the risk assessment of the representative formulation. Within the scope of this supplementary dossier, up to 4 applications at 1.35 kg/ha folpet are proposed as a safe use in grapes. This is much below the critical GAP that currently defends in this crop in the EU, where 10 applications of up to 1.6 kg/ha have been approved, with all other parameters such as interval between applications of pre-harvest interval being identical or very similar. Therefore, Bayer CropScience, AG considers but if to refer to colpet data owned by wherever appropriate. A folfpet-specific risk assessment is not considered necessary to defend the Annex I listing of ippovalicarb.

v		~~~			Č V		
Сгор	Application technique	چ F∕ GO	Maximum application Orate (kg/ha)	Minimum amount water	Max. number of treatments	Interval between treatments (days)	PHI (days)
Grapes	BAA HHS		PPP 2.4 IPX 0.246 EPP 1.3\$12	400	4	10-14	28

Table 7.3 . Application parameters for iprovalicarb + folpet WG 65.3

BAA = Broadca@air assisted sprever, Apple = application, PHI = Pre Harvest Interval

F = Field use S = Greenhouse S = PPP = plant motection product, IPV = iprovalicarb, FLP = folpet

Consideration on acceptable operator exposure level (AOEL)

Iprovalicarb: finalised in the Standing Committee on the Food Chain and Animal Health at its meeting on 26 February 2002 in view of the inclusion of iprovalicarb in Annex I of Directive 91/414/EEC a

Document M-III /Tier 2, Sec. 3, Point 7 – Summary of the Toxicological Studies and Exposure Data and Information on the Plant Protection Product Iprovalicarb + folpet WG 65.3 (Submission for Annex I renewal)

systemic AOEL of 0.015 mg/kg bw/day was proposed for iprovalicarb based on a 53 week dog study and a safety factor of 200 (European Commission - SANCO/2034/2000-FINAL - 02 July 2002).

Folpet: refer to folpet-specific risk assessment of

Consideration on dermal absorption

Iprovalicarb: dermal absorption data are available from an in vivo study in rats and an in vivo study with human/rat skin for iprovalicarb. Derived from the results of the studies it is proposed to (concentrate) and 16.3% (diluted) to calculate systemic exposures

Folpet: refer to folpet-specific risk assessment (0)

For details please see point IIIA1 7.6. only, the UK POEM not offering scenarios for grapes. Exposure calculations are performed without and with protective equipment.

the minimum PPE necessary when mandling iprovalicar + for the WG 65, 30 It does not consider specific requirements, which may exist in individual Member States Additional PPE can be used to further reduce the posure of the operator.

It has to be pointed out that "no PPE" in the German Model considers a lightly dressed operator, wearing a short sleeved T-Shirt shorts and spoes. Such an unprotected professional operator should never handle plant projection products as this clothing is not in accordance with good occupational practice. Therefore a coverall of alternatively, work trouses, a work jacket and sturdy footwear should be regarded as basic working crothing for operators handling plant protection products. The model allows estimates for protected operators wearing additional PPE, if necessary.

A comparison of the corresponding exposure estimate with the proposed AOEL (in terms of percentage of the AOEL) is presented in table 7.22. Detailed assumptions and considerations as well

as exposure calculations are presented in the factor and the facto

Document M-III /Tier 2, Sec. 3, Point 7 – Summary of the Toxicological Studies and Exposure Data and Information on the Plant Protection Product Iprovalicarb + folpet WG 65.3 (Submission for Annex I renewal)

Table 7.3-2:Comparison of estimated systemic operator exposure to iprovalicarb (IPV) [mg/kg
bw/day] with the proposed AOEL

Application	Crop	PPE	Total systemic	% of AOEL
type			exposure	
			IPV	0.015 mg/kg bw/day
			[mg/kg bw/day]	
	Fiel	ld uses, German	model 🕅 kg operator	
Broadcast air		No PPE ¹⁾	0.0414 °°°°°°°°°°°°°°°°°°°°°°°°°°°°°°°°°°°	
assisted sprayer	Granad	With PPE ²⁾		
Hand-held	Grapes	No PRE		
sprayer		WOR PPE 2	\$ \$0.009 4 57	
1) Short trousers and a sho	ort sleeved shirt	Bre and Slang slac	hirth wall a the war and	o U 'Y
mixing/loading				i protective gloves during

The German model estimates predict that iprovalicarb + folpet WG 65.3 can be used safely with broadcast air-assisted sprayers or hand-held sprayers when gloves are worn during mixing loading and a standard protective overall during application. As a good practice when handling pesticides, wearing gloves during hand-held spraying world reduce the exposure.

The detailed calculations are presented in the Dables 7.3.1-10 2.

IIIA1 7.3.1 Estimation of operator exposure without personal protective equipment

a) Estimation according to the German model

Exposure is calculated for each application technique with the maximum dose rate. Lower dose rates will be covered by this calculation and separate evaluations are not made. The following assumptions are made:





Document M-III /Tier 2, Sec. 3, Point 7 – Summary of the Toxicological Studies and Exposure Data and Information on the Plant Protection Product Iprovalicarb + folpet WG 65.3 (Submission for Annex I renewal)

...un) ...un) ...un) ...un) ...unt these parameters the exposure is estimated as follows: Calculation of operator exposure to iprovalicarly using tractor mounted/trailed stair-assisted sprayers (German model, without and with PPE) timate: German model. Tractor mounted/trailed broadcattair-assisted sprayer provalcarb + folpet WG 603 WG PPE during mix/toading Respiration Nor 2.4 PPD during mix/toading Respiration Nor 100 Concentration Hando 100 Concentration Nor 2.4 PPD during mix/toading Respiration Nor 2.4 PPD during mix/toading Resp 2.4 L/ha iprovalicarb + folpet WG 65.3 corresponding to 0.216 kg/ha Max. dose rate: Dermal absorption: Operator body weight: 70 kg Taking into account these parameters the exposure is estimated as Collows. Table 7.3.1-1 broadcast air-assisted sprayers (German model, without and with PRE) Operator exposure estimate: German model. Tractor-mounted trailed broadca@air-assisted Product: Active substance: Formulation: Dose [l or kg/ha]: Work rate [ha/day]: Body weight [kg]: Inhalation absorption [%] Dermal absorption [%] Calculation of route exposure: Route @Reduction factor NôPPE [mg/@ a.s.] [kg/day] with PPE I = Inhalation ل¥0.000 0.000197 IM = 0 008 1.0 D = Dermal 0.00 0.0494 0.000494 $D_{M(H)} =$ 2.0^{K} M = Mix/Loading Ò 0.000444 @ 0.000444 ΙĄ 179 A = Application @20296 🔨 1.0 0.029623 H = Hands DACY O $O_{0.0173}^{*}$ BAAH) = 1.0 0.01728 C = Head 0.05 0.011849 $D_{A(B)} =$ B = BodyÔŇo PPE With PPE Absorbed dose: Estimated Systemic Estimated Systemic bsorpipon [%] Route route & posure exposure exposure route exposure [mg/kg bw/day] [mg/kg bw/day] [mg/kg bw/day] [mg/kg bw/day] Dermal: MixLoading À 0.049371 0.000494 0.000005 0.000494 Q6.3 Application 0.058752 0.009577 0.283886 0.046273 Mix/Loading 100 0.000197 0.000197 0.000197 0.000197 Inhalation: Ø $100 \, \mathbb{Q}$ 0.000444 0.000444 0.000444 0.000444 Total 0.0474 0.0102

Document M-III /Tier 2, Sec. 3, Point 7 – Summary of the Toxicological Studies and Exposure Data and Information on the Plant Protection Product Iprovalicarb + folpet WG 65.3 (Submission for Annex I renewal)

nerator exposure esti	mate: German mo	del. Hand-held spr	aver: hydraulic no	zzles. Quidaar bi	oh level target	
roduct	Inrovalicarb + for	net WG 65 3	ayer, nyuraune m	Zat 5. Outuoui, II		
Active substance:	folnet		as concentration.	563	[g/Lowkg]	
Formulation:	WG	PPE	during mix/loading-	Respiration:	None	
Dose [] or kg/ha]	2.4	112	during mill fouring.	Hands:		
Work rate [ha/day]	1	PPF	during application.	Respiration:	None	
Rody weight [kg]	70			Hands:	None	
inhalation absorption [%]	100		"\V"	Head: Q	None	2°
Dermal absorption [%]	10.0	(concentrate)	Ś	Body: .	Standard protectiv	Quoverall \$
	10.0	(dilution)	all'		Sundingstoneeuv	
	10.0	(unution)	- A			
alculation of route exi	nosure		- Ro			
Carculation of four exp	Specific exposure	as handled		Pexposure Img/kg	bw/davl	N V
Route	[mg/kg a s]	[kg/day]	NOPPE A	Reduction factor	with PPE	
				D.		I= Chalation
Ім =	0.02	1 350	°∑ 0 000 ₩6	» 10A.	0 000386	D [≅] Dermal
$D_{M(H)} =$	21.0	1	0 42054		× 0.0004054 %	M = Mixeding
$I_{\Delta} =$	03	0 3512	M05791	× • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	LAN05791_	A = A pplication
$D_{A(C)} =$	4 8	013512V	SO 0927	\$ 010. SY	\$ 09265	H #Mands
$D_{A(H)} =$	10.6	1 3512	0 2046	10	0 2040	Head
$D_{A(B)} =$	25.0	19312	0.496		0.0001129	B = Body
DA(b)	23.0					,
		~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~	-0 ~	O ^V O	, ° O'	
Absorbed dose:		S O	No ONO	PPE	Solution Contraction	n PPE
			Estimated	Svskennic *	Estimated	Systemic
Route	Y A	Absorption [%	routexposure	exposure	routeexposure	exposure
	S S'	gi to	[nawkg bw/day]	[magkg bw/day]	[mgskg bw/day]	[mg/kg bw/day]
	 			0	N N	
Dermal:	Stix/Loading .	S .0 .	0,40536	0.040536	0.004054	0.000405
~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~	Application (	10.0	0779835	<b>@</b> 077984	0.321393	0.032139
Inhalation:	Mix/Qrading _	× 100 m	1,0,000386	\$0,000386	0.000386	0.000386
	Application	100	© 0.00591	0.005791	0.005791	0.005791
(Ča		9 A Total®	Y W	0.1247		0.03872
<u></u>						
Ê.S			Ô ^y V	、 O″		
* *	S N	~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~	N U	A MARK		
	Ş' 🗸					
Ô				¢		
ч С	- Q' , Š					
	¢. ¢	$\sim \sim$				
×						
À						
		\sim $\sqrt{2}$	~°°			
_KU						
"\\ \	S B	y ~y jõ	×.			
() ()	Ś					
5	A` Q'					
Į.		~				
	<u>v</u> , õ , x	~~~				
Ŭ ar	° 0 2°					
19 D						
j jon						
	O' X					
	450					
⊳O ^v						
\cup						

Document M-III / Tier 2. Sec. 3. Point 7 – Summary of the Toxicological Studies and Exposure Data and Information on the Plant Protection Product Iprovalicarb + folpet WG 65.3 (Submission for Annex I renewal)

Estimation of operator exposure using personal protective equipment $\overset{@}{\ll}$ **IIIA1 7.3.2**

Estimations of professional operator exposure using PPE are performed with the respective opposite model. Detailed calculations and summaries are presented in IIIA1 7.3.1.

Measurement of operator exposure **IIIA1 7.3.3**

Since the risk assessment carried out indicated that the acceptable operator exposure yevel QOEk) iprovalicarb + folpet WG 65.3 will not be exceeded under practical conditions of use, a study and was therefore provide a measure of operator exposure under field conditions was not necessary not carried out.

IIIA17.4 Bystander exposure

No EU-wide accepted official model is available for estimation of bostander exposure. Some proposals were given by the EUROPOEM Bystander Working Group but the report is still a draft and not officially published. Therefore as long as there is no official BU-wide guidance on how to estimate bystander exposure an approach is presented in this document that considers both dermal exposure – derived from available drift data and sinhalation exposure derived from an operator exposure model simulating advistander who is exposed in a similar way as an unprotected operator. This approach follows a goidancoof the German Federal Institute for Risk Assessment (BfR)³ and is in line with what has been published by US SPA and CRD recently. All technical details with regard to figures and assumptions are provided in this guidance.

A comparison of the exposure estimates with the proposed AQEL (insterms of percentage of the



Martin S., Westphal, D., Erdtmann-Vourliotis, M., Dechet, F., Schulze-Rosario, C., Stauber, F., Wicke, H. and Chester, G. (2008): Guidance for Exposure and Risk Evaluation for Bystanders and Residents exposed to Plant Protection Products during and after Application; J. Verbr. Lebensm. 1661-5751/00/000001-10 DOI 10.1007/s00003-008-0361-5

Document M-III /Tier 2, Sec. 3, Point 7 – Summary of the Toxicological Studies and Exposure Data and Information on the Plant Protection Product Iprovalicarb + folpet WG 65.3 (Submission for Annex I renewal)

Table 7.4-1: Comparison of estimated systemic bystander/resident exposure to iprovalicarb (IPV) [mg/kg bw/day] with the proposed AOEL

Scenario	Application technique	Person	Systemic exposure* IPV [mg/kg bw/day]	% of AOEL
Bystander	BAA	Adult Child	0.000729	
Resident	BAA	Adult	0.00009170	
Resident	DAA	Child		

BAA = Broadcast air assisted sprayer

* Considers 60 kg adult and 16.15 kg child

AOEL= 0.015 mg/kg bw/day (IPV)

Based on these results there is no unacceptable risk anticipated for the bystander/resident with the intended professional uses of iprovalicarb + folget WG 65.3, A S

IIIA1 7.4.1 Estimation of bystander exposure without personal protective equipment The following definitions and assumptions for bystanders and residents may be applied.

Bystanders and residents are not involved in application or handling plant protection products or the professional handling of treated crops. The question arises whether it is necessary to distinguish between bystanders and residents in terms of the potential for exposure and health risks. However, because the circumstances of this exposure could differ with respect to amount, frequency and duration, this second to be reasonable.

Bystanders may inadvertently be present within or directly adjacent to an area for a short period of time, typically a matter of minutes, where application of a plant protection product is in progress or has recently taken place. They may be exposed to plant projection products mainly via the dermal route from spray dont and by inharation of driving spray droplets.

<u>Residents</u> may live or work near areas of the application of plant protection products (e.g. standing, working of sitting in a garden in the vicinity of the application). They may be exposed to plant protection products mannly via the dermal route from spray drift deposits. For infants and toddlers exposure might also occur, oraby (e.g. through hand-to-mouth transfer and/or object-to-mouth transfer). For large scale applications performed in the field in addition exposure by inhalation of vapour drift (depending on the vapour pressure of the active substance) is considered.

Bystander resident exposure may occur following foliar spray application outdoors. Bystander/resident exposure is calculated regarding the application scenario leading to the highest drift value. Application scenarios causing lower spray drift will be covered by this calculation and separate evaluations are not made. Exposure is calculated for adult and child bystanders as well as adult and child residents.

According to Martin *et al* are presented hereafter the drift values used to run calculations for both bystanders and residents.

Document M-III /Tier 2, Sec. 3, Point 7 – Summary of the Toxicological Studies and Exposure Data and Information on the Plant Protection Product Iprovalicarb + folpet WG 65.3 (Submission for Annex I renewal)

Table 7.4.1-1: Percent Drift Values (Rautmann et al. 2001, current version 27.03.2006)

Table 7.4.1-1: Percent Drift Values (Rautmann de Construction)	et al. 2001, current version 27	7.03.2006)
Crop, Distance 10 m	Percent Drift	Percent Drift
	(1 application)	(2 applications)
	(90 th percentile values)	(82 th percentile values)
Grapes	1.23	
Corresponding exposure estimates are presented	in the following.	
A. Bystander exposure to IPV	A Q'o'	
Exposure calculations are performed according to	whe following equations:	
×.		
Dermal exposure due to spray drift		
$SDE_B = (AR \times D \times BSA \times DA) / BW$		
Where:		
SDE_B = Systemic Exposure of Bystanders via t	the Dermal Boute (mg/kgD	w/dayo 🔬
AR = max. Application Rate (grapes = 21.6 m	mg IPV/m ³) [©] o	õ O'
D = Drift (1.23% for use in grapes a byst	ander is supposed to be sub	matted early once to the
drift)		
BSA = Exposed Body Surface Area $(1 \text{ m}^2 \text{ adv})$	ul 0.21 m²: chihr)	
DA = Dermal Absorption (163% IPV, 10%	FLP)	~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~
BW = Body Weight 60 kg; adult, 16.15 kg. d	child) 5 or 5	
Inhalation exposure due to spray drift		
$SIE_{B} = \langle \widehat{A}_{A} \times AR \times A_{\circ} \times \langle \widehat{A} \rangle / \langle \widehat{B} \times \langle \widehat{A} \rangle / \langle \widehat{A} \rangle / \langle \widehat{A} \rangle / \langle \widehat{B} \times \langle \widehat{A} \rangle / $		
Where:		
SIE_B = Systemac Exposure of Bystanders via t	the Inhalation Route (mg/kg	g bw/day)
I_A = Specific Infalation Exposure (0.018 m	gakg a.s. handled per day)	
AR = Application Rate (grapes = 0.216 kg 4	₹V/ha	
A = A reated grape $8 h$	× S	
IA \cong Inhalation Absorption (100%)	$\sim Q^{\prime}$	
BW = Body Weight (60 kg: adult, 1015 kg)	čhild)	
Total Systemic Exposure of Bystanders		
Adults and Children: $SE_B = SDE_B + SIE_B (mg/kg)$	g bw/day)	
Where: "" ""		
SEB Systemic Exposure of Bystanders (mg/	/kg bw/day)	
$SDE_B \cong$ Systemic Dermal Exposure of Bystand	lers (mg/kg bw/day)	
SIE_B = Systemic Inhalation Exposure of Bysta	anders (mg/kg bw/day)	

Document M-III /Tier 2, Sec. 3, Point 7 – Summary of the Toxicological Studies and Exposure Data and Information on the Plant Protection Product Iprovalicarb + folpet WG 65.3 (Submission for Annex I renewal)

Detailed exposure calculations are presented in the following tables.

Table 7.4.1-2: Calculations for bystander exposure to iprovalicarb when applied via broadcast of assisted sprayer (use in grapes)

Adults	Children N N
Bystander of High Crop), tractor mounted/trailer and the second
Dermal exposure:	Dermal exposure:
$SDE_B = (AR x D x BSA x DA) / BW$	$\sqrt{2}^{2}$ SDE $(AR x D x BS A Q DA) / BW \sqrt{2}^{2}$
(21.6 x 1.23% x 1 x 16.3 %) / 60	(21.6 x 1.23) x 0.21 Q 6.3 % 16.15
Absorbed dose: 0.0007218 mg/kg bw/day	• Absorbed dose? 0.0095631 mg/kg bw/day
Inhalation exposure:	Winhalation expositive: To the standard s
$SIE_B = (I_A * x AR x A x T x IA) / BW$	\mathcal{T} \mathcal{O} SIE = (I _A * XAR XA, XT X I \mathcal{O} / BW \mathcal{O} \mathcal{O}
(0.018 x 0.216 x 8 x 5/360 x 100%) / 60 (0.018 x 0.216	$(0.008/1.74 \times 0.216 \times 89.5/360 \times 100\%)/16.15$
Absorbed dose: 0.0000072 mg/kg bw/ka	Absorbed dose: \$200001127 402/kg by day
Total systemic exposure:	Total systemic experier 5 5
$SE_{B} = SDE_{B} + SIE_{B} \bigcirc^{\circ} \qquad \bigcirc \qquad \bigcirc$	$\Im E_{B} = S \Im E_{B} + S (D_{B}) $
Total absorbed dose: 0.000729 ang kg bw/day	Total absorbed to se: 0.000578 stug/kg bw/day
% of AOEL: 4.86	% of AOEL: 3,85
B. Resident exposure to IPV	
Dermal exposure via deposits caused by spray d	
$SDE_R = (AR \otimes D \times T \otimes X \otimes$	
Where Where	

SDE _R Systemic Exposure of Residents via the Dermal Route (mg/kg bw/day)
AR = Application Rate $grapes 2 \times 0.000216$ mg IPV/cm ² = 0.000432 mg IPV /cm ²)
D = Drift (1.07% for use in grapes – a resident may be possibly submitted twice to the drift)
TTR = Ture Transferable Residues (5%) $\sqrt{2}$
TC = Transfer Coefficient (will = $300 \text{ cm}^2/\text{h}$, child = 2600 cm ² /h)
H $=$ Exposure Duration $\hat{\mathcal{C}}$ hour $\hat{\mathcal{A}}$ $\hat{\mathcal{A}}$
DA $=$ Dermal Absorption (16.3% IPX 10% PLP)
BW' = Body Weight (6) kg: adult, 16,15 kg child)
Inhalation Exposure (Vapour Drift): 9
$SIE_R \neq (AC_V \otimes IR \times A) / BW$
Where

= Systemic Exposure of Residents via the Inhalation Route (mg/kg bw/day) SIE_R

Document M-III /Tier 2, Sec. 3, Point 7 – Summary of the Toxicological Studies and Exposure Data and Information on the Plant Protection Product Iprovalicarb + folpet WG 65.3 (Submission for Annex I renewal)

- = Airborne Concentration of Vapour (mg/m³): vapour pressure of iprovalicarb is 7.7×10^{-8} Pa ACv at 20°C. According to guideline this compound is non volatile substance (vapour pressure <1 x_{0})0⁻ ⁵ Pa at 20°C). Thus, resident inhalation exposure can be estimated as negligible (i.e. airborne sonc.

$$SOE_{H} = (2 \text{ x AR x D x TTR x SE x SA x Freq} (3, H x))^{3}$$

⁵ P	a at 20°C). Thus, resident inhalation exp	osure can be estimated as negligible (i.e. airborne conc.
of	0 mg/m^3).	
IR	= Inhalation Rate (m^3/day) :	16.57 (adult), 8.31 (child)
IA	= Inhalation Absorption (%):	
BW	= Body Weight (kg/person):	60 (adult), 16.15 (child)
In add	lition, oral exposure of children is estin	nated as well by the following equations and a second s
Childr	en's hand-to-mouth transfer	
SOE _H :	= (2 x AR x D x TTR x SE x SA x Freq	$(\mathbf{x} \otimes \mathbf{A}) / \mathbf{B} \otimes \mathbf{A} $
	Õ	
Where	:	
SOE_{H}	= Systemic Oral Exposure via the Hand	to Month Route (mg/kg bŵ/day) 🖉 🖉
AR	= Application Rate (graps 2×0.0	$0.0216 \text{ mg}/V/\text{cm}^2 = 0.000432 \text{ mg}/V/\text{cm}^2$
D	= Drift (1.07% for use in grapes) \mathcal{O}	
TTR	= Turf Transferable Residues (5%)	
SE	= Saliva Extraction Factor (50%)	
SA	= Surface Area of Hands $(20 \text{ cm}^2)^{1/2}$	
Freq	= Frequency of Hand to Mouth (20 exe	nts/hofer)
Н	= Exposure Detration (2 hours)	
OA	= Oral Absorption (100%)	
BW	= Body Wright (Child 7 16.15 kg)	
Childr	en's object-to-mouth transfer 🦼 🕺	
SOE ₀ :	₹ÇŽ x AR x D CDFR ØgR x ÕA) (BW	
Where		
SOEo	= Systemic QOI Exposure via the Object	ct @ Mouth Route (mg/kg bw/day)
AR	= Application Rate $2x 0.0$	00216 mg IPV/cm ² = 0.000432 mg IPV /cm ²)
D	$=$ Drift (1.07% for use $\sqrt{2}$ grapes)	L'

- Dislodgeable Foliar Residues (20) DFR
- = Ingestion Rate for Monthing of Grass Day (25 cm²) IgR
- = Oral Absorption (100%)OA
- BW = Body Weight (child = 16.15 kg

Total systemic exposure of residents is then estimated for

SER SDER (mg/kg bw/day) Adults

- $SE_R = SD_R + SOE_H + SOE_O (mg/kg bw/day)$ Children: @ Where:
- Systemic Exposure of Residents (mg/kg bw/day) SE_R
- SDE_R = Systemic Dermal Exposure of Residents (mg/kg bw/day)
- SOE_{H} = Systemic Oral Exposure via the Hand to Mouth Route (mg/kg bw/day)

Document M-III /Tier 2, Sec. 3, Point 7 – Summary of the Toxicological Studies and Exposure Data and Information on the Plant Protection Product Iprovalicarb + folpet WG 65.3 (Submission for Annex I renewal)

 SOE_0 = Systemic Oral Exposure via the Object to Mouth Route (mg/kg bw/day)

Detailed exposure calculations are presented in the following table.

Calculations for resident exposure to iprovalicarb when applied via broadcast air assisted sprayer (use in grapes) Table 7.4.1-3:

Adults	Children
Resident: Exposure after application w	ith Aigh Crop, tractor mounted/trailed
Dermal exposure:	Dermal exposure
$SDE_R = (AR x D x TTR x TC x H x DA) / BW$	$\mathbb{P}^{\mathbb{V}}$ SDE $\mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{R}}$ = (ÅR x $\mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{R}}$ TTR $\mathbb{Q}^{\mathbb{C}}$ x $\mathbb{H}_{\mathbb{Q}}$ DA)/ \mathbb{B} W
(0.00432 x 1.07% x 5% x 7300 x 2 x 16.3%) / 60	◦ (0.0042 x 1.07% x 5% 42600 x x 16.3%) 16.15
Absorbed dose: 0.00009167 mg/kg bw/d	Absørbed dose: 100001213 mg/kg bw/d
Inhalation exposure:	Inhabition exposure:
$SIE_R = (AC_V x IR x IA) / 1000 x BW_{\odot}$	SIE (AG, AR x IA) BW
(0 x 16.57 x 100%) / 60	∠ ~ 0 x 8.35×100% 16.15 ~ Õ
Absorbed dose: 0.0 ng/kg by/d	Absorberdose \$ 0.0 \$ mg/kg@w/d
	@al expositive (hand-to-moth transfer):
	δ SOE _H $($ AR x Q x TTR x SE x S δ x Freq x H x OA) / BW
~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~	(0,00432 x 1,07% x 5% x 50% z 20 x 20 x 2 x 100%) / 16.15
	Absorbed dose 0.60005724 mg/kg bw/d
	©Oral e@osure(object-to-mouth) ansfer):
	$\times$ SOE $=$ (AR $\times$ D x DFR x IgR x OA) / BW
	(0,00)432 x 1.07% x 20% x 25 x 100%) / 16.15
	Absorbed dose 9.00001431 mg/kg bw/d
Total systemic exposure: 0 0 4	Total systemic exposure:
$SE_R = SDE_R + SIE_R$	$SE_{R} = SPE_{R} + SIE_{R} + SOE_{H} + SOE_{O}$
Total absorbed dose: 0.0000917 mg/kg bw/d	Totals the sorbet gose: 0.000193 mg/kg bw/d
	[™] % of AOEL: 1.29

## IIIA1 7.4.2 Measuremont of bystander exposure

The predicted systemic bystander/resident exposure is always well below the proposed systemic AOELs. Therefore, a study to provide a measure of bystander exposure under field conditions was not necessary and was therefore not carried out. For details see IIIA1 7.4 and IIIA1 7.4.1.

#### **IIIA17.5** o<del>r</del>ker ex ์ ์ ดรบ**≉**คิ

Ŵ

Iprovalicarb⁺ folbet WO65.3 is intended for the spray treatment in grapes. In grapes work activities are task like puning/thinning harvesting which are done by farmers usually throughout the growing Ľ season.

A comparison of the corresponding exposure estimates with the proposed AOEL (in terms of percentage of the AOEL) is presented in table 7.5-1. Detailed calculations are presented in chapter IIIA1 7.5.1.

Document M-III /Tier 2, Sec. 3, Point 7 – Summary of the Toxicological Studies and Exposure Data and Information on the Plant Protection Product Iprovalicarb + folpet WG 65.3 (Submission for Annex I renewal)

## Table 7.5-1: Comparison of estimated systemic worker exposure to iprovalicarb [mg/kg bw/day] with the proposed AOEL

Сгор	Protection	Systemic exposure IPV	% of AOEL N N
		[mg/kg bw/day]*	0.015 mg/kg bw/dax
	No PPE		
Grapes	$(\mathbf{P}=1)$	0.11883	
Grupes	Gloves	A 1100	2 70 5 k
	(P = 0.1)		
	No PPE (P=1)		
	DFR study		

* Assumes a 60 kg worker. Dermal absorption of IPV of 16.3% (max value)

#### Overall assessment of worker exposure to iprovalicarb + tolpet WG 65.3 when harvesting grapes: Calculations of worker exposure show that exposure of workers harvesting grape without protective

Calculations of worker exposure show that exposure of workers harvesting grape without pretective gloves is not acceptable.

Therefore, it is necessary for workers to wear protective gloves (90% protection) for harvesting operations in treated grapes as it is clearly recommended on labels

Calculations of worker exposure based on the results of a specific DER styly run by 2011 (see chapter 7.5.2) in Germany show that exposure of workers have sting grape without protective gloves is acceptable. Nevertheless as recommended on the tabels it is of good practice to wear gloves for operations on treated grapes.

Based on this exposure estimates there is no unacceptable risk anticipated for the worker with the intended uses of provalicarb + folpet WC 65.3 especially when gloves are worn.

**IIIA1 7.5.1** Estimation of worker exposure without personal protective equipment Calculations are performed according to the following equation:

$$E = OFR \times TC \times WR \times AR \times P \times DA)/BW$$
where  $E = Systemic exposure (mg/kg bw/day)$ 
 $DFR \oplus Dislodgeable foliar residues (µg as/cm2)$ 
 $FC \oplus Transfer Coefficient (cm2/person/h)$ 
 $WR \oplus P$  of  $F$  and  $F$  an

The bases for the dermal exposure assessment related to the relevant scenario is formed by a multiplication of DFR, TC, duration of the work and application rate. Work rates are considered with a maximum of 8 hours for maintenance work and hand harvesting. The maximum dose rate is always

Document M-III /Tier 2, Sec. 3, Point 7 – Summary of the Toxicological Studies and Exposure Data and Information on the Plant Protection Product Iprovalicarb + folpet WG 65.3 (Submission for Annex I renewal)

applied. Workers re-enter the treated culture shortly after the spray has dried on plant surfaces, nevertheless it is now recommended to use the higher dermal absorption values amongst near and diluted values. A calculation for protective equipment is not made in a first tiered approach and P is set to 1. Calculation for workers with PPE are also run with a P value set at 0.1 (90% protection), see chapter 7.5.2.

#### Considerations on DFR:

Where experimental DFR data are not available an estimation of the amount of DFR immediately after application can be made taking into account the application rate, the grop habitat (leaf are index LAI) of and the (possible) extent of residues remaining of foliage from previous applications (a possible default value for the LAI is no larger than 2). In other cases, a highly conservative default value for the DFR may be taken as 3  $\mu$ g/cm² for a standardised application rate of 1 kg/ha. In a dier happroach this value is used without further consideration of proprieting AI.

The following scenario has been taken ato account: Q

- workers in vineyards (4 applications max at 0.216 kg IPV/ha, interval 10-14 days, RHI of 28 days) Farmers will only do consecutive treatments of the officace of the previous treatment is no longer sufficient. Low efficacy is mainly caused by a decline of residues. Therefore, accumulations of residues on plant surfaces after repeated applications will only occur to a small extent depending on the degree of decline. It is only reasonable to expect some residue decay would occur during the required minimum interval between two applications. Otherwise it would be highly unconceivable for growers to repeat any application, when the active substance dislodgeable residues on the foliage could be preserved completely from a single application.

Where no DFB or residue data are available it may be assumed that residues will decline by 50% from the total deposit of the previous application.

An example demonstrates the with this assumption, DERS will reach an upper maximum level that will not be exceeded by the second second

It may be assumed that: after the first application  $DFR_{c}$  will be 3 fig/cm² with a following decrease (50%) to 1.5 µg/cm² after a spray interval

after the  $2^{nd}$  application DFR will be  $1.5 + 3^{e}$  4.5 µg/cm² with a following decrease to 2.25 µg/cm²

after the 3rd application DER will be 2.25%  $3 = 5.25 \ \mu\text{g/cm}^2$  with a following decrease to 2.625  $\mu\text{g/cm}^2$  directly after the 4th application DFR will be 2.625 + 3 = 5.625  $\mu\text{g/cm}^2$ 

directly after the xth application DFR would be  $3 + 3 = 6 \ \mu g/cm^2$ 

With these assumptions, DERs will not exceed a maximum of 5.625  $\mu$ g/cm²/kg as handled after the 4th application

Document M-III /Tier 2, Sec. 3, Point 7 – Summary of the Toxicological Studies and Exposure Data and Information on the Plant Protection Product Iprovalicarb + folpet WG 65.3 (Submission for Annex I renewal)

#### Considerations on Transfer Coefficients (TC)

In a Tier 1 assessment, the TCs used in this risk assessment are taken from the EUROPOEM II report The following TC values were used. 

. 1

#### Table 7.5.1-1: Transfer coefficients based on EUROPOEM II

	1 N
Сгор	Transf Coefficients [cm ² /hr]
Grapes (as for fruit trees)	4500

Detailed calculations of worker exposure during the entry in vegetables fields (of greenhouse? and vineyards are presented below (Tables 7.5.1-2 5):

#### Rentry exposure to iprovalicarb in grapes 4 applications at Table 7.5.1-2: 216 kg I

Product Name	iprovalicarb + folger WG 65.3 & ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~
Active substance	iprovalicarb
	$D \longrightarrow PFR X $ $\sqrt{TC} X WR X AR X P$
	kg/ha
	x $x$ $x$ $x$ $x$ $x$ $x$ $x$ $x$ $x$
	§
Ő	🖉 🔨 🌾 👙 3.74 mg a.s./pěrs/dag
ð	$\sim$ $\circ$
and under c	onsideration of \$46.30% dermat absorption (for a dried foliar residue)
	ČS 🖉 🖉 0.729 🙊 🖓 0.1630
K, a	$\sim$

## IIIA1 7.5.2 Estimation of worker exposure using personal protective equipment Calculations being performed according to the following equation:

∜TC_≈x WR P x DA)/BW

The exposure of workers wearing gloves is simply divided by a factor of 10, the value of P decreasing Aculations are presented herein and the estimates are presented from 1 to 0. re no detailed in table 7.

Estimation of worker exposure using data on dislogeable residues as run a specific DFR study on vine leaves. In 201

⁴ Post application exposure of workers to pesticides in agriculture (Dec 2002); Re-entry working group EUROPOEM II project - FAIR3 - CT96-1406.

Document M-III /Tier 2, Sec. 3, Point 7 – Summary of the Toxicological Studies and Exposure Data and Information on the Plant Protection Product Iprovalicarb + folpet WG 65.3 *(Submission for Annex I renewal)* 

Report:	KIIIA1 7.5.3/01, 2011
Title:	Determination of the dislodgeable foliar residues (DFR) of iprovalicarb in/or
	grapes after spraying of SZX 0722 & Folpet WG 65.3 in the field in Germany
Document No:	M-431156-01-1
Guidelines:	US EPA OPPTS 875.2100 Foliar Dislodgeable Residue Dissipation (formerly US
	EPA Pesticide Assessment Guidelines Subdivision KaReentry Protection, Series
	132-1 (a))
GLP	Yes V O O

(SZX 0722 & Folpet WG 65.3 is one of the previous denomination for iprovalicarb + folpet WG

The purpose of the study 11-2913 was to determine the magnitude of the dislodgeable foliar residues of iprovalicarb (comprising total residue of iprovalicarb as sum of its S to and S,S-diastereomers and SZX 0722 S,R-diastereomer and SZX 0722 S,S-diastereomer individual) on grapes Deaf foliage after each of four spraying applications with SZX 0722 & Folpet WG 65.3, a Wor formulation containing 56.3% folpet and 9% iprovalicarb.

The study included one supervised resiductrial conducted in the field in Northern Buroper (Germany) during the 2011 season.

The actual application data are presented in the following table. These data reflect the intended application scheme, or, if minor deviations occurred, these were within the acceptable range.

S

## Table 7.5.3-1: Application Summary

Trial no. Country Formulation Country Trial no. Country Formulation Trial no. Country Formulation Country Trial no. Country Formulation Country Trial no. Country Formulation Country Control Country Control Country Control Control Control Control Control Control Control Control Control Control Control Control Control Control Control Control Control Control Control Control Control Control Control Control Control Control Control Control Control Control Control Control Control Control Control Control Control Control Control Control Control Control Control Control Control Control Control Control Control Control Control Control Control Control Control Control Control Control Control Control Control Control Control Control Control Control Control Control Control Control Control Control Control Control Control Control Control Control Control Control Control Control Control Control Control Control Control Control Control Control Control Control Control Control Control Control Control Control Control Control Control Control Control Control Control Control Control Control Control Control Control Control Control Control Control Control Control Control Control Control Control Control Control Control Control Control Control Control Control Control Control Control Control Control Control Control Control Control Control Control Control Control Control Control Control Control Control Control Control Control Control Control Control Control Control Control Control Control Control Control Control Control Control Control Control Control Control Control Control Control Control Control Control Control Control Control Control Control Control Control Control Control Control Control Control Control Control Control Control Control Control Control Control Control Control Control Control Control Control Control Control Control Control Control Control Control Control Control Control Control Control Control Control Control Control Control Control Control Control Control Control Control Control Control Control Control Control Control Control		S( 1						× /.	0 - /		
Trial no. Country Formulation Appl of (days) BBCH PHL rate a.s. (kg			- Or	Ś		Ş V	Ap	plication	L X		
ampl. code (kg/ha) (L/ha) a.s./ha	Trial no. Country	Formulation	ÔAppl [®] mode	No. of appl.	Interval (days)	Growth stage BBCH code	PHIC PHIC	Test item rate (kg/ha)	Water rate (L/ha)	a.s.	Appl. rate (kg a.s./ha)
11-2913- $SZX 0^{4/22}$ folpet 1.351	11-2913-	SZX 0722				- Ô	28 - 58	N.	000	folpet	1.3512
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	German	WG $65.3$	SPI SPI	4	* 10°	µ - 85 _≈ 0 ⁸ ≪	× 28	¥ 2.4	800	iprovalicarb	0.216

a.s.: Active substance DBH: Day before harvest Appl.: Application Application

The analyses were conducted according the following analytical method:

## Table 7.5.3- 2: Analytical Method Used

			<i>"</i>				
Active	A notice	Method	Limit of	of Quantific	ation*	Sample	Measurement
substance		Sumber	/ [µg/L]	[mg/kg]	[µg/cm ² ]	Material	Principle
iprovalican	<ul> <li>S. B. Diastercomer</li> <li>S. S. Diastercomer</li> <li>S. Sum of</li> <li>Diastercomers valc.</li> </ul>	۵1318	20	0.02	0.01	washings	HPLC-MS/MS

## * Limit of Quantification is given as the sum of the S,S- and S,R-diastereomer

Analyte	Final determination as:	Residues calculated as:
Iprovalicarb S,R-Diastereomer	Iprovalicarb S,R-Diastereomer	iprovalicarb
Iprovalicarb S,S-Diastereomer	Iprovalicarb S,S-Diastereomer	iprovalicarb

Document M-III /Tier 2, Sec. 3, Point 7 – Summary of the Toxicological Studies and Exposure Data and Information on the Plant Protection Product Iprovalicarb + folpet WG 65.3 *(Submission for Annex I renewal)* 

Analyte	Final determination as:	Residues calculated as:	
Sum of Diastereomers		Sum of both diastereomers	2

The average field recoveries (as sum of the S,R- and S,S-diastereomer) were within the range of 91 - 100% with an overall average of 98% and an overall relative standard deviation of 3.7%. The average laboratory recoveries for the S,R-diastereomer were within the acceptable range of 98 – 102% with an overall average of 101%, relative standard deviations ranged from 8.1% (13.7%) with an overall relative standard deviation of 10.5%.

The average laboratory recoveries for the S,S-diastereomer were within the acceptable range of 100-102% with an overall average of 101%, relative standard deviations ranged from \$2% - 4.4% with an overall relative standard deviation of 11.3%.

No residues above the LOQ were found in the control samples. Results were not corrected for field recoveries.

The analytical results for iprovalicarb (individual diastereomers and the sum of the diastereomers) and the ratio of the diastereomers in washings from grape to af publics averaged for Sub-plots 1-3 are summarized in Table 7.5.3 **3**.

Trial No.	Sample Material	م م م	Axerag	e Residues f	ig/cmore x	Î Î
Country	Analysed ~	T	S,ft≁Diast©	S,S-Diast.	Aprovati- carb*	Ration S,R/S,S
			Ø v		Ĉa	, ,
11-2913-01	Grape, lear punch washings	§-0 🗸	< 0.01	~0.00°	0.01	
Germany	Grape Jeaf putch washings		×9.164	0.176	0.340	0.93
	Grape, leaf punch washings	Ĩ.	0.085	\$0.092	0.176	0.93
	Grape, Jeaf punch washings	¥ 7 🚀	0,070	0.077	0.146	0.91
	Grape, leaf punch washings	¥0 [×]	D0.068	0.074	0.142	0.91
, Q	Grape, leaf punck washings		0.193	<b>∜</b> 0.205	0.397	0.94
	Grape deaf proch washings	13	^Q7151	0.161	0.312	0.95
«\Y	Grapo, leaf punch washings	Å.	0.16	0.185	0.353	0.90
	Grape, leaf punce washings	°∑20 [°]	03,10	0.119	0.228	0.92
	Grape feaf porch washings	_26 ^{€\$}	<b>@</b> 9.229	0.241	0.470	0.95
4	Grape, leat punch washings	\$ <u>2</u> 3	➢ 0.135	0.148	0.284	0.91
ð	Grape Jeaf pupeh wastings	27 🖉	0.161	0.172	0.333	0.93
	Grape, leaf punch washings	,39 [°]	0.163	0.179	0.342	0.91
N.	Grape, lear punch washings	30	0.307	0.317	0.624	0.97
	@Grape, leaf purch washings	33	0.327	0.343	0.670	0.95
	Grape, leacounch washings	37	0.199	0.208	0.407	0.95
, O	Grape, baf punch washings	44	0.140	0.156	0.297	0.90
S a	Graph, leaf funch washings	51	0.148	0.158	0.305	0.94
	Grape, lear punch washings	58	0.126	0.136	0.262	0.93
	Grape, leaf punch washings	65	0.148	0.162	0.310	0.91

Table 7.5.3 3: Residue Summary on Grapes Leaf Foliage

* iprovalicarb as sum of S,R- and S,S-diastereomer

Results were evaluated with Microsoft Excel®. Rounding errors may occur when evaluating the presented data with only the three given significant digits

Document M-III /Tier 2, Sec. 3, Point 7 – Summary of the Toxicological Studies and Exposure Data and Information on the Plant Protection Product Iprovalicarb + folpet WG 65.3 (Submission for Annex I renewal)

DA1.T: <u>days after first treatment</u> "-" = before respective treatment Bold values: day(s) of respective treatment(s)

## **Conclusion:**

the study shows:

- a clear decline of residues after each application
- a DFR₀ after the first application of 0.34  $\mu$ g/cm²
- a DFR₀ after the forth application of 0.624  $\mu$ g/cm²
- a DFR of 0.67  $\mu$ g/cm² 3 days after the forth application (max value)

For worker exposure calculation, the notifier used the worst case residue value ound during this study (0.64 µg/cm²) which was found 3 days after the 4th (and last) application and which is clearly lower than the default value of 3  $\mu$ g/cm² and far lower than the  $g/cm^2$ used in the first tiered a approach in above chapter 7.5.1.

Re-entry exposure to ippovalies b in grapes [4 kg (PV/ha): Table 7.5.3-4: tions 0.216 pplic



## Measurement of worther exposure IIIA1 7.5.4

Since the exposure estimate carried off indicated that the acceptable operator exposure level (AOEL) will not be exceeded under practical conditions of use, a study to provide a measure of worker exposure was not necessary and was therefore for carried out. For details see IIIA1 7.5 and IIIA1



Document M-III /Tier 2, Sec. 3, Point 7 – Summary of the Toxicological Studies and Exposure Data and Information on the Plant Protection Product Iprovalicarb + folpet WG 65.3 (Submission for Annex I renewal)

#### **IIIA1 7.6 Dermal absorption**

The dermal penetration studies on iprovalicarb (IPV) were carried out after the first inclusion of iprovalicarb in which a 10% default value was used. These studies were realized in 2003 using a W formulation (84 g IPV/kg) comparable to the current representative WG formulation (90 g IPV/kg). Two dose levels were tested: a concentrate formulation (9.43 g IPV/L formulation) and a diluted formulation corresponding to the application phase (0.12 g IPV/L formulation). Taking into account the fact that generally the higher the dilution the higher the dermal penetration, these concentrations cover those encountered with the GAPs of the new representative formulation iprovalicarb folget WG 65.3 (granulate at 90 g IPV/kg for mixing loading and a diluted spray at 216 JPV/400 L voter 0.54 g IPV/L).

Folpet: refer to folpet-specific risk assessment of

## IIIA1 7.6.1

## **Iprovalicarb**

rolpet. Telef to tolpet-sp	
IIIA1 7.6.1 Derma	absorption in vivo in the stat
Iprovalicarb	
Report:	KIIIA1 7,6.1; (2003) >>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>
Title:	Iprovalicarb & Copper WG & + 400 formulation - In givo dermal
	absorption study in the male rat. 4 2 2 0 0
Report No &	SA 03186 2 2 4 m 4 3 2
Document No	M2104499-01-12 0 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1
Guidelines:	OECD Guidelines Nº 417 CApril 997
A C	OEGD Guideline for "Testing of chemicals" New Draft Guideline 427.
<u>v</u>	Dec. 2000 ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~
J. S. V	Directive 1999/11/EG
	EPA 40 CFR, 160 (EIFRA)
ð S	Japanese Min. of Agriculture. Forestry and Fisheries (JMAFF) notification
<u> </u>	11 Nousan 6283 Oct 07. 1999 modified by 42 Nousan 8628. Dec 06. 2000
GLP	Yes a star

## Material and method:

The extent of bsorpton of adioactive material was investigated following topical application of the iprovalicarb & Copper WG & + 40% formulation containing [¹⁴C]-iprovalicarb as the active ingredient to male Sprague-Dawley CD Strain at two dose levels: concentrate formulation corresponding to the mixing and loading phase 9.43 mg iprovalicarb/ml formulation) and a diluted formulation corresponding to the application phase (0.12 mg iprovalicarb/ml formulation). For technical reasons, the liquid formulation was prepared by diluting the WG 8.4 + 40.6 wettable granules in water.

A preliminary study was conducted on three groups of 2 male rats for each dose treatment, with an exposure time of 8 knows (avalogous to the normal working day) and sacrifice times at 24, 72 and 144 hours after dose application, to obtain an indication of the proportion of the test substance absorbed through the skin, excreted, and that retained in the skin or remaining upon the skin surface. The results from the preliminary study were used to determine the sacrifice times in the main study, the need to investigate the material remaining in the skin and its localisation (by tape stripping procedure) and any

Document M-III /Tier 2, Sec. 3, Point 7 – Summary of the Toxicological Studies and Exposure Data and Information on the Plant Protection Product Iprovalicarb + folpet WG 65.3 *(Submission for Annex I renewal)* 

requirement to examine the tissue distribution of the radioactivity.

The main study consisted of four groups of five animals for each treatment level, which were exposed to the test product for 8 hours.

Based on results from the preliminary study the sacrifice times employed in the main study web 8, 24, 72 and 144 hours. The tape stripping procedure was included in the main study to determine the distribution of the radioactivity through the skin. Since the levels of radiolabelled material absorbed into the animal were low, there was no need to investigate the tissue distribution of the radioactivity.

## **Findings:**

After a single application of the two iprovalicarb & Copper WG  $86^{4}$  + 40.6 formulations, the mean total recoveries of radioactivity were in the range 92.28 – 104.04% and 91.38 – 100.52% for the diluted and concentrate formulation groups, respectively.

The results of the definitive study can be summarized as follows (expressed as mean percentages of the applied dose):

	<u> </u>	, <u> </u>						
	Dilute	d cogcentra	ation SYP	v2409~		utrate cone	Entration S	YP12408
Group Number	Â,	è 9 è	10	A)		<u>5</u> ک	°76y	7
Sacrifice times	<i>©</i> /8 h , ≪	ັ້ 24 h 🖉	729k	@44 h	∱ 8 h 🏷	2491	& 72 h	144 h
	Ş N	SURFAC	Е СӨ́МРА	<b>R</b> TMENÎ	Ň Å	0	0*	
Dose site swabs 8h	81,869	78,903	\$75,75 <b>5</b> ~	79,149	74×468 。	\$8,937	84,999	78,259
Dose site swabs (terminal)	NA.	¥,452	6,263	4,070	N.A.	11,806	9,563	6,905
Surface dose**	A1,475	0,56	02Å6	604	ິ2,27≸√ັ	12066	0,421	0,979
Dressing 🔬	0,725	1,640	0,818 %	2,76	0,985	2,571	1,181	1,328
Fur (dose site)	N A.	_ <b>®</b> .A	N.A.	1,204	ØÅ.	∛N.A.	N.A.	0,320
Total non-absorbed 🛇 🛛 👋	<b>83,8</b> 70 v	85,526	83,082	87,306	277,728	85,911	96,164	87,791
	~~ u	SKLÝ (	COMPART	MDENT (				
Stratum corneum®	6,6	<b>4</b> , <b>0</b> 27	J. 155	2,32	7,964	4,718	1,230	3,666
Treated skin ^a	2521	₄ 1,47 <u>8</u> ‰	0,296	0,693	,366	0,704	0,230	0,512
Total at desc site 🔬	<b>\$9,183</b> @	₽ [™] 5,605 [™]	1,452	<b>2</b> ,942	Ø 9,330	5,422	1,461	4,178
	Y S.	SYSTĘMI	ĊĔŎMPĄ	<u>IRTMEN</u> T	ŕ			
Excreta 🔪 🕎	0,460	2,893	≫4,047	9,523	0,049	0,271	1,203	2,151
Tissues	<b>6</b> \$ <b>4</b> 84	∼¥,795	3,270	3,847	2,371	3,671	1,845	1,351
Total direct absorption 🖂	<b>&amp;6,944</b>	7,688	7,317	<b>43,370</b>	2,420	4,233	3,048	3,502
Total amount absorbed 🛪 🔊	^{J°} 16.127	13,293	8,769 @	16.312	11,750	9,655	4,508	7,680

Dose site = froated skin + stratum corneum (% recoveries recalculated for the whole site of application  $12 \text{ cm}^2$ )

^a: following tape-stripping procedure, N.A. not applicable

*: excluding tape strips 1 & 2 which are considered to be non-absorbed surface dose

**: surface dose corresponds to tape-strips 1 0 2.

***: Total amount absorbed total direct absorption + total at dose site

h: hours  $\mathcal{A}^{\mathsf{Y}} \mathcal{A}^{\mathsf{Y}} \mathcal{A}^{\mathsf{Y}}$ 

In both abouts, the vast majoory of the applied radioactivity was removed from the skin by swabbing (swabs, surface dose, dressing and fur at dose site). This accounted for 83.08% to 87.31% and 77.73% to 96.16% of the applied dose in the diluted and concentrate formulation groups, respectively. The amount of radioactivity detected in the dressing appeared to be highest for the diluted treatment group and stable over time in the concentrate treatment groups. Therefore, a part of the test chemical may have been lost by desquamation.

Document M-III / Tier 2, Sec. 3, Point 7 – Summary of the Toxicological Studies and Exposure Data and Information on the Plant Protection Product Iprovalicarb + folpet WG 65.3 (Submission for Annex I renewal)

The fraction of test chemical present in the stratum corneum for both diluted and concentrate treatment groups was highest at 8 hours, and lower at 24, 72 and 144 hours post-application, respectively. The fraction of test chemical present in the treated skin following the tape-stripping for both alluted and concentrate treatment groups was highest at 8 hours and lower at 24, 22 and 144 hours post application, respectively.

After taking account of numeric differences between groups, the examination of the ratios percentages in the stratum corneum and the systemic compartment between 8 hours and 72 hours post application seems to show that a part of the test chemical applied way have been dynamic and therefore may be susceptible to move from the stratum corneum into the animal. Therefore, an order to be conservative the amounts of radioactivity recovered in the stratum corneum and the treated skin can be allocated to the systemic compartment.

The maximum amount of test chemical recovered in excreta (urine, faeces and cage wash) at 144 hours post-application was 9.523% and 24 \$1% in the diluted and concentrate formulation groups, respectively. The daily excretion in each group tended to increase from the first day phili termination. The percentages of radioactivity found in the parcass remained constant from 8 hours to 144 hours for both the diluted and concentrate formulations. In addition, the percentages of radioactivity found in the surrounding skin was almost equivalent to those found for the application site. Therefore, in order to be conservative, these tissue fractions were included in the systemic compartment.

The amount in the systemic compartment@excrets & tissues) corresponding to the total amount of test chemical directly absorbed was 13.30% and 3.500% of the dose applied, for the diluted and concentrate formulation groups, respectively,

Results showed that residual chemical in the stratum corney and in the treated skin may possibly become available systemically after shours. Therefore taking a conservative approach, the total amount of [14C]-iprovalicate absorbed can be calculated as the sum of percentages of radioactivity recovered in the exercta, the tissues (+ I non-areatment site skin), the stratum corneum and the treated skin, and were approx mately 16.3 7 and 7.680% at 149 hours post-application for the diluted and concentrate formulation groups, respectively

## Conclusion

In conclusion, the total anount of applied radio abelled [14C]-iprovalicarb absorbed was 16.31% and

In conclusion, the total appund, or applied radiotabelled ["C]-provalicarb absorbed was 16.31 7.680% at 144 hours post application for the diluted and concentrate formulations, respectively.

Document M-III /Tier 2, Sec. 3, Point 7 – Summary of the Toxicological Studies and Exposure Data and Information on the Plant Protection Product Iprovalicarb + folpet WG 65.3 *(Submission for Annex I renewal)* 

## IIIA1 7.6.2 Comparative dermal absorption, *in vitro* using rat and human skin

#### Iprovalicarb

			~	
Report:	KIIIA1 7.6.2;	(2003)	La	4
Title:	Iprovalicarb & Copper WG	8.4 + 40.6 form	nulation – Compa	arative in
	vitro dermal absorption stud	ly using human	and rat skin. 🔬	
Report No &	SA 03187	<u> </u>		
Document No	M-104497-01-1	, V	Ş <u>,</u> ø	<u></u>
Guidelines:	OECD Guidelines N° 428	Testing of chei	nicals" adopted D	eQ 2000 4
	Directive 1999/11/EC	~Q'	s' A A	
	EPA 40 CFR 160 (FIREA)	$\sim$ .		
GLP	Yes			NY W
		N D		

## Material and method:

The comparative *in vitro* dermal penetration of radioactivity following a single topical application of  $[^{14}C]$ -iprovalicarb in a liquid suspension of iprovalicarb & Copper VG 8.4 40.6 formulation to rat and human dermatomed skin was evaluated. For technical reasons, the liquid formulation was prepared by diluting the WG 8.4 + 40.6 wettable granules in water. The liquid formulation was tested at two dose levels corresponding to the mixing and loading phase. (9.43 mg iprovalicarb/ml formulation) and a diluted formulation corresponding to the application phase (0.12 mg iprovalicarb/ml formulation).

Eight and ten flow-through diffusion cells were prepared for human and fat skin, respectively and were exposed to the concentrate tormulation.

Six flow-through diffusion cells were prepared for each skin type and were exposed to the diluted formulation. Dermatomed membranes were maintained in the cells at approximately 32°C. The integrity of the membranes was first tested by the TEWL method (Trans-Epidermal Water Loss method). The [¹⁴C]-ip evaluation in the two formulations was applied to the unoccluded skin samples at a rate of 10  $\mu$ /cm²

The skin samples were exposed to the test material for 8 hours, after which time the remaining dose was washed off the skin with freshly prepared 1% v/x Tween 80 in PBS (phosphate buffered saline) using natural sponge swabs. Receptor fluid samples were collected at hourly intervals for the duration of the study (24 hours). The colubility of provahearb in the receptor fluid was demonstrated to be sufficient for the study. At the end of the study (24 hours) the skin samples were tape stripped to remove residual surface cose and the stratum corneum.

Ji the stratum (

Document M-III /Tier 2, Sec. 3, Point 7 – Summary of the Toxicological Studies and Exposure Data and Information on the Plant Protection Product Iprovalicarb + folpet WG 65.3 (Submission for Annex I renewal)

## **Findings:**

The group mean distribution of radioactivity (expressed as mean % of applied radioactivity) are summarised in the following table:

			<b>`</b>				
Dose levels	Concentrate	formulation	Diluted for	ormulation 🔍 🖓	Ø		
Group	1	2	3	⁶ 4 ⁶	Ŵ,		
Species	Human skin	Rat skin	Human skin	Rat skin	, C		
	SURFACE CON	IRARTMENT _					
Surface dose*	2.563	11.094 🖓	3.602	4.059	Ű,		
Skin swabs	84.672	64.190	79,951	78.694~~			
Donor chamber	2.20	2 1:425	A.168	0.883	0		
Total % non-absorbed	8 <b>9</b> ,439. O	\$6.439	84.781	0 82 830 C	*		
SKIN COMPARTMENT							
Skin	Ô [™] 0, <b>6</b> 54 . S	S.850 0	5 ⁰ 1.575	2.830			
Stratum corneum**	©1.120©	§ 9.43	ې 1 <del>0</del> 72 ک	° <b>≫</b> .085			
Total % at dose site	× 1.774	₹ 15,289 °	2.7430	9.915 O			
	SYSTÊMIC ÇON	APARTMÊNT		)			
Total % directly absorbed : 🕰		Å 6 4.					
Receptor fluid (including	<b>6</b> 323 \$	× 0.781	8.895	5.842			
receptor fluid at termination &				0.072			
receptor chamber)			S.				
Total % abor bable *** 0	× \$1097 5	<b>16.07</b>	<i>©</i> 11.568	15.757			
Total % Recovery	\$91.5 <b>3</b>	[©] 92 <u>6</u> 509 °	96.289	<i>99.388</i>			
	(A) Y (A).	• 🔊 🧹					

*  $\mathcal{S}$  are dose to be steps 1 & 2  $\mathcal{S}$ 

** : excluding tope strips 1 & 2 whice are considered to be non-absorbed dose

*** total % absorbable = total % absorbed + total % at dose site

## Conclusion

Following the application of  $\mathcal{O}^{\mathcal{C}}$ ]-iprovalicate at a concentrate dose level which corresponds to the mixing and loading phase, the dermal absorption was 7.7 times greater in rat skin than in human skin, accounting for 16.07% and 2.097% of the applied dose, respectively.

Following the application of  $1^{14}$ Cl provabcarb at a diluted dose level which corresponds to the application mass, the demail absorption was 1.4 times greater in rat skin than in human skin. accounting for 15.76 % and 54.57% of the applied dose, respectively. This difference was not considered sufficient to correct *in vivo* data.

In summary the rotifier will use dermal penetration factors of 1% (concentrate) and 16.3% (divided)

Document M-III /Tier 2, Sec. 3, Point 7 – Summary of the Toxicological Studies and Exposure Data and Information on the Plant Protection Product Iprovalicarb + folpet WG 65.3 (Submission for Annex I renewal)

## Folpet

Folpet: refer to folpet-specific risk assessment of **IIIA1 7.7 IIIA1 7.7.1** 

## Not required by Directive 91/414/EEC.

## **IIIA1 7.7.2**

Not required by Directive 91/414/EEC.

## **IIIA1 7.7.3**

Not required by Directive 91/414/EE

## **IIIA1 7.8**

Not required by Directive 91/444

## **IIIA1 7.9**

**IIIA1 7.9.1** 

Safety data sheef for each formulant to provided in tocument H

og ve 91/41/4/EC bata au formulants might to: coordination informulants informulant IIIA1 7.9.2 The available toxicological dat Η

stock's. IIIA1 7.10 Not required by Directive

None. Ŷ