



OWNERSHIP STATEMENT

This document, the data contained in it and copyright therein are owned by Baxor CropScience. part of the document or any information contained therein may be disclosed to any third party without the prior written authorisation of Bayer CropScience.

The summaries and evaluations contained in this document are based on unpublished proportary data submitted for the purpose of the assessment undertaken by the regulatory authority. Other registration authorities should not grant. amend or renew a resolution at the state of the state of the second authorities and the state of the second authorities are the state of the second authorities and the state of the second authorities are the state of the second authorities and the second authorities are the second authorities evaluation of unpublished proprietary data contained in this document unless they have received the data on which the summaries and evaluation and based either. From Bayer CropScience; or
From other applicants once the genod of datasprotection has expired.

Version history

Date	Data points containing amendments or additions ¹	Document identifier
		version number
	Ò	
Changes will be	presented according to the approach to showing revisions a	nd version history as outlined in
ANCO/10180/20	13 Chapter 4 How to revise an assessment report.	
	Ž [*]	
		8 8 5 . L
&		-
Ø n		
_		
Ø,		
,		
4		
Į.		
Ž.		
	. 9	
Ö		
	Data points containing amendments or additions¹ presented according to the approach to showing revisions at 3 Chapter 4 How to revise an assessment report.	

Table of Contents

					Page
CP 7	TOXICOLOGICAL STUDII Acute toxicity	ES ON THE I	PLANT PROT	EOTION PR	ODUCES
CP 7.1	Acute toxicity				¥ \$ 5
CP 7.1.1	Oral toxicity		ي ا	Ş	
CP 7.1.2	Dermal toxicity	۵.	\$	`~	
CP 7.1.3	Dermal toxicityInhalation toxicity				\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\
CP 7.1.4	Skin irritation	V	~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~	, Ø 5	βγ.
				Q	
CP 7.1.6	Eye irritation		······································		o
CP 7.1.0	Supplementary studies on the	e plant protect	∞y	, , ,	12
CD 7.1.7	Supplementary studies for a	mbirations of	f plant protection	ion products	
CI 7.1.6	Data on exposure	JIIIOIMALIOIIS	i piani pionoci	ion products.	12 12
CI 7.2 CD 7.2.1	Operator exposure			Ş , , , , , , , , , , , ,	3 2
CF 7.2.1 CD 7 2 1 1	Estimation of operator average	Mira W	J		15
CF 7.2.1.1	Management of are story				() 13
CP 7.2.1.2	Dystander and resident and	posture	~	<i>\$````\\$</i> ````{	الم
CP 7.2.2 CD 7.2.2.1	Supplementary studies for on Data on exposure	Suid and own			10
CP 7.2.2.1	Management fly wonder of	resident expo	8u1e \$	\$0	19
CP 7.2.2.2	Worker exposure	iid resideinsex	posme		23
CP 7.2.3	Estimation of werker whom	. O. 1		9 2 3 3	23
CP 7.2.3.1	Management of worker expose				25
CP 7.2.3.2	Down ada antical	JSupe		 	25
CP 7.3	Available toxic Project date	rolating to ad		A	25
CP 7.4	Available xoxicologicar data	Leginus to co.	-1011inulalits	•••••	23
A	Eye irritation				
C			Õ 4º		
~ Q		y" "O" W	~ O		
			O		
* *			<u> </u>		
) O' _{\(\pi_1\)}			
~					
29					
		~Q"			
,).			
A					
Ø. Y					
7. Ž					

CP 7 TOXICOLOGICAL STUDIES ON THE PLANT PROTECTION PRODUCT

Comments with respect to the Annex I renewal of approval process

This dossier contains study reports already submitted by Bayer CropScience for the Annex I inclusion of foramsulfuron, as well as new data, not yet evaluated at EU level and that was considered by the applicant to be necessary for the renewal of approval of foramsulfuron. In order to distinguish these reference to studies in the original dossier are depicted in grey.

For summaries of studies submitted during the frame of the first Annex I inclusion please refer to the corresponding section in the Monograph. Copies of the study reports are provided in the baseline dossier provided by Bayer CropScience. Additional studies which were not submitted during the Annex I inclusion process are provided in the dossier and supmarized in this dogment.

Foramsulfuron + isoxadifen-ethyl OD 45 (also catled Foraip OD®) is an OP Dispersible (OD) formulation containing the herbicide foransulfuron (22.5 g/L) and the same isoxadifen-ethyl (22.5 g/L). This formulation is applied in various to Europe on various crops notably in conflictly.

CP 7.1 Acute toxicity

Equip OD® has a low acute toxicity. It is an irritant for skin but not a skin sensitized. The toxicity data package that was submitted for the first European approval was conducted with Equip OD® (batch coded AE F130360 01 1K05. As). Since the first approval of the formulation are European level there have been changes in the composition of the formulation. A budging document has been included in the confidential section (J-GP) of the dosser and it demonstrates that the differences are not significant and do not justify the repetition of animal studies.

Type of study	Species	Sex S	Result Y	Reference	
				M-192928-01-1 (1999)	
Acute oral xicity	Rat	Max & Emale 4	> 500@hg/kg	XXXXXX	
O S				KCP 7.1.1/01	
				M-192930-01-1 (2000)	
Acute dermal toxicity	Rat &	Male &	50000ng/kg	XXXXXX	
		O W	& A	KCP 7.1.2/01	
		X	0	M-192640-01-1 (1999)	
Acute inhalation toxic	Rat C	Male &	50 > 5.25 mg/L	XXXXXX	
		Y many		KCP 7.1.3/01	
				M-192932-01-1 (1999)	
Sin irritancy	Rabbit	Male	Moderate and reversible irritant, Xi, R38	XXXXXX	
			minant, Ai, K56	KCP 7.1.4/01	
				M-192934-01-1 (2000)	
Eye irritancy	Rabbic	Q iale	Slight reversible irritant, but not labelled	XXXXXX	
		Q)	not rapened	KCP 7.1.5/01	
Acut Gensitication)		M-193056-01-1 (1999)	
(3 Auction Buehler	Quinea pig	Female	Not sensitizer	XXXXXX	
as Qy)				KCP 7.1.6/01	
Acute sensitization				M-202547-01-1 (2001)	
(9 induction Buehler	Guinea pig	Female	Not sensitizer	XXXXXX	
assay)				KCP 7.1.6/02	



Document MCP: Section 7 Toxicological studies

FSN+IDF OD 45 (22.5+22.5)

Type of study	Species	Sex	Result	Reference
Acute sensitization (LLNA)	Mouse	Female	Not sensitizer	M-226970-01-1 (2664) XXXXXX KCP 7.1.6/03

- Therefore, according to the EC classification criteria the formulation Equip OD® is labelled as follows:

 EC classification criteria (2001/59/EC):
 Acute dermal irritancy: Xi/R38 "irritating to skin"

 GHS (rev.4) 2011:
 Dermal irritancy: Category 3: WARNING, H316 "Cause mid skin irritation"

 Regulation (EC) No 1272/2008 (CLP):
 Dermal irritancy: Category 2: WARNING H315 Cause kin irritation

 CP 7.1.1 Oral toxicity

Title:	Rat acute oral tox city A F130 00 + A F122406 oil wahlo 2.5 + 22.5 g/l Code:
1	Rat acute oral tox city Af F130 60 + Af F122406 oil wahl 62.5 + 22.5 g/l Code: AE F10360 0 1 K05 A3
Report No:	C005945 & & & & & & & & .
Document No(s):	
	TOX95126
*U	JW1-194220-U1-4/
Guidelines:	EU (ÉEC) 92/69 EC, KB.1; MAF: 4200; OCCD: 401; USEPA (=EPA):
GLP/GEP:	OVPTS 80 1100 Deviation not pecify
GLP/GEP:	EU (ÉEC \$2/69&EC, B.S.1; MAF: 4200; OCCD: 401; USEPA (=EPA): OUTTS 800,1100 Deviation not pecificate

The acute of al LD₅₀ for AE F130360 01 K050 304 in both anale and female Sprague Dawley rats was >5000 mg/kg body weight the highest international limit dose.

According to the Esclassification criteria this formulation is labelled as follows:

- EC classification criteria (2001/59/1
- GHS (rev.4) 2019
- Regulation (EC) No 1272/2008 (CLP): None



CP 7.1.2 Dermal toxicity

				<u>*</u>
K k;				@ [*]
Rat acute dermal toxicit	y AE F130360 + AE	F122006 oil flo	able 22.5 + 22 6 g/l	Ô
Code: AE F130360 01 1	1K05 A3	Ä	~	\$
C005916		A 1		
Report includes Trial No	os.:	Z,		W
TOX95127	Ø			
M-192930-01-1	v	Q,		<i>J</i>
EU (=EEC): 92/69/EE	C, B, B,3√JMAF: 42	200, OECD: 402;	SEPAO=EPA	<u> </u>
OPPTS 870.1200; Devi	ation not specified	Q		"W"
yes			, 0 %	O'Y
	Rat acute dermal toxicit Code: AE F130360 01 1 C005916 Report includes Trial N TOX95127 M-192930-01-1 EU (=EEC): 92/69/EE/OPPTS 870.1200;Devi	Rat acute dermal toxicity AE F130360 + AE Code: AE F130360 01 1K05 A3 C005916 Report includes Trial Nos.: TOX95127 M-192930-01-1 EU (=EEC): 92/69/EEC, B, B, JMAF: 42 OPPTS 870.1200; Deviation put specified	Rat acute dermal toxicity AE F130360 + AE F122006 oil flow Code: AE F130360 01 1K05 A3 C005916 Report includes Trial Nos.:	K k; ;;2000;M-192930-01 Rat acute dermal toxicity AE F130360 + AE F122006 oil floyable 22.5 + 270 g/l Code: AE F130360 01 1K05 A3 C005916 Report includes Trial Nos.:

- GHS (rev.4) 2011:
- Regulation (EC) No 12

CP 7.1.3

Guidelines:	EU (=EEC): 92/69/EEC, B, B 3 JMAF: 4200; OECD: 402: SEPA = EPA OPPTS 870.1200; Deviation por specified	5° &
	OPPTS 870.1200; Deviation not specified Q	
GLP/GEP:	yes of Q Q O	
he acute dermal I	LD ₅₀ value in Sprague Dawley rats of AE 130360 01 1505 A304 was \$5000	mg/kg
ody weight, the hi	ighest international regulatory limit dose	
	ighest international regulatory limit dose	W ^y
ccording to the E	C classification criteria this formulation is labelled as follows:	
8		
- EC classif	ication criteria (2001/59/EC) None Y	
200145511		
- GHS (rev.	EU (=EEC): 92/69/EEC, B, B. JMAF: 4200 OECD: 402: SEPA = EPA OPPTS 870.1200; Deviation my specified yes D ₅₀ value in Sprague Dawley rats of AE F 303a0 01 18:05 A304 was \$5000 ighest international regulatory limit dose. C classification criteria this formulation is tabelled as follows: ication criteria (2001/59/EC): None (A) 2011: None (BC) No 1272/2008 (CLP): None (CLP): None	
(
- Regulation	n (EC) No 1272(2008 (CLP): None & & & & &	
	halation toxicity K K; 1.0999;M=92649-01 Rab cute capalation toxics AE & 30360 + AE & 32006 22 5 + 22 5 g/l oil flo	
P 7.1.3 In	palation toxicity of a second second	
Report:	K	
Title:	Rat acute chalation toxic AE 30360 + AE 322006 22.5 + 22.5 g/l oil flo	wahla
TILLE.	that the set of the se	wautc
Report No:	0,005	
Dansant Ma(a)	Report includes Trig Nos.	
200 amont 1 (0(5).	Report includes Trigo Nos.	
Document No(s):	1 ANT 102680 01 12 8 1	
Guidelines: Q	THE CONTROL OF CONTROL	PPTS
	0 870 300 Deviation not solveified.	
GLP/GEP:		
O 7		

The 4-hour acute finhalation median lonal concentration (LC₅₀) of AE F130360 01 1K05 A304 to Sprague Dawley rats was >5.25 mg/L, which did not cause mortality and was the highest achievable concentration.

According to the serication critera this formulation is labelled as follows:

classification criteria (2001/59/EC): None

GHS (rev.4) 2011: None

Regulation (EC) No 1272/2008 (CLP): None

CP 7.1.4 Skin irritation

Report:	K x;	;;1999;M-19	2932-01	
Title:	Rabbit skin irritancy Al	E F130360 + AE F1220	006 22.5 + 22,5	/l, oil flowah Code
	AE F130360 01 1K05 A		T	~ .J
Report No:	C005917		2	
Document No(s):	Report includes Trial N	OS.:	\$\tag{\pi}	
	TOX95128		O'Y	
	M-192932-01-1	V	Q.	
Guidelines:	EU (=EEC): 92/69/EE	C, B, B > JMAF: 42	00; OECD: 404	SEPAQ=EPA
	EU (=EEC): 92/69/EE OPPTS 870.2500;Devi	ation not specified	Q"	
GLP/GEP:	yes		, W. Q.	

AE F130360 01 1K05 A304 was moderatel and rever irritating according to the Primary Irritancy, Index

According to the EC classification criteria this formulation

EC classification criteria (2001/59/EC)? Acute dermal irritancy: XXX38 "irritating to skin"

GHS (rev.4) 2011:

Dermal irritancy: Categor

Regulation (EC) No 1272/2008

H315 Cause skin tritation

CP 7.1.5

Report	;;2000;M-192934-01
Title.	Rabby eye irritancy (EF120360 + AEF12006 22.5 + 22.5 g/l oil flowable Code:
\ \tag{\psi}	ALY 130300 01 1305 A30
Report No:	<u>4</u> 00591
Document No(s):	Report include Trial Vos.:
	TOX95129 3
4	M 9293 4 01-1 Q Q
Guidelios:	M) 92934 01-1
	OPPT 870.2400; Deliation Fot specified
GLP/GEP:	yes o O
<u> </u>	

AE F130360 010 K05 A304 was slightly and reversibly irritating to the rabbit eye and slightly irritating according to the Primary Irritancy Index

According to the C classification criteria this formulation is labelled as follows:

Passification criteria (2001/59/EC): None

GHS (rev.4) 2011: None

Regulation (EC) No 1272/2008 (CLP): None

CP 7.1.6 Skin sensitization

In the original submission for the approval of foramsulfuron and the formulation EQUIP Q European level a skin sensitisation study was submitted according to the 3-induction Buehler test

				AL V	A
Report:	K n;	;;1999;M-	193056-01	~	
Title:	Guinea pig skin sensitisatio	on (3-induction B	uehler test) 🗚	EF130360 + 🔀	F125006 @
	22.5 + 22.5 g/l, oil flowab	le Code: AE F130)360 01 1 KQ3 ".	A3 🔪	
Report No:	C005974		(T)		
Document No(s):	Report includes Trial Nos.:		Q		
	TOX95130	a.Y	10°		(O)* *\
	M-193056-01-1	4	Q_1		O JO
Guidelines:	EU (=EEC): 96/54/EC, B,	₹ 9; OECD: 40€	USERA (=E	PS): QIOTS	
	870.2600; Deviation not, sp	pecified . O			
GLP/GEP:	yes				,

In the study it was shown that the formulation, AFF139,60 Q1 YK05 A304 was not a skip sensitiser according to the 3-induction guinea pig Brehler test.

According to the EC classification orderia this formulation is labelled as follows:

- EC classification criteria (200)/59/EC): None

- GHS (rev.4) 2011: None

- Regulation (EC) No 1272/2008 (CLIF): None

New data

Two additional studies are presented in this document that were not previously reviewed at European level - an additional Buehler test (with 9 induction applications) and an LLNA study (KCA 7.1.6/02 and 7.1.6/03 respectively). The original Buchler test submitted for the first review was a three-induction test which today is not considered to be sufficient to prove the non-sensitizing properties of a formulation. The additional Burbler test was performed with induction applications and is included in the summary for completeness However, since the formulation Contains the safener, isoxadifen-ethyl, which is classified as H317 (may eause on allergic skin reaction) an LLNA study was performed to confirm the results of the Buehter test (non-sensitising). It should also be noted that the LLNA was performed using the formulation AFF130360 01 1K05 A901 which is equivalent to the formulation currently sold see Doc J-CP for detailed information on the composition of the formulation).

Additional Buehler x 9 sensitivation test

Report:	K;;2001;M-202547-01
Tîtle:	EQUIP (AE F130360 0) K05 A3xx) - Sensitizing potential in the guinea-pig -
	modified Buelder Test (9) induction applications)
Report No: 🖇 🗳	C016913 ~ ~ ~
Document o(s):	Report includes Total Nos.:
	M-202347-01-1
Guidelines:	EU_EEC): 92/69, V, B6; OECD: 406; USEPA (=EPA): 798.4100; Deviation not
Guldennes	
GLP/GEP:	ýes

Material and Methods:

The formulation AE F130360 01 1K05 A3, a light beige liquid formulation (batch number: KD945/990301) contained the active ingredients foramsulfuron (AE F130360) at the nominal concentration of 22.5 g/L (2.42%w/w certified by analysis) and isoxadifen-ethyl (AE F12206) at the nominal concentration of 22.5g/L (2.44 %w/w certified by analysis).

Thirty female Dunkin/Hartley guinea pigs were used. They were approximately 6 weeks old at arrival housed in groups of 5, were acclimatised for 5 days and reighed 250 50 g at the start of treatment. Four extra animals were used for preliminary dose ranging investigations. Ten control and 20 test animals were used for the main study.

Preliminary study: The topical irritancy of a range of concentrations (10% w/w wo undouted compound) in sterile water was evaluated to identify where possible, a) a concentration that would produce irritation suitable for the induction phase of the main study and b) a maximum non-irritant concentration for the challenge phase.

Main study: Based on the results of the preliminary study, the following concentrations were used

Induction phase topical applications: 50% v in sterile vater, which was the minimally irritant concentration

Challenge phase topical applications 10% v/v in sterile water, which was the fighest concentration that did not produce friitancy

i) Induction phase:

Ten animals were allocated to a control group (induction, vehicle – challenge, test article) and twenty animals to the treated group (induction and challenge test article).

Application area one Dipped and shaved area on the left lateral dominal region Time of administration; day 0, 2, 4, 7, 9, 11, 14, 26 and 18

Method capplication the test article was applied 0.5 m 50% solution in water for injection) under an occlusive dressing composed of Codex hydrophilic gauze 20 x 20 mm, maintained in contact with the skin using an occlusive and hypo-allergenic patch. The position of this patch was maintained by an additional dressing.

Exposure: 6 Sours Constitution Rest period: days 18 to 28

ii) Challenge phase:

Ten days after the last induction application all the test and control guinea pigs were challenged topically. A topical 6-hour challenge of a 10% v/v dilution of the test material in sterile water on a 20 x 20 mm gauze patch was applied to are of the shaved skin of the right flank.

Skin responses at the charge sites were evaluated 24 and 48 hours after removal of the dressing. The cutaneous macroscopic examinations were performed according to Draize scale to the challenge application site. 24 and 48b after removal of the occlusive dressing.

The criteria chosen to consider the doubtful reactions as positive or negative were modified as follows: non persistent (at 24 hours and not at 48 hours) reactions were considered as negative.

All animals were observed twice daily - at the beginning and end of the working day - for signs of ill-health or toxicity. Body weights were recorded on Study Day 0 (the first day of topical application) and

on the last day observations of dermal responses were made (Day 29).

Findings:

Main study: There was no mortality. Body weight changes in the treated animals were not in the need by treatment when compared to controls.

i) Induction phase:

Slight to moderate erythema and slight oedema was obserted in all test animals following the induction applications. No dermal reactions were seen in any control animal during this period.

ii) Challenge phase:

After the challenge, the macroscopic examinations and not reveal any lesion of relayed hypersensitivity in the 20 guinea-pigs of the treated group. No cutaneous abnormality was noted in the 10 guinea-pigs of the control group.

Conclusion:

Under the experimental conditions of the study and according to the modified method established by Buehler, a challenge application with the test article at a concentration of 10% (vy) did not provoke any reaction of cutaneous sensitisation.

According to the EC classification exteria (2001/39/EC prective), this formulation is labelled as follows:

- EC classification criteria 2001/59/EC Directive: None
- GHS (rev.4) 2011: None
- Regulation (EC) No 12722008 (CLP): None

Report:	;;2004;M ₇ 226970×01
Title: AE	JO30360 Evaluation of potential derman sensitization in the local lymph node
assã	ay (LLDAA) A AY AY
Report No.:	3952 2 0
Document No: M-2	22 6 970-01-97
Guidelines: Dey	viation not specified , , & , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,
GLP/GEP: yes	

Material and Methods

The dermal contact sensitization potential of Ap F130 60 00 1K05 A9, an agrochemical formulation containing the active ingredient for formulation (Ap F130360) (nominal 22.5 g/L) and the safener isoxadifenethyl (nominal 22.9 g/L), was rested using the murine Local Lymph Node Assay. Sixteen female CBA mice were allocated to 4 groups of Four animals each:

- three groups received the test substance at a concentration of 20, 10 or 5% in DMF,
- one control group received the vehicle DMF.

The test substance or the vehicle were applied on external surfaces of each ear (50 μ l/ animal) for three consecutive days (Days 0, 1 and 2) at the appropriate concentrations. On Day 5, the cell proliferation in the local lymph nodes was measured by incorporation of tritiated thymidine and the obtained values were used to calculate proliferation indices.

Findings:

Table 7.1.6-1

	Mean Proliferation
Test Group Name	DPM Index
_	3784
control	37847 ~~ . ~ ~~
DMF	
₹*	
AE F130360 01 1K05 A9 5%	29546
AE E120260 01 1V05 AO 100/ 0	
AE F130300 01 1K03 A9 10%	\$\langle 8441;\text{\tint{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\tint{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\tint{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\tint{\text{\tint{\tint{\text{\text{\tint{\text{\tint{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\tint{\text{\tint{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\tint{\text{\tint{\text{\tint{\text{\tint{\text{\text{\tint{\text{\tint{\tint{\tint{\tint{\tint{\tint{\tint{\tint{\text{\tint{\tint{\text{\tint{\tint{\tinit{\text{\tinit{\text{\text{\tinit{\text{\tinit{\text{\tinit{\text{\tinit{\tinit{\text{\tinit{\text{\tinit{\tex{\tinit{\tinit{\text{\tinit{\tinit{\tinit{\tinit{\tert{\text{\tinit{\tinit{\text{\tinit{\tinit{\tinit{\tinit{\tinit{\tinit{\tinit}\\ \tinit{\tinit{\tinit{\tinit{\tinit{\tinit{\tinit{\tinit{\tinit{\tinit{\tinit{\tinit{\tiin}\tinit{\tiin}\tinit{\tiint{\tiinit{\tiinit{\tiinit{\tiinit{\tiinit{\tiinit{\tiin}\tiinit{\tiinit{\tiinit{\tiinit{\tiinit{\tiinit{\tiinit{\iiinit{\tiinit{\
DMF O V	
A & Q	2.6
AE F130360 01 1K05 A920%	* 49998 0 4, 2.6
DMF , ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~	2.6
	Test Group Name control DMF AE F130360 01 1K05 A9 5% DMF AE F130360 01 1K05 A9 10% DMF

Negative lymphoproliferative responses (PD 3) were noted at all testes concentrations

- No mortality and no chinical signs were observed ouring the study
- No cutaneous reactions were observed in the verificle, reference control or treated groups.
- Negative symphoproliferative sesponses (PL 3) were noted at all tested concentrations

Conclusion

AE F130360 01 1K A9 showed no potential for sensitization under the conditions of the Local Lymph Node Assay.

According to the EC classification criteria (2001/59/EC Directive), this formulation is labelled as follows:

- EC classification criteria (2001/59/EC Directive: None
- GHS9rev 4 2011 0 None
- **Regulation (E@) No.0272/2008 (C&P): None**

CP 7.1.7 Supplementary studies on the plant protection product

Not relevant: the formulation not become needed to be combined with other plant protection products.

CP 7.138 Supplementary studies for combinations of plant protection products

Not required by EU Regulation 1107/2009 & EU Regulation 284/2013.

CP 7.2 Data on exposure

CP 7.2.1 Operator exposure

AE F130360 01 1K05 A9 (also called Equip OD®) is an oil dispersion (OD) formulation containing the herbicide foramsulfuron (22.5 g/L) and the safener isoxaction-ethyl (22.5 g/L). The proposed use is as an herbicide on grass and dicot weeds in corn fields. The product is to be packaged in wide neck bottles/containers of varying sizes depending on the country (0.25, 10L). Applications of Equip OD® will be achieved in a field group sprayer tracted mounted ground boom sprayer). Water will be the diluent carrier in all situations. I sage information pertinent to operator exposure is summarised in Table 7.2.1-1.

Table 7.2.1-1: Application parameters for Equip D®

Application technique	Crop	Country	(L/ha (g as/ha) (L/ha) appl approduce Foramsulfut in Isoxadifen (d	erval weed pro- prol ays)
FCS	Corn	Europe	2.66 % 60* 60* 60* 60* 60* 60* 60* 60* 60* 60*	~

FCS = Field Crop Spray Appl. = application.

PHI = Pre Harvest Interval.

#: covered by normal vegetation period between last application and harvest

* = xorst case used for calculations

Operator exposure estimates are calculated using both the German model¹ (estimates are performed without and with protective equipment.

Consideration on acceptable operator exposure sevel (AOEL)

Foramsulfuron: Considering the proposed the pattern of Equip OD®, it is appropriate to compare predicted exposures to an AOEL derived from sub-chronic dosing studies. An Acceptable Operator Exposure Level (AOEL) of 0.1 mg/kg bw/day is established for foramsulfuron from a rabbit

^{**=} Single application of Equip QD® at the maximum product rate of 2.6 L/ha

¹ Unitorm Principles for Safeguarding the Health of Applicators of Plant Protection Products (Uniform Principles for Operator Protections); Mitteilunger aus der Biologischen Bundesanstalt für Land- und Forstwirschaft, Berlin-Dahlem, n° 277, 1992.

² Scientific Subcommittee on Pesticides and British Agrochemicals Joint Medical Panel., Estimation of Exposure and Absorption of Pesticides by Spray Operators (UK MAFF) 1986 and the Predictive Operator Exposure Model (POEM) (UK MAFF) 1992, revised model 2003



developmental study - lowest NOAEL: 50 mg/kg bw/day adjusted to 10 mg/kg bw/day for 20% oral absorption and a safety factor of 100.

Isoxadifen-ethyl: as it is a safener, has not been evaluated in this dossier. Isoxadifen-ethyl will be addressed at Member State level where required.

Consideration on dermal absorption

No data are available on the current Equip OD formulation. As the organ absorption of foragriculturon is 20% (as used to define the AOEL), the notifier use whis value for the dermal penetration of both the concentrate and the spray dilution. In the original dossier the study submitted for definal absorption (KCA 7.3/01) was an *in vivo* rat dermal absorption study for the active substance in a previous version of the formulation that varies from the current formulation by more than the 25% permitted by the latest EFSA guidance on dermal absorption³. The data do suggest however that the value of 20% is a reasonable one for human skin given that 20% was achieved with the spray dilution on rat skin (generally more permeable than human skin) and approximately 7% for the concentrate

Consideration on estimation of operator exposure

- No unacceptable risk is predicted with German model even when no PPE mixing loading and application
- mixing loading and application. Of the work of the wor
- Additional PPE can be used to further reduce the exposure of the operator.

It should be noted that "no PPE" in the German Model considers a lightly dressed operator, wearing a short sleeved T Shirt shorts and shoes. Such an unprotected operator should never handle plant protection products of this clothing is not in accordance with good occupational practice. Therefore, a coverall or atternatively work trousers a work jacket and stordy from twear should be regarded as basic working Nothing for operators handling plant protection products. This scenario is in line with the UK POEM, if "no PPE" is considered (i.e. an operator wearing topical (long sleeved) working clothing). Both models allow estimates for protected operators wearing additional PPE, if necessary.

A comparison of the corresponding exposure estimate with the proposed AOEL (in terms of percentage of the AOEL) is presented in table 7.2 152. Detailed assumptions and considerations as well as exposure

of the AO(A) is presented in table 7.2 ft 2. Details calculations are presented in point 7.2.1.1.

³ EFSA Panel on Plant Protection Products and their Residues (PPR); Guidance on Dermal Absorption. EFSA Journal 2012;10(4):2665. [30 pp.] doi:10.2903/j.efsa.2012.2665.

Table 7.2.1-2: Comparison of estimated systemic operator exposure to foramsulfuron [mg/kg bw/day] with the proposed AOEL

Application type	Crop	PPE	Total systemic exposure	% of AOEO
			foramsulfuron	IPV, O
			[mg/kg bw/day]	[0.1 mg/kg/bw/day]
		Fiel	ld uses, German model 🕬	kg operator 🧳 👏
		No PPE 1)	0.0153	15 7
Field crop sprayer		With PPE 2)	0.0071	(8) (8) (V
ricia crop sprayer	Corn	F	ie Wuses, UK POEM (60, kg	g operator) 🔻 💍 🧷
		No PPE 1)	0,184	Q 0 1846 0
		With PPE 2)	\$\int_0\circ\circ\circ\circ\circ\circ\circ\cir	7 3 34 V
		o o	0.094	94

¹⁾ Short trousers and a short sleeved shirt

The both models estimates predict that Equip QD® can be used safely with field frop sprayers when gloves are worn during mixing coading. As a good practice when handling pesticides, wearing gloves The detailed calculations are presented in the Tables during spraying would reduce the exposure. 7.2.1.1-1 & 2.

Estimation of operator exposure **CP 7.2.1.1**

a) Estimation according to the German model

Exposure is calculated for field application technique with the maximum dose rate. Lower dose rates will be covered by this calculation and separate evaluations are not made. The following assumptions are made

Treated area:

covesponding to 0.06 kg/ha foramsulfuron Max. dose rate:

Dermal absorption:

Taking into account these parameters the exposure is estimated as follows.

²⁾ One layer of typical work wear (e.g. trousers and a long mixing/loading



Table 7.2.1.1-1 Calculation of operator exposure to foramsulfuron using field crop sprayers (German model, without and with PPE)

Operator exposure estimate: German model. Tractor-mounted/trailed boom sprayer: hydraulic nozzles

Product:	Equip OD					5
Active substance:	Foramsulfuron		a.s. concentration	: 23	[g/lorkg]	
Formulation:	Liquid		PPE during mix/loading	: Respiration:	None	
Dose [l or kg/ha]:	2.667			Hands:	Gloves	
Work rate [ha/day]:	20		PPE during application	: Respiration:	None	
Body weight [kg]:	70		Ö	Hands:	None	
Inhalation absorption [%]	100		V	Head:	None @	
Dermal absorption [%]	20.0	(concentrate)	4	Body:	None 🐇	
	20.0	(dilution)	₄ €′	~		
				~		
Calculation of route exp	osure:		Q0			

Calculation of foute t	onposure.	
Route	Specific exposure [mg/kg a.s.]	a.s. handled Estimated Exposure [mg/kg Wday] [kg/day] No PPE Reduction factors with PPE
	[115] R.S. u.s.]	
$I_M =$	0.0006	1.2007 Q0.0000 Q1.0 Q0.00001 Dependent Q
$D_{M(H)} =$	2.4	1 2002 0.04M 0 0.00 0.000411 M = Mix (conding
$I_A =$	0.001	2002 7 0.000017 A = Application
$D_{A(C)} =$	0.06	V.2001 J.0 J.0 D.00102V H=Hands
$D_{A(H)} =$	0.38	1.2000 \(\sqrt{0.0065} \) \(\sqrt{1.0} \) \(\sqrt{0.0065} \) \(\sqrt{1.0} \)
$D_{A(B)} =$	1.6	Q 12002 0.0254 110 0.020432 Y=Body

Absorbed dose:	~ (&, Ö'	≪ No	PPE∀ °⊗°		n PPE
	, Ø C		© Estimated	Systemic	Estimated	Systemic
Route	~	Absorption [%]	route exposure			exposure
			[make bw/day	[mg/kg bw/day]	[mg/Dbw/day]	[mg/kg bw/day]
				0		
Dermal:	page/Loading	V ~ 6000		0.00823	0.000411	0.000082
	Xpplication >	″ ≈ 20.0 ~	98.95 17.08	0.006995	0.034976	0.006995
Inhalation:	MixA cading	(L) 100 (L)	√ 0 .00001 [∼]	(J.00001K)	0.00001	0.00001
	Application	0 100	0.000 7	0.000017	0.000017	0.000017
	~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~	Total =		0.015252		0.007105

Estimation according to the UKPOEM

For comparison with the above German model estimates, the UK POEM is also used to estimate the exposure. Using the UK POEM, the highest exposure for each application type is calculated if the maximum dose rates and the minimum spray volumes are used. Lower dose rates and higher spray volume will be covered by this calculation and separate evaluations are not made. For modelling purpose with the LOK POEM, OD will be considered as an Emulsion Concentrate formulation (EC).

Taking into account the more realistic parameters, the following assumptions are made:

5048a/6 hours per day 190 L/ha/as a worst case 1L as a worst case

0.06 kg as/ha foramsulfuron

Exposure estimates based on UK-POEM and proportions of the systemic AOEL accounted for by the estimates are summarised in the following table. Detailed calculations are presented in the table 7.2.1.1-2.

Table 7.2.1.1-2 Calculation of operator exposure to foramsulfuron using field crop sprayers (UKPOEM, without and with PPE)

without and with FFE)	
THE UK PREDICTIVE OPERATOR EXPOSURE MODEL (POE	cm)
THE CRITICE OF ERITOR EXICOSERE MODEL (FOR	
Application method Tractor-mounted/trailed boom sprayer: hydraulic	Active substance for sums ulfuron a.s. concentration 22.5 mg/m
Product Equip OD	Active substance for amsulfuron
Formulation type organic solvent-based	a.s. concentration 22.5 mg/m 200 1
Dermal absorption from product 20 %	Dermal alsorption from spray 20 %
Container 1 litre any dosure	
PPE during mix/loading Gloves	PPE during application None
Dose 2.667 Vha Application volume 100 Vha	Work faire/day 50 ha Q
Application volume 100 l/ha	Duration Opraying 6 h
EXPOSURE DURING MIXING AND LOADING	
Container size 1 litres	
Hand contamination/operation 0.01 ml	
Application dose 2.667 litres product has	
Work rate 50 ha/day	
Number of operations 134 /day Hand contamination 1.34 ml/day	Okaralic nozzles Okaralic nozzles Okaralic nozzles
Hand contamination 1.34 ml/day Protective clothing None	
Transmission to skin 100 %	
Dermal exposure to formulation 1.340 mg/day & 7	Se 134 moray
Definition of the control of the con	
DERMAL EXPOSURE DURING SPRANAPPLICATION	
Application technique Tractor mounted trailed boom sprayer	bydraulic no zzles z z z z z z z z z z z z z z z z z z z
Application volume 100 spray/ha \$\tilde{Q}\$	
Volume of surface contamination 10 ml/h	
Distribution Hands Trunk I	
65% \$ 10% 2	
Clothing None Permeable Permeal	
Penetration 100 % 5 % 11	0 % Y
Duration of exposure 0.5 0.5 0.00 0	375\mi/h
Dermal exposure On the first of the first o	ok 5 % 7 5 %
Source to spray	
ABSORBED DERMAL DOSE O	
Mix odl Application	Mix/load Application
Dermal exposure	0.134 41.550 ml/day
Concen. of a.s. product or Tray 22.5 0.600 75 mg/m	Mix/load Application 0.134 41.550 ml/day 22.5 0.600075 mg/ml 3.015 24.933 mg/day 20 20 %
Dermal exposure to a.s. 9 30.150 34.933 mg day	3.015 24.933 mg/day
Percent absorbed 200 200%	© 20 20 %
Absorbed dose \(\tilde{\text{O}} \) \(\text{O} \)	У 0.603 4.987 mg/day
INHALATION EXPOSURE DURING SPROVING	$\sqrt{\mathcal{Q}}$
INHALATION EXPOSURE DURING SPROVING Inhalation exposure (FQ) ml/h	
Duration of exposure	Q*
Concentration of a.s. in spray Q000075 ppg/ml	
Inhalation exposure to a.s. 60/360045 mg/day	
Percent absorbed	
Percent absorbed Absorbed dose Concentration of exposure Concentration of a.s. in spray Concentration of a.s. in spray Concentration exposure Concentration of a.s. in spray Concentration	
PREDICTED TOPOSURE ON PPEO	With PPE
Total absorb dose 11.05 mg/day	5.6256 mg/day
Operator logy weight 500 kg	60 kg
Operator exposure (%) 842 mg/kg bw/day	0.0938 mg/kg bw/day
, SA	

CP 7.2.1.2 Measurement of operator exposure

Estimations of operator exposure using PPE are performed with the respective exposure model. Detailed calculations and summaries are presented in CP 7.2.1.1.

CP 7.2.2 Bystander and resident exposure

There is no official model available to calculate the exposure of bystanders. Some proposals were given by the EUROPOEM Bystander Working Group but the report is still a draft and not officially published because slight changes may still be accepted following comments provided by the members of the working group. Therefore, as long as there is no official guidance on how to calculate bystander exposure an approach is presented in this document that considers both dermal exposure — derived from available drift data – and inhalation exposure—derived from the operator exposure models simulating a bystander who is exposed in a similar way as an unprotected operator spraying in the field. Additionally, exposure to residents is assessed as well.

This approach is following a guidance of the German Federal Institute for Risk Assessment (BfR)⁴ and is in line with what has been published by US EPA and CRD recently. All technical details with regard to figures and assumptions are provided in this guidance.

Exposure estimates and proportions of the systemic AOELs accounted for by the estimates are summarised in the following table. Detailed information and calculations are presented in chapter CP 7.2.2.1.

Table 7.2.2-1: Prodicted systemic exposures as a proportion of the AOCL

Substance Person Vatal systemic exposure (mg/kg bw/day)*	AÖEL	% of AOEL
("mg/kg bw/day)*O	gang/kg	
	bw/day	
Exposure of bystanders to field crop sprayer drift		
Foramsulfuron Bystander; adult 0 0.00000583	0.1	0.0058
Bystand Child 0.00000488	0.1	0.0046
Exposure of residents close to Weld crop sprayer drift		
Foramsulfuron Resident adult 2 0.09000035	0.1	0.0004
Resident child W 0000000508	0.1	0.0005

^{*} Assumes a 60 kg bodoweight for an adult and 16.15 kg for a child

Foransulfuron dermal penetration of 20% for the distinct spray and 100 % absorption via the inhalation route.

Assessment

The result, of the calculations, reveals that the situation with respect to bystander and resident exposure is favourable for the intended uses of Equip OD[®]. Bystanders and residents will not be exposed to critical levels of forancial form during spray application of Equip OD[®] in the fields.

Guidance for Exposure and Risk Evaluation for Bystanders and Residents exposed to Plant Protection Products during and after Application, Journal für Verbraucherschutz und Lebensmittelsicherheit *Journal of Consumer Protection and Food Safety* (2008, in preparation)

CP 7.2.2.1 Estimation of bystander and resident exposure

The following definitions and assumptions for bystanders and residents may be applied.

Bystanders and residents are not involved in application or handling plant projection products or the professional handling of treated crops. The question arises whether it is necessary to distinguish between bystanders and residents in terms of the potential for exposure and health risks. However, because the circumstances of this exposure could differ with respect to amount, frequency and duration, this seems to be reasonable.

Bystanders may inadvertently be present within or directly adjacent to an area for short period of times typically a matter of minutes, where application are a plant protection product is in progress or has recently taken place. They may be exposed to plant protection products mainly via the dermal route from spray drift and by inhalation of drifting stray droplets.

Residents may live or work near areas of the application of plant protection products (e.g. standing, working or sitting in a garden in the vicinity of the application). They may be exposed to plant protection products mainly via the dermal route from spray, frift deposits and by inhalation of vapour drift (depending on the vapour pressure of the active substance). For infants and toddlers exposure might also occur orally (e.g. through hand-to-mouth transfer and/or object-to-mouth transfer).

According to et al are presented hereafter the drift values used to run calculations for both bystanders and residents.

Table 7.2.2.1-1: Percent Drift Value for Different Crops (Line 1 2001 Carrent Version 27.03.2006)

Crop, Distance 10 pr	Percent Drift
1 application 2 1 application 2 29th percentile values	(2 application)
Som bescentife and some bescentife and some some some some some some some some	(82 th percentile values)
Field crops 0.29 0.29	0.24
Fruit crops, early 7 10.81 0	9.61
Fruit crops, late $4 - 4 - 4 - 4 - 4 - 4 - 4 - 4 - 4 - 4 $	3.11
Grapes 1, 29	1.07
Hops Vegetables, ornamentals & small freut: 50 cm 50 cm 123	4.18
Vegetables, organientals & small fruit:	
Vegetables, or namentals & small fruit: 0 0.29	0.24
> 50 cm	1.07

For the current risk assessment the worst case with drifts for application on field crops are considered. A drift value of **0.29%** was used for bystanders (present for just one application) and **0.24%** for residents (possibly submitted to 2 applications).

Exposure calculations are performed according to the following equations:

a) Bystander exposure

Foramsulfuron

Dermal exposure due to spray drift following low crop application using field crop sprayer.

SDE_B € AR x D x BSA x DA) / BW

Where:



SDE_B = Systemic Exposure of Bystanders via the Dermal Route (mg/kg bw/day)

= Application Rate (mg/m^2) $0.006 \text{ kg a.s./ha} = 0.60 \text{ mg/m}^2$.

= Drift (%) 0.29%. D

1 m² (adult), 0.21 m² (child). **BSA** = Exposed Body Surface Area (m²)

= Dermal Absorption (%) 20%. DA

60 kg (adult), 16. BW = Body Weight (kg/person)

Inhalation exposure due to spray drift.

 $SIE_B = (I_A * x AR x A x T x IA) / BW$

Where:

= Systemic Exposure of Bystanders via the Inhalation Route (mg/kg/bw/day). = Specific Inhalation Exposure (mg/kg/a/s handled-har day). SIE_{B}

 I_A*

= 0.001 mg/kg a.s. (field crop sprayer)

= Application Rate (kg a.s./ha) AR

= Area Treated (ha/day) Α

Τ = Time [Duration] (min)

= Inhalation Absorption (%) IΑ BW = Body Weight (kg/person)

Total Systemic Exposure of Bystanders

Adults and Children: SEB

Where:

= Systemic xposure of Bystanders (mg kg by day). SE_{B}

= Systemic Dennal Exposure of Bystanders and kg bw/day). SDE_{B}

= Systemic Inhalation Exposure of Bystanders (mg/kg borday).

Table 7.2.2.12: Calculations for by stander exposure to for a	msulfuron 🔊
Adults & S	Children
Bystander of Field Crap.	tractor mounted/trailed
Dermal exposure	Dermal exposure:
Dermal exposure SDE _B = (AR D x BSA x BA) / BW	$SDE_{B} = (AR \times D \times BSA \times DA) / BW$
©(0.6 x 0.29% 1 x 20%) / 60%	(0.6 x 0.29% x 0.21 x 20 %) / 16.15
SDE _B = (ARQ D x BSA x BA) / BW $(0.6 \text{ x} 0.29\%) / 60\%$ At sorbed dose: $0.0000058 \text{ mg/kg/bw/da}$	Absorbed dose: 0.000004525 mg/kg bw/day
Inhafation exposure?	Inhalation exposure:
$SIE_B = (1 \times x A \times x A \times y \times 1 A \times$	$SIE_B = (I_A * x AR x A x T x IA) / BW$
(0.001 x 0.006 x 20 x 5 5 60 x 400%) 460	(0.001/1.74 x 0.006 x 20 x 5/360 x 100%) / 16.15
Absorbed dose: 0.000000278 mg/kg bw/day	Absorbed dose: 0.0000000593 mg/kg bw/day
Total systemic exposure:	Total systemic exposure:
Total systemic exposure: SE _B = SDED + SIE _B	$SE_B = SDE_B + SIE_B$
Total absorbed dose: 0.00000583 mg/kg bw/day	Total absorbed dose: 0.00000458 mg/kg bw/day
% of AOEL: \$\infty\$ 0.0058	% of AOEL: 0.0046

^{*} based on Ehildren's inhalation rate of 1.0 m³/h for moderate activity (US EPA 2001, therefore ratio between children's and adults' inhalation rate: 1.0/1.74)



Document MCP: Section 7 Toxicological studies

FSN+IDF OD 45 (22.5+22.5)

b) Residential exposure

Foramsulfuron

Dermal exposure via deposits caused by spray drift.

 $SDE_R = (AR \times D \times TTR \times TC \times H \times DA) / BW$

Systemic Exposure of Residents via the Dermal Route (mg/kg Where: SDE_R

> AR Application Rate (mg/cm²) 2 (for no. of applications 2).

Foramsulfuron: 0.003 kg a.s./ha x 2 =.0.00012 mg/cm

D = Drift (%)

= Turf Transferable Residues (%) TTR

0.24%.
5%.
7300 cm²/h (achit), 2600 cm²/h (child). = Transfer Coefficient (cm²/hour) TC

= Exposure Duration (hours) Η DA = Dermal Absorption (%)

BW = Body Weight (kg/person)

Inhalation exposure due to vapour drit

 $SIE_R = (AC_V \times IR \times IA) / BW$

Where:

= Systemic Exposite of Residents via the Inhalation Foute (mg/kg bw/day) SIE_{R}

= Airborne Concentration of Vapour fing/m³ 0 mg/m³ (xapour pressure of foramsulfuron = AC_V

4.2 x 10⁻¹¹ By at 20°C and 25°C fron volatile)

1657 m day (adult), 85 m³/day (child). = Inhalation Rate (**) day IR

= Inhalation Absorption (%) IΑ

BW = Body Weight (kg/person) (20 (child), 16.15 kg (child).

In addition, orabexposore of children is estimated as Children's hand-to-mouth transfer

 $SOE_H = R \times D \times T$

Where:

D

= Systemic Oral Exposure was the Hand to Moute Route (mg/kg bw/day). SOE_{H}

AR =Application Rate (mg/cm²) x 2 for no of applications >2). Foramsulfuron 0.002 kg a.s Ara x 2 > 0.00012 mg/cm^2 .

= Drift (%) 0.24%.

TTR Turf Transferable Residues 5%. = Saliva Extraction Factor (%) 50%. SE = Surface Area of Hands (cm SA & 20 cm^2 . = Frequency of Hand to Mouth (events/hour) Freq 20 events/h.

= Exposure Duration (hours) 2 h. Η Oral Absorption (%) 100%. OA

Bodx Weigh (kg/person) S BW 16.15 kg (child).

Øject-to√mouth transfer Children's

 $SOE_0 \neq AR \times D \times DFR \times IgR \times OA) / BW$

Where:

SOEo = Systemic Oral Exposure via the Object to Mouth Route (mg/kg bw/day).



	OD 45 (22.5+22.5)	
	. ,	
AR =	Application Rate (mg/cm ²) x 2 (for no. of ap	oplications >2).
ъ	Foramsulfuron: $0.003 \text{ kg a.s./ha x } 2 = 0.00$	0012 mg/cm ² .
D	= Drift (%)	0.24%.
DFR	= Dislodgeable Foliar Residues (%)	20%.
IgR	= Ingestion Rate for Mouthing of Grass/Day	(cm ²) 25 cm ² /day.
OA	= Oral Absorption (%)	100%.
BW	= Body Weight (kg/person)	10.13 Kg (CMIII).
Total syst	emic exposure of residents is then estimated fo	
Adults: Children:	SE _R = SDE _R + SIE _R (mg/kg bw/day) SE _R = SDE _R + SIE _R + SOE _H + SOE _O (mg/kg) = Systemic Exposure of Residents (mg/kg bw = Systemic Dermal Exposure of Residents (mg/kg bw = Systemic Inhalation Exposure of Residents = Systemic Oral Exposure via the Mand to M = Systemic Oral Exposure via the Object to M	oplications > 2). 0012 mg/cm². 0.24%. 20%. (cm²) 25 cm²/day. 100%. 16.15 kg (child). bw/day). outh Route (mg/kg bw/day). Mouth Route (mg/kg bw/day).
****	L, ' &	
Where:		
SE _R	= Systemic Exposure of Residents (mg/kg bw	(Gay). Q
SDE_R SIE_R	= Systemic Exposure of Residents (mg/kg bw = Systemic Dermal Exposure of Residents (n = Systemic Inhalation Exposure of Residents = Systemic Oral Exposure via the Object to M = Systemic Oral Exposure via the Object to M	te/kg/dw/day
SOE _H	= Systemic Oral Exposure via the Mand to M	outil Route (make hw/day)
SOE ₀	= Systemic Oral Exposure via the Object to N	Aguth Raute (mg/kg kw/days)
DOLO	Systemic Gran Exposes, via the Conject to is	Tourn reduce (A.S. R.S. Est, Cut.)
Table 7.2.2	2.1-3: Calculations for resident exposure to for	amenturon & S
	Adudrs	Children Children
	Resident Exponer after application w	oth Field Crop, tractor mounted/trailed
Derma	l exposure: DE _R = (AR x D x TTR x TC x H x DA) / BW 00006 x 0 2 % x 5% x 7369 x 2 x 0%) / 60	Dermal exposure:
SD	DE _P = (AR x Pax TTP x TC x bt x DA)/BW	$SDE_{R} = (AR \times D) \times TTR \times TC \times H \times DA) / BW$
(0)	00006 v 0 26/2 v 58/2 v 73 2 v 700/2 / 58	© .00006 x 0.24% x 5% x 2600 x 2 x 20%) / 16.15
(0.	Absorbed dose 0.0000003504 mg/kg bw/d	Absorbed dose: 0.0000004637 mg/kg bw/d
Inhalat	tion Exposures S	Inhantion exposure:
	.0	7
	(0 x 16/57 x 190%) /60	(0 x 8.31 x 100%) / 16.15
	Absorbed Are: 2 000 Smalks Ywydd	Absorbed dose: 0.0 mg/kg bw/d
	Absorbed dose. The absorbed dose of the absorbed dose.	Absorbed dose. 0.0 mg/kg bw/d
		Oral exposure (hand-to-mouth transfer): SOE _H = (AR x D x TTR x SE x SA x Freq x H x OA) / BW
	Absorbed lose: 0.00 mg/kg/bw/dy	(0.00006 x 0.24% x 5% x 50% x 20 x 20 x 2 x 20%) / 16.15
		Absorbed dose 0.0000000357 mg/kg bw/d
		Oral exposure (object-to-mouth transfer):
		$SOE_O = (AR \times D \times DFR \times IgR \times OA) / BW$
		(0.00006 x 0.24% x 20% x 25 x 20%) / 16.15
		Absorbed dose 0.0000000089 mg/kg bw/d
Total	systemic exposure	Total systemic exposure:
5	$\mathbf{E}_{R} = \mathbf{SDE}_{R} + \mathbf{SIE}_{R}$	$SE_R = SDE_R + SIE_R + SOE_H + SOE_O$
"≫'I'otal	absorbed dose: 0.00000035 mg/kg bw/d	Total absorbed dose: 0.000000508 mg/kg bw/d
	% of AOEL: 0.0004	% of AOEL: 0.00051

CP 7.2.2.2 Measurement of bystander and resident exposure

Since the risk assessment carried out indicated that the health-based limit values (AOEL) for the active substance foramsulfuron will not be exceeded under practical conditions of use, a study to provide a measure of bystander exposure to the formulated product Equip OD® under field conditions was not necessary and therefore was not carried out.

CP 7.2.3 Worker exposure

According to the application parameters of Equip OD® the only intended use is sorray application to corn at early growth stages (BBCH 12-16). At these growth stages no re-entre exposure would be expected due to the relative height of the crop blowever, the potential exposure due to scouting procedures is provided in the following section.

CP 7.2.3.1 Estimation of worker exposure

The greatest potential for worker exposure following re-entry will be contamination via the skin Risk of inhalation exposure during re-entry in generally confined to a brief period after application, while the product is drying, which will be rapid under outdoor conditions and would generally be avoided according to good agricultural practices. Exposure to workers entering reated areas are predicted using an exposure model proposed by a suppose of the state of the state of the skin Risk of inhalation exposure during re-entry will be contamination via the skin Risk of inhalation exposure during re-entry will be contamination via the skin Risk of inhalation exposure during re-entry will be contamination via the skin Risk of inhalation exposure during re-entry will be contamination via the skin Risk of inhalation exposure during re-entry in generally confined to a brief period after application, while the product is drying, which will be rapid under outdoor conditions and would generally be avoided according to good agricultural practices. Exposure to workers entering re-entry via the skin Risk of inhalation via the skin Risk of inhalation exposure during re-entry will be contamination via the skin Risk of inhalation via the skin

- Re-entry exposure is predominantly via the dermal route (contact with the foliage)
- Residues on the foliage depend on:
 - i) Lapplication rate
 - ii) F extent of remaining residues from previous applications
 - the Leaf Area Index (LAI) [total size of foliage compared to surface area]
 - Transfer of residues from foliage to the clothes or kin of workers depends mainly on the intensity of contact with the foliage.
 - Activities with a similar pattern can be grouped and a generic Transfer Coefficient (TC) applied
- Dislodgeable Poliar Residue (DFR) is calculated using @ default value of 3 μg as/cm² per kg as/ha. This figure is based to the control of th
- Workers be-enter the treated culture shortly ofter the spray has dried on plant surfaces, nevertheless it is now recommended to use the higher dermal absorption values amongst neat and dutted values.

The dermal exposure calculation is performed according to the following equation: $D = DER \times TC \times WR \times AR \times P.$

Where:

DFR = Dislodgeable foliar residues (ng as/cm²).

Press (2001), (document no.: M-128767-01-1)

,	
1	.: Lobel instructions for the protection of workers re-entering crop growing
	areas after application of plan protection protects; Nachrichtenbl. Deut. Pflanzenschutzd. 50 (10), (1998), 267 - 269
	(docume n no. M 67544-Q -1)
5	
(2	2001 Iniform principles for safeguarding the health of workers re-entering crop growing areas after application of plant-
pı	rotection products, Worker exposure to agrochemicals,
P	ress (2005), (document no.: M-209388-01-1)
7	Modeling re-entry exposure estimates: techniques and
	application rates; Worker exposure to agrochemicals, , chapter 9, 119-138, CRC

TC = Transfer Coefficient (cm²/person/h).

WR = Work rate (hours/day). AR = Application rate (kg as/ha).

P = Protection factor for PPE (P = 1 no PPE, just a long sleeved shirt, or 0.1 when adequate clothing and gloves are worn).

DFR values:

A single application is considered in this risk assessment resulting in an estimated worst case DFR of 3 μg as/cm² per kg as/ha.

Transfer Coefficient values:

et al (1998) propose that a transfer coefficient (TC) of 30,000 cm²/person/by be used for the transfer of residues from foliage to the clothes or kin of a worker in initial estimates of exposure. This value is considered to represent a worst case for worker exposure, being derived from tasks requiring intensive contact with foliage and representing an unprotected worker.

As no specific TCs are available in Europe to assess re-entry activities performed in cereals a conservative value of 2500 cm²/person/b has been used in this risk assessment. This value was obtained from the Europeem II data for vegetables which are believed to be the most reasonable surrogate from the available data for scouting activities in young cereal crops.

Predicted exposures are compared with the AOEL of foram ulfuror. Systemic exposure values assume the a dermal absorption value of 20%. A body weight of 60 kg is assumed for the re-entry worker. Exposure estimates based on proportions of the systemic AOEL accounted for by the estimates are summarised in the following Table. Detailed calculations are presented on the following pages.

Table 7.2.3.1-1: Summary of predicted worker exposures arising from the use of Equip OD® and comparison with the AOEL

	~ (n	N. I		1 9
Active substance	у ехр	stemic &	AOEL (mg/kg bw/day)	% of AOEL
Foramsulfuror		.0003 ₂ 0	0 21	0.3

20% dermal absorbtion of kg worker.

Assessment

The exposure of workers entering treated areas is well within acceptable levels following application of Equip $OD^{\mathbb{R}}$.

Detailed calculations of worker exposure during re-entry:

Re-entry exposure to forans ulfuron

Product Name: Faurin OD

Active

substance: Forams ulfuron



Measurement of worker exposure **CP 7.2.3.2**

CP 7.3 Dermal adsorption

D	= 90 μg a.s./pers/day
	= 0.09 mg a.s./pers/day
	= 0.0015 mg/kg bw/day
usin	g 20.00% dermal absorption (highest value)
S	= 0.0015 x 0.2000
	= 0.000300 mg/kg bw/day
CD Z A A A	= 90 μg a.s./pers/day = 0.09 mg a.s./pers/day = 0.0015 mg/kg bw/day g 20.00% dermal absorption (highest value) = 0.0015 x 0.2000 = 0.000300 mg/kg bw/day Measurement of worker exposure
CP 7.2.3.2 N	Aeasurement of worker exposure
Not relevant	
CP 7.3	Dermal adsorption
Report:	K 0; Ø999;M ₇ 19388, 01 × × ×
Title:	In vivo dermal Osorpiton stud In the male ray [14C] AE F] 360 (3de: AE
Report No:	F130360 01 1 205 A 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7
Document No(s)): Report includes Lial Nov.
Document No(s)): Report includes I fall Nov.
	M-193881-01-1 2 4 2 5 5
Guidelines:	QLOD: 40; Devig ion par specified
GLP/GEP:	yes A S O O O O

In the original dossign the study submitted for dermal absorption (KCA9.3/01) was an in vivo rat dermal absorption study for the active substance in a previous version of the formulation that varies from the current formulation by more than the 25% pennitted by the latest FSA guidance on dermal absorption⁸. The data do saggest loweve that the value of 20% is a casonable one for human skin given that 20% was achieved with the spray dilation on rat skin (generally more permeable than human skin) and approximately 7% for the concentrate

Axallable toxicological data relating to co-formulants **CP 7.4**

CP 7.4 Available toxicological data relating to co-formulants

CONFIDENTIAL information data provided separately (Pocument J). ⁸ EFSA Panel on Plant Protection Products and their Residues (PPR); Guidance on Dermal Absorption. EFSA Journal 2012;10(4):2665. [30 pp.] doi:10.2903/j.efsa.2012.2665.