



**Document MCA: Section 5 Toxicological and metabolism studies** Flufenacet

#### **OWNERSHIP STATEMENT**

This document, the data contained in it and copyright therein are owned by Baxer CropScience. No part of the document or any information contained therein may be disclosed to any third party without the prior written authorisation of Bayer OropScience.

The summaries and evaluations contained in this document are based on unpublished proprietary data submitted for the purpose of the assessment undertaken by the regulatory authority. Other registration authorities should not grand amend, or renew a • From Bayer CropScience; or • From Bayer CropScience; or • From other applicants once the period of data protection has expired. registration on the basis of the summaries and evaluation of unpublished proprietary data contained in this document unless they have received the data on which the



#### Version history





#### **Table of Contents**

	Pag	e
CA 5	TOXICOLOGICAL AND METABOLISM STUDIES ON THE ACTIVE STUBSTANCE	6
INTRODUCT	ION.	6
CA 5.1	Studies on absorption, distribution, metabolism and exerction in mammals, Q.	9
CA 5.1.1	Absorption, distribution, metabolism and excretion by oral foute	9
Toxicokinetic	evaluation of the ADME study	1
Rat metabolisi	m study with [thiadiazole-5-14C]flufenacet	5
Remark about	formation of trifluoroacetate under physiological conditions	9
CA 5.1.2	Absorption, distribution, metabolism and excretion by other routes	4
CA 5.2	Acute toxicity	5
Summary o	f acute toxicity studies	5
CA 5.2.1	Oral	5
CA 5.2.2	Dermal	6
CA 5.2.3	Inhalation	6
CA 5.2.4	Skin irritation $2$ $0^{\circ}$ $0^{\circ}$ $2$ $2$	6
CA 5.2.5	Eye irritation $Q^{\gamma}$ $Q^{\gamma}$ $Q^{\gamma}$ $Q^{\gamma}$ $Q^{\gamma}$	6
CA 5.2.6	Skin sensitization	6
CA 5.2.7	Phototoxicity	0
CA 5.3	Short-term toxicity	6
Summary o	f short-term toxicity madies 0	6
CA 5.3.1	Oral 28-day study	7
CA 5.3.2	Oral 90-day stud	7
CA 5.3.3	Other pointes	8
CA 5.4	Generoxicity testing	1
Summary o	f gebotoxicity testingQ	1
CA 5.4.1	In vitro studies 5	2
CA 5.4.2	In vive studies in somatic cells	5
CA 5.4.3	In xiyo studies in germ cells 5	5
CA 5.5	Long-term toxicity and carcinogenicity	6
Summary o	flong-term studiesQ	6
CA 5.6	Reproductive toxicity	7
Summaryo	f reproductive and developmental toxicity studies	7
CA 5.6.1	Generational studies	8
CA 5.62	Developmental toxicity studies	8
CA 5.9 ~	Neurotoxicity studies	8
Summary o	f neurotoxicity studies	8
CA 5.7.1	Neuroto@icity studies in rodents	9
CA 5.7.2	Delayed polyneuropathy studies7	2
CA 5.8	Other toxicological studies	3
CA 5.8.1	Toxicity studies of metabolites	3
Summary o	f studies with metabolites7	3
FOE-oxalat	e (M01)7	9
FOE-sulfon	ic acid (M02)	9
FOE-thiogl	ycolate sulfoxide (M04)	0
FOE-methy	Isulfone (M07)	0

BAYER Bayer CropScience

#### Document MCA: Section 5 Toxicological and metabolism studies Flufenacet

FOE-thiado	one (M09)	. 109
FOE-trifluc	proethanesulfonic acid Na-salt (M44)	. 112
Trifluoroac	etate (TFA) (M45)	. 121
CA 5.8.2	Supplementary studies on the active substance	. 146
Summary o	of supplementary studies	. 146
Flufenacet.		. 151
FOE 5043-	hydroxy	.¶Ž17
FOE 5043-	TDA sulfone	189
FOE 5043-	acetate	. 224
CA 5.8.3	Endocrine disrupting properties	. 230
CA 5.9	Medical data	.,231
CA 5.9.1	Medical surveillance on manufacturing plant personnel and monitoring structure	dies
		. 231
CA 5.9.2	Data collected on humans	.232
CA 5.9.3	Direct observations	. 232
CA 5.9.4	Epidemiological studies	.232
CA 5.9.5	Diagnosis of poisoning (determination of active substance, metabolites).	
	specific signs of poisoning, clinical tests.	. 232
CA 5.9.6	Proposed treatment: first aid measures, antidotes, medical freatment	. 232
CA 5.9.7	Expected effects of poisoning	. 233
Overall sun	nmary and conclusion	. 234
Reference v	values	. 239
É.		
~ ¥		
Č		
, Or		
A		
T a		
, s		
4		

BAYER Bayer CropScience Document MCA: Section 5 Toxicological and metabolism studies Flufenacet

# CA 5 TOXICOLOGICAL AND METABOLISM STUDIES ON THE ACTIVE STUBSTANCE

#### **INTRODUCTION**

Flufenacet was included in Annex I of Directive 91/414/EEC on 01/01/2004, as notified in Directive 2003/84/EC dated 25 September 2003 wherein there is no specific provision under Part B which needs to be considered related to toxicological data.

The Monograph prepared by the Rapporteur Member State France in the context of the inecusion of flufenacet in Annex 1 of the Council Directive 91/410/EEC. The Review Report for flufenacet (7469/VI/98-Final – 3<sup>rd</sup> July 2003) as well as the Evaluation table of flufenacet (7468/VI/98-rev. 10(27.12.2001) are considered to provide the relevant scientific information for the review of the active substance.

#### Comments with respect to the Annex I renewal process

This supplemental dossier contains only sumparies of studies, which were not available at the time of the first Annex I inclusion of flufenacet and were therefore not evaluated during the first EU review of this compound. The summaries on the different toxicological endpoints (information is taken from the Monograph/Review Report (July 2003) Evaluation table (December 2001)) were supplemented and adapted with the new information. In order to facilitate discrumination between new information and original paragraphs, the new information is written in bod letters. All other studies, which were already submitted by Bayer for the first EU review, are contained in the Monograph/Review Report (July 2003)/ Evaluation table (December 2001)) and in the baseline dossier provided by Bayer CropScience.

A synonymous name for flutenacet used at several locations in this supplemental dossier is FOE 5043 or the abbreviation FFA

The following table provides an overview on the batches of fullenacet used in all toxicological studies on this compound. Studies not evaluated during the first EU review are written in bold letters.

Batch 🕺 🕅	Purito (%)	Study type	Reference
17001/90	<b>4</b> .8	Acute interlation toxicity, get	, 1990 M-004844-01-1
17001/90	92.6-95.8	Skin irritation rabbit	&, 1992 M-004846-01-1
17001/96	92.6-93.80	Eye irritation, rabbit	& <b>1992</b> M-004847-01-1
17001/90	92.6-93.8	Stor sensitization, Guinea pig	&, 1992 M-004845-01-1
17001/90	\$3.8-94.8 \$	Dog, 1 <sup>9</sup> week oral (diet) toxicity	<b>&amp; 200</b> , 1995 M-004977-02-1
17001/90	92.694.8	Mouse, 13-week oral (diet) (range-finding)	1995 M-004985-01-1
17001/90	92.6-94.8	Rat, 13-week oral (diet)	&, 1995 M-004999-01-1

#### Table 5-1: Overview of flufenage batches used for toxicity studies



#### **Document MCA: Section 5 Toxicological and metabolism studies Flufenacet**

Batch Number	Purity (%)*	Study type	Reference
17001/90	92.6	In vitro Unscheduled DNA synthesis (UDS), rat hepatocytes	маярия - <b>1992</b> , 1992 Маярия - Принассия и Принас
17001/90 FL 0036	92.6-94.8 95.2-99.0	Mechanistic study on thyroid hormone effects,21-day, rat, diet	×1995 © 0 M-002*982-03-1
FL 036	95.0-99	Dog, 12-month chronic oral (diet) toxicity	M-005601-02-2
FL 036	97.0-98.5	Rat, 21-day dermal toxicity	, 1995, M-094981-01-1
FL 036	97.1-97.5	In vitro mammalian cell gene matation (est (HGORT), Chinese hamster cells V79	M-00 <b>26</b> 34-01-6
FL 036	97.5	In vitro mammalian chromosome aperration test, Chinese hamster cells	M-00469201-1
FL 036	97.5	In vivo Micronucleits, test, mouse	, 1993 M-09 <b>4</b> 388-01-1
FL 036	95.2-98.5	Mouse, 18-months feeding (carcinogenicity)	аў95 м-005060-02-1
FL 036	95.2-99.0	Rat, 24-month feeding (carcinogenicity and chronic toxicity)	<b>4</b> , 1995 M-005062-02-1
FL 036	95.2-99.0	Rat dietarotwo-generation reproduction study	&, 1995 M-004984-03-1
FL 036	97ðr 297 - 2	Raty oral developmental	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,
FL 036 🖧	98.5 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	Rabit, oral developmental	, <b>1995</b> , M-004979-01-1
FL 036	97.4-97 <b>8</b>	Rat, acute or a neurotoxicity	&, 1995 M-004986-02-1
FL 036	98 <u>.0</u> -98.2	Rat, 90-day neurowxicity study (diet) (screening	, <b>1995</b> , M-005014-01-2
FL 036 NLL 3643-5	99.6 98.0	Acute oral to recity, rat	&, 1993 M-004865-02-1
NLL 3643-5	98.1	Acute oral toxicity, rat	&, 1991 M-004850-01-1
NLL 3643-5	98.0-98.1	Acute oral toxicity, rat	, 1992 M-004864-01-1
NLL 3643-5	98.0-98.1	Acute dermal toxicity, rat	&, 1992 M-004843-01-1



#### Document MCA: Section 5 Toxicological and metabolism studies Flufen<u>acet</u>

Batch Number	Purity (%)*	Study type	Reference		
603-0013	96.0-96.9	Rat, oral developmental neurotoxicity	, 2000 M⊛26105-01-1		
898313105	96.8	Skin sensitization, Guinea pig	, 1 <del>9</del> 94 M-004 <b>€</b> 37-01-1○ ♀		
898313105	96.8	Bacterial reverse mutation assay	A995 X M-30469651-1		
920902ELB01	99.5	Skin sensitization, Guinea pig	M-004677-01%		
EDHB001715	97.5	Skin sensitization, LLNA (mouse)	<b>1</b> , 2007 <b>1</b> , 2007 <b>1</b> , 2007		
EDHB001715	97.0	Rat, 1-week inhalation toxicity	M-300005-01-1		
EDHB001715	97.0	Rat, 4-week inhalation toxicit	2008 MI-302961-01-2		
NK61AX0177	96.8	Bacterial reverse mutation assay	, 2010 M-395211-01-1		
NK61AX0177	96.8	Rat, oral (diet) developmental toxicity (range)	M-434509-01-1		
NK61AX0177	96.8	Rat, comparative thyroid sensitivity assay	, 2012 M-435619-01-1		
NK61AX0177	96.8	Rate comparative thyroid sensitivity assay	, 2012 M-435313-01-1		
NK61AX0177	96.8 Ĉ	Rat, comparative thyroid sensitivity as y (gavage exposure of pups)	, 2012 M-435126-01-1		
NK61CK0650	98.2	Prototoxieity test	, 2013 M-464615-01-1		
* Purity as Stated in Study reports					

BAYER Bayer CropScience Document MCA: Section 5 Toxicological and metabolism studies Flufenacet

#### CA 5.1 Studies on absorption, distribution, metabolism and excretion in mammals

#### CA 5.1.1 Absorption, distribution, metabolism and excretion by oral route

Flufenacet (FOE 5043) was <sup>14</sup>C-labelled at three different positions of the prolecute for investigation of metabolism studies in plants and animals:



A study on absorption, distribution, metabolism and exception (ADME) of <sup>14</sup>C-labelled flufenacet with rats was conducted with all three label positions (**Mathematical States and States a** 

hiandiazole

This study was submitted with the dossier for Annex I listing of fluxenacet according to EU directive 91/414/EEC and summarized in the Tier summary for the active substance, under Annex IIA, Point 5.1.1.1 (2000). As a consequence, it has already been evaluated by the corresponding registration authorities in the EU.

This study is briefly supinarized in the following sections of the Monograph of flufenacet (FOE 5043, fluthiamide) and its and enda published 1997

Level 2 Overall Conclusions; Section 24.1 "Effects having relevance to human and animal health arising from exposure to the active substance or to their transformation products"

and

Annex B5 "Toxicological and metabolism studies", Section B.5.1.1 "Biokinetics and metabolism in rats"

A short summary of the ADME stary from this Monograph is repeated in the following:



male and female rats

Sex:

#### Document MCA: Section 5 Toxicological and metabolism studies Flufenacet

"The biokinetic and metabolism study on rats showed a high degree of absorption of radioactivity followed by fast elimination from the body. After oral administration of [fluorophenyl-UL-<sup>14</sup>C]FOE 5043 more than 87 % of the recovered radioactivity was excreted via urine and faces within 72 hours in all dose groups tested. The plasma curve analysis after dosing of [fluorophenyl-UL-<sup>14</sup>C]- and [thiadiazole-2-<sup>14</sup>C]-labelled FOE 5043 revealed that only the fluorophenyl patt of the molecule underwent enterohepatic circulation. Absorption commenced immediately after administration. The concentration in the different organs and tissues were relatively fow and showed only slight differences with respect to dose and sex.

The identification rate ranged from 60 to 75 % of the recovered radioactivity is the experiments with [fluorophenyl-UL-<sup>14</sup>C]FOE 5043 and was 92 % on average in the experiments with [thiadrazole-2-<sup>14</sup>C]FOE 5043. After application of [fluorophenyl-UL+<sup>4</sup>C]FOT 5043 all metabolites identified contained only the fluorophenyl moiety of the active ingredient, because the thiadrazole ring was cleaved off prior to further metabolisation. This was confirmed by the results obtained after application of [thiadiazole-2-<sup>14</sup>C]FOE 5043. The major metabolites were the plucuronic acid of thiadone (M24), the oxalylacetic acid conjugate of thiadone (M26) and free thiadone (M9).

Glutathione conjugation appeared to be the major, and possibly the exclusive, metabolic pathway for [fluorophenyl-UL-<sup>14</sup>C]FOE 5043 in rats. Although the glutathione itself was not detected, the presence of a variety of glutathione-derived metabolites provided sufficient evidence for the glutathione pathway. Almost all metabolites identified were glutathione related compounds. The major metabolite in all dose groups was the N-acety cysteine conjugate of fluorophenylacetanilide (M10).

For a better understanding of the bokinetic behaviour and metabolism of some FOE 5043 plant metabolites, the bioavailability of Huorophenyl-LfE-<sup>14</sup>C]FOE 5043 oxalate as well as [thiadiazole-2-<sup>14</sup>C]-N-glucoside was investigated after oral administration to rats. Both compounds were excreted unchanged with urine and faces. Due to the extremely low residues in tissues and carcass, there should be no detectable residues in animal tissues neither from the fluorophenyl acetamide moiety nor from the thiadizole moiet, of the molecule from dietary exposure of livestock to FOE 5043-derived crop residues.



#### Document MCA: Section 5 Toxicological and metabolism studies Flufenacet

Toxicokinetics critical end points	
Rate and extent of absorption	high degree of absorption:
	75 – 80% of oral dose (fluorophenyl-label)
	93 – 97% of oral dose (thiadazole-2- and
	thiadiazole-5-label) based on urine excretion,
	tissue distribution and exhaled <sup>@</sup> CO <sub>2</sub> and <sup>14</sup> CH <sub>2</sub> ?
Distribution	quick redistribution from blood
Potential for accumulation	none O V V V V
Rate and extent of excretion	[fluorophenyl-EL-14CEOE5043?
	59 - 79 % with urine 8 - 30 % with facces (72h)
	Iniadiazole-2-120]FOE5043:
	41 $-59\%$ with urine Q - 6 % with facces (72h),
	$22 32 \% \text{ CP}_2$ and $2 - 23 \% \text{ CH}_4$ the expired
A C	
	$\begin{bmatrix} \text{thiadiazolg} - 5^{-14} C \# O E 5045 \\ \text{c} & $
	82 - 89 with urtile, $6 - 7%$ with faces (72h)
Main animal metabolites	N-acetylcysteme conjugate of N-isopropyl-
	fluotophenyt-acetanilide (M10),
	gucuronic acid of thiadone (M24),
	oxalylacetic acrd conjugate of thiadone (M26),
	thiadene (M9)
	J J

Toxicokinetic evaluation of the ADME study

An amendment has been prepared to the above mentioned ADME study on the determination of toxicokinetic plasma parameters in the rat. As this amendment was not available at the time of submission of the original submission for Annex I inclusion of EU Directive 91/414. Therefore, it is now presented in the following.

Report:	KCA51.1/01, D. W., M. E., 2010
Title:	Amendment No. 1 to the Final Report: The Metabolism of FOE 5043 in Rats
Document No:	M-384235-01-1
Report No:	Bayer Report No. 106665-1
Guidelines and	US EPA Ref.: 85-1, Rat Metabolism
data requirements:	
GLP	Yes



#### **Material and Methods**

In a basic ADME study<sup>1</sup>, groups of each five male and five female rats received a single oral dose of [fluorophenyl-UL-<sup>14</sup>C] and [thiadiazole-2-<sup>14</sup>C]flufenacet. The dose rates amounted to 1 mg/kg bw (single low dose) and to 150 mg/kg bw (single high dose). Additional groups of rats received 14 non-labelled doses followed by a single radiolabelled dose of flufenacet at the low dose well.

Intravenous administration or bile cannulation after oral administration for determination of the bioavailability were not necessary, since a high extent of renal excretion and a high amount of exhaled radiolabelled carbon dioxide and methane indicated an almost complete absorption of the gral dose.

The total radioactive residues (TRR) were measured in blood plasma at different time intervals after administration (10, 20, 40, 90, 90 min; 2, 4, 6, 8, 24, 32, 48, 72, 96 kr).

#### **Results and Discussion**

Average plasma levels of each dose group are compiled in Table 5.1.1-1 for the fluorophenyl label and in Table 5.1.1-2 for the thiadiazo@ label @eak levels are printed in bold type.

From the plasma levels the toxicokinetic garameters  $T_{ms}$ ,  $C_{max}$ , and  $T_{1/2}$  for elimination of TRR from the plasma were derived visually. The AUC (mea under the curve 0 22 or 96 hours) was estimated using the trapezoidal method (sum of all partial trapezoid areas under the plasma curve; trapezoid area = time difference between two adjacent concentration levels multiplied by half of the sum of two levels).

In addition, the computer program TOPEL pharmacokineric modelling was also used where it was possible (not possible for low dose plasma levels with the fluorophenyl label). The model integrates the areas under the curve by approximation of a continuous curve to the measured plasma levels. From this approximated curve AUC  $(0 - \infty)$ ,  $T_{max}$  and  $C_{max}$  are derived.

The results of this pharmacokinetic evaluation are compiled in Table 5.1.1-3. The peak maxima ( $C_{max}$ ) at the low dose were achieved at  $T_{max}$  of O-2 hours after dosing for both radiolabels. Following administration of a high dose, the maxima of the fluorophenyl level were delayed and reached 24 – 32 hours after dosing indicating a slower OT absorption due to saturation effects. The peak maximum of the thiadiazofe label was reached after a similar time period of 1 -2 hours for the low dose and accounted for 24 hours for the high dose.

The plasma curve  $\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}$  male and female rats receiving a single and multiple low dose(s) of [fluorophenyl-UL- $\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}$ ]flufenacet showed two peaks, the first peak one hour after dosing and a second lower peak 6 – 8 hours after dosing, indicating the presence of an enterohepatic circulation. In

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>, M. E., C. M., L. L., Y. (1995). The metabolism of FOE 5043 in rats.

Unpublished report of Miles Inc. Stilwell, KS, USA, now Bayer CropScience, Comp. No. M-002247-01-1.



a,

contrast, a low dose using the [thiadiazole- $2^{-14}$ C] label showed only one peak maximum 1 - 4 hours after administration.

For the fluorophenyl-label, the half-life of elimination  $T_{1/2}$  was relatively show at the low dose level amounting to 4 hours for a single dose. However,  $T_{1/2}$  was extended to 24 hours for male rats receiving the multiple doses and to 72 hours for the high dose. For the thiadiazole label, the elimination halflives were slightly longer for the low dose amounting to 6 – 8 hours and for the high dose amounting to 24 hours.

No sex difference and no influence of repeated dosing could be observed

Table 5.1.1- 1:	Averaged plasma levels in	n rats following	oraladminist	ration of	[fluoropheny]-UL-
	<sup>14</sup> C]flufenacet (mg equ/L,	mean of each 5	°animals)	S.	

		-	<u> </u>	<u> </u>		. d.
Time	Low Dose Males	Low Dose Females	High Dose	High Dose Females	Multiple Low Dose	Multiple Low Dose Females
10 min	0.055	0.035 🔊	ê124 👸	2,950 🔪	0.026	0.041
20 min	0.201	0.141	<b>5.295</b>	A.727	°~0.154	0.166
40 min	0.302	0.290	ر 7.722	6.447	0.300	0.354
60 min	0.312	0.361 🕎	້ <u></u> 7ີສັ09ູ≪	6.554	0.368	0.390
90 min	0.236	0.259_0	6:100	°~5.804 °~	0.314	0.286
2 hr	0.212	0.188	© 8.28 <b>6</b> √	5.015	0.243	0.224
4 hr	0.154 🔬	0,121	7.797 🖉	8.701	0.198	0.136
6 hr	0.240	0.163	14.306	19,756	0.251	0.138
8 hr	0.23 <b>9</b>	کې 0.19 <b>3</b>	<b>222.085</b>	27.874	0.283	0.164
24 hr	Q. <b>\$3</b> 2 %	0.031	్త్ 36.833	37.000	0.155	0.096
32 hr	<u></u> 0.093	<u>40.100</u>	32,549 ~	39.272	0.127	0.078
48 hr	<sup>(</sup> )0.0420	OÓ.054 Ô	َمَ <sup>2</sup> 0.206 <sup>م</sup> ر	24.396	0.070	0.054
72 hr	0.018	0.026	¢℃ 14.328	8.311	0.025	0.015
96 hr		0.016	¥ <u>-</u>	4.503	-	-



Time	Low Dose	Low Dose	High Dose
	Iviales	Females	
10 min	1.103	0.083	34.048
20 min	2.385	0.264 📎	° 111593 ~
40 min	3.104	0.659	160.034°~⁄
60 min	3.359	1.438	·∼ 165.2 <b>30</b> ×
90 min	3.341	1,786	🖓 173.790
2 hr	3.332	<u>2</u> 630 🖉	1,800.878
4 hr	2.430	Q <sup>7</sup> 2.734	∿_185.704
6 hr	1.654 🦼	2. <b>272</b>	× 154 <i>,3</i> 79
8 hr	1.174 🍣	10793 🖉	116,928 🚕
24 hr	0.135⁄~>	1.313 رُمْ	<u>4</u> 4.293
32 hr	0.070	0.246	<i>3</i> 4.363
48 hr	<b>0,92</b> 6	0,159	J 4.829
72 hr	0.022	v0.041	~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~
96 hr	6 - <sup>2</sup>	, k	2 - ~~
<b>~</b>	Y A	4 4 9	Q° b
Ś		Š Š	

## Table 5.1.1- 2: Averaged plasma levels in rats following oral administration of [thiadiazole-2 <sup>14</sup>C]flufenacet (mg equ/L, mean of each 5 animals)

Table 5.1.1- 3: Summary of toxicokinetic plasma parameters determined in rats following oral administration of [fluorophenyl-UL-146] and [thiadiazole-5-14C]flufenacet

· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	V _1	Qĭ	V	<u> </u>				
Č, Č	<u></u>	Calculate	d via Ex	(cel)	Calcu	lated via	TOPFIT	model
	Tmax	Çmax	1/2	<b>⊘AUC*</b> *	T <sub>max</sub>	C <sub>max</sub>	<b>T</b> <sub>1/2</sub>	AUC
	😽 (h) 💊	Qmg/L)*	/ (h) 🖑	(mg/L*h)	(h)	(mg/L)*	(h)	(mg/L*h)
		fluorophe	enýl-UL	- <sup>14</sup> C] label				
Low Dose, Males	Ň	@.312	4	7.38	nc	nc	nc	nc
Low Dose, Ferrales 🦉 🛛 🔬	ຸ 1 ູ ຮູ້	§0.361	<b>4</b>	7.63	nc	nc	nc	nc
High Dose, Males 🔿 🏾 🔿	240	36.8	72	1672.46	24.5	32.1	nc	2200
High Dose, Females	_ 32	<b>\$9</b> .3	72	1980.04	21.9	37.4	nc	2230
Multiple, Low Dose, Males	<mark>م ک</mark> ر ا	0.368	24	9.27	nc	nc	nc	nc
Multiple Low Dose, Females	1	0.390	4	6.14	nc	nc	nc	nc
ر (thiadiazole-2- <sup>14</sup> C] label								
Low Dose, Males	1	3.359	6	31.03	1	3.42	nc	30.9
Low Dose, Females	2	2.731	8	31.28	2.7	2.6	nc	28.4
High Dose, Males	4	185.7	24	3183.70	1.47	185	nc	3380

nc = not calculated

\* mg/L = mg parent equivalents per litre

\*\*AUC for 0 - 72 or 96 hours (0 - 48 hours for [thiadiazole-2-14C], low dose, females)



#### Rat metabolism study with [thiadiazole-5-14C]flufenacet

A new supporting rat metabolism study is summarised here which was not submitted with the original dossier and therefore not evaluated in the former EU review of flufenacet. The objective of this study was the identification of systemic label-specific metabolites originating from [thiadiazole-5-<sup>14</sup>C]flufenacet, particularly the formation of trifluoroacetate (TEA).

Report:	KCA 5.1.1/02, Bongartz, R., 2012
Title:	[Thiadiazole-5- <sup>14</sup> C]Flufenacet: Supportive Experiment for Identification of Metabolites in the Urine of the Rat
Document No:	M-441499-01-1
Report No:	EnSa-12-0439
Guidelines:	OECD Guideline 417/Toxicokinetics, adopted 22-July-2010
GLP	yes O S S S S

#### **Executive Summary**

A supportive study was conducted on the metabolism of [thiadiazofe- $5^{-14}$ C] in the rat to investigate polar metabolites. The test substance was orally given to four male rats per gavage at a dose rate of approximately 1 mg/kg bw. Urine and faeces were collected addifferent intervals up to 48 hours after dosing. Then, the anymals were sacrificed and blood plasma was also sampled.

Excretion was almost complete at the end of the study with renal excretion being the dominant route of elimination. Chromatographic profiling of the wine samples was similar with that of a former metabolism study of flufenacet in the ratio sing all three radiolabels. In this study, free thiadone was detected at a portion of 0.5% of the dose in the urine. An additional polar chromatographic fraction increased with the collection interval and reached the dominant portion of excreted residues by the last collection period (24 - 48 hours after dosing). This fraction was identified as trifluoroacetate. If all urine samples were pooled the trifluoroacetate metabolite accounted for approximately 10% of the administered cose of plufenacet. This metabolite was also detected in the plasma. It is therefore concluded that this metabolite is covered in toxicology studies of the parent substance flufenacet in the rat.

#### Material and methods

#### Test Material



#### **Document MCA: Section 5 Toxicological and metabolism studies** Flufenacet

•	
Structural formula	
	F ~ denotes the "C label"
	, o A X
Chemical name	N-(4-Fluoro-phenyl)-N-isoprops-2-(5-triffuoromethyl-[13,4]thiadiazol-
	2-yloxy)-acetamide (IUPAC);
	Acetamide, N-(4-Fluorophonyl)-N-(Y-methylethyl)-2-
	[[5-(trifluoromethyl)-1,3 4 <sup>2</sup> thiadiazol-2-yl]oxy]- ØCl; CAO)
Common name	Flufenacet
CAS RN	142459-58-3 &
Empirical formula	$C_{14}H_{13}F_4N_3O_2S$ (C)
Company code	FOE 5043 \$ 0 0 4 5
Molar mass (non-labelled)	363.34 g/mol
Label	[thiadiazole/5+ <sup>14</sup> C]Ffgfenace
Specific radioactivity	3.81 MBg/mg (103.04 mCh/g)
Radiochemical purity	>99% bOTLC and HPLG (radio detection)
Chemical purity	>99% by HPLO (UV detection at 210 mm)
<u>.</u>	
Test Animals	
<u>1 est 7 minuts</u>	

#### **Test Animals**

Species 🖉	Rat (Rattus horvegicus domesticus) A
Strain	Wishar Univer HseCpb: WU
Breeder 🗘	Harlan, The Netkorlands
Sex, number	4 male Cats
Body weight	Approx. 193 at administration and 199 g at sacrifice
Age 🚀 🍾	$6 \mathcal{A} $ weeks $\mathcal{A} \mathcal{A}$
Acclimatization	One weets before administration
Housing 🖏 🖓	Undividually in Makrolon metabolism cages allowing separation of urine
L L	and faces, 2)-23°C 53-68% relative air humidity, 12/12 h light/dark
L' G	cýle S O
Feed and water	Rat/mice ong life diet from Promivi Kliba AG, Switzerland, ad libitum;
	tap water, ad libitum
0 0	

### Preparation of the dosing/mixtures and administration

The radiolabelled test substance was suspended in water containing 2% Chremophor EL and magnetically stirred in a cold chamber overnight. This suspension was administered orally using a syringe attached in an animal-feeding knob cannula (gavage) at a dose rate of approximately 1 mg/kg bw. The exact dose rate of 0.99 mg/kg bw was determined from radioactivity measurement of the dosing solution, the tosed volume and the body weight of the animals.

#### Collection of urine and faeces

Urine was collected 4, 8, 24 and 48 hours after administration individually from each animal in cryogenic traps cooled with dry ice. At each sampling period the collection funnels were rinsed with water and the water added to the respective urine sample. For chromatographic analysis the individual

### Document MCA: Section 5 Toxicological and metabolism studies Flufenacet

urine samples of the same collection interval were combined. Faeces were sampled individually 24 and 48 hours after dosing into cryogenic traps and homogenized using a highspeed stirrer after addition of water at a ratio of 1:1. Each sample was radioassayed by LSC.

#### Sacrifice and sampling of plasma

The animals were sacrificed 48 hours after administration by exsanguination following anothezia by injection of Pentobarbital-Na. The blood was separated into blood cells and plasma by contribution. Proteins in the plasma were precipitated by addition of aceton trile at a ratio of 1.1.

#### Storage, processing and radioassaying of samples

All samples were storage at  $\leq$  -18°C until work-up. Radioactivity measurements (radioassaying) were conducted by liquid scintillation counting (LSC); aliquots of liquid samples were directly measured, aliquots of solid samples were first combusted using a sample oxidizer, the formed  $^{4}CO_{2}$  was absorbed in an alkaline scintillation cocktail and the resulting solution radioassa d by LSC.

Radio-chromatography and mass spectrometry of samples

The residues in urine and plasma were separated by radio-HPLC equipped with a UV detector (254 nm) and a radiomonitor with a solid schitillator. A RP18 column (250 x 4.6 ram, 5  $\mu$ m particles) was operated with a gradient solvent mixture of water/formic acid (99/b v/v) and acetonitrile/formic acid (99/1, v/v). Column recovery was proved to be complete by comparison of the eluted radioactivity with and without the separation column

For confirmation, the radioactive samples were also investigated by thin layer chromatography and evaluation of the developed plates by radioluminography (radio $^{2}$ TLC). TLC separation was achieved on silica gel 60 plates (20 x 20 cm) developed by a mixture of ethyl acetate/2-propanol/water/acetic acid (65/24/11/1, v/v/v/v)

Identification of the indicative metabolites was performed by combined HPLC/MS using a RP18 column (250 x 2 mm, 3  $\mu$ m particles) and a gradient mixture of water (containing 0.1% formic acid) and acetonitrile (containing 0.1% formic acid) for separation and an Orbitrap mass spectrometer using the electrospray ionization mode for identification. In some cases, NMR spectra were measured at 600 MHz. In addition, radiotabelled, reference standards were co-chromatographed to support identification.

Page 18 of 241 2014-03-19

**Document MCA: Section 5 Toxicological and metabolism studies** Flufenacet

**Bayer CropScience** 

#### Findings

#### Excretion of radioactive residues and plasma level at sacrifice

Following oral administration of approximately 1 mg/kg bw of [thiadiazole-5, C]flufenacet 89% of the radiolabelled dose had been excreted until sacrifice (48 hours after dosing). The predominant portion (83% of the dose) was excreted within 24 hours. 86.5% of the dose was excreted with the upper and approximately 2.8% of the dose with the faeces. These results are very somilar to those of the former metabolism study using radiolabelled flufenacet with three different label positions? In the blood plasma at sacrifice the residue level amounted to 0.224 mg equivalents kg (mg equ/kg),

#### Metabolic profile in urine (Table 5.1.1-4)

The radio-HPLC chromatograms of the urine samples collected at different intervals after dosing showed a similar metabolic profile. However, a very polar/fraction/(short elution time) comprising of three closely eluting peaks increased with the collection time, findly forforing the predominant metabolite fraction at the latest sampling period (24-48 hours after desing). This fraction was separately collected and re-analyzed by radio-TEC together with radiolabelled trifluoroacetate as reference standard. The TLC analysis strowed only one radioactive spot for the mixture of the urine fraction and the reference standard. It is therefore concluded that the polar HPUC fraction consisted of only one metabolite, i.e. trifluoroacetate (TFA, M45). In radio-HPIGy this fraction formed an artificial pattern of three peaks due to matrix effects of the urine. In a pooled urine sample collected 0 - 48hours after administration, the trifluor accetate metabolite accounted for approximately 10% of the oral dose. It can therefore be considered to be covered in toxicity tests of the parent substance in the rat.

Ô Another metabolite (No. 15) could be identified as FQE thiadone (M9) by co-chromatography with a respective (non-labeled) reference standard of accounted for approx. 6.5% of the oral dose. A number of additional metabolites were detected in the radio HPLO separations but not identified, because the objective of this study was to show the formation of trifluoroacetate from flufenacet in the rat metabolism, However identification of these unknown metabolites was conducted in the mentioned former study using radiolabelled flutenacet with the same (and other) label positions.

(1) n

#### Metabolic profile in the plasma

Radio-HPLC of plasma samples showed a boad zone in the polar region and a relatively sharp peak in the non-polar region. The polar fraction was collected and re-analyzed by radio-TLC revealing that it mainly consisted of triffuoroacetate (M45). The non-polar peak could not be identified due to its low portion in the plasma?

#### Conclusion «

Following oral administration of [thiadiazole-5-<sup>14</sup>C]flufenacet to rats most of the radioactivity was already excreted within 24 hours with renal excretion being the predominant route of elimination. The

<sup>,</sup> C.M., , Y. (1995): The metabolism of FOE 5043 in rats. Unpublished , M.E., , L.L., report 106665 of Miles Inc., Stilwell, KS, USA, now Bayer CropScience, Comp. No. M-002247-01-1.

#### Document MCA: Section 5 Toxicological and metabolism studies Flufenacet

**Bayer CropScience** 

excretion pattern was similar to that of a former study on the metabolism of radiolabelled flufenacet in the rat. Thiadone (M09) was detected in the urine up to 6.5% of the dose. An additional polar metabolite detected in urine and blood plasma revealed to be trifluoroacetate (M45) reaching a level of approximately 10% of the administered dose. Therefore, it is concluded that this metabolite is covered in toxicological studies of the parent substance.

					× ·	¥
			′ ू @olle	ection interv	ài 🖉	0
Peak	Metabolite/chromatographic region	0 - 46		~8 - 24¢	24 - 48h	0∕~√48h
			مۇ of ھر	se administ	ered	Ô
Excre	ted with urine	<b>6.37</b> °	∕ 34 <u>.</u> 8⊅	39.13 🖉	5.10 🖉	86.48
1	Trifluoro acetate, TFA (M45)	, ~ 70	~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~	🔍 0.25 🖉	0.08	0.33
2	Trifluoro acetate, TFA (M45)	0.37	<b>@</b> .96 🌾	3.51	3,24	8.08
3	Trifluoro acetate, TFA (M45)	0.09	Q 0.39	039	Ø.07	0.94
	Trifluoro acetate, TFA (subtotal 1-3)	0.46	1,35	, <b>∢</b> 4.14 _ (	3.39	9.34
4	region 1	{>	Ø.21	× 0.70	0.29	1.19
5	region 2	0231	<sup>م</sup> ر 0.46 م	0,40		1.07
6	region 3	<ul> <li>0.21 </li> </ul>	0.43	°,Q, 32		0.95
7	region 4	8.57	15,90	<b>1.69</b>	0.36	36.53
8	region 5	0.18	0 <sup>°</sup> ,41 Č	0.38		0.97
9	region 6	0.80 💡	<i>ି</i> 1.26 🖓	1.23	0.15	3.14
10	region 7	ا ي%_1.99	6.93	5.68	0.66	15.26
11	region 8	0.23	.0,53	0.42		1.18
12	region 9 🖉 🥳 🔬	2,00	3.68	2.06		7.74
13	region 10 or of a contract of	<u> </u>	0.14	0.19		0.52
14	region 11 🔊 🔍 🖓 🖉	్లి 0.09	0.18	0.08		0.35
15	FOE-thiadone (M9)	1.49	2.77	2.13	0.09	6.48
16	region 2 ~ ~ ~	Q.26	0.62	0.71	0.15	1.75
Total		ي 16.37	34.87	30.13	5.10	86.48
		© <sup>∛</sup>				

in toxicological	studies of the parent substance.		$\checkmark^{\circ}$	L	
		Š	<u>"</u> Ø`	$\bigcirc^{\vee}$	D,
Table 5 1 1 4.	Matchalia mufila in muine complex collected at differe		Mar a Ca da		K,
1 able 5.1.1-4:	Metabolic prome in urine samples collected at amere	ant interv	als alter	oral	F
	administration of 1 mg/kg bw of [thiadiazole-5-14C]flu	ufenacet	to rats		

### Remark about formation of trifluoroacchate under physiological conditions

Under physiological and environmental conditions metabolic formation of TFA does <u>not</u> result in trifluocoacetic acid (TFA-H), father than in formation of a trifluoroacetate salt (consists of TFA anion and counter cation). This is because of the very high acidity of TFA-H as characterized by its low pKa of  $1.3^3$  (for comparison,  $\phi$ Ka of acetic acid: 4.76) indicating a complete dissociation at a higher pH than 1.3

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> Winkler, S., 2011: Trifluoro acetic acid (AE C502988): Determination of the dissociation constant in water, unpublished report 20100672.02 of Siemens, Prozess-Sicherheit Frankfurt, Germany, for Bayer CropScience, Comp. No. M-4186298-01-1

#### **Document MCA: Section 5 Toxicological and metabolism studies** Flufenacet

During metabolic formation of TFA the acidity of the analyzed matrix (e.g. plasma, urine) does not change indicating that TFA is not present as free trifluoroacetic acid TFA-H. This is due to the low amounts formed compared to the significantly higher buffer capacity of the physiological medium (e.g. organs, tissues and body fluids). It is rather formed as TFA anion with an undefined counter cation depending on the physiological medium. Since the counter cation is undefined the TFA is usually denoted by the name of its parent acid, trifluoroacetic acid, keeping in minute that their salts are meant.

While the acid TFA-H is known to be highly irritant due to its high acidity. The TFA anion combined with a physiologically appearing cation behaves like an inert salt. Therefore, to reological evaluation must not be conducted with TFA-H, but with a TFA safe.

#### Comparative in-vitro metabolism

According to the data requirements published in the Commission Regulation (EW) No 283/2013 of 1-March-2013 a "comparative *in-vitro* metabolism study" should be performed for animal species to be used in pivotal studies and on human materials (microsomes of intact cell systems) in order to determine the relevance of the toxicological animal data …" However, no official test guideline of guidance exists at present. In these cases, warving of this particular data requirement is considered acceptable according to the "Guidance document for applicants on preparing dossiers for the approval of a chemical new active substance and the renewal of approval of the chemical active substance according to regulation (EU) No. 283/2013 an Oregulation (EU) No. 284/2013" (SANCO/10181/2013rev.2 of 2-May-2013).

Nevertheless, the similarity of the metabolism of flutenacet is man and laboratory animal used to simulate human metabolism, i.e. the or, was proestigated by prirst-tier approach using microsomes.

Report:	KČA 5.1.1703, <b>11</b> , 1., 2013
Title:	Thiadiazole-5 C]Flufepacet: Metabolic stability of profiling in liver microsomes
2	from rats and humans for inter-species comparison
Document No:	M 475336 01-1 4 0
Report No:	\$34338 of Hattan Laboratories, Barcelona, Spain, for Bayer CropScience,
	ÇĞermanıy 🖉 💭
Guidelines: O	No guideline available
GLP	

### Executive Summary

The comparative metabolism of [Thiadiazole-5-<sup>14</sup>C]Flufenacet (<sup>14</sup>C-Flufenacet) was investigated in animal *in-vitro* systems by incubating the test substance with liver microsomes from male Wistar rats and humans in the presence of NADPH cofactor. The test substance concentration was 15  $\mu$ M and the protein concentration 1 mg/mL. The temperature was 37°C and the incubation period 1 hour. The test duration of 1 hour was considered as reasonable because positive results were obtained from the enzymatic reaction of the reference substance testosterone to hydroxy-testosterone already after 10

#### Document MCA: Section 5 Toxicological and metabolism studies Flufenacet

minutes. Sampling of the test system was conducted at beginning and end of the incubation. Samples were radioassayed and analysed following protein precipitation by reversed phase radio-HPLC.

The recovery of radioactivity was measured in the microsome incubations at the end of incubation (1 hr) and amounted to > 100.5% of the applied radioactivity.

The metabolic activity of the microsomes was clearly demonstrated by determining hydroxytestosterone formed from testosterone by testosterone 66 hydrox Mase (positive) contred). This biochemical reaction is well-known for a CYP3A microsomal enzyme.

<sup>14</sup>C-Flufenacet was found to be highly stable during *hwitro* incubations with over more from either rats or humans. Three minor metabolites were detected after incubation at low amounts (> 4.5% of the applied radioactivity) with both, rat and human liver microsomes.

The conclusion of this *in-vitro* test with liver operators was that the metabolism of C-Flufenacet is comparable in rat and human. Material and methods
<u>Test Material</u>

Structural formula	$H_{3}C - CH_{4} + K + K + K + K + K + K + K + K + K + $
<u> </u>	
Chemical name	N-(4-Flyoro-phenyl)-N-isopropyl-2-(5-trifluoromethyl-[1,3,4]thiadiazol-
	O2-ylox@-acetaf@ide (IUPAC);
	Acetamide N-(4-F@orophenyl)-N-(1-methylethyl)-2-
	[[5-(triflewromethyl)-1,3,4-thiadiazol-2-yl]oxy]- (9Cl; CAS)
Common name	Flufence $^{\vee}$
CAS RN C	142459-58-2
Empirical formula	$C_{14}H_{13}F_4N_{20}S$
Company code	6 OE 5043
Molar mass (non-labelled)	/363.3,4 yg/mol
Label	[thiadiazole-5-14C]Flufenacet
Specific radioactivity	3.@t MBq/mg (103.04 mCi/g)
Radiochemical purity	>99% by TLC and HPLC (radio-detection)
Chemical purity	>99% by HPLC (UV detection at 210 nm)

#### **Test system**

Microsomes	Pooled liver microsomes from male Wistar rats and humans
Further ingredients	Diluted aqueous solutions of sodium phosphate buffer (pH 7.4),

### Document MCA: Section 5 Toxicological and metabolism studies Flufenacet

	magnesium chloride, and NADPH solution
Stop of the reaction	Addition of acetonitrile

#### Preparation of the stock and working solutions

<sup>14</sup>C-Flufenacet was dissolved in acetonitrile (stock solution). The stock solution was diluted with different amounts of a mixture of 50 mM aqueous ammonium acetate ( $pH^{+5}$ ) and acetonitrile (94) (working solution) to result in the test solution and a set of dilutions for establishment of the calibration curve for quantitative HPLC. The radioactive peak areas plotted against the injected radioactivity resulted in a linear calibration curve ( $r \ge 0.99$ ).

#### Sample preparation and incubation

<sup>14</sup>C-Flufenacet solutions were incubated separately with rat and human liver microsomes. 50  $\mu$ M of flufenacet were mixed with 350  $\mu$ L of 100 mM sodum phosphate buffer (pH 7.4) 300  $\mu$ L of 100 mM magnesium dichloride and 25  $\mu$ L of rat liver microsomes (20 mg protein/mL) of 19.5  $\mu$ L of human liver microsomes (26 mg protein/mL). After 2 minutes pre-heating to 37 °C 50  $\mu$ L of 60 mM NADPH (± 9%) were added to start the enzymatic reaction. The incubations were performed in a thermomixer device with shaking at 1000 rpm. The reaction was stopped after 1 hour by addition of 0.5 mL acetonitrile. The incubations with rat and human microsomes were conducted in triplicate.

For quality control, a stability test of <sup>14</sup>C flufenaeet in sodium phosphate buffer (pH 7.4) without microsomes and positive control test with invabation the reference substance testosterone in the microsome system were conducted. The metabolic activity of the microsomes was thus determined by formation of 68-hydroxytestosterone by the CYP3A microsomal system that is part of the liver microsomes. The quantitative determination of (non-labelled) 68-hydroxytestosterone was performed via a calibration curve of the HPLC signal and the injected amount.

#### Sample processing

After termination of the enzyme reaction the incubation mixture was centrifuged for 15 min at 16 000 g. The supermatant was removed and diluted with the starting mobile phase of radio-HPLC. These samples were directly chromatographed without further extraction.

#### Radioassaying and radio-chromatography

Radioactivity measurements (radioassaying) were conducted by liquid scintillation counting (LSC). Prior to LSC of the incubated samples these samples were centrifuged. An aliquot of the supernatant was removed and radioassayed

The radioactive components after microsome incubation were separated by radio-HPLC equipped with a UV detector (235 nm) and a flow-through radiomonitor with an admixture cell and liquid scintillator. A RP18 column (150 x 4.6 mm, particle size 5  $\mu$ m) was operated at 40°C with a gradient mixture of 50 mM ammonium acetate solution (pH 5.0) and acetonitrile.



#### Findings

#### Positive metabolism control

Formation of  $6\beta$ -hydroxytestosterone from testosterone demonstrated sufficient metabolic capability of the microsome batches used in the study. Testosterone 6  $\beta$ -hydroxylase activity amounted to 1256.4 pmol/mg/minute (male rat liver microsomes) and 3102.8 pmol/mg/minute (peoled human liver microsomes).

#### Recovery of radioactivity

The mean recovery of radioactivity in the incubation mixtures was found to be 29.3% and 102.6% of the applied radioactivity in rat and human liver microsomes at the beginning and 100.5% in tat and 100.7% in human liver microsomes at the end of the ncubation, respectively.

#### Metabolic profile after incubation with microsoftes

The results of the tests demonstrated that <sup>14</sup>CF lufenacet is highly metabolically stable due to *in-vitro* incubations with liver microsomes from either rats of humans, in which 94.4% and 95.5% of the initial <sup>14</sup>C-Flufenacet remained unchanged after 1-hour incubation, respectively

The metabolism of flufenacet was very similar in the rat and human liver microsome system. Three minor metabolites were detected (Pable 50.1-5):

#### Flu-1: 4.5% of applied in the rat and 0.9% in the human system.

- Flu-2: < LOQ in the rat and 1.7% in the human system
- Flu-3: 1.0% in the rat and 2.0% in the human system.

Overall, the results of this comparative set suggest that phase-I metabolism is not significantly involved in the botransformation of flucture in vat and human liver microsomes.

#### Conclusion

<sup>14</sup>C-labelled florenace was incubated of with rat and human liver microsomes for one hour at 37°C. This comparative *invitro* test suggested that Dufenacet is highly metabolically stable with both rat and human liver microsomes. Three minor metabolites were formed similarly in both test systems not exceeding 4.5% of the applied radioactivity.

O

**Bayer CropScience** Document MCA: Section 5 Toxicological and metabolism studies Flufenacet

#### Table 5.1.1- 5: Metabolic profile of [thiadiazole-5-14C] flufenacet in rat and human liver microsomes

Origin of the	Incubation period	Unchanged Flufenacet	Flu-1	Flu	۶ <b>Flu-3</b>	
microsomes	[min]	[% of app	lied 14C-Flufer	nacet radioac	tivity]	Ò
Det	0	100	0	Ô7 0 👋		V 1
Rat	60	94.4	~4:5 ~	< LOQ*	الآرمي 1.0	
	0	100	0 0	<u>`</u> ~0 ×	V 0°~>	
Human	60	95.5	<sup>ر</sup> ي 0,9%	ر 1.7 <i>ر</i> (0	<b>2</b> 0	0
Buffer control	60	100 0			00	

\* LOQ = 299 dpm corresponding to 0.001 og flutenacet equivalents or 1.2% of appred

### Absorption, distribution, metabolismand excretion by other routes

ADME studies using other than the oral intake route were not conducted and not deemed to be needed since a high degree of oral absorption was concluded from remained excretion of radiolabelled metabolites and the exhalation of radiolabelled carbon dioxide and methane following oral administration of





CA 5.2 Acute toxicity

#### Summary of acute toxicity studies

Flufenacet has a low to moderate order of acute toxicity by the oral route, and a low order of acute toxicity by the dermal and inhalation routes of exposure.

It is not irritating to the skin, and essentially non-irritating to the eyes. The results of the dernal sensitization studies revealed equivocal evidence of a sensitization potential. Both Maximization tests on guinea pigs were positive, the more practice relevant Buepler Patch Test on guinea pigs and the Local Lymph Node assay on mice were negative. Furthermore, flutenacet does not show a phototoxic potential.

	-			
Route/Study	Species	Sex	Result	Reference
Oral <sup>1)</sup>	Rat	M F	LDG 165 <sup>Pmg/kg/bw</sup> & 589 <sup>mg/kg/bw</sup>	&, 1993 M-00#865-0201
Oral <sup>2)</sup>	Rat	M	LD <sub>50</sub> : 683 mg/kg bw	, 1992 № -0048@ -01-1
Oral	Mouse		LD 7 1331 mg/kg bw . 	M-00#850-01-1
Dermal	Rat	M F Ĉ	LD <sub>50</sub> : >2000 mg/kg by >2000 mg/kg by	, 1992 №004843-01-1
Inhalation (aerosol, 4h)	Rat X		LC >3740 mg/m <sup>5</sup> >3740 mg/m <sup>3</sup>	M-004844-01-1
Skin irritation	Rabbit	M	Not irritating	& , 1992 M-004846-01-1
Eye irritation	Rabbir	M ♥	Not irritating Ø	& , 1992 M-004847-01-1
Skin sensitisation	Guinea pig	M	ordi sensiti žing	&, 1992 M-004845-01-1
Skin sensitisation	Guinea pig		Sensitizing	, 1994 M-004637-01-1
Skin sensitisation	Guinea ( pig 🕡	¢۴ د	Sensitizing	, 1995 M-004677-01-1
Skin sensitization Local lymph node assa	Mouse	F	Not sensitizing	, 2004 M-090513-01-1
In vitro 3T3 XRU	BALB/c 3073 cells		100ℓ phototoxic ≫	, 2013 M-464615-01- <u>1</u>

 Table 5.2-1:
 Summary of acute toxicity studies\*

\* New studies, i.e. studies previously not submitted, are written in bold

 $M = m_{e}k^{2}$ ,  $F = f_{e}male$ ; <sup>1)</sup> minute were fasted (overnight); <sup>2)</sup> animals were non-fasted

# 

All necessary acute oral toxicity studies were presented and evaluated during the EU process for Annex I listing. Please refer to the Monograph and the baseline dossier of flufenacet.



**Document MCA: Section 5 Toxicological and metabolism studies Flufenacet** 

#### CA 5.2.2 Dermal

All necessary acute dermal toxicity studies were presented and evaluated during the EU process for Annex I listing. Please refer to the Monograph and the baseline dossier of flufenaget.

#### CA 5.2.3 Inhalation

All necessary acute inhalation toxicity studies were presented and evaluated during the EV process for Annex I listing. Please refer to the Monograph and the baseline dossier of flutenacet

#### CA 5.2.4 Skin irritation

All necessary skin irritation studies were presented and evaluated during the EU process for Annex I listing. Please refer to the Monograph and the baseline dossier of flufenacet.

#### CA 5.2.5 Eye irritation

All necessary eye irritation studies were presented and evaluated during the EU process for Annex I listing. Please refer to the Monograph and the Daseline dossier of flute pacet.

#### CA 5.2.6 Skin sensitization

In addition to the skin sensitization studies described in the Morograph and baseline dossier of flufenacet, a Maximization test was conducted using a different (pure) flufenacet batch and a Local Lymph Node Assay according to the new testing guideline.

Report:	; <b>199</b> 5;M-004677-01
Title: FOR 043-Study	for the skin sensitization effect in guinea pigs
Maximization test	Magnusson and Kligman)
Report No: 🖉 🎒 🥸 🖉	
Document No: M-004077-01-	
Guidelines: 🥠 OECD 406@EC G	uddeline 92/69, Method B.6.; US-EPA-FIFRA §81-;
Deviations: none	
GLP/GEP:	<sup>A</sup>
	×~
A C C I.I	Naterials and methods
A. Materials Strategy O	
1. Test materialsz	
Name: Name:	FOE 5043
Description:	white powder
Lot/Batch no	920902ELB01
Purity:	99.5% (w/w)
Stability of test compound:	guaranteed for study duration; expiry date: 1995-04-30
2. Vehicle:	physiological saline solution containing 2% v/v Cremophor EL®

3. Test animals:

the fotowing exceptions

#### Document MCA: Section 5 Toxicological and metabolism studies Flufenacet

Species:	Guinea pig
Strain:	Hsd Win:HD
Age:	5 – 7 weeks
Weight at dosing:	279 – 374 g
Source:	Germany
Acclimatisation period:	At least seven days
Diet:	"Altromin®3020 - Maintenance Diet for Guinea Pigs") (Altromin GmbH, Gage, Germany), ad libitum
Water:	Tap water, ad lifetum a lifetum
Housing:	conventional on type IV Makrolon Cages; maptation: in
	groups of five; study period on groups of two or three per
	Spezialdiaeten OnbH, Soest, Germany)
B. Study design and methods	
1. Animal assignment and treatment	
Dose	
Intradermal induction:	25% ( $=20$ mg test substance/aniphal)
Topical induction:	50% = 250 mg test substance animal)
1 <sup>st</sup> Challenge:	50% (= 250 mg test substance/animal)
	25% ( $= 125$ mg test substance/animal)
2 <sup>nd</sup> Challenge	$^{\prime}$ 12% (= 60 mg test substance animal)
Application router	6% (- 50 mg test supstance animal)
Application route	intradermaline entral
Application volume.	topical induction clothenge: 0.5 mL/injection
Duration:	topical induction; 48 hours, challenge: 24 hours
Group size: ~~	30 males (test item: 20, control: 2x10, dose-range finding:
Observations. O' O	mortality, clinical signs, skin effects, body weight (at
	beginning and termination of study)
	Kesturs and discussion
Appearance and behaviour of the test sy	distance group were not different from the control group with

After the  $2^{nd}$  induction, on day 9 four animals showed encrustations on the treatment areas, on day 10 eight animals showed encrustations on the treatment areas. The encrustations had healed by day 14 in five animals, by day  $3^{5}$  in one animal, by day 16 in two animals, by day 17 in two animals, by day 20 in two animals.

 $\bigcirc$ 

After the 1<sup>st</sup> challenge, 6 (30%) of the test substance animals responded with "slight localized" to "moderate confluent" redness to the 50% test substance formulation, 7 (35%) of the test substance



animals responded with "slight localized" to "severe" redness to the 25% test substance formulation. There were no skin reactions in the control group.

After the 2<sup>nd</sup> challenge, 5 (25%) and 6 (30%) of the test substance animals responded with "slight localized" to "moderate confluent" redness to the 12% and 6% test substance formulation, respectively. There were no skin reactions in the control group.

No mortalities occurred. The body weight development of the treatment group animals corresponded to that of the first control group. Table 5.2.6/03-1: Number of animals exhibiting skin effects

				~ ~ ~ ~ ~
Table 5 2 6/02 1.	Number	of animala	arhibiting	alvia offect
1 able 5.2.0/05-1:	Number	OI AIIIIIAIS	exhibiting	<b>зки</b> енеси
		0 - 00		

	Те	est item	group (2	0 anima		N 10	Søntrol 9	roup 🕅	) anima	š)
	Tes	t item p	atch	Contro	d patch	<b>Tes</b>	t item pa	atch	Contr	ol patch
Hours	48	72	Total	48	72	48	72	Total	<u>4</u> 8	72
1 <sup>st</sup> Challenge					al.	No.	L)	Ū.	.0	
50%	6	5	6	~~0	ڻ¥0 ۾	0 0	∢ 0, √	<u>م</u> ر آ	0	0
25%	6	6	7 🖞	<sup>ال</sup> 0 ر	0		40 <sup>×</sup>	.0×	0	0
2 <sup>nd</sup> Challenge				. Ô	Ô,	S		<u>"</u> O"		
12%	5	3	5	<u>`</u>	S Ø	<u>6</u>	$0^{3}$	0	0	0
6%	6	4	<i>\$</i> 6	0	<sup>س</sup> ر ا	0	🎽 0 🥎	<i>0</i>	0	0
			Y	Y A	, 0	)  Q	a			

#### III. Conclusions

After the 1st challenge the 50% and 25% test substance formulations led to skin redness in 30% and 35% of the test animals, respectively there were no sen reactions in the control group.

After the 2<sup>nd</sup> challinge the 2% and 6% test substance for julations led to skin redness in 25% and 30% of the test acomals.

Thus, flufenager exhibits a skin-sensitization potential onder the conditions of the maximization test.

Ô

Report:	0; (2004;M-090513-01
Title:	DE 5043 - Local lympty node assay in mice (LLNA/IMDS)
Report No: AT	10/491 0° in
Document No: 🖉 M-	090513-01-1
Guidelines: O	CD 406; Guideline 96/54/EC, Method B.6; US-EPA 712-C-03-197,
۱۹ <u>۵٬ ۲</u> ۵٬ ۲	PT\$\$870.2600;
🔊 🔬 De	viations: none
GLP/GEP: ve	Õ 🔍
V A	11 (D)2
J.	I. Materials and methods
A Matariala	
A. Materials	
1. Test materials:	
Name:	FOE 5043
Description:	Beige-brown solid
Lot/Batch no:	EDHB001715

#### Document MCA: Section 5 Toxicological and metabolism studies Flufenacet

Purity: 97.5% (w/w) Stability of test compound: guaranteed for study duration; expiry date: 2004-12-22 Acetone/olive oil, 4:1 2. Vehicle: 3. Test animals: Species: NMRI mouse Strain: Hsd Win:NMRI 10 - 11 weeks Age: Weight at dosing: 26 - 33 g Source: Acclimatisation period: At least seven days "PROVIMI KelBA & 3883 maintenance det for rest and Diet: mice (Proximi Kliba SA, Kaiseraugst, Switzerland), ad libitum 🔍 Water: Tap water, ad Libitum Adantation: Conventional in Makroson type II cages, up to Housing: 8 marce per cage; study period: in type II cages, one animal per cage Deddip 2 low-dust wood granulate ( J. Rettenmaier & Soehne Fuellstoff-Fabriken (Rosenberg, Germany) **B.** Study design and methods 1. Animal assignment and treatment 2%-10%-50% Dose Epicuraneously onto the dorsal part of both ears Application route: Application volume uL/ear Duration: hree consecutive da Group size: 6 females/group Observations Local lymph node weight, cell count determination, ear welling ear weight, body weight (at beginning and ermination of study) **Results and discussion** The body weights of the animals were pot affected by any treatment.

Slight significant decreases compared to vehicle treated animals regarding cell counts and ear weight were detected in the highest dose group. The "positive level" of ear swelling which is  $2x10^{-2}$  mm increase, r.e. about 10% of the control values, has also not been exceeded in any dose group. No substance specific effects were determined for ear weights, too.

Overall the NMRL mice did not show an increase in the stimulation indices for cell counts or for weights of the depining lomph nodes after application of the test item flufenacet. The "positive level" which is 1.35 for the cell count index was never reached or exceeded in any dose group.

The study indicates that the LLNA/IMDS does neither point to a non-specific (irritating) nor to a specific immuno-stimulating (sensitizing) potential of the test item.



Bar charts (weight and cell count) for the LLNA Figure 5.2.6/04-1:



No activation of the cells of the immune system via dermatoroute was determined after application of up to and including 50% flufenacet. Therefore, the concentration of 50% turned out to be the NOEL for the parameters investigated in this study.

Flufenacet shows neither an irritating, nor a sensitizing potential in mice after dermal application.

#### CA 5.2.7 Phototoxicity

According to the new data requirements (COMMISSION REGULATION (EU) No 283/2013 of 1 March 2013; Official Journal of the European Upon, L. 2/1, 3.4.2013) (1), the conduct of a phototoxicity study required under certain conditions.

The Circumstances in which a phototosicity study, according to the new data requirements is required is "where the active substance absorbs electromagnetic radiation in the range 290-700 nm and is liable to reach the eyes or light-exposed areas of skin, either by direct contact or through systemic distribution. If the Ultraviolet/vivible motar extinction/absorption coefficient of the active substance is less than 10 bx mol-lix cm, 1, no toxicity testing is required."

As the Killraviolet visible molar extinction/absorption coefficient of the active substance exceeds the trigger of 10 2 x mor-1 x on-1 a sytotoxicity assay in vitro with BALB/c 3T3 cells has been A A C performed.«



Document MCA: Section 5 Toxicological and metabolism studies Flufenacet

Report:	KCA 5.2.7 /01;		, A.;201	3;M-464615-01				
	Neutral red (NR) te	est during sim	ay in viti iultaneoi	as irradiation with artificial sunlight				
Report No:	1561200							
Document No:								
Guidelines:	Description Regulation (EC) No. 440/2006, D41; Committee of A							
	Deviations: none	cillar i rouuc		II ) CI WII / 54 1/52 001, OECD 452.				
GLP/GEP:	yes		Ő					
	I. I	Materials an	d metho	ds y y y g y				
A. Materials			¢٣					
1. Test materials:		Å						
Name:		Flufenacet	C C					
Synonyms:		FOE 5043, A	LE 133	$462$ $0^{\circ}$ $0^{\circ}$ $0^{\circ}$				
Description:		Lightbeige	) wwder					
Lot/Batch no:	:	NK61CK06	50					
Purity:		98.18% (w/v	v) 🖑					
Stability of te	est compound:	guaranteed f	or study	Juration expire date: 2014-07-16				
2. Vehicle and or p	ositive control:	Solvent con	trol: 🛱	rle's Balanced Salt Solution (EBSS)				
		Containing 1	% (v/v)	dimethylsulføxide (DMSO).				
	, Š	Positive co	ntrol: cl	hlorproprogrammazine (Sigma) dissolved in				
	S L	EBSS "						
3. Test system:								
Culture medi	um	Dulbecco's l	Minamal	Essential Medium (DMEM) supple-				
	o , or	mented with						
Cell cultures:		BAREB/C AL		clone 31 (supplied by				
		4 1 arga stealsa	, Gel	$\frac{111111}{2}$				
Į S	x x ~	line are stor	in lia	uid nitrogen in the cell bank of Harlan				
* * ~		CGR. A wor	king cel	l stock is produced by multiplying from				
,		the master of	cell stoc	k. Thawed stock cultures were propa-				
, Ô		gated at 37	$\pm 1.5$ °C	C in 75 cm <sup>2</sup> plastic flasks. Seeding was				
	g y É	done with a	bout 1 x	10° cells per flask in 15 mL DMEM,				
		supplemente	a with	10% NCS. Cells were sub-cultured cell cultures were incubated at $37 +$				
		$1.5 ^{\circ}\text{C}$ in a 7	$.5 \pm 0.5$	% carbon dioxide atmosphere.				
B. Study design and	Pinetholds . O			r i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i				
1. Treatment								
Dose:	O m	Test item	+/ <b>-</b> UV	Final concentrations in µg/mL				
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	A	Flufenacet	+/_	1.95, 3.91, 7.81, 15.63, 62.50, 125.0, 250.0				
Ő	y"	Positive	+	6.25, 12.5, 25, 37.5, 50, 75, 100, 200				
J. J		control	_	0.125, 0.25, 0.5, 0.75, 1.0, 1.5, 2.0, 4.0				
		Solvent	+/_	EBSS containing 1 % (v/v) DMSO				

control

The test item flufenacet was dissolved in DMSO. The final concentration of the solvent in EBSS was 1 % (v/v).

Document MCA: Section 5	Toxicological	and m	etabolism	studies
Flufenacet				

Solar simulator:	Irradiation was performed with a Dr. Hönle Sol 500 solar simulator. The filter H1 was used to keep the UVB irradiation as low as possible. The produced wavelength of the solar simulator with the filter was \$20 nm. Due to the inhomogeneous distribution of irradiation intensity the UVA intensity was measured at the complete area with a UV- meter. The homogeneous area was marked and the cultures were irradiated in this area. The solar simulator was switched on about 30 minutes prior to the start of experiment. The absorption spectrum of the test item was determined in the range from 270-800 nm. The test item showed absorption below 330 nm.
Seeding of cultures:	2 x 10 <sup>4</sup> cells per wellowere seeded in 100 µL culture medi- um in two & well plates
Replicates:	2 (one for exposure to invadiation, one for treatment in the dark) $\sim$
Treatment & irradiation:	24 b after seeding the cultures were washed with EBSS. 100 $\mu$ L of solved test item were added/well and the plates were pre-incubated for phour in the dark. Afterwards one plate was irradiated at 2.55 - $27$ mW/cm <sup>2</sup> (7.65 - 8.1 J/cm <sup>2</sup> ) for $\Omega$ min $\pm 22$ min at 20-30 °C, the other plate was stored for 50 min $\pm 2$ min at 20-30 °C in the dark. Test item was fermoved and both plates were washed with EBSS. Fresh culture medium was added and the plates were incubated about 21 5 hours at $32 \pm 1.5$ °C and 7.5 ± 0.5 % CO <sub>2</sub>
Cytotoxicity determination	For measurement of Neutral Red uptake the medium was removeD and 0 UmL serum-free medium containing 50 µg Neutral Red OnL were added to each well. The plates were incluated for another 3 hours at 37°, before the medium was removed completely and the cells were washed with EBSS. For extraction of the dye 0.15 mL of a solution of 49% (v/v) deionized water, 50% (v/v) ethanol and 1% (v/v) acetic acid were added to each well. After approximately 10 minutes at room temperature and a brief agitation, the plates were transferred to a microplate reader (Versamax®, Molecular Devices) equipped with a 540 nm filter to determine the ab- sorbance of the extracted dye. This absorbance showed a linear relationship with the number of surviving cells. Flufenacet and positive control: 6 times per concentration Solvent control: 12 times
	The mean absorption $(OD_{540})$ value per concentration was calculated. The ED <sub>50</sub> values were determined by curve fitting by software. The Photo-irritancy factor (PIF), as well as the Mean Phototoxic effect (MPE) was calculated according to OECD guideline 432.
Evaluation criteria:	PIF <2 or MPE <0.1 $\rightarrow$ no phototoxic potential

→ probable phototoxic potential
 → phototoxic potential

MPE >0.1 and <0.15 PIF >5 or MPE >0.15

PIF >2 and <5 or



#### **II. Results and discussion**

In the range finding experiment (RFE) no cytotoxic effects were observed after exposure of the cells to the test item flufenacet, neither in the presence nor in the absence of irradiation to-artificial sunlight. Therefore, ED<sub>50</sub>-values and PIF could not be calculated. The resulting MPE alue was 0.054?

In the main experiment (ME) the highest test item concentration of 250 µg/mL cansed a cytotoxic effect in the presence and absence of light. The cell viabilities decreased below the threshold for cytotoxicity of 70% (11.60% and 27.72%). The calculated PIF- and MPE-values were 1.08 and -0.040, respectively.

In the confirmatory experiment (CE) the cytotoxic effect at the highest concentration was confirmed. The cell viability was not reduced below 50% without pradiation. The Dan EDG-, as well as the PIFvalue could not be determined. The MPE was 0.032. Õ

MPE-values in all experiments were <0(1). In the main experiment where a PJF-value could be calculated, the PIF was <2. Thus, flufenacet does not possess any phototoxic potential.

The mean of solvent control values of the irradiated versus the non-irradiated group met the acceptance criteria. The positive control chorpromazine induced prototoxicity in the expected range The results are summarised of the tables below.

#### Document MCA: Section 5 Toxicological and metabolism studies Flufenacet

	<b>OD</b> 540 v	vith artificial	sunlight		<b>OD</b> <sub>540</sub> w	OD <sub>540</sub> without artificial sunlight		
Con-	Mean	SD	% of	Con-	Mean	SD	% of solvent	
centration			solvent	centration		<i>a</i> ,	control	
[µg/mL]			control	[µg/mL]		~ ~	C	
			Treatment w	ith flufenacet		y al	Ő Ø	
Solvent	0.8965*	0.0913	100.00	Solvent	0.8988*	0.1405	100.00	
control				control	<u> </u>	NO A		
1.95	0.9317	0.0712	103.93	1.95	0.8871∕	0.0889	98.70	
3.91	0.9391	0.0996	104.75	3.91	0.8987	y 0.0896	<b>^%9</b> .98	
7.81	0.9556	0.0992	106.59	7.81	₅ <b>0</b> ©101 🥵 🏷	0.0893	× 101.25	
15.63	0.9719	0.0612	108.41	1,5063	0.8897	0.0729	§ 98. <b>9</b> 8	
31.25	0.9637	0.0738	107.50	JY.25 0	0.8761	<b>0</b> 0706 0	97,47	
62.50	0.9037	0.0809	100.81	£ 62.50	0.8671	0.0816	<b>26</b> .47	
125.0	0.8373	0.0855	93.39	Q 125.0	0,8035	0.0400	Ø89.39	
250.0	0.1040	0.0216	11.60 🔬	2500	0.2491	0,0246	27.72	
		Treatmer	nt with positive	control chlor	promazine		<i>V</i>	
Solvent	0.7585*	0.0337	100.00	Solvent Q	0.8954*	<b>0</b> 0.1066	100.00	
control				control				
0.125	0.6415	0.0260	84,57	6.25	Ø.9295 🥎	0.00079	103.80	
0.250	0.3976	0.0362	\$2.41	12.50	0.5612	<b>A</b> 113	62.67	
0.500	0.0748	0.0138 (	9.87	× 25.00	0.0677	്റ്റ്0.0058	7.56	
0.750	0.0671	0.0064	8:85 2	× 37.50℃	<b>005</b> 07 <u></u>	0.0022	5.66	
1.000	0.0660	0.0013	<b>\$</b> 70	50,00	<i>6</i> 0.0492 <sup>≫</sup>	0.0037	5.49	
1.500	0.0705	0.0079	≫ <sup>9.29</sup> 0 <sup>×</sup>	\$25,00	¥0.050Ø	0.0025	5.66	
2.000	0.0726	0.0213	9.57	190.00	0.0483	0.0016	5.40	
4.000	0.0725	@.0094	° 9,56	200.00	010493	0.0016	5.50	

#### Table 5.2.7/01-1: OD<sub>540</sub> values Neutral Red assay of the main experiment

### Document MCA: Section 5 Toxicological and metabolism studies Flufenacet

	OD <sub>540</sub> with artificial sunlight				OD <sub>540</sub> without artificial sunlight			
Con-	Mean	SD	% of	Con-	Mean	SD	% of solvent	
centration			solvent	centration		Q.	control	
[µg/mL]			control	[µg/mL]				
			Treatment w	ith flufenacet	2	Y		
Solvent	0.6524*	0.0527	100.00	Solvent	0.7331	0,0500	100.00	
control				control		, Ø		
1.95	0.6786	0.0545	104.01	1.95 🐎	° 0.73∲0	<u>`</u> 0.0394	99.70	
3.91	0.6947	0.0706	106.48	3.910	0-7518 %	0.0233	°aj02.55	
7.81	0.7241	0.0627	110.99	7, SY	0.7561 🖇	0.0259	103.14	
15.63	0.7191	0.0390	110.23	15,63	×0.7559×	0,0336	v 103∢10°	
31.25	0.7162	0.0434	109.78	<b>3</b> 1.25	0.7924	69.01530	168:08	
62.50	0.7008	0.0526	107.42	62. <u>5</u> 00	0.9474	© 0.030	£91.94	
125.0	0.6430	0.0272	98.56 🛦	125.0	° 0.7503	0.0205	@102.35	
250.0	0.1082	0.0125	16.59	× 200.0	≪) 0.420 <del>7</del> √	Ø\$\$210	© 57.39	
		Treatmer	nt with positive	control chlor	promázine	. O	1	
Solvent	0.7221*	0.0461	100.00	Solvent O	0.6932*	🖉 0.029 <b>7</b> ″	100.00	
control				<b>€eontrol</b> © <sup>≫</sup>				
0.125	0.6192	0.0437	<b>3</b> 3.75 C	6.25	0.6504 V	<b>69</b> 322	93.82	
0.250	0.0684	0.0055	Q 9.47	12.50 🕺	0.5608	Q.0328	81.19	
0.500	0.0894	0.0194	≥° 12,3© <sup>°</sup>	25.00 <sup>©</sup>	0 (239	¢، 0.0430	17.87	
0.750	0.0778	0.0142	1.0.77 %	37.50	@0512 °~	0.0023	7.38	
1.000	0.0812	0.0172	¢1.25	50.00	0.0492	0.015	7.09	
1.500	0.0749	0.016	~10.37 ×	× 73.00	≶∕ 0.05840	0.0087	7.78	
2.000	0.0653	0.0052	9.04	¢ <u>00.00</u> ¢	Q. <b>Q</b> 93	0.0022	7.11	
4.000	0.0770	0237	10,66	200.00	0.0521	0.0055	7.51	

#### Table 5.2.7/01-2: OD<sub>540</sub> values Neutral Red assay of the confirmatory experiment

\* mean OD<sub>540</sub> out of 12 wells

# Table 5.2.7/01-3: Summary of results of the Neutral Red assay

	Substance	ED570+UV)	ED50 (GUV)	≈ PIF	MPE	% viability of solvent control of irradiated vs. non-irradiated plate	
Range	Flutenacet	<u>0</u>	×~		0.054	93.4	
finding experiment	Positive s	46	1133	24.92	0.594	108.0	
Main	Plufenacet	175.7	<b>\$88.0</b>	1.08	-0.040	99.7	
experiment	Positive	0.5	م مي 13.38	54.40	0.740	84.7	
Confirmedor	Flufenace	181.5 @	r		-0.032	89.0	
experiment	Bositive control	0.160	17.85	110.09	0.690	104.2	
III. Conclusions							

Based on the study results flufenacet does not possess a phototoxic potential.



#### CA 5.3 Short-term toxicity

ð

#### Summary of short-term toxicity studies

Short term oral toxicity of flufenacet was investigated in the rat (90-day toxicity study), in the mouse (90-day toxicity study) and in the dog (90-day and 1-year toxicity studies). In all three species, the main target organs were liver, thyroid, kidney, the hematopoietic and nervous systems indicated by changes in clinical chemistry, organ weights and/or histopathological findings. The comparative species differences in toxicological profile, find the rat and the mice similar in primary and secondary target organs, but a sensitivity of certain cell types was observed in the dog as evidenced by histopathological lesions of vacuoles in the brain after 90-day exposure. After 1-year exposure of flufenacet to dogs minimal to moderate vacuolization of the ciliary body epithelum and cystic vacuolization of the peripheral optic retina was observed and a minimal to moderate axonopathy was noted in the brain, spinal cord and sciatic nerve of dogs. Specialized testing such as computerized electrocardiograms, clinical neurological examination, and quantifative electroereephalography revealed a number of compound-related effects.

Alterations in circulating serum thyroit hormones thytoxine (74) and triiodothyronine (T3) were observed in each species and were considered indicative of hepatic interference. Primary haematological parameters affected by treatment in each species included changes in erythrocytes, platelets, haemoglobin, and haematocrit concentrations. Histopathological findings generally correlated with alterations in organ weights.

A decrease in body weight gain was observed at higher dose levels only in the 90-day rat study at 191/127 mg/kg bw/day in males/females. There were no meaningful body weight changes in mice and dogs. However, decreased terminal body weights were noted in the 1-year dog study at 62/27 mg/kg bw/day in males/females.

In a subacute dermal poxicity study to rats, findings included a decrease in thyroxine (T4) and free thyroxine (FT4) levels, an increase in liver weights, and histopathological findings of the liver. A high-dose recovery group treated similarly with dufenacet demonstrated a complete recovery from all responses to treatment by two weeks after the final application.

The liver was also the primary target organ after subacute (5x 6hours and 20x 6hours) inhalation exposure with secondary effects on the thyroid hormone levels. Increased liver weights with correlating clinical- and histopathological findings were observed. The inhalation toxicity studies revealed also alterations in the nasal cavity and larynx, in kidney-, hematologic/spleen-, and thyroid-related endpoints.
Document MCA: Section 5 Toxicological and metabolism studies	,
Flufenacet	

Study	Sex	NO(A)EL	LO(A)EL	Main findings seen at LO(A)EL	Reference
Study	SCA	mg/kg	bw/day		iterer enec
Rat	М	1000		No adverse effects noted.	&
21-day	F	1000		T4 $\downarrow$ , liver findings considered adaptive(response)	, 1995
dermal				to treatment.	M-00#981-01-1
Rat	M, F	~14	~66	T4↓	
1-week		48 mg/m <sup>3</sup>	225 mg/m <sup>3</sup>	Liver: rel. weight ↑ 🛛 🖉 🔗	2008
inhalation					M-300005-01-1
Rat	M, F	~7	~81	HB↓, HCT↓, RETO ↑, HEANZ ↑, AP↓, T&↓,	, 2008
4-week		19 mg/m <sup>3</sup>	220 mg/m <sup>3</sup>	Liver: enzymes 1, rel. weight 1, spleen: weight	M-302961-01-1
inhalation				1, histopathological changes in pasal cavity and	
				larynx, spleen, testes, thyroid, liver	
Rat	М	<sup>a)</sup>	6.0	HB↓, T4↓, @LUC C _ C _ C _ C	&
90-day	F	7.2	29	Liver: weight 1, hepatocellufar swelling, cell	, 1995
feeding				degeneration or pectosis; spleen: brown granular	MG-004999-01-1
				pigment accumptation within red pulp; kidoey:	
				mildrenal provimal tubale injury	2
Mouse	М	18	64	$T_{4}$	
90-day	F	25	91	Brver: ref. weight and a set of the set of t	& , 1995
feeding			Ń		M-004985-01-1
Dog	М	1.7	7.20	ALAO <sup>™</sup> ↓, LDAA↑, alburnin↓, gløbulin 1, Ť4↓,	&
90-day	F	1.7	6.9	GÌC₩C↓,, <sup>(C)</sup> <sup>(C)</sup> <sup>(C)</sup> <sup>(C)</sup>	1995
feeding			, Ô	Spleen: pigment, kidney: tel, weight f	M-004977-02-1
Dog	М	1.3	28	Hb ↓, Het ↓, MeV ↓, MeH ↓, MCHC ↓, CHOL	, ,
1-year	F	1.1 ĸ	, 27,°	$\uparrow$ , GLUC ↓, T4/T3 ↓ ALAT $\downarrow$ AP ↑, albumin ↓,	1995, 1997
feeding		<u></u>		Liver, heart, kidney? sos. + rety weight ↑	M-005001-02-2

a) The subchronic NOEL for males was established or the basis of the toxicity profile which emerged through the first year of the 2-year rat study.

M = male, F = female,  $\uparrow \bigcirc$  increase,  $\downarrow$ 

CA 5.3.1 Oral 28 day study of the contract of for Annex I listing. Please refer to the Monograph and the were evaluated during the EU process baseline dossier of flufepacet.

#### Oral 90-day study CA 5.3.2

, A

Ø

All newssary gudies over presented and evaluated during the EU process for Annex I listing. Please refer to the Monograph and the baseline dossier of flufenacet.

#### **Document MCA: Section 5 Toxicological and metabolism studies** Flufenacet

The following expert statement refers to results of the chronic feeding study in beagle dogs which was previously presented and evaluated during the EU process for Annex I listing. Please refer to the Monograph and baseline dossier of flufenacet, KCA 5.3.2, M-005001-02-2.

Report:	;;;;;;;;;;;;;;;;;;;;;;;;;;;;;;;;;;;;;;
Title:	Expert statement (non GLP) - Flufenacet (FOE 5043), Explanation of the
	chromatographic behaviour of FOE-thiadone in the extract of brain from dogs
	of the chronic feeding study
Report No:	EnSa-12/0266
Document No:	M-430840-02-1
<b>Guidelines:</b>	not applicable;
	Deviations: not appplicable 🗸 🖉 🔗 🔗 🤅
<b>GLP/GEP:</b>	no co co co co co

In the chronic dog study the metabolite FOE-thiadone or and unstable thiadone confugate" was detected in the brain extracts. The detection was performed by C-M& based on the mass of 169. Two signals with a mass of 169 were recorded in the time range from 19 to 22 man and one single signal in the first five minutes of the LC-MS analysis.

Small non-GLP experiments were conducted to clarify the chromatographic behaviour of FOEthiadone in the brain extract and to give an explanation for the two signals with the mass of 169 in the time range from 19 to 22 min.

Due to the observations doing the small non-GLP experiments and the scientific knowledge on the appearance of FOE-thightine in two tautomeric forms, of the observed signals could be assigned to FOE-thiadone. In the small non-GLE experiments FOE-thiadone was stressed with formaldehyde, methanol and hydrochloric scid according to the conditions used during brain sample preparation in the chronic dog study. FOE-thiadone showed a junilar chromatographic behaviour in the non-GLP experiments and in the chronic dog study.

#### therroutes CA 5.3.3

\$`¥	
Report: 🔍	,2008;M-300005-01
Title: 🔬	Flufenacet (COE 5043) - 1-week inhalation pilot study in Wistar rats (exposure
S'a.	6h-day, 5 days/week)
Report No:	"\$¥T045065 <sup>°</sup> ,
Document No:	M-300005-01-Y
Guidelines:	OECD 412; Directive 88/302/EEC, Annex V, Method B.29.; US-EPA
×	7,12C-98-193, OPPTS 870.3465;
	Deviations: Due to the nature of this pilot study, the duration of study
	©period and number of parameters determined does not fully comply with
	the testing guidelines.
GLP/GEP:	no

Document MCA: Section 5 Toxicological and metabolism studies Flufenacet

#### A. Materials 1. Test materials: FOE 5043 Name: Description: Whitish to brown flakes Artikel no. / Development no.: 05125162/0157875 duration; expiry date 2009 05-14 Lot/Batch no: EDHB001715 97% Purity: Stability of test compound: guaranteed for study none 2. Vehicle: 3. Test animals: Species: Wistar rat Strain: Hsd Cøb:W Age: About 2 month Males: 196.g - 212 g, females: 158 g Weight at dosing: Source: Germany At least 5-7 days Acclimatization period: ståndard fræd-formala die KLIBA 9883 = NAFAG 9441 Diet: pellets maintenance diet for rats and mice (PROVIMI IBA SA, Kaseraug Switzerland), ad libitum Water: Tap water, ad libitum singly in conventional Makrolon® Type III<sub>H</sub> cages; Housing: bedding Litalabo (S.P.P.S., Frasne, France) and/or Lignocel ₿K 8-15 (Rettenmaier, Germany) B. Study design and methods 1. Animal assignment and treatment 29-40-200,800 mg/m<sup>3</sup> air Dose: Sex/group mortality, clinical signs, body weight, rectal temperature haematology, clinical chemistry, gross necropsy, organ weight 5 days 6h exposure / day Duration: mortality, clinical signs, body weight, rectal temperature,

#### I. Materials and methods

#### **Document MCA: Section 5 Toxicological and metabolism studies** Flufenacet

#### 2. generation of the test atmosphere / chamber description

Generation and characterization of chamber atmosphere

	Group 1	Group 2	Group 3	Group 4
Target concentration (mg/m <sup>3</sup> )	control	40	200	800
Nominal concentration (mg/m <sup>3</sup> )	0	51.8	315	° 1090
Gravimetric concentration (mg/m <sup>3</sup> )*		47.9	2 <sup>2</sup> 5	. 846 💞
Temperature (mean, °C)	20.8	21.7	@31.8, 0	21.6
Relative humidity (mean, %)	6.6	90	Ĩ.4	● 6.4
MMAD (µm)		<u>\$</u> 32	251 0	2643
GSD		0 1.95 ×	A.98	2.06 × °
Aerosol mass $< 3 \ \mu m$ (%)	6	64,90	60.4	<b>°</b> 61.65
Mass recovered (mg/m <sup>3</sup> )	5	<b>43.9</b>	224,6	8469

MMAD = Mass Median Aerodynamic Diameter,  $GSD \neq Geometric Standard Deviation = not applicable.$ \* = actual concentration of test atmosphere in the verticity of the breathing zo@ of the mimals

II. Results and discussion

#### A. Mortality

All exposures were tolerated without fest substance-induced morta

#### **B.** In life observations

Ò Animals of groups receiving target concentrations of 40 and 200 mg/m air did not show any signs. In rats of the 800 mg/m<sup>3</sup> air group the following signs were observ ed piloerection, bradypnea, labored and irregular breathing patterns.

Sex	Target concentration	Poxicological re	esult*	Onset and duration of signs	Mortality
males			5		
	40× ~~~	R O	5		
			5		
		§ 0 4 4	5	1d – 5d	
females			5		
		Ø 0	5		
S		~ <b>0</b> 0	5		
· »	~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~	© <sup>°</sup> 0 5	5	1d – 5d	

## Table 5.3.3/02-1: Simmary of sub-acute phalation to

1<sup>st</sup> number = number of dead animals, 2<sup>nd</sup> number = number of animals with signs; 3<sup>rd</sup> number = number of animals exposed

0d = day of expositive; -- = not applicable

In comparison to the concurrent air control group, there was no evidence of a conclusive, toxicologically significant effect on body (rectal) temperatures at any exposure concentration. Additionally, the temperature measurements made on control animals demonstrate clearly that the animal restrainer had no apparent effect on the body temperature.



#### C. Body weight

There was no toxicologically consistent effect on body weights up to and including the 800 mg/m<sup>3</sup> group.

#### **D.** Laboratory investigations

#### Haematology

Haematology revealed in female rats exposed at 800 mg/m3 significantly decreased red block cell counts, leukocyte counts, thrombocyte counts, haemoglobin and haematocrit. At this concentration, reticulocytes counts were increased (p > 0.05). With regard to the lead changes that moglobin and haematocrit) the male rats showed the similar trend.

#### Table 5.3.3/02-2: Summary of haematology

			•	d X	
ERY	HB	<i>МСТ</i>	MCV	MOCH	🖉 МСНС
$(10^{12}/L)$	(g/L)	E (L/L/Q	(fl) 🕅	(pg)	(g/L ERY)
	, L	Y 🖧 M	alles 🖉		
6.89	132	0.428	620 <sup>3</sup> ~	192	309
6.73	129	0.420	62.3	Ø.2	308
6.74	130	0.414	61.5	<u> </u>	314
6.81	گه <i>ا</i> 26 ک	0.412	60 <b>,6</b> /	18.6	307
ERY	<sup>∼</sup> HB ~	HCT	MCV A	МСН	MCHC
$(10^{12}/L)$	(g/J)*	(L/L)	ِ ۞ (fl) ۞	(pg)	(g/L ERY)
, Ô		Fen	nales 🔬		
6.95	N36 ~	0.420	61.2	19.6	320
6.80	132	Q:407 O	<b>89</b> .8	19.5	326
6.9	\ 1 <i>2</i> €	9.406	58.8	19.1	325
6.61+	\$25 <sup>++</sup>	0.398	<b>60.2</b>	19.0	316
📎 LEUKØ	RETE	THRO	HEINZ		
(100°L)	× (%)	(10%)	(‰)		
		Males			
2 89	~~ <sup>27</sup> ~	J190	22		
\$3.67 °	<sup>√</sup> 29 Q°	1206	31		
3.14	Le la	O <sup>V</sup> 1209	36+		
4.9° O	<i>∂</i> <sup>39</sup> √	1231	38		
	@Fei	males			
2.48	250	1142	16		
2.61	<u>, 27</u>	1029	28+		
£44 O	26	995	27		
¥3.01 <u></u>	34	980+	18		
	ERY ( $10^{12}/L$ ) 6.89 6.73 6.74 6.81 ERY ( $10^{12}/L$ ) 6.95 6.80 6.95 6.80 6.61 <sup>+</sup> ( $10^{12}/L$ ) 6.95 6.80 6.61 <sup>+</sup> ( $10^{12}/L$ ) 7 89 7 89 7 89 7 89 7 89 7 89 7 89 7 8	ERY       HB $(10^{12}/L)$ $(g/L)$ 6.89       132         6.73       129         6.74       130         6.81 $(26)$ ERY       HB $(10^{12}/L)$ $(g/L)$ 6.80       132         6.95 $(10^{12}/L)$ 6.95 $(132)$ 6.95 $(132)$ 6.95 $(132)$ 6.95 $(132)$ 6.95 $(132)$ 6.61 <sup>+</sup> $(25)^{++}$ $(16)$ L) $(26)$ $(26)$ $(26)$ $(24)$ $(26)$ $(24)$ $(26)$ $(24)$ $(26)$ $(24)$ $(26)$	ERY       HB       HCT $(10^{12}/L)$ $(g/L)$ $(L/L)$ 6.89       132 $0.428$ 6.73       129 $0.428$ 6.74       130 $0.414$ 6.81 $0.426$ $0.412$ ERY       HB $0.412$ (10 <sup>12</sup> /L)       (g/L) $0.412$ ERY       HB $0.412$ 6.80 $0.426$ $0.412$ 6.95 $0.736$ $0.426$ 6.80 $132$ $0.407$ 6.80 $132$ $0.406$ 6.61 <sup>+</sup> $25^{++}$ $0.398$ $0.426$ $0.426$ $0.426$ $0.412$ $0.406$ $0.426$ $0.412$ $0.406$ $0.426$ $0.426$ $0.426$ $0.426$ $0.412$ $0.406$ $0.426$ $0.426$ $0.426$ $0.426$ $0.426$ $0.426$ $0.426$ $0.426$ $0.426$ $0.426$ $0.426$ $0.426$ $0.426$ $0.414$ $0.25^{-1}$ $0$	ERY       HB       HCT       MCV $(10^{12}/L)$ $(g/L)$ $(L/L)$ $(fl)$ 6.89       132 $0.428$ $620$ 6.73       129 $0.428$ $620$ 6.74       130 $0.414$ $0.61.5$ 6.81 $0.426$ $0.412$ $60.6$ ERY       HB       HCT       MCV $(10^{12}/L)$ $(g/E)$ $(1/L)$ $60.6$ ERY       HB $(1/L)$ $60.6$ $6.81$ $0.412$ $60.6$ $6.95$ $0.736$ $0.412$ $60.6$ $6.95$ $0.736$ $0.420$ $61.2$ $6.80$ $1324$ $0.406$ $58.8$ $6.61^+$ $0.25^{++}$ $0.398$ $60.2$ LEUKO       RETF       THRO       HEINZ $(10^0L)$ $(%0)$ $(10^0L)$ $(\%0)$ $289$ $27$ $0.190$ $22$ $3.67$ $29$ $1206$ $31$ $3.14$ $39$ $1231$ $38$ $2.48$ $25$ <	ERY       HB       HCT       MCV       MCH $(10^{12}/L)$ $(g/L)$ $(L/Lb)$ $(fl)$ $(pg)$ 6.89       132       0.428       629       192         6.73       129       9420       623       192         6.74       130       0.414       61.5       19.3         6.81       226       0.412       60.6       18.6         ERY       HB       HCT       MCV       MCH $(10^{12}/L)$ $(g/D)$ $(L/Lb)$ $(fl)$ $(pg)$ 6.81       226       0.412       60.6       18.6         ERY       HB       HCT       MCV       MCH $(10^{12}/L)$ $(g/D)$ $(L/Lb)$ $(fl)$ $(pg)$ .       .       .       .       .       .         6.95       .       .       .       .       .       . $(10^{12}/L)$ $(g/D)$ .       .       .       .       .         .       .       .       .       .       .       .       .         .       .       .       .       .       .

<sup>+</sup> Statistically significant at p<0.05, <sup>++</sup> statistically significant at p<0.01,

ERY = Erythrocytes, "MB = Hemoglobin, HCT = Hematocrit, MCV = Mean Corpuscular Volume Erythrocytes,

MCH = Mean Corpuscular Hemoglobin, MCHC = Mean Corpuscular Hemoglobin Concentration,

LEUKO = Leukocytes, RETI = Reticulocytes, THRO = Thrombocytes/Platelets, HEINZ = Heinz bodies

Q.

# Bayer CropScience Document MCA: Section 5 Toxicological and metabolism studies Flufenacet

#### **Clinical chemistry**

In male rats exposed at 800 mg/m<sup>3</sup> decreased concentrations of T4 and increased concentrations of TSH existed. At 200 mg/m<sup>3</sup> the decrease of T4 gained statistical significance.

Table 5.3.3/0	2-3: Summar	y of clinical ch					
		Males			Females	A X	
Dose	Т3	T4	TSH	~~°T3 ≪	<b>A T</b> 4	<b>Т</b> SH	
$(mg/m^3)$	(nmol/L)	(nmol/L)	$(\mu g/L)$	(nmol/D)	^~(nmol/LS)	°γμg/L)	
0	1.26	44	6.33	J 1.15	36	ð.18 °	
40	1.10	40	6.93	1.66		\$ 5.56	
200	1.21	36+	6.87 _0	ð.14 🖉	Ø 30 Č	6.5	
800	1.28	32	10.21	×1.19	<sup>™</sup> 22	T T	
<sup>+</sup> Statistically significant at p<0.05, <sup>++</sup> statistically significant a 0<0.01 T3 Triiodothyronine, T4 Thyroxine, TSH Thyroid stimulating hormore							
F. Organ weight							
Collectively,	with regard to	the liver this ar	nabosis revealed	l significant cha	ange Qin organ	weights or the	

#### F. Organ weight

Collectively, with regard to the liver this analysis revealed significant change in organ weights or the organ-to-body weight ratios in male and female rats at 200 mg/m and above. Kidney weights were increased in the female rats exposed at  $800 \text{ mg/m}^3$  only.

Table 5.3.3/02-4:	Summary of absolute organ	weight data

Dose (mg/m <sup>3</sup> )			Males	A	bsolute org	an weigt	ît∕(mg)	Females		
	Lung	Heart	Liver 🔺	Spleen	Kidneys	Lang	Heart	Liver	Spleen	Kidneys
0	957 ¢	ۇ 678	883	3,96	1540	853	632	6463	321	1232
40	940	710	8546	85	~1508 ~C	850	639	6954	403	1313
200	949	<u>_</u> ~@5	ØŽ1	389	© 1558 🥄	902	616	7905++	369	1309
800	933	<del>ر 6</del> 63 🖌	_10140 <sup>+</sup>	382	1\$3,4	872	624	9334++	408	1432++



**Bayer CropScience** Document MCA: Section 5 Toxicological and metabolism studies Flufenacet

		Relative organ weight (mg/100 g) vs. body weight					
Dose (mg/m <sup>3</sup> )	BW (g)	Lung	Heart	Liver	Spleen	Kidneys	
			Ν	fales			
0	202	473	335	4121	×195	A62	
40	197	481	363	4327	S 195	764 0	
200	197	487	344	4762 <sup>++</sup>	198	791	
800	194	480	341	©211 <sup>++</sup> ♥	960	<b>,788</b>	
			Fe	males 🔗	X 8	×,	
0	161	530	393	4015	2007	♀ 765 <sub>4</sub> ,°	
40	164	517	388 🔊	4228	j di j	798	
200	163	553	377	<u>`</u> ©4837 <del>+</del> ₹©	226	801	
800	166	526	396 ^	5625	247 0	<b>863</b> <sup>+</sup>	

#### Table 5.3.3/02-5: Summary of relative organ weight data

+ Statistically significant at p<0.05, ++ statistically significant at p<0

G. Gross pathology The gross pathological examination of the rate that were sacrificed at the end of the exposure period did not reveal evidence of any treatment-related organ damage. In some female rats the 800 mg/me air group an enlarged liver was noticed

# III. Conclusions

The derived NOAEC based on the actual gravitaetric concentration is 48 mg/m<sup>3</sup> (ca. 14 mg/kg bw/day), based on charges in prean weight, hematological and clinical chemistry parameters at the actual gravimetric concentration of 225/mg/m%(ca. 66 mg/kg/b%/day) and above.

. ? ~ Q .	S <sup>x</sup> Q
Report:	;2008;M-302961-01
Title: Title:	043) 4-week subacute inhalation study in Wistar rats
َ (exposure 6h/day, :	5 days/week on four consecutive weeks)
Report No: AF04589	$\int O'$
Document No 2 No 302964-01-2	
Guidelines OECD 412, Direc	tive@7/302/EEC, Annex V, Method B.29.; US-EPA
© <sup>*</sup> 712©98-1930OPI	PT \$ 870.3465;
<b>Deviations:</b> none	ð í
GLP/GEP: ves	2
	Materials and methods
A. Materials	
1. Test materials:	
Name:	FOE 5043
Artikel no. / Development no.:	05125162/0157875
Description:	Whitish to brown flakes
Lot/Batch no:	EDHB001715
Purity:	97%

# Document MCA: Section 5 Toxicological and metabolism studies Flufenacet

Stability of test compound:

2. Vehicle:

#### 3. Test animals:

Species: Strain: Age: Weight at dosing: Source: Acclimatization period: Diet: guaranteed for study duration; expiry date: 2009-05-14 none

## Wistar rat Hsd Cpb:WU About 2 month Males: 196 g – 238 g; females: 059 g – 087 g

Approx. 2 weeks standard fixed-formula thet KLIBA 3883 NAFAG 9441 pellets maintenance diet for rats and roce (PROVIMIC KLIBA SA Raiseraugst, Switzerland), ad libitum Tap wateQ ad libitum singly in convertional Makrolon® Type/IIIh cages; bedding: Ligoocel BC 8-15 (Rettenmaier, Germany)

Water: Housing:

#### **B.** Study design and methods

#### 2. Animal assignment and treatment

Dose: Duration: Application route: Group size: Observations: 9-20-220-400 mg/m<sup>3</sup> m<sup>4</sup> 6h exposure / day, 50 days/week, 4 weeks Inhalation, hose-only 70/sex/proup

mortality, clinical signs, body weight, rectal temperature, ophthalmology, reflex measurement, haematology, clinical chemistro urinalisis, gross necropsy, organ weight, histopathology

#### 2. generation of the test atmosphere? / chamber description Generation and characterization of chamber atmosphere

	Group Group	🖉 Group 2	Group 3	Group 4
Target concentration (mg/m)	control 🖉	20	220	400
Nominal concentration (mg/m <sup>3</sup> )		22	314	513
Gravimetric concentration (mg/m <sup>3</sup> )*	~~~~ O~	19.1	220	409
Temperature (mean, Č)	21.0	22.1	22.4	22.3
Relative Wimidity (mean 3%)	s <b>18</b> .9	23.7	21.3	21.7
MMAD(µm)	×	2.23	2.35	2.44
GSD C C	×	1.90	2.05	2.14
Aerosol mass $< 3 \mu m$ (%)		68.0	63.5	60.8
Mass recovered ang/m <sup>3</sup> <sup>2</sup>		17.1	228.9	383.7

MMAD = Mass Median Aerodynamic Diameter, GSD = Geometric Standard Deviation; -- = not applicable. \* = actual concentration of test atmosphere in the vicinity of the breathing zone of the animals Recovery: Relative yield gravimetric (actual) concentration to nominal concentration. For details of the dilution of atmospheres see the respective 'Method Section'. Representative exposure period: Target concentrations were defined by the sponsor at the start of study. Accordingly all experimentally verified/calibrated settings had to be changed on the first exposure day with fine-adjustments on the following exposure days. All nominal settings represent the main study period without the adjustment phase.

**Document MCA: Section 5 Toxicological and metabolism studies** Flufenacet

#### **II. Results and discussion**

#### A. Mortality

All exposures were tolerated without test substance-induced mortality.

One female rat of the high-level exposure group (400 mg/m<sup>3</sup>) showed clinical signs (flaccidity, highlegged gait, muzzle area with red encrustations) after the second exposure and was sacrificed in a moribund state prior to exposure on the third day in study (day 2). Possibly the dat was njured or injured itself as a result to restraint. The rat was replaced by a new rat from the same bate

#### **B.** In life observations

An irregular breathing pattern was consistently recorded in one to two female fats of the 400 mg/m<sup>3</sup> group and flaccidity was observed in one male rat of the 220 mg/m group. These signs and not progress over time or occurred in a dose-dependent manner, Pachypara and phoerection also occurred in single rats at isolated time points. Therefore, the signs becorded in individual rats are concluded to be caused to exposure-related factors (restraint and associated immobilizing stress) of individual animals.

The reflex examination made within the first and last exposure week did not reveal any differences between the groups.

There was no evidence of a conclusive toxic obgicallo significant effect on heavy (rectal) temperatures at any exposure concentration in comparison to the concurrent an control group. Additionally, the temperature measurements made on control animals demonstrate clearly that the animal restrainer had no apparent effect on the body temperature

#### C. Body weight

There was no toxicologically consistent effect on body weights up to and including the 400 mg/m<sup>3</sup> group.

Statistical significant charges occurred in all mate-rat substance-exposure groups relative to the air control. However, despite this difference to the control, no concentration-dependent changes across exposure groups were apparent. Accordingly as far as significant changes were observed they are considered to be of no pathodiagnostic relevance.

Dose	Day 1	Day 4	Day 7	Day 11	Day 14	Day 18	Day 21	Day 25	Day 28
(mg/m )	·								
'Y'	2	S .	ΰ <sup>γ</sup> 、Ο	Mean b	ody weight	(g) - males			
0	225.37	221.57	236.94	241.89	258.22	258.76	275.65	275.70	291.51
20	222.08	214 <b>©</b> 9	228.63	225.63	$237.83^{+}$	242.70	255.55	259.01	271.80
220	221.08	212.46	224.98	218.89++	230.62++	231.31++	244.91++	246.50++	259.34++
400	223.54	216.24	229.53	223.84+	235.29++	238.06	252.28+	255.00	267.48+

#### Table 5.3.3/03 Summary of body weights?

Page 46 of 241 2014-03-19



#### Document MCA: Section 5 Toxicological and metabolism studies Flufenacet

Dose (mg/m <sup>3</sup> )	Day 1	Day 4	Day 7	Day 11	Day 14	Day 18	Day 21	Day 25	Day 28
				Mean bo	ody weight (	g) - females			
0	172.64	173.21	181.86	182.14	190.74	191.68	200.30	202.01	208.94
20	171.90	169.98	176.61	173.69+	177.36+	182.45	187,96	123.94	198.92
220	175.74	177.85	185.58	178.46	183.53	185.90	192.98	Ø7.33 C	202.16
400	173.61	176.24	181.92	178.55	182.92	186.77	191.51	, 196.3 <u>5</u>	199.07

<sup>+</sup> Statistically significant at p<0.05, <sup>++</sup> statistically significant at p<0.01,

#### **D.** Ophthalmology

Ophthalmology performed (prior to the start of the study towards the end of the study and not reveal any conclusive evidence of test substance-induced charges in the dioptric metha or in the fundos

#### **E.** Laboratory investigations

#### Haematology

Rats exposed to 220 mg/m3 (most changes significant in makes and trend in females) and 400 mg/m3 (significant in male and female rats) showed widen widen of harmatological changes indicated by decreased red blood cell counts, decreased haemoglobin and haematogrit, and increased reticulocyte counts and red blood cells with Heinz bodies. Blood differentials revealed that red blood cells were hypochromic (males and females) at 400 mg/m<sup>3</sup>

# Table 5.3.3/03-2: Summarg of harmatological examinations

	ERY	ſ©HB 𝐬	ФСТ 🔊	MCV	МСН	МСНС
	$(10^{1} \text{CL})$	~∽ (g/IQ	(L/L)	(fl)	(pg)	(g/L ERY)
Dose (mg/m <sup>3</sup> )			Ma Ka Ma	iles		
0	7,55 0	38 L	0.442	58.6	18.3	312
20	7.65	, <sup>%</sup> 136 🏷	0.432	56.5	17.9	316
220	7.32	× 129	0.418++	57.2	17.6	309
400	6:87*+	122++	0.407++	59.5	17.8	300++
Dose (mg/m <sup>3</sup> )			<b>Fen</b>	nales		
0	\$7.33 °	√″ 133©°	Q.419	57.1	18.2	318
20	7.42		0.411	54.9+	17.9	325+
220	7.99 O		0.399	56.3	17.9	318
400	7.16		0.426	59.4++	18.3	308++

<sup>+</sup> Statistically significant at p<0.05, <sup>++</sup> statistically significant at p<0.01,

Ø

ERY = Erythrocytes, HB = Haemoglobin, HCT = Haematocrit, MCH = Mean Corpuscular Haemoglobin, MCHC = Mean Corpuscular Haemoglobin Concentration, MCV = Mean Corpuscular Volume Erythrocytes



Document MCA: Section 5 Toxicological and metabolism studies Flufenacet

	LEUKO	RETI	THRO	HEINZ	HQUICK
	$(10^{9}/L)$	(‰)	$(10^{9}/L)$	(‰)	(sec)
Dose (mg/m <sup>3</sup> )			Males	Ű	
0	3.83	21	1019	<b>0</b>	° 42.6
20	3.48	19	983		43.6
220	4.77	37++	1025	@11 <sup>++</sup> , %	A44.0 X
400	3.74	51++	106	16	42.9
Dose (mg/m <sup>3</sup> )			Females		
0	3.29	25	<b>(0</b> 69 %		Ø8.2 °
20	3.78	16++	× 1070		°° 36.8, °°
220	3.24	25	1043	© 4° (	37
400	3.62	33++	Q AQ31 ×	° 6 <sup>4</sup> + ↔	<b>\$</b> .2

Table 5.3.3/03-3:	Summary	of haematological	examinations
	•	8	

<sup>+</sup> Statistically significant at p<0.05, <sup>++</sup> statistically significant a 2 < 0.01

LEUKO = Leukocytes, RETI = Reticulocytes, THRO = Thrombocytes, Platekets, HEINZ = Heinz bodies, HQUICK = Hepato Quick (prothrombin time)

#### Clinical chemistry

Male rats exposed to 220 and 400 mg/m<sup>2</sup> showed decreased sexual alkaline phosphatase and triglyceride activities/concentrations. The latter was already significantly decreased at 20 mg/m<sup>3</sup>. T3 and T4 were significantly decreased at 400 mg/m<sup>3</sup>. In contrast, in the female rats significant increase in triglycerides occurred; however, without my conclusive dose-dependence. Thyroidal endpoints showed a similar trend as observed in male rats.

Hepatic monoxigenase and cytochrome P450 dotivities were increased at 20 mg/m<sup>3</sup> and above (changes in cytochrome  $P450 \gg 0$ -demethylase > N-demethylase activities).

Dose	<b>AS</b> AT	ALAT &	ALP	<b>Ğ⊾</b> DH	, «СК	LDH	Glucose	BILI-t	PROT
$(mg/m^3)$	(U/L) ,	©(U/L)℃	(UA)	U/L)	*U(U/L)	(U/L)	(mol/L)	$(\mu mol/L)$	(g/L)
	, <i>Ś</i>			) ×	Males				
0	79.9	63	ک <sup>۲</sup> 201	5.6	278	118	4.76	1.3	57.4
20	82.7 8	<b>58</b> .6	196	Ĩ.	244	90	5.07	1.2	55.6
220	83.9	56.6 ⊘		∕≫4.4	313	107	5.05	1.3	55.5
400	89.1 U	56.	<sup>0</sup> 170 <sup>++</sup>	4.8	331	123	5.13	1.2	55.4
					Females				
0	<u>91</u> .5	55.0 🔊	J288	9.7	343	156	5.10	1.4	58.9
20	106.8	y 54.6	125	10.4	454	190	5.59	$1.0^{+}$	56.6+
220	126.8	59.5	© 131	5.8	525	225	5.21	$1.0^{+}$	57.6
400	97.5	56.0	135	10.6	380	179	4.90	$1.1^{+}$	59.3

Table 5.3.3/03-4 Summary of mical chemistry determinations in blood

Page 48 of 241 2014-03-19

# **Bayer CropScience** Document MCA: Section 5 Toxicological and metabolism studies Flufenacet

D		CHOI	TDICI	TT	CDEA	<b>N</b> T	17	G	CI
Dose	ALB	CHOL	TRIGL	Urea	CREA	Na	K	Ca	CI
(mg/m <sup>3</sup> )	(g/L)				(mmo	ol/L)			
					Males			-	
0	31.2	1.55	0.59	7.46	60	144	5.4	2.56	99
20	30.7	1.32	0.38+	7.31	56	144	<u>5</u> 55	2.48	99
220	30.5	1.24+	0.34++	7.90	55+	144	5.5	<b>@</b> .52 O	99 🖏
400	30.7	1.31	0.24++	7.87	59	145	<sup>07</sup> 6.2	2.51	28
					Females 4	s° 4		Å.	$\mathcal{P}$
0	32.6	1.19	0.37	8.08	57 🦉	143	×5,3	251 ×	98
20	31.7	1.33	0.85++	8.10	56	143°	¢~5.1 ~	Ø2.47 🔊	99。
220	31.6	1.29	$0.78^{+}$	7.65	S.	≪J43 4	5.0 S	2.48	102++
400	32.7	1.28	0.61	7.57	<u>0</u> 61 (	Ø145	56	252	999 99
Dose	Mg	Р	Т3	T4 🖉	∀ TSĤΎ				2
$(mg/m^3)$	(mn	nol/L)	(nmo	l/L)	(µgA)	L.	4 5	, O	
			Males	~Q	N.		)`´´´	65	
0	1.35	2.76	1.29	\$\$\$	7.45		Õ	0″	
20	1.27	2.62	1.25	¢″49 Ô	9.32	Â,	, K (	U	
220	1.27	3.12	1.19	47	8.30/	x,° ~		)	
400	1.40	3.09	1.11+0*	S S +	\$\$20 <i></i>		v <sub>p</sub> ov		
			Females				_ <sup>\$</sup>		
0	1.29	2.08	91,94 _C	38	5.67	<u>A</u>	$\sim$		
20	1.25	2.33	1.16	33	. <b>6€</b> ĴĬ		>		
220	1.18	2.13	1.07	32	6.31 📎				
400	1.46	2.34	1.00	گ 24+ 🗸	6.85-	À			

<sup>+</sup> Statistically significant a Q <0.05 statistically significant a Q <0.01 ALAT (GPT) = Alanine aninotransferase, ALP = Alkaline Dioshata Q, ASAT (GOT) = Aspartate aminotransferase, CK Creatine kinase ALP = Alkaline Dioshata Q, ASAT (GOT) = Aspartate dehydrogenase, ALB = Albumin, BIL T = Bilin bin total, CHOL Cholesterol, CREA = Creatinine, PROT = Protein, TRIGL = Griglycendes, URDA = Uro, T3 = Griodothyronine, T4 = Thyroxine, TSH = Thyroid stimulating hormore stimulating hormone

males &						females				
Dose	N-DEMS	O-DEM	<b>Å</b> ¥450 °		N-DEM	O-DEM	P450	TRGL		
$(mg/m^3)$	(mU/g)	(mU/g)	(mmol/g)	(mmol/g)	(mU/g)	(mU/g)	(mmol/g)	(mmol/g)		
0 🖓	127.9	91.7 °	42.	5.75	65.0	9.2	36.0	5.61		
20	¥¥8.1	12.7	5.7++	6.18	77.8	10.3	40.7++	6.18		
220	179.3	229++	72.6++	6.51	116.6++	17.3++	51.4++	6.47+		
400	235.14+	£35.5 <sup>++</sup>	73.7++	6.32	131.0++	19.3++	55.2++	6.41		

## Table 5.3.3/03-5: Summary of Conical Chemistry determinations in liver tissue

<sup>+</sup> Statistically significant at p<0.05, <sup>++</sup> statistically significant at p<0.01

N-DEM = Aminopyrine-N-Demethylase, O-DEM = Nitroanisole-0-Demethylase, P450 = Cytochrome P450, TRIGL = Triglycerides



#### Urine analysis

There were no effects considered to be of pathodiagnostic relevance. However, at 400 mg/m<sup>3</sup> there was a tendency of an elevated osmolality of urine. Sediment analysis was unobtrusive.

#### F. Organ weight

In rats of the 220 and 400 mg/m<sup>3</sup> air exposure groups, spleen weights were significantly increased in a concentration-dependent manner. At 400 mg/m<sup>3</sup> liver and kidney weights were increased in addition.

						<u>`</u>		Y 2	<i></i>
					Absolute o	rgan weight	t ( <b>mg</b> )	, °	Ş
Dose	Brain	Lung	Heart	Liver	Spleen «	Kidney	Adrenals	Testes 🖉	Thymus
$(mg/m^3)$							d, d	Ovaries	
				, Ç	Males			- A	
0	1813	1185	987	11319	567∛	Q 2090	۶ ۵	<b>Q</b> 968	499
20	1804	1155	915	10087+	§50 @	2038	×53	© 2792	423
220	1737	1118	883+	£0095 <sup>+</sup>	635	×1993	Ş 46 Ş	2729	416
400	1776	1174	932 (	11168	<u>₹</u> 764 <sup>++</sup>	2107~	48	2852	406
				Ň	Females		$\sim$		
0	1764	995	746	8018 C	× 455	1532	<u>ک</u> 66	139	381
20	1703	943	چ 724	₽ 7741	426	مَحْ 1455	ý 64	124	320
220	1733	1017	768	8523	Å <sup>477</sup> Ø	153	62	129	341
400	1707	1020	730	£ <sup>8658</sup> √	508	\$\$26	67	136	335

#### Table 5.3.3/03-6: Absolute organ weights

<sup>+</sup> Statistically significant at p<0.05, <sup>++</sup> statistically significant at p<0.05

# Table 5.3.3/03-7. Relative organ weights versus body weights Relative organ weight (mg/100g) vs. body weight Dose BW Brain Lung Heart Live Dose BW Brain Lung Heart Live Spleen Kidneys Adre Teste

		s',		Regative of gan weight (mg/100g) vs. body weight							
Dose	BW	Brain	Lung	Heart	Live	Spleen	Kidneys	Adre-	Testes /	Thymus	
$(mg/m^3)$	( <b>9</b> )	, O <sup>v</sup>	, ≪v	Ù	Ø			nals	Ovaries		
The second secon											
0	<u>ک</u> 290 گ	625	<b>409</b> (	گ 340	¥3900	196	721	17	1024	172	
20	270	600	426	337/	3722	203	752	20	1031	256	
220	×2\$7++	684	~ <b>\$</b> 738	\$343	2916	249++	777	18	1064	160	
400	268	🔊 🎙 667 🕻	¥39	349	4186	288++	789+	18	1068	150	
	¥.	AL.	0		Fema	ales					
0	207	854	481	361	3871	220	741	32	67	184	
20	195+	872	483	370	3957	218	746	33	64	164	
220	198	877	514	388	4295++	241	775	31	65	172	
400	199	857	512	366	4339++	255++	766	34	68	168	

<sup>+</sup> Statistically significant at p<0.05, <sup>++</sup> statistically significant at p<0.01

Document MCA: Section 5 Toxicological and metabolism studies Flufenacet

			Relative organ weight (mg/100 g) vs. brain weight						
Dose	Brain	Lung	Heart	Liver	Spleen	Kidneys	Adre- 🧷	Testes /	Thymus
$(mg/m^3)$							nals	Ovaries	a
					Males			ô ó	Y Ø
0	1813	65606	54570	627728	31478	115750		M 64046	27649
20	1804	64120	50785	560832	30514	313018	⊊ 2931°≯	154632	23418
220	1737	64617	50886	583968	36686+	©115146	264/1	1 <sup>5</sup> 7454	¥ 24028
400	1776	66123	52438	628809	42142+	118642	2671	×160397	22806
				F	Females				S.
0	1764	56438	42339	454730	25787 2	86960	3739	7876	21645
20	1703	55406	42511	454003	25001	85584	\$3779	× 72930	18774
220	1733	58730	44271	492504	27534	8371 %	3576	7 <b>€</b> }8	19719
400	1707	59775	42769	507192	29784+	° 89408,	3073	7933	19606

#### Table 5.3.3/03-8: Relative organ weights versus brain weights

+ Statistically significant at p<0.05, ++ statistically significant at p<0.01

#### G. Gross pathology

The gross pathological examination of the tats that were sacrificed at the end of the exposure period did not reveal evidence of any treatment related organ damage.

#### H. Micropathology

At the end of the exposure period, histopathological evaluation revealed goblet cell hyperplasia in the proximal nasal cavity at all exposure levels. In the more posterior levels, goblet cell hyperplasia together with eositophilic globules and total inflammatery infiltrates in the olfactory epithelium occurred at 220 and 400 mg/m<sup>3</sup>. Beginning epithelial alterations, including atrophy or degenerative changes, occurred at the 400 mg/m<sup>3</sup> exposure level only. In the larynx, epithelial alteration and concomitant increased inflammatory onfiltrates epithelial metaplasia occurred at 220 and 400 mg/m<sup>3</sup>. Minimal epithelial effects were abready observed at 20 mg/m<sup>3</sup>; however, without conclusive influx of inflammatory cells. No changes were observed in the trachea or lung.

Focal tubular, atrophy and/or degeneration of the testes, spermatic debris in the testes and epididymides and ongospermia occurred at all exposure levels. Retinal atrophy and/or degeneration occurred at 20 mg/m<sup>3</sup> and above in a concentration-dependent manner. However, based on the histopathological findings observed in the upper respiratory tract some non-specific irritant stress might have caused these effects and may have superimposed immobilization related distress. Based on these thoughts these changes appear to be associated with non-specific effects.

In the liver, cytoplasmic change and/or hypertrophy occurred in males, beginning in at 20 mg/m<sup>3</sup>. Prussian Blue stained slides revealed a minimal pigmentation; however, expressed in a concentration dependent manner. This type of pigmentation was more pronounced in female as compared to males.

In the spleen, an increased hematopoietic activity existed at 220  $mg/m^3$  and above, in some rats associated with increased blood congestion. Prussian Blue stained sections revealed a concentration-dependent increase at 220  $mg/m^3$  and above.

#### **Document MCA: Section 5 Toxicological and metabolism studies** Flufenacet

In the thyroid, follicular cell hypertrophy occurred at 220 mg/m<sup>3</sup> and above in males and at 400 mg/m<sup>3</sup> in females.

The findings listed above are assessed to be related to the exposure to the test compound. All other findings seen during histopathological evaluation are assessed to be of spontaneous nature. Due to the absence of evidence of adversity the no-observed-adverse effect level is considered to be 20 mg/m<sup>3</sup>.

#### **III.** Conclusions

The derived NOAEC based on the actual gravimetric concentration is 19 mg/m<sup>3</sup> (ca / mg/kg bw/day), based on changes in organ weight, hematological and clinical chemistry parameters, histopathological changes the nasal cavity and larynx, spleen, testes, the void at 220 mg/m3 (ca 31 mg/Q2 bw/dav) and above.

#### CA 5.4 **Genotoxicity testing**

#### Summary of genotoxicity testing

Mutagenicity studies with flufenacet vere consistently negative. Point mutation assays in bacteria and mammalian cells revealed no evidence of mutagence potential. In surro and in vivo cytogenetic studies revealed no evidence of clastogenicity, and an unscheduled DXA synthesis assay using primary rat hepatocytes revealed no evidence of genotoxic activity. Thus, flufenacer is not mutagenic, clastogenic or genotoxic.

In 2010 for registration of furfenacet in Japan, & bacterial reverse mutation assay was conducted. This new study showed no evidence of mutagenic potential and thus, confirmed that flufenacet is not mutagenic.

Furthermore, the conduct of an *in vivo* study in germ cells was not regarded necessary as there is no evidence of an effect on germ cells in other toxicological studies.

#### Photomutagenicity

According to the new data requirements (Commission regulation (EU) N° 283/2013 of 1 March 2013; Official Journal of the European Union, L 93/1, 3.4.2013), the conduct of a photomutagenicity study should be considered if the Ultraviolet/visible molar extinction/absorption coefficient of the active substance and its major metabolites is greater than 1000 x mol-1 x com-1, and if the structure of the molecule indicates a potential for photomutagenicity.

For flufenacet there is no evidence of a photoreactivity potential and the Ultraviolet/visible molar extinction/absorption coefficient is smaller than 1000 L x mol-1 x cm-1. Therefore photomutagenicity cesting is not required.



Document MCA: Section 5 Toxicological and metabolism studies Flufenacet

Table 5.4-1:	Summar	y of geno	toxicity	testing*
--------------	--------	-----------	----------	----------

Study	Test system	Re	sults	Reference
		activation	non-activation	<u>م</u>
In-vitro			(	
Bacterial reverse mutation assay	<i>S. typhimurium</i> TA98, TA100, TA1535, TA1537	negative	negative 4	M-004696-054
Bacterial reverse mutation assay	<i>S. typhimurium</i> TA98, TA100, TA102, TA1535, TA1537	negative	negative	, 2010 M-395311-01-1
Mammalian cell gene mutation test (HGPRT)	Chinese hamster lung fibroblasts V79	negative	Onegatives	1994 M-004©4-01-1\$
Mammalian chromosome aberration test	Chinese hamster ovary cells CHO	negative	negative (	, 1993 M@04692-@-1
Unscheduled DNA synthesis (UDS) assay	Primary rat hepatocytes		k negative	₩992 M-00 <b>2</b> 577-01-1
In-vivo	d. Y	L Q		<i>Q</i> <sub>n</sub>
Micronucleus test	Mouse bone matrow		ganvie	, 1993 M-004588-01-1

\* New studies, i.e. studies that were not previously Submitted, are written in bold Õ

L

#### In vitro studies CA 5.4.1

In addition to the *in vitro* studies already available in the Monograph and baseline dossier, a new bacterial reverse mutation assay was conducted in 2010 for the registration of flufenacet in Japan. , N Ô

K)

Õ

у К	; <b>2</b> 010; <b>1</b> 0=395211-01
Salımnella gop	himuthum reverse mutation assay with flufenacet techn.
1370100	$\mathcal{E} \stackrel{\sim}{\to} \mathcal{Q}$
M-395241-01-1	
<b>OECD</b> 471; C	mmission Regulation (EC) No. 440/2008, Method B13/14;
USÆPA 712-C	C-98-Q47;
Deviations: no	
æs ,	A A
	1. Materials and methods
, O	~ °
	$\mathbb{P}$ OF 50/3 (flufenacet techn)
	♥OL 5045 (Intrenacet techni.)
d' A'	Beige solid
. ~ ~	NK61AX0177
A	96.8%
mpound:	guaranteed for study duration; expiry date: 2012-09-03
sitive control:	DMSO
	Sodium azide (Na-azide), 4-nitro-o-phenylene diamine (4-
	NOPD), methyl methane sulfonate (MMS), 2-aminoanthracene
	$(2-\Delta \Delta)$
	Salmonella typhimurium strains TA1535, TA1537, TA100,
	Salı@nella (op 1370100 M-395241-01- OECD 471; C US EPA 712-C Deviations: no yes

**Document MCA: Section 5 Toxicological and metabolism studies** Flufenacet

	TA98, TA102	
Metabolic activation:	S9 mix	
B. Study design and methods		۵
Dose:	0-3-10-33-100-333 positive controls: Na-azide: 4-NODD: MMS: 2-AA	3-1000-2500-5000 μg/phate 10 μg/plate 10 μg/plate 3.0 μg/plate 2.5 00 0 μg/plate
Application volume:	0.1 mL	
Incubation time /temperature:	Pre-incubation: 60 48 hours, 37°C II. Results and dis	scussion

The potential of flufenacet to induce get mutations was investigated according to the plate incorporation test (experiment I) and the pre-incubation test (experiment II) in two independent experiments both with and without liver microsomal activation ( mix)

The plates incubated with the test tem showed cormal background growth up to the highest concentration in all strains used.

In experiment I, toxic effects, evident as a reduction in the number of revertants were observed at 2500 μg/plate in strain TA1535 witho@S9 mi Cand in strain TA1537 with S9 mix.

In experiment II, toxic effects were observed at 5000 µg/plate in strain TA102 without S9 mix and in strains TA1537 and TA98 with S9 mix, and from 1000 - 5000 µg/plate in strain TA102 with S9 mix.

No substantial increase in revertant colony numbers of any of the five tester strains was observed following treatment with flufenacet wany dose level weither in the presence nor absence of metabolic activation (S9 mix). There was also tendency of higher mutation rates with increasing concentrations in the range below the generally acknowledged border of biological relevance.

Appropriate reference mutagens were used as positive controls and showed a distinct increase of induced revertant polonies induced revertant coronies.



BAYER Bayer CropScience Document MCA: Section 5 Toxicological and metabolism studies Flufenacet

Matabalia	Test	Dose		Reventant (	Jolony Courts	(Maan +SD)	
Activation	Group	(µg/plate)	TA1535	TA1537	TA98	(Arrean ±5D)	TA102
		Р	re-Experiment	and Experime	ent I	~~ ·	C.
Without	DMSO		$16 \pm 3$	8 ± 2	42 ± 2	× 203 4	380 ± 43
Activation	Untreated		$13 \pm 2$	$9 \pm 2$	$45 \pm 40\%$	199±8	414 = 22
	Flufenacet	3	$14 \pm 1$	8 ± 1	∘ 46 ≭ 6	°≈222 ± 15€	373×±9
	techn.	10	$14 \pm 1$	7 ± 2 🖉	$38 \pm 4$	¥184 <sub>₹</sub> 9	≈384 ± 19
		33	$13 \pm 3$	7 ± 2	∑ (3)6 ± 7, √	1977 18	<sup>∞</sup> 353 ± 5
		100	$11 \pm 2$	8∉0 ,	√41±2	196 ± 14	33≰≪⇒ 31
		333	$11 \pm 5$	$0 \pm 1$	¥ 46±12	$994 \pm 20^{\circ}$	345 ± 13
		1000	$15 \pm 5^{P}$	$\sqrt{8} \pm 1$	35 ± 1 ° ~	199 ± 15 <sup>P</sup>	\$55 ± 31 <sup>P</sup>
		2500	$6 \pm 2^{P}$	× 8±3	$38 \pm 9$	198,≇12 <sup>P</sup> @	$300 \pm 34^{P}$
		5000	$8 \pm 3$	4€ <sup>2</sup> <sup>P</sup>	℃_40 <i></i> <del></del> 0″	2003 ± 12	$318\pm49^{P}$
	NaN3	10	1675 ±099	2× 0.		€1632 ±787	
	4-NOPD	10			$306 \pm 21$		
	4-NOPD	50		71 1	Ø N	S.	
	MMS	3.0	Ô¥ Á¥	Ĩ,		Ű,	$3021\pm785$
With	DMSO		20 🖽 🦂	$\sqrt[3]{13} \pm 40^{\circ}$	2 ± 5 ∞	$199 \pm 4$	$475 \pm 54$
Activation	Untreated		$4 \pm 1$	<sup>9</sup> 11 ± 4	$\sqrt[4]{42} \pm 7$	$206 \pm 8$	$490\pm51$
	Flufenacet	3	$20\pm6$	.144 4	≫ 45 ±€\$	$197 \pm 17$	$488 \pm 77$
	techn.		) 18±%	°∕¥2 ± 3,00	<b>45</b> ≇ 5	$197 \pm 10$	$405 \pm 6$
		33	1 <u>6</u> 6≠5 ,	$(10 \pm 3)^{\vee}$	$4\pm 8$	$193 \pm 11$	$495\pm100$
	.~	\$ 1 <b>0</b> 00	$\sqrt[\infty]{6 \pm 1}$ C	13 5	$43 \pm 1$	$207 \pm 5$	$497 \pm 113$
	Ö	333	√ 13±4	$\mathbb{Q}^{2} \pm 3$	ℓ 48 ± 7	$188 \pm 5$	$429\pm55$
	ð	f000	12 - 3 <sup>°</sup> P	$3 \pm 0$ P	$48 \pm 7^{P}$	$173 \pm 8^{P}$	$420\pm104^{\ P}$
	Ô,	O <sup>*</sup> 25000°	18 ± 3 ° (Ô	$6\pm 3^{10}$	$37 \pm 4^{P}$	$176 \pm 16^{P}$	$451 \pm 26^{P}$
		5000	$02 \pm 3^{\text{PM}}$	6 <b>€</b> 3 <sup>P</sup>	$45 \pm 9^{P}$	$129 \pm 16^{P}$	$221 \pm 7^{P}$
4	AA ~	2.5	<sup>O</sup> 379 <b>→</b> 8	300 ± 22	$1773\pm300$	$2793\pm30$	
	2-AA	10.0					$1592\pm469$
				¢			

#### Table 5.4.1/05-1: Summary of results

# BAYER Bayer CropScience

Metabolic	Test	Dose		Revertant (	Colony Counts	(Mean ±SD)	
Activation	Group	(µg/plate)	TA1535	TA1537	TA98	TA100	TA102
			Experin	ment II		Ô	
Without	DMSO		$12 \pm 2$	9 ± 5	$21 \pm 1$	@19±1	$327\pm39$
Activation	Untreated		$13 \pm 4$	$8 \pm 2$	31 ± 5 %	∮ 157 <b>±</b> 8°	$357 \pm 11$
	Flufenacet	10	9 ± 3	$13 \pm 1$	$23 \pm 4$	117 416	0 <sub>281</sub> ± 11
	techn.	33	$13 \pm 5$	$8\pm3$	18±Ø	. 10Å ± 11,≁	272 23
		100	$13 \pm 3$	10 ± 4	° 23 ≜∕3 .	122 ± 5	324 ± 5
		333	$15 \pm 2$	$10 \pm 2$		≱ 122 ¥5	₹£302 ± 47
		1000	$9 \pm 1^{P}$	11 £3 P	°~24 ± 5♥	1177≠12 <sup>P</sup>	$229 \pm 27^{P}$
		2500	$8 \pm 1^{P}$	۹ P ک	$24 \pm 30^{\circ}$	\$6 ± 22 5	21@≠16 <sup>P</sup>
		5000	6 ± 1 <sup>P</sup>	$\sqrt{28} \pm 3$	14@5 P	$\bigcirc 65 \pm 8 \bigcirc M$	$5 \pm 3^{P}$
	NaN3	10	$1639 \pm 236$ $\swarrow$			173 🔁 203	Ĩ,
	4-NOPD	10	Ż	Ş,	371 ± A€		Į –
	4-NOPD	50	Q				
	MMS	3.0			~ 0		$1718\pm109$
With	DMSO		±5 ○	11,@4	$34\pm4$	$145 \pm 9$	$419\pm41$
Activation	Untreated		$\sqrt{2}$ $16 \pm 7$	14±4 %	َلَّ 37ू <u></u> ⊈Q″	$64 \pm 90$	$513 \pm 34$
	Flufenacet	10	, 15 <u>4</u>	$12 \pm 20^{12}$	3 <b>7</b> ≠4 (	≥107 ± 12	$351 \pm 23$
	techn.	33		∕ 12 ±Q		° 116 ± 14	$317 \pm 6$
		100/	~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~	<b>%</b> ≠ 2	$27 \pm 3$	$139 \pm 8$	$335\pm38$
		333	°° 17 <del>↓</del>	$10 \pm 3$	30,511	$139 \pm 10$	$338 \pm 23$
		A1000 K	$15 \pm 3^{P}$	$12 \pm 3^{2}$	3,7)∕± 4 <sup>₽</sup>	$99 \pm 15^{P}$	$170 \pm 16^{P}$
		£ 2500 ×	± 3 P	<sup>2</sup> 10 ∉4 <sup>P</sup>	30 ± 3 P	$93 \pm 1^{P}$	$169 \pm 27^{P}$
	Ô	5000	$10 \pm 5^{PM}$	5 <i>С</i> 1 РМ	$12 \pm 1^{PM}$	$62 \pm 9^{PM}$	$77 \pm 11$ PM
	2-AA	2.5	y <sup>≫</sup> 347 €29	$Q07 \pm 20^{\circ}$	$1742 \pm 49$	$1737\pm118$	
	2-AA	\$10.0					$2434 \pm 485$

Document MCA: Section 5 Toxicological and metabolism studies Flufenacet

NaN<sub>3</sub> = sodium azfæ; 2-AA = 2-armooanthracene, MAS = methyl methane sulfonate, 4-NOPD = 4-nitro-ophenylene-diamine; P = Precipitate, P = Manual court,

MI. Conclusion

Flufenacet is considered to be non-mutagenic in this *Salmonella typhimurium* reverse mutation assay.

# CA 5.4.2 In vive studies in somatic cells

All necessary *in vivo* genoroxicits studies were presented and evaluated during the EU process for Annex I listing please refer to the Monograph and the baseline dossier of flufenacet.

## CA 5.4.3 In vivo studies in germ cells

Overall it is concluded that flufenacet did not show a genotoxic potential and no evidence of an effect on germ cells was seen in other toxicological studies. Therefore, an *in vivo* study in germ cells is not regarded necessary.



#### CA 5.5 Long-term toxicity and carcinogenicity

#### Summary of long-term studies

Evidence of toxicity from exposure to flufenacet was observed in chronic feeding studies on mice and rats. In the oncogenicity mouse study, findings included increased blood methemoglobin content and ocular cataracts.

For rats, the toxicological response could be broadly characterized as involving structural and/or functional alterations in liver-, kidney-, hematologic/spleen-, and thyroid-related endpoints. The liver was considered the primary target organ with increases in organ weight, cell size and number, and/or associated hepatic parameters. Hepatocytomegaly was exhibited species exposed to higher doses of flufenacet. The flufenacet -induced liver changes would appear to be fundamentally adaptive in nature as the organism's principal metabolic organ responds to physiological need to clear, biotransform, and excrete a xenobiotic.

The haematological profile of the rats indicated a mild maemia for animals at higher dose levels. Thyroid involvement was noted by an increase in thyroid organ weights. The lower levels of exposure used in the chronic rat study, as compared to the sub-chronic bioassay, suggested a dose >800 ppm (highest dose tested) was necessary for a broader and more significant toxicological response in this tissue. The thyroid organ changes resulting from exposure to flufenated are likely to be a secondary effect in response to hepatic induction.

Ophthalmological findings noted in the rat included cataracts and ocular scleral mineralization.

Renal pelvic epithelial hyperplasia was beerved in the widneys of rats

No evidence of an oncogenic potential of flufenacet was found in the long-term feeding studies in rats and mice.

Study	Sex	NO(A)EL °mg/kg	LO(A)EL	Main findings seen at LO(A)EL	Reference
Rat	M	×1.2* (	19 0	BW gain $\downarrow$ , structural and/or functional alterations	&
2-year	F A	2 1.5		in liver-, kidney-, haematopoietic-, and thyroid-	, 1995,
feeding			0	related endpoints.	M-005062-02-1
Mouse	, M	<b>%</b> 4	Ø30 "	MetHB X	&
20-month	F	9.4	77 0	Ocular cataracts ↑	, 1995
feeding		Ő.		Č,	M-005060-02-1

Table 5.5-1: Summary of long-term studies

 $M = male, F \bigcirc$  female BW = kody weight, MetLB = Methemoglobin

\* It has to be noted that during the first review of flufenacet the NOEL (1.2/1.5 mg/kg bw/day males) females) of the 2-year toxicity study in rats as stated in the monograph and baseline dossier K&A 5.5, was changed to a LOEL (1.2 mg/kg bw/day) during the ECCO meeting(s) as stated in the end point bist of Annex 2 of Report of ECCO 73 and as presented in the Review Report for flufenacet (7469/VI/98-Final –  $3^{rd}$  July 2003). This endpoint (LOEL of 1.2 mg/kg bw/day) was solely based on a background change (minimal-slight renal pelvic mineralization) which is commonly observed in ageing rats. In the study considered here this finding was observed at higher incidences compared to concurrent controls after 2-year exposure to flufenacet in all dose groups in males (25, 400, and 800 ppm) and in the mid and high dose group in females (400 and 800 ppm). Due to the high frequency of this background lesion in controls and the absence of a clear dose response regarding the severity of this finding, the slight (though

Document MCA: Section 5 Toxicological and metabolism studies Flufenacet

significant) increases in the treated groups were not considered an adverse change by the study pathologists. Therefore, a re-assessment of the study endpoint might be considered.

#### CA 5.6 Reproductive toxicity

#### Summary of reproductive and developmental toxicity studies

The reproductive toxicity of flufenacet was studied in a generational studies in pats and developmental toxicity studies in rats and rabbits.

Dietary levels up to and including 500 ppm (premating: 07/41 mg/kg bw/day in males/females), the highest dose tested, had no effect on reproduction when fed to rats over a period of 2 generations. In parental animals, there was a compound-related reduction in body weights for P generation females during the pre-mating phase. Other effects occurring in the P and F generation adults included increased absolute and relative liver weights and histopathological changes in the liver. The NOELs obtained for overall and reproductive toxicity were 100 and 500 ppm, respectively.

In an oral developmental toxicity study in fats, developmental effects were observed at 125 mg/kg bw/day (highest dose tested) as demonstrated by decreased toetal body weights, and increased incidences of delayed ossification and skeletal variation. These effects were correlated with a reduction in body weight and food consumption in dams a 125 mg/kg bw/day. The NOEL for both maternal and developmental toxicity in the rat via oral administration was 25 mg/kg bw/day.

In an oral rabbit developmental toxicity study developmental effects occurred at doses of 125 and 200 mg/kg bw/day. Effects include Preduced foetal weights, and increased incidences of delayed ossification and skeletal variation. Maternal toxicity was characterized by clinical signs, reduced body weight gain during treatment, and an increase incidence of histopathological changes in the liver. The NOELs established in the rabbit for maternal and developmental toxicity by oral administration were 5 and 25 mg/kg bw/day, respectively.

Overall, it can be concluded that flutenacet is not a reproductive or developmental toxicant. The developmental vertices, observed were restricted to the higher dose levels which produced overt maternal toxicity.

<u>_</u>					
Study 🔊	Sex	NO(A)EL	<b>LO</b> (A)EE	Main effects seen at LOAEL	Reference
• 0*	. 0	)(mg/kg	() w/d) ``		
Rat	QМ	<i>"</i> 7.4 <sup>(</sup>	37	BW $\downarrow$ in P females during pre-mating	,
2-generation	, F (	8.2	~ <b>4</b> 1		1995
feeding	~ ~		, O'	No reproductive effects.	M-004984-03-1
Rat 🔍	Dam	×75 /	§ 125	Maternal: BW $\downarrow$ , food consumption $\downarrow$	et al,
oral (gavage)	Fetal	© 25	125	Fetal: BW $\downarrow$ , delayed ossification and/or	1995
developmental #		í Or		skeletal variation ↑ in some skeletal	M-004976-02-1
	4 Ç			elements	
Rabbit	Dan	5	25	Maternal: soft stool, BW gain ↓ during	et al.,
oral (gavage)	Fetal			treatment, histopathological liver changes	1995
developmental		25	125	Fetal: skeletal variation ↑	M-004979-01-1

Table 5.6-1:	Summary o	f reproductive	and <b>Gevelopmental</b>	toxicity studies
--------------	-----------	----------------	--------------------------	------------------

M = male, F= female, D = dam, Fet = fetus, BW = body weight

 $\downarrow$  = decrease,  $\uparrow$  = increase



#### **Document MCA: Section 5 Toxicological and metabolism studies** Flufenacet

#### CA 5.6.1 **Generational studies**

All necessary studies were presented and evaluated during the EU process for Annex I listing. Please refer to the Monograph and the baseline dossier of flufenacet.

#### CA 5.6.2 **Developmental toxicity studies**

All necessary studies were presented and evaluated during the EU process for Asmex L4sting. R4 refer to the Monograph and the baseline dossier of flufenacet. Please

Flufenacet has been investigated in acute and subchroup oral seurotoxicity sectioning studies using rats. In an acute neurotoxicity screening study, all chinical and neurobehavioral effects observed following administration of a single dose of flufenacet were described to acute systemic toxicity. Complete recovery occurred in surviving animals with the exception of unive stains which persisted till termination in females. There were no correlative micro pathologic findings to indicate any evidence of an adverse effect on the nervous system.

In a subchronic neurotoxicity screening study, a dose-related increase in evidence of neurotoxicity was demonstrated following dietary exposure of flufenacet. Compound related effects in the functional observation battery and motor activity assessments were evident in animals treated at higher concentrations. These findings were converted with microscopic resions (swollen axons) observed in the brain and spinal ford. These effects, however, occurred only at exposure levels that produced substantial evidence of systemic toxicity as demonstrated in a separate subchronic feeding study (see Monograph/baseline dosser, KG& 5.3.2 M-004999-01-1) in which tissue damage involving liver-, kidney-, hematologic/spleen, and thytoid-related endpoints was observed at similar high dietary levels. Thus, the results of these studies taken collectively suggest that an increased incidence of axonal swelling accurred in animals exposed to thigh levels of flufenacet which saturate metabolic pathways.

For registration of flufenacet in the United States (US), a developmental neurotoxicity study was conducted based on thyroid-related findings and therefore, the potential for affecting development of the pervous system. In this study dietary exposure to flufenacet did not cause any neurotoxic effect in parental and offspring animals. Treatment-related findings consisted of reduced food consumption and a reduction in maternal body weights during gestation and in males at mid- and high-dose. Body weights were also reduced in mid- and high-dose F1-males and high-dose F1 females. F1 offspring of these dose groups exhibited also a delay in development (eye opening, preputial separation).

Ser) Bayer CropScience

BA

Document MCA: Section 5 Toxicological and metabolism studies Flufenacet

Study	Sex	NOAEL	LOAEL	Main effects seen at LOAEL	Reference
-		(mg/kg	g bw/d)	(à	
Rat	Μ	75	200	Unspecific clinical signs (uncoordinate	, 1995
acute neuro-	F	50	75	gait, decreased activity)	(amended 1998)
toxicity, oral				NOEL neurotoxicity 450/150 mg/kg bw	M-004986-02-15
				(males/females highest doses tested with	
				survivors).	
Rat	Μ	7.3	38	Microscopic lesions brain and spinal cord	et/al.,
90-day	F	8.4	43	(increased incidence of swollen axons in the	J 995 🔊
neurotoxicity				cerebellum-medulla obloggata)	M-005014-01-2
feeding					Č, Š
Rat	Dam	1.7/3.0	8.3/15	Dam: BW \$, food intake ↓ (gestation)	,
developmental	Pup			Pup: BW/BWgain I, rel. food intake 1,	2000
neurotoxicity		(DG 6-21/DL 1-12)		delayed development (eve opening,	M-02@05-01-1
feeding		-		preputial separation) 🗸 🗸 🖧	Ũ

#### Summary of neurotoxicity studies Table 5.7-1:

CA 5.7.1	Neurotoxicity	studiesin	rodents
			$\bigcirc$

CA 5.7.1 Neurotoxici	ty studies in rodents 2 2 2 2
Report:	2000 1-026105-01
Title: Develo	pmental heurotoxicity study of the chnical grade Hufenacet administered
orally v	ia digt to CrifeD BR VAF/Plus presumed pregnant rats
Report No: BC933	
Document No: M-026	05-01-10 0 0 0 0
Guidelines: US-ER	A guideline 83-3; US-EPA OPPTS 870.6300; PMRA DACO:4.5.12;
Deviat	ions none of a constant of the
GLP/GEP: yes	
~0	
Or A	I. Materials and methods
A Matarials	
1 Tost moterials	
I. Test materials.	) <sup>V</sup> <sup>(V)</sup> <sup>(V)</sup> <sup>(V)</sup>
Description:	White powder
Lot/Batch no:	603-0913
PuriQ:	<sup>7</sup> 96.9% - 96.0%
Stability of test compo	ound guaranteed for study duration
2. Velincle: 7	$\sim$ 1% corn oil
3. Test animals: 🔊 🚕	
Species: A 🖉	Rat Rat
Strain:	Sprague-Dawley; Crl:CD®BR VAF/Plus
Age:	At least 60 days
Weight at dosing:	200 g – 225 g
Source:	
	, USA
Acclimatization perio	d: 6 days
Diet:	Purina Mills Rodent Lab Chow® #5001-4 in "etts" Form
L 100.	(PMI Nutrition International Inc., St. Louis, Missouri,

BA

Document MCA: Section 5 Toxicological and metabolism studies	,
Flufenacet	

Water: Housing:	USA), <i>ad libitum</i> Tap water, <i>ad libitum</i> individually in stainless-steel wire-bottomed cages; bedding: Bed-o'cobs® (The Andersons Industrial Products Group Maumee, Ohio, USA)
<b>B. Study design and methods</b>	
3. Animal assignment and treatmen	
Dose:	0 - 20 - 100 - 500 ppm equivalent to DG 6-21: 0 - 1.7 - $3.3 - 403$ mg/kg bw/day DL 1-12: 0 - 3.0 - 15.4 - 76.7 mg/kg bw/day DG = gestation.day
Exposure period:	DG 6-24 (dams that eld not deliver a fitter) or DL 11 (dams that did deliver a litter)
Application route:	oral, diet of of of the
Group size:	25 fégnales/dege
Observations:	Mortality, clinical agns, body weight, food consumption, signs of autonomic dysfunction; abnormal postures, abnormal movements; abnormal behaviour patterns, unusual appearance, maternal behaviour, litter size, live litter size, pups: viability at bith, brain weights, neurohistology, liver weight, thyroid/parathyroid weight, gross pathology, histology, clinical chemistry, possive avoidance, water maze testing, motor activity auditory startle habituation.
The study schematic can be found to the	A Contraction of the second se

Page 61 of 241 2014-03-19

#### Document MCA: Section 5 Toxicological and metabolism studies Flufenacet

**Bayer CropScience** 



## Clinical observation

All maternal clinical observations which occurred during gestation and lactation were not dose related, occurred only in one to three animals per group and/or the observation commonly occurs in this rat strain. Therefore, these findings are not considered to be test substance-related.

#### Body weight

There were no treatment-related changes in maternal body weights at 20 ppm. From gestation day 18-21 body weight gain was significantly reduced (81.2% of the control group value). This decrease was transient and not dece-related and, therefore, not considered related to the test substance.

During gestation treatment-related decreases in maternal body weights/body weight gains were observed at 100 and 500 ppm. No treatment-related effects on maternal body weights were observed during lactation.

**R** Document MCA: Section 5 Toxicological and metabolism studies Flufenacet

**Bayer CropScience** 

	Maternal body weight changes during gestation – means (g)									
Dose				Gestat	ion days	Ô				
(ppm)	0-6	6-9	9-12	12-15	15-18	18-21 6-21 0-21				
0	+30.5	+19.0	+28.0	+25.4	+36.8	+46.2 +135.3 +166.7				
20	+32.3	+13.8	+12.2	+21.2	+37.6	+375* +1540				
100	+29.1	+9.0**	+15.4*	+20.9	+39.6 。	\$9.9 \$\$#124.4 +153.3				
500	+30.8	+7.0**	+8.3	+28.8	+36	+36.8** +116Q** +47.0				

#### Table 5.7.1/03-1: Summary of maternal body weights changes during gestation

\* Statistically significant at p<0.05; \*\* statistically significant at \$30.01

#### Food consumption

Absolute (g/day) and relative (g/kg/day) food consumption were reduced at the start of exposure at the 100 ppm and 500 ppm dietary levels. During gestation food consumption was reduced at 500 and 500 ppm on gestation day (DG) 6 to 9, and at 500 ppm also on DG\$ 9 to 12 However, from DG 12 to 15 food consumption at 500 ppm was significantly increased. This transient reduction when treated feed was introduced was probably due to palatability, rather than toxicity of the test substance.

The absolute and relative food consumption values were significantly reduced in all dose groups from lactation day (DL) 7 to 12. These transient ductuations in absolute and relative food consumption values were considered unrelated to the test substance because they were not dose-dependent and no statistically significant differences occurred for the entire dosage period (DGs 6 to 21 and DLs 1 to 11).

## Table 5.7.1/03-2: Summary of maternal food consumption during gestation

	<u> </u>			<u> </u>	4								
	Absolute food consumption during gestation – means (g/day)												
Dose			Gestat	on days 🖓									
(ppm)	0-6 6-9	9-12	12-15	13-18	18-21	6-21	0-21						
0	22.3 25.7°	26,1	26.0	28.9	27.3	26.8	25.5						
20	22,72 24,2	م 24.5 ک	26.Q	29.0	27.0	26.0	25.0						
100	202 \$1.5**(	25.	~26.9 ~	29.3	26.6	25.9	24.9						
500	∞22.8 ूФ18.6*©	209**	©29.2** <sup>©</sup>	29.8	26.4	25.1	24.4						
	Relat	iverood const	imption duri	ng gestation	– means (g/	kg/day)							
0	880 67.9	89.90	85.4	86.1	73.6	84.8	81.9						
20	<b>90</b> .3 <b>8</b> 7.6 <b>(</b>	852	86.0	86.9	74.2	83.5	81.4						
100	88.9 <b>0</b> 79.4 <b>⊛</b>	\$9.9 Å	89.4	88.7	71.6	82.7	80.8						
500	90.3 69,6**	78.7*/	98.0**	90.3	72.6	81.6	80.6						

\* Statisfically sphificant at p<0.05, \*\* statistically significant at p<0.01

BAYER Bayer CropScience Document MCA: Section 5 Toxicological and metabolism studies Flufenacet

	Absolute food consumption during lactation – means (g/day)										
Dose	Lactation days										
(ppm)	1-4	4-7	7-12	1-12	12-14	12-22	الأ	1-22			
0	33.2	45.2	58.8	48.1	57.0	65.3	67.3	\$56.4			
20	32.3	42.7	53.9*	45.0	54.3	6455	\$7.0	55.00°			
100	33.3	43.8	52.8**	45.1	53.6 。	<b>%</b> 4.0	¢6.6 💭	54.6			
500	29.9	42.0	54.1*	44.2	56 S	63.§	65.40	\$3.4			
		Relativ	ve food consu	Imption duri	ingHactation	≚ means (g/l	(g/dary) 🕺	Ĵ			
0	116.5	155.4	189.4	161.3	0179.9 X	201.7	¥07.0 °	182(5)			
20	113.2	146.1	173.4*	150.6	169.80	196.6	203.2 <sup>0</sup>	170.3			
100	118.6	152.6	173.4*	153.8	170,4	§ 198.A	205.4	<b>9</b> 77.8			
500	107.9	149.7	181.2	153.4	J¥8.4 V	197.0	201.6	175.5			

#### Table 5.7.1/03-3: Summary of maternal food consumption during lactation

\* Statistically significant at p<0.05; \*\* statistically significant at p<0.04

# Natural delivery observations, litter observations, pup chinical observations, reflex and physical development

No treatment-related findings on natural delivery, litter observation, chinical observations, pup weights per litter, live born and stillborn pups, viability index and actation index, litter size, sex ratio were observed in any dose group.

#### Natural delivery observations

Pregnancy (implantation sites at recrops) occurred in all mated temale rats in controls as well as in the 20 and 100 ppm dose groups. At 500 ppm pregnance occurred in 22 of 25 mated female rats. One control dam (11755) and not deliver a litter. This data was megnant at sacrifice on DG 25, with five live and five dead fetuses in uters and one partially delivered live fetus. A twelfth conceptus was presumed cannibalized, All other pregnant dams delivered litters. The number of pregnant dams was significantly reduced at 500 ppm. This significant difference was considered unrelated to the test substance because the number of pregnancies was determined prior to the initiation of treatment and the incidence was within the historical control range for this Testing Facility. The number of dams with stillborn paps in the control group. This reduction was not considered test substance-related since an increase not a reduction of stillborns is considered an expected toxicological effect.

	· · · · ·									
Natural delivery observations - F0-females										
Dose (ppm)	0	20	100	500						
Mated rats per group	25	25	25	25						
Pregnant	25	25	25	22**						
Delivered	24	25	25	22						
Duration of gestation	22.7	22.6	22.8	22.9						
Implantation sites / litter	16.3	15.0	15.0	16.4						
Dams with stillborn pupps	7	3**	2**	0**						
Gestation index (%)	96.0	100.0	100.0	100.0						

# Table 7.1.10324: Natural delivery observations

\* Statistically significant at p<0.05; \*\* statistically significant at p<0.01

BAYER Bayer CropScience Document MCA: Section 5 Toxicological and metabolism studies Flufenacet

#### Litter observations

No litter observations from birth to day 22 postpartum were affected by administration of the test substance in the maternal diet up to 500 ppm.

Table 5.7.1/03-5:	Litter o	bservations			° d'a
Litter observations	5			S	
Dos	se (ppm)	0	20	<b>100</b>	<u>م</u> 500 س
Pups delivered		375	338 <sup>1</sup> )	√ 350 √	332
Liveborn	mean	15.2	13.9 <sup>1)</sup>	13:9 5	\$\$5.0
	N (%)	97.3	98.8 <sup>1</sup> )	0 <sup>×</sup> 99,4,** 0	× \$99.7 **
Stillborn	mean	0.4	0,	<b>9 9</b> .1	0.0 °
	N (%)	2.7	0.9(1)**	0.6 ** 6	0.0 🖉
Unknown vital statu	5	0			Ŭ d
Viability index	(%)	97.5	<b>6</b> .7 <sup>1,2,3</sup>	م <sup>م</sup> 95.5%	<b>96</b> .7
Lactation index	(%)	62.5	<u>,</u> <sup>♥</sup> 65.8 <sup>♥</sup> .∞	∫ <sup>7</sup> A67.2 √	ر 10.0 €
Mean pup weights/li	tter (g)				
	DP 1	6.6		6.4	6.1
Preculling	DP 5	8.8	£ 8.8 <sup>2)</sup> €	× 9.00°	8.4
Postculling	DP 5	9.0	O″ 8.90°	$(\mathcal{G}^{\times}, \mathcal{G}, \mathcal{V}^{3})$	8.4
Surviving pups/litter	DP 1	15.2	13.9	~I3.9	15.0
Preculling	DP 5	14,® × (	∫ <sup>™</sup> Øj3.5 √ <sup>™</sup>	مَّرَ» 13.3 <sup>م</sup> ر	14.5
Postculling	DP 5	10.0	9.6 O	~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~	10.0
	DP 8	<u>م</u> 0.0 ک	9.6	st 954*	10.0
	DP 12	₼ 6.6 ₼	<u>~ 6</u> 90 ~	6.6	7.2
	DP 14	√, 7, <b>\$<sup>O°</sup> ∖</b>	0 °	7.9	7.8
	DP 18	S IS	7.9 🥎	×ُ 7.7	7.7
	DP 22	Q.5_Q	7.9	7.7	7.7

<sup>1)</sup> excluded values for 1 litter as day, delivered one additional gap on DP/2

<sup>2)</sup> excluded values for 2 littlers as 1 pup each was called on D = 5

<sup>3)</sup> excluded values for Stitters (dam 11790, the lifter and 2 additional pups from litter 11796) sacrificed on DP 3

\* Statistically significant at p 0.05; \*\* statistically significant at p 0.01

Pup body weights per uter did not differ significantly for DPs 1 or 5 (pre- or post-culling).

The percentage of hyeborn pups was significantly increased and the percentage of stillborn pups was significantly reduced in all dose groups. These values were considered unrelated to the test substance because an increase, not a reduction on the number of stillborn pups is the expected toxicological effect.

The number of pupe found dead or presumed cannibalized on DPs 6 to 8 was significantly increased in the 100 ppm dose group. However, this increase in pup mortality was considered unrelated to the test substance because the increase in pupe found dead during this period was primarily from a single litter (11783) that had seven dead or missing pups on DPs 6 and 7 and the value was not dose-dependent.

The Viability Index (number of live pups on DP 5 divided by the number of liveborn pups on DP 1) and the Lactation Index (number of live pups on DP 22 divided by the number of live pups on DP 5) were comparable among the four groups and did not significantly differ.

The number of surviving pups per litter and the live litter size at weighing on DP 8 were significantly reduced (9.4 versus 10.0 surviving pups) in the 100 ppm dose group. These reductions were related to the pup deaths in one litter, as previously discussed, and considered unrelated to the test substance because the values were not dose-dependent. The percentage of male pups per number of pups sexed was comparable among the four dose groups and did not significantly differ.



#### **Reflex and physical development**

The average day postpartum that at least 50% of the pups had open eyes was significantly increased (15.4 and 15.6 days, respectively, versus 14.8 days in the control group) in the 100 and 500 ppm dose groups. Reflecting this developmental delay, significant reductions in the mean percentage of pups with eyes opened on DPs 14 and 15 occurred in the 100 and 500 ppm dose group (11.6% and 7% versus 34.4% and 61.1% and 38.8% versus 85.4% in the respective control group). There were no other biologically important differences among the four dose groups in the measures of reflex and physical development (surface righting, pinna fording, accurstic startle or pupil constriction). A significant reduction in the mean percentage of pups with eyes opened on DP 18 occurred in the 20 ppm dose group (51.8% versus 85.4% in the control group). A significant reduction in the mean percentage of pups with eyes opened on DP 18 occurred in the 20 ppm dose group (51.8% versus 85.4% in the control group). A significant feduction in the mean percentage of pups with eyes opened on DP 18 occurred in the 20 ppm dose group (51.8% versus 85.4% in the control group). A significant feduction in the mean percentage of pups vith eyes opened on DP 18 occurred in the mean percentage of pups responding to an acoustic startle on DP 13 occurred in the 500 ppm dose group (45.0% of all the pups had eyes open in the 20 ppm dose group or had the acoustic startle reflex in the 500 ppm dose group; and/or the values were within the distorial ranges of the Festing Facility.

#### Maternal and pup necropsy observations (through DP 22)

All maternal clinical and necropsy observations were considered mirelated to the test substance. No necropsy observations in the pups were attributable to maternal consumption of the test substance at any concentration tested because the micidences were not statistically significant or the observation occurred in only one or two paps.

### B. F1 Generation

## Mortality (subsets 192, 3, 4, 5)

Four, one, one and three F generation mates and three, two, three and four F1 generation females in the 0, 20, 100 and 500 ppm maternal dos, groups, respectively, were found dead during the study. One male and two female off spring in the maternal control group were missing during the preweaning period and presumed cannibalized. One tat in the maternal control group with hypospadias was sacrificed on day 34 postpartum (DP 34). These deaths were considered unrelated to the maternal consumption of the test substance because the incidences did not differ significantly among groups, including the control group.

# Clinical observations subsets 2, 3, 4

All clinical observations in the F1-generation male and female rats were considered unrelated to the test substance because the were not dose-dependent; they occurred in all dose groups, including the control group; and/or they occurred in only one or two rats in a dose group.

#### Body weight (subsets 1, 2, 3, 4)

Pup body weights per litter did not differ significantly for DPs 1 or 5 (pre- or post-culling), please refer also to Table 5.7.1/03-5.

Differences from the control group mean body weight and body weight changes for F1-males and F1females were considered related to the test substance for the 500 ppm maternal dose group, because the differences were statistically significant and persisted throughout the postpartum period.

#### **Document MCA: Section 5 Toxicological and metabolism studies** Flufenacet

In the 100 ppm dose group, significant differences from the control group values occurred and did persist until sacrifice for the male rats. These changes may have been related to the test substance.

In the 20 ppm dose group, some significant differences from the control group values occurred, but the statistically significant differences represented only minimal differences (less than & few percent) and reflected statistical significance that occurs when larger than normal (75 to 100 animals, versus a more typical 25 to 30 animals per group) numbers of animals are compared? These small differences from the control group at the 20 ppm dietary level were considered incidental and unrelated to reatment because they were minimal and did not persist.

Table 5.7.1/03-6: Summary of body weights in F1-generation

F				~	No N					
	Body weights – means (g)									
		M	ales	\$ \$		, Fen	nales 🗸			
Dose (ppm)	0	20	100	× 50€Q		🛛 20	1,00	500		
DP 5	9.3	9.2	9.4 🔗	866**	\$ <sup>\$\$</sup> 8.8 \$	8.C	8.8	8.0**		
DP 8	14.1	14.0	137	<b>D</b> .4** (	13.3	s 13.3	13.0	11.7**		
DP 12	20.4	19.0	<b>\$</b> .5	🔊 17.4** 🏷	125	~Q18.4* 🔬	¥ 17.8**	16.8**		
DP 14	25.2	21.8**	°°°°.1°°°°	21.2	<b>\$</b> 4.2	✓21.1**	20.1**	20.6**		
DP 18	34.5	30.5**	30.2**>	30.7**	33.3	29:7**	29.4**	29.5**		
DP 22	47.2	42.0**	41.	41.3**	44.8	409**	40.1**	39.7**		
DP 23	49.4	43.2**	420**	∕41.0** <i>♀</i>	46.%	A2.1**	40.7**	40.0**		
DP 30	94.1	87 <i>:</i> Q**	\$3.0**	81.8**	<b>\$</b> 5.6	<b>80.4</b> *	78.6**	75.1**		
DP 37	152.9	145.4	£138.2**	135.4**	×129.3	124.7	121.2**	116.1**		
DP 44	217.0	208.4	202 **	199.0**	164.	161.4	156.6*	151.2**		
DP 51	273.5	D 263 8	256.2**	254.0**	196,0	187.0	182.0*	176.9**		
DP 58	332.9	323.2	3\$\$5.5***	✓ 310. <b>8</b>	2,2.9	211.8	206.0	200.2**		
DP 65	3800	371.9	365.8*	358.9**	231.8	232.2	226.0	220.4**		
DP 72	415.0	<u></u> →408.4 0	<sup>, y</sup> 399, 🕵*	394.1**	<sup>≫°</sup> 246.9	247.9	239.8	235.0**		

\* Statistically significant at p<0.05; \*\* statistically significant p<0.01

Values were excluded for rats found dead overe missing or were assigned to subsets sacrificed on DP 12 / DP 22



Bayer CropScience **Document MCA: Section 5 Toxicological and metabolism studies** Flufenacet

	Body weight changes- means (g) of F1-males									
Dose		Study days								
(ppm)	5-65	65-72	23-72	5-72						
0	+371.4	+34.4	+366.0	405.7						
20	+362.7	+36.4	+365.0	+399.2						
100	+356.4	+34.1	+357.7 0 v	+3005*						
500	+350.3**	+35.2	Q <sup>+352.4</sup>	- <b>@</b> 85.5***						
		Body weight changes means (g) of F & females								
Dose		Study	y days							
(ppm)	5-65	65-72	23-72	<b>5-72</b>						
0	+222.9	+15.1 5	+1995	+238.0						
20	+223.5	+15.7 🖋 🔊	+205.5	+2392						
100	+217.2	+138	ĠJ198.5 O (	+23¥.0						
500	+212.3**	<u></u> , €)¥.5 <sup>4</sup> √	0 +193.9 O	£26.8**						

#### Table 5.7.1/03-7: Summary of body weights changes in F1-generation

\* Statistically significant at p<0.05; \*\* statistically significant at p<0.01

Values were excluded for rats found dead, were missing or were assigned to subsets sacrificed on DP 12 / DP 22

#### Food consumption (subsets 2, 3, 4)

Absolute and relative food consumption values for the F1 generation male and female rats were unaffected at the 20 ppm dose group. Differences from the control group (see below) that occurred on occasion were not considered related to the test substance because they were transient and/or not doserelated.

At maternal dose levels of 100 and 500 ppm absolute food consumptions were significantly decreased for the entire post-waning period (DP \$2-72) m F1 -makes as well as in F1-females (DP 23-30).

Due to the lower body weights in the 500 ppm maternal dose group, the relative food consumption values (see table below) were significantly increased for F1-males, as well as for F1-females of the 100 and 500 ppm maternal dose groups

	d			- N						
SFeed consumption- means (g/kg/day)										
		/Ma	ales 🖉	Y		Fen	nales			
Dose (ppm)	× 05×	20	<b>100</b>	500	0	20	100	500		
DP 23-34	195.3	, 199.9 <sup>©</sup>	202,3*	198.7	198.0	203.4	206.3**	202.4		
DP 30-37	190.7 ©	192(8	~202.2	213.1	204.2	208.9	214.3	215.7		
DP 37-44 ~	🖉 145.4 🖓	149.5	<u>,</u> @51.6**	152.5*	147.0	148.3*	160.2**	152.7*		
DP 44-51 🌾	132.1	33.0	136.6	138.4	141.1	140.2	146.9	150.7*		
DP 51-58	167.9	0 111.0	113.3**	113.4**	111.7	113.4	115.0	116.0		
DP 58-65	\$94.2	95.10	96.5	96.4*	102.2	102.6	106.4*	104.5		
DP 65-72	84.6	86.0	85.5	87.7	95.5	98.1	98.9	98.4		
DP 23-72	11704	119.0	120.3	122.4*	131.1	132.3	136.2	135.1		

### Table 5.7.1/03-8: Summary of relative feed consumption in F1-generation

\* Statistically significant at p<0.05; \*\* statistically significant at p<0.01

Values were excluded for rats found dead

Values were excluded that were associated with spillage, soiled feed or interrupted feed access or appeared associated with spillage



#### Sexual maturation of F1-generation (subsets 2, 3, 4)

The average day postpartum for preputial separation was significantly increased in F1-males of the 100 and 500 ppm maternal dose groups (48.4 days postpartum in both groups versus 47.2 days postpartum in the control group). Preputial separation was not affected in the 20 ppm dose group. Maternal dose levels of up to and including 500 ppm did not affect the day of vaginal patency in F1-females.

14010 0.111/00 91 15	uninuty of sexual maturation	ð	~~	$\sim$	Ő.	de la companya de la		
	Sexual maturation for days) Y							
Dose	Preputial separation			vaginal	patence	, V		
(ppm)	$\swarrow$		<i>•</i> 0	65		, C		
0	47.2		all a	<sup>©</sup> 32.	.0 <sup>©</sup>			
20	47.7 Q <sup>*</sup>		, ,	<sup>≫</sup> 3€	¥			
100	48.4*			<b>P</b>	.4			
500	48.4*	Į	×	> 32	.5			
* Significantly differen	t from the carrier group $\sqrt{2}$ by $(n \le 0.05)$	1	n (	71				

#### Table 5.7.1/03-9: Summary of sexual maturation

Passive avoidance testing and water maze performance F1-generation (subset 2)

There were no biologically important differences in the values for tearning. Mortterm retention, longterm retention or response inhibition in the F1-generation mate or female rats, as evaluated by performance in a passive avoidance paradigm. The trials to criterion in Session 2 were significantly greater (3.4 seconds versus 3.2 seconds in the control group) for the male rats in the 20 ppm dose group. This significant increase was considered incidental and unrelated to the maternal consumption of the test substance because the value was not dose-related and occurred in only one sex. No other statistically significant differences occurred in the F1 generation males or females in the number of trials to criterion, trial latencies or numbers of rats that failed to learn.

No biologically important, dose dependent differences occurred in the watermaze performance of the F1 generation male of female rats regarding learning, short-term retention, long-term retention or response inhibition. No statistically significant differences occurred in the F1 generation male or female rats in the number of trials to critection, the number of errors per trial, trial latencies or numbers of rats that failed to lear.

## Motor activity Flogeneration (subset 3)

No treatment-related effects were observed in F1-males and females on DPs 14 (males only), 18, 22 and  $60^{\circ}$ 

As expected, the motor activity results differed by age. The differences over time (five-minute blocks) that occurred (within-session habituation) reflected the normal accommodation of these rats to a novel environment. All other statistically significant increases or reductions in the number of movements or the time spent in movement were considered incidental and unrelated to treatment, because they were not dose-related and/or the changes did not persist across the four testing sessions.

#### Auditory startled response F1-generation (subset 3)

No treatment-related effects were observed in F1-males and females on DPs 23 and 61. Some statistically-significant increases in response magnitude were not considered treatment-related because



# Document MCA: Section 5 Toxicological and metabolism studies Flufenacet

each was an isolated event, occurred in only one sex, was not dose-dependent, and the values were within the historical ranges at the Testing Facility.

#### Serum concentrations for T3 and T4 in F1-generation

The serum concentrations of T3 and T4 in DP 12 and 22 pups were not affected by maternal administration of the test substance in the diet at doses up to 500 ppm. The values for T3 and T4 were increased in all groups on DP 22 from the DP 12 values (a normal change with age).

#### Necropsy observations (subset 1, 2, 3, 4, 5)

Necropsy observations in F1 generation male and female rats, were considered unrelated to the treatment with the test substance.

#### Terminal body weights and organ weights (subset 1, 4

On DP 12 terminal body weights of F1-males of the 500 ppm maternal dose group were significantly reduced. Absolute liver weights were significantly reduced at 100 and 500 ppm. Relative brain weights were significantly increased in F1-males and F1-females thowever, this relative increase was considered to reflect the reduced terminal body weight in this dose group

There were no effects observed on relative there is an are relative liver-to-brain weight in any of the dose groups.

The results for F1-generation makes and demales sacrificed on day 12 postpartum are summarised in the following table.

### Table 5.7.1/03-10: Summary of terminal body and organ weights in F1-generation

				<u> </u>	¥		
F1-males on DP 12							
Dose	Terminal	Absol	ute ørgan weig	ght (g) 🖓 🔅 🔅	Relativ	e organ weigh	ts (%) <sup>1)</sup>
(ppm)	body 🏷	Brain	Liver	<b>Thy</b> roid 🔿	Brain	Liver	Thyroid
	weight (g)	Ô <sup>°</sup> d		かって			
0	20.4	Ĩ.¥33 ♥	0.74	9.005 <sub>@1</sub>	5.618	3.635	24.637
20	1809	T.124 🕵	068 ~	0.000	6.017	3.658	24.593
100	18.8 .	1.093©	©:63* ू 🕐	0.004	5.867	3.403	24.232
500	17.0**	1,054	0.58**	\$ <b>Q</b> ,004	6.439*	3.516	23.386
	<u> </u>			V			
	K.	<u>~</u> ~~~	<b>F1</b> -	females on DP	P 12		
Dose	Terminal	Absol	F1- utgorgan weig	females on DP ght (g)	• 12 Relativ	e organ weigh	ts (%) <sup>1)</sup>
Dose (ppm)	Terminal	Absol Bizain	F1- ute organ weig Liver	females on DP ght (g) Thyroid	212 Relativ Brain	e organ weigh Liver	ts (%) <sup>1)</sup> Thyroid
Dose (ppm)	Terminal body weight (g)	Absolu Bizain	F1- ute organ weig Liver	Content of the second s	212 Relativ Brain	e organ weigh Liver	ts (%) <sup>1)</sup> Thyroid
Dose (ppm)	Terminal body weight (g) 19.3	Absolution Brain	F12 ute organ weig Liver	females on DF ght (g) Thyroid 0.003	12 Relativ Brain 5.798	e organ weigh Liver 3.718	ts (%) <sup>1)</sup> Thyroid 17.984
<b>Dose</b> (ppm) 0 20	Terminal body weight (2) 19.3 182	Absolution Bizain	F1- ute organ weig Livero 0.71 0.63	females on DF ght (g) Thyroid 0.003 0.004	2 12 Relative Brain 5.798 5.996	e organ weigh Liver 3.718 3.552	ts (%) <sup>1)</sup> Thyroid 17.984 19.460
<b>Dose</b> (ppm) 0 20 100	Terminal body weight (g) 19.3 182 182	Xbsol Bizain 2.07 1.06	F1- ute organ weig Liver	females on DF ght (g) Thyroid 0.003 0.004 0.003	12 Relative Brain 5.798 5.996 5.919	e organ weigh Liver 3.718 3.552 3.684	ts (%) <sup>1)</sup> Thyroid 17.984 19.460 18.888

DP = day postpartum

<sup>1)</sup> Ratio  $\binom{9}{6}$  = (organ weight/terminal body weight) x 100

\* statistically significant at p<0.05; \*\* statistically significant at p<0.01

# Not statistical significant different, but considered to be treatment-related reduced

The terminal body weights, brain, liver and thyroid weights and ratios (%) of the liver and thyroid weight to the terminal body weight for the F1 generation male and female rats (subset 5) sacrificed on DP 22 were comparable among groups and did not significantly differ.

# Document MCA: Section 5 Toxicological and metabolism studies Flufenacet

On DP 83 terminal body weights of F1-males (subset 4) in the 500 ppm dose group were reduced when compared to controls (90.6% of control, not statistically significant). Absolute and relative brain weights were not affected in F1-males and F1-female in any dose group.

#### Histopathology - postpartum day 12 and 22 (subsets 1, 5)

No test substance-related microscopic changes were observed in the liver of thyroid parathyroid of famales or F1-females in any dose group. Also, microscopic examination of gross lesions from the F0 dams and F1 pups of the various groups revealed no changes considered to be related to the administration of or possible exposure to the test substance.

#### Neurohistological evaluations - postpartum day 12 (subset )

No treatment-related microscopic lesions were present within any of the brain sections. While some of the brain morphometric parameters are slightly lower for the postpartum day 2 male rats in the maternal high dose group than for the comparable male controls these differences were not statistically significant. Furthermore, these differences are considered to be the result of slightly lower brain weights for the maternal high dose not group. These lower brain weights are, in turn, related to lower body weights in this group. These lower body weights are most likely the result of nutritional factors related to toxicity of the test cubstance to the dams. No such differences were noted for the postpartum day 12 female rats.

There is no evidence, therefore, that the test substance was near otoxic under the conditions of this study.

## Neurohistological evaluations - postpartum day 83 (subset 5)

Slightly decreased mean values (in comparison to control group values) for transverse and diagonal measures of the candate-putamen (striature) were present for the female rats in the maternal intermediate and high dose groups. However, there was no evidence of a dose enhancement, and no such differences were noted for the maternate. The intergroup differences in striatal measures for the female rats were, therefore, considered to be sparious.

No treatment-related histopathologic alterations were present, indicating lack of any evidence that the test substance produced neurotoxic effects in rationaler the conditions of this study.



Brain weight and morphometry data (mean values)									
	Males				Females				
Postpartum day	DP 12		DP 83		DP 12		<u> </u>	DP 83	
Dose (ppm)	0	500	0	500	0	500		100	500
Brain weight (g)	1.148	1.087	2.127	2.050	1.1583	1.118	1.938	1.898	1923
Anterior/Posterior Cerebrum (mm)	10.5	9.83	14.08	14.25	10.83	10.00	13.83	JA,33	¥¶3.83
Anterior/Posterior	6.00	5.67	7.00	7.08	<b>Ø</b> .00	\$6.33 <sub>(</sub>	∿~6.83 ⊀	<u>ح</u> 6.83	6.83
Cerebellum (mm)				Č				- Ch	0
Frontal Cortex $(\mu)$	1604	1508	1776	1764	1540	1604	1640		₹48 ار ک
Parietal Cortex (µ)	1620	1508	1868	1852	1592	1608	<i>6</i> 704	"O <sup>v</sup> "	String 1652
Caudate Putamen (µ)	2792	2592	3352	3288	Ø752	<sub>4</sub> Ø664	_ <b>€ \$</b> 204 d	2868 d	2900 d*
Caudate Putamen (µ)				$Q^{*}$	$\sum_{i=1}^{n}$		<sup>~</sup> 2832	268	2590 t**
Corpus Callosum (µ)	291.2	248.0	284.8	ž249 🔊	248	281 🖅	25 <b>2</b> \$	l l	248.0
Dentate Gyrus (µ)	1124	1068	1616	1550	1,080	£068	1468	65	1432
Cerebellum (µ)	3328	3232	4600	4648	<b>25</b> 09.6	3544	<b>4</b> 512	6	4360
External Germinal Layer (µ)	37.8	37.8	A.		241.5 2	¥42.3			

#### Table 5.7.1/03-11: Summary of brain weights and morphometry data in F1-generation

\* statistically significant at p<0.05; \*\* statistically significant at p<0.00

d = diagonal, t = transverse

The following table summarizes the substance-related effects observ ed in F0-dams and F1-pups (F1offspring).

#### Table 5.7.1/03-12: Summary of test-substance-related effects

Dose (ppm)	AFO (dams)	F1 (offspring)
500	decreased body weight (gestation)	decreased body weight
	decteased body weight gains (gestation)	decreased body weight/gains
	decreased Ged consumption (gestation)	developmental delay (eye opening, preputial
4		separation)
<sup>A</sup>		decreased terminal body weight (DP 12 and
		adult males)
		decreased absolute feed consumption
		increased relative feed consumption
100 (	decreased body weight (gestation)	decreased body weight/gains
	decreased feed consumption (gestation)	developmental delay (eye opening, preputial
O <sup>r</sup>		separation)
4		decreased absolute feed consumption
S,		increased relative feed consumption
¥20 ~~	NOEL no-ob erved-ef@ct-level)	NOEL (no-observed-effect-level)
K j <sup>v</sup>		

#### **III.** Conclusions

The 500 ppm dose level was considered to be excessively toxic for F1 offspring. Compound-related effects were also evident in the offspring at the 100 ppm dietary level.

Flufenacet did not cause any specific neurobehavioral effects in the offspring (developmental neurotoxicity) when administered to the dams during gestation and lactation at dietary concentrations up to 500 ppm.

Thus, the NOEL for dams and offspring is 20 ppm based on effects of the body weight, feed consumption and a slight developmental delay at 100 ppm.



#### CA 5.7.2 **Delayed polyneuropathy studies**

CA.5.2 Delayed polyneuropathy studies Futenacet does not belong to a chemical class which is suspected to cause delayed neurotoxic effects (organophosphates, carbamates). Therefore, specific studies on delayed neurotoxic to are too necessary. Flufenacet does not belong to a chemical class which is suspected to cause delayed neurotoxic effects
BAYER Bayer CropScience

Document MCA: Section 5 Toxicological and metabolism studies Flufenacet

### CA 5.8 Other toxicological studies

### CA 5.8.1 Toxicity studies of metabolites

### Summary of studies with metabolites

During the previous EU review, the toxicological properties of several plant and/or soil metabolites (FOE-oxalate (M01), FOE-sulfonic acid (M02), FOE-thioglycolate sulfocide (M04), and thiadone (M09)) were investigated in acute oral toxicity to rats and/or mutagenicity and/or their/broavailability in rats.

The data base on metabolites has been supplemented as the parent compound therenacet shows an extensive metabolic behavior in rats, livestock and in the majority of crops and also in order to fulfill SANCO/221/2000 - rev. 10, 25th February 2003 requirements. Some plant metabolites were not detected as systemic metabolites in the rat ADMF studies. Depending on the occurrence and the quantity of the metabolites to be addressed, a suitable approach has been chosen m order to meet the regulatory requirements and suffice the most recent scientific developments as addressed in the EFSA Scientific Opinion on evaluation of the toxicological relevance of pesticide metabolites for dietary risk assessment (EFSA Journal 2012;10(7):2799).

The toxicological profile and exposure assessment includes flutenacet metabolites

- (1) exceeding the trigger of 0.91 mg/kg in raw agricultural commodifies relevant for human consumption
- (2) exceeding the trigger of 0.05 mg/kg of faw animal fodder (e.g. straw).

It has to be noted that indevidual metabolities occur in food items freeding items and are predicted to reach groundwater in some scenarios.

For the detailed tox cological assessment the metabolites are grouped as follows:

- Metabolites containing the fluorophenylacetamide moiety FOE scalate (M01), KOE-sulfonic acid/(M02) FOE-thioglycolate sulfoxide (M04), FOE-cysteine (M23), FOE- sulfinyl factic acid (M33), FOE-sulfinyl lactic acid glucoside (M37), FOE sulfaryl lacte acid glucoside (M41), FOE malonylcysteine conjugate (M42)
- Rat metabolite containing/originating from the thiadiazole moiety FOE thiadone (M05), ThN erycoside (M25), Th-malonylalanyl-conjugate (M34), FOEtrifluoroethanesultonic action Na-salt (M44), Trifluoroacetate (TFA) (M45)

The detailed toxicological assessment of these metabolites can be found in the document M-476535-01-1 ("Flufenacet - Toxicological profile and exposure assessment of the plant metabolites"). Based on commonality assessments, structure similarity considerations, evaluation of genotoxicity and further toxicological studies as well as exposure calculations, it is concluded that all plant metabolites are considered to be toxicologically adequately investigated and uncritical for human health.

A summary of the toxicological studies on several metabolites is provided below:

**BAYER** Bayer CropScience Document MCA: Section 5 Toxicological and metabolism studies Flufenacet

### FOE-oxalate (M01)

For a better understanding of the nature of some flufenacet metabolites, an investigation of the bioavailability of selected plant metabolites in rats was undertaken. The metabolite chosen to represent metabolites arising from the fluorophenylacetamide moiety was FOE-oxalate. Thiadiazole-N-glucoside was chosen to represent the thiadiazole metabolites. In this study unchanged FOE-oxalate was excreted with faeces (70%) and urine (28%), i.e. FOE-oxalate was not further metabolites. The study was already submitted for the first evaluation of flufenacet, please refer to the Monograph Baseline dossier KCA 6.2.1, additionally summarized in Monograph 5.1.2, M-002278 01-1)

The genotoxicity potential of FOE-oxalate (M01) was investigated in a battery of in vitro tests which were all negative with and without metabolic activation (+/2 S9 mix). Therefore, FOEoxalate (M01) is considered to be non-mutagenic and non-genotoxic.

Study	Dose a	Besult &	Reference
Bacterial reverse mutation assay	16 - 5000 µg/plate	Negative	, 2009
(S. typhimurium, TA1535, TA1537,	(+/- \$9 mix) 🔿 👘 🖉	(+/- <b>\$9</b> mix) 🗸 🔍	M-358953-01-1
TA98, TA100, TA102)			
Mammalian cell gene mutation test	150 - 2400 µg/mL	Negative 0	, 2010
(Chinese hamster V79 cells)	(+/- S9 agaix) (	Q+/- S9 (příx) 🔍 🛈	M-361724-01-1
Mammalian chromosome aberration	600 <b>24</b> 00 μg/mL 🔍	Negative	, 2009
test (Chinese Hamster Ovary (CHQ)	(+/~\$9 mix)	(+/ \$9 mix)	M-358043-01-1
cells)			
Bioavailability study in rats	🛈 mg/kg bw 🖄 💊	Excretion of unchanged	2
[Fluorophenyl-UL-14C] FOE 5043-	Co L	FOE-oxalate 70%	, 1995
oxalate	T O' V	faeces, 28% urine	M-002278-01-1

Table 5.8.1-1: Summary of genotoxicity studies with FOE-oxalate (M01)\*

\* New studies, i.e. studies that we fe not previously submitted are written in bold

## FOE-sulfonic acid (M92)

**FOE-sulton cacid (NT02)** During the first EU review of flufenacet the bacterial reverse mutation assay, an acute oral toxicity study and a study investigating the bioavailability of the metabolite were submitted and evaluated. Based on the study results (non-mutagenic, acutely non-toxic, low oral absorption <10% and a high body clearance, high polarity) FOE sulfonic acid (M02) was consider not relevant, please refer to the Evaluation table of flufenacet (7468/VI/98-rev. 10(27.12.2001)).

The genotovicity potential of FOE-sulfonic acid (M02) has been further investigated in a battery of *in vitro* and *in vivo* tests. In these tests the metabolite has been tested as Na-salt as under physiological conditions FOE-sulfonic acid occurs mainly as an anionic molecule. Under environmental aqueous conditions the acid is promptly dissociated to the sulfonate and testing of the toxicological potential of the salt moiety which is representative for the real condition in water was considered to be more appropriate. Therefore, most of the toxicity studies with the metabolite have been conducted using the salt of the FOE-sulfonic acid, e.g. FOE-sulfonic acid Na-salt.

FOE-sulfonic acid (M02) resulted negative in the genotoxicity tests in bacteria and mammalian cells *in vitro* (bacterial reverse mutation, mammalian cell gene mutation). The *in vitro* 



chromosome aberration test resulted negative in the presence of metabolic activation, but showed a positive response in the absence of metabolic activation at cytotoxic concentrations. Due to the positive response in the *in vitro* chromosome aberration test, two *in vivo* genotoxicity tests were conducted. The micronucleus test and the unscheduled DNA synthesis (UDS) assay both showed clear negative results. These results confirm that the aberrations observed under extreme *in vitro* conditions are not reflecting chemical-specific genotoxicity. Overall, it can be concluded that FOE-sulfonic acid (M02) is considered to be non-genotoxic.

-			
Study	Dose	Result	Reference .
Bacterial reverse mutation assay	16-5000 μg/plate 🔬	Negative	2000
(S. typhimurium TA1535, TA100,	(+/- S9 mix)	(f/-S9 max)	<b>M-019064-01-1</b>
TA1537, TA98, TA102)			
Mammalian cell gene mutation	202-3230 μg/mL (+ S9 mix)	Negative (	, 2009
test (Chinese hamster V79 cells)	101-808 μg/mL - S9 mix)	$(+C)$ S9 mix $\mathcal{D}^{\vee}$	M=361158-01-1
Mammalian chromosome	250-3000 μg/mL (+ S9 mix)	Negative (+ S9 mix)	, 2010
aberration test	200-1000 µg/mL (- \$9 mix)	Positize (- S9 max)	M-366380-01-1
(Chinese hamster V79 cells)	Í Ó Ú		
In vivo Micronucleus test	500-2000 mg/kg bw	Negative	, 2010
(Mouse bone marrow)	(2x in paperitoreal)	a 'n a	M-368627-01-1
In vivo Unscheduled DNA	1000-2000 mg/kg bw	Negative 💫	, 2010
synthesis (UDS) assay	(@sal) 🔊 🔨 💛		M-397810-01-1
(rat primary hepatocytes)			
Rat 🔬	500-2000 mg/kgbw/day	$LD_{50} > 2000 \text{ mg/kg bw}$	, 1998
Acute oral (fasted)			M-004749-01-1
Rat	1. 100 mg/tg bw	Low oral absorption	&
Plasma kinetics and excretion	(untravenous)	(<10%)	, 2000
Č (	1 x 1000 mg/kg bw	ropid renal clearance	M-042251-01-1
	(oraby sy Q	Q.v: $t_{1/2} \approx 30 \text{ min}$ )	

Table 5.8.1-2: Summary of studies with FOE-sulfonic acid/M02)\*

\* New studies, i.e. studies that were not previously submitted, are written in bold

# FOE-thioglycolatesulfoxide (M04)

The metabolite FOE-throglycolate sufficience (M04) was tested for its mutagenic potential in the bacterial reverse mutation test. There was no indication of a mutagenic effect with and without metabolic activation.

### Table 58.1-3 Summary of studies with FOE-thioglycolate sulfoxide (M04)

Study V Dose	Result	Reference
Bacterial reverse mutation assay 16 - 5000 µg/plate (S. typhimurium VA1535 TA100, (+/- S9 mix) TA1537, TA98, TA102 V	Negative (+/- S9 mix)	, 2000 M-032500-01-1

### FOE-methylsulfone (M07)

The genotoxicity potential of FOE-methylsulfone (M07) has been investigated in a battery of *in vitro* tests. FOE-methylsulfone (M07) did not induce mutations in bacteria and mammalian cell,

**BAYER** Bayer CropScience Document MCA: Section 5 Toxicological and metabolism studies Flufenacet

both with and without metabolic activation. There was also no evidence of a clastogenic potential in mammalian cells *in vitro* without and with metabolic activation. Thus, FOE-methylsulfone (M07) is considered to be non-mutagenic and non-genotoxic.

 Table 5.8.1-4:
 Summary of studies with FOE-methylsulfone (M07)\*

Study	Dose	Result	Reference
<b>Bacterial reverse mutation</b>	3 - 5000 μg/plate	Negative 0 0	,
assay (S. typhimurium TA1535,	(+/- S9 mix)	(54- S9 mix)	2012
TA1537, TA98, TA100, TA102)			M-422370-01-1
Mammalian cell gene mutation	43.3 - 2800 μg/mL	Nega@ve 🖉 🖉	, 2012
test (Chinese hamster V79 cells)	(+/- S9 mix)	(+/- \$9 mix)	M-430571-41-1
Mammalian chromosome	170.6 - 2730.0 µg/mL 🚫	Negative 0	,
aberration test (Chinese	(+/- S9 mix)	Q+/- S9 (1927)	2012
Hamster V79 cells)			M-432250-01-1

\* New studies, i.e. studies that were not previously submitted we written in bold

### FOE-thiadone (M09)

The acute oral toxicity test revealed that FOE thiadone (M09) is more toxic than the parent compound flufenacet, with LD<sub>50</sub> values of < 1650 and <600 mg/kg by for males and females, respectively.

In 2011 for registration of flutenacet in Japan, a bacterial reverse mutation assay was conducted on the metabolite FOE-thiadone (M99) itself. In this study no evidence for point mutations in the bacterial reverse mutation test occurred. Flus, FOE-thiadone (M09) is considered to be nonmutagenic.

### Table 5.8.1- 5: Summary of studies with FOE-thiadove (M09)

Study O S	Dose & S	Result	Reference
Bacterial reverse mutation	🐼 - 5000 🖓 g/plate 🖓 💦 💦	Negative	, 2011
assay (S. typhimarium XA1535,	(+/- S9 mix)	(+/- S9 mix)	M-413989-01-1
TA1537, TA98, TA199, TA192)	No N		
Rat	1650 mg/kg bw (males)	LD <sub>50</sub>	& ,
acute	600 mg/kg bw (females)	<1650 /<600 mg/kg bw	1993
oral		(males/females):	M-004951-01-1

\* New studies, je. studies that were not previously submitted, are written in bold

# FOE St43-triffuoroefpanesuffonic acid Na-salt (M44)

The genotoxicity potential of EQE 5043-trifluoroethanesulfonic acid Na-salt (M44) has been investigated in a battery of *in vitro* tests. The metabolite did not induce mutations in bacteria and mammalian cell, both with and without metabolic activation. There was also no evidence of a clastogenic potential in mammalian cells *in vitro* without and with metabolic activation. Thus, FOE 5043-trifluoroethanesulfonic acid Na-salt (M44) is considered to be non-genotoxic.

Document MCA: Section 5 Toxicological and metabolism studies	
Flufenacet	

Table 5.8.1- 6:         Summary of studies with FOE 5043-trifluoroethanesulfonic acid Na-salt (M4)	4)*
--	-----

Study	Dose	Result	Reference
Bacterial reverse mutation assay ( <i>S. typhimurium</i> TA1535, TA1537, TA98, TA100, TA102)	3-5000 μg/plate (+/- S9 mix)	Negative (+/- S9 mix)	, 2012 M-4347 <b>2</b> 8-01-1
Mammalian cell gene mutation test (Chinese hamster V79 cells)	125-2000 μg/mL (+/- S9 mix)	Negative (+/- S9 mix)	, 2013 M-446033-01-1
Mammalian chromosome aberration test (Chinese Hamster V79 cells)	465-1860 μg/mL (+/- S9 mix)	Acgative of the second	, 2013 M-447404-01-1

\* New studies, i.e. studies that were not previously submitted, are written in bold

### Trifluoroacetate (TFA) (M45)

TFA is a plant and a soil metabolite of second plant projection products and sometabolite of other chemicals. TFA is a ubiquitous molecule with multiple sources. It has been found in surface water, groundwater and rain TFA ioalso a metabolite of the inbalation anaesthetic halothane (used in animals and humans); a broad toxicology data base exists for halothane which did not reveal any adverse effect related to its metabolite FFA.

The toxicological properties of TFA were further assessed in an in vitro genotoxicity battery, acute and repeated dose or al toxicity studies, and in a developmental toxicity study.

Most of the toxicity studies with TFA have been conducted using the salt of trifluoroacetic acid, e.g. sodium trifluoroacetate. The reason for this is that under environmental aqueous conditions the acid is promptly dissociated to rifluor acetate and therefore, it is considered to be appropriate to assess the toxicological potential of the salt moiety which is representative for the real condition in water.

Three in vitro genotoxicity studies have been conducted with trifluoroacetate sodium. These studies showed no evidence for mutagenicity in the reverse mutation assay in bacteria as well as in the mammalian cell gene mutation test. The mammalian chromosome aberration assay in human lymphocytes revealed no evidence for acclastogenic potential. Thus, TFA is considered to be non-mutagenic and pon-genotoxic,

Furthermore, TFA is of low acute toxicity with a LD50 above 2000 mg/kg bw without any evidence of acute effects based on elinical signs and necropsy findings. After repeated administration the liver was the target organ, with effects that were adaptive and reversible. Moreover, the 14-day mechanistic study showed that liver effects are related to peroxisome proliferation, a mode of action not relevant for humans. Furthermore, the developmental toxicity study in tasts showed neither maternal nor developmental effects which are considered to be adverse up to the highest dose tested.

Document MCA: Section 5 Toxicological and metabolism studies	
Flufenacet	

### Table 5.8.1-7: Summary of acute and genotoxicity studies with trifluoroacetate (TFA) (M45)\*

Study	Dose		Result	Reference
Bacterial reverse mutation	16-5000 µg/plate		Negative	, 2005
assay (S. typhimurium TA1535,	(+/- S9 mix		(+/- S9 mix)	M-256628-01-1
TA1537, TA98, TA100, TA102)				
Mammalian cell gene mutation	360-1360 μg/mL		Negative	
test (mouse lymphoma L5178Y	(+/- S9 mix		(+/- S9 mix)	2005
cells)				M-260699-01-1
Mammalian chromosome	85-1360 μg/mL	(	Negative	, 2005
aberration test (human	(+/- S9 mix	L.	(+/- S9 mix) (* 7	M-260807-01-1
lymphocytes)		0		
Rat	2000 mg/kg bw	×,	LD <sub>50</sub> : >2000 mg/kg bw	- ,
acute oral (fasted)	e <sup>(</sup>	Õ		<b>2013</b>
				M-444479-01-1

\* New studies, i.e. studies that were not previously submitted, and written in bold

Table 5.8.1-8: Summary of repeated toxicity studies with Wifluoroacetate (TFA) (M
---

	r				
Study	Sex	NO(A)EL	LO(A)EL₄	Main findings seen at (CO(A)EL	Reference
		mg/kg	bw/day 🖓		
Rat	Μ	43	85	Lixer findings (increased organ weight in	,
14-day	F	45	≳190	correlation/with hepatocellular hyper-	2001
feeding				trophy, increased cytochrome P-450, lauric	M-202165-01-1
				acid by droxylation activity, specific and	
		×		total palmitoyl-CoA oxidation activities).	
Rat	Μ	1315	A C	No adverse effects observed.	,
28-day	F	1344		diver weight changes without	2005
feeding		Ň	Ś.	histopathological correlates)	M-259106-01-1
Rat	Μ	10	98	Changes in parmatopogical and clinical	,
90-day feeding	F	َ×َ 12 ∼	123	chemistry parameters, organ weights and	2007
	i de la companya de la	Ô	a de la	histopathological liver findings	M-283994-01-1
Rat	° <b>∧</b> β	150	<i>₽ ₽</i>	No adverse effects at 150 mg/kg bw/d	, 2010
developmental	Fet	<b>↓</b> \$\$150 🦕	0		M-411209-01-1
toxicity 🔊	í a	Ď Ö	r de		
gavage	ŝ	~ _	<i>a</i> .		

\* New studies, i.e. studies that were not previously submitted, are written in bold

The current toxicity database for assessing TFA effects after acute and subacute exposure comprise the critical appropriate and GLP studies and information (including reproductive, developmental and neurotoxic effects) supporting that an Acute Reference Dose (ARfD) is <u>not</u> triggered for this compound. The rational for the waiver of an ARfD of TFA can be found in the document M-480037-01-1 ("Triffuoroacetate (TFA) – Waiver of an Acute Reference Dose (ARfD)").

### Acceptable Daily Totake (ADI) derivation for TFA

Due to the aforementioned uncritical toxicological profile and the fact that humans are exposed to TFA without known negative consequences (TFA is a ubiquitous product and a metabolite of the inhalation anaesthetic halothane) the ADI can be established based on the repeated-exposure toxicological data base. The lowest NOAEL of 10 mg/kg bw/day observed in the 90-day rat study is considered appropriate to derive the ADI. This NOAEL is corrected by a safety factor of 100



for intra- and inter-species variation and an additional safety factor of 2 (EFSA default value in EFSA Scientific Opinion "Guidance on selected default values to be used by the EFSA Scientific Committee, Scientific Panels and Units in the absence of actual measured data", EFSA Journal 2012;10(3):2579) to extrapolate from subchronic to chronic study duration. This results in a proposed ADI of 0.05 mg/kg bw/day.

In their reasoned opinion on setting the MRL for saflufenacil (EFSA bournal 2014; 12(2):3585) EFSA experts agreed to the proposal made by Bayer CropScience to derive an ADI of 0.05 mg/kg bw/day for TFA on the basis of the NOAEL of the subchronic ratio tudy and the application of an extra uncertainty factor (UF) of 2.



Document MCA: Section 5 Toxicological and metabolism studies Flufenacet

### **B. Study design and methods**

. 8		
Dose:	0-16-50-158-500 positive controls	)-1581-5000 μg/plate ::
	Na-azide:	10-20 µg/plate
	NF:	0.2-0.4 µg/plate
	4-NPDA:	0.5-1-10-20 μg/plậte
	MMC:	$0.2-0.4 \mu\text{g/plate}$
	Cumene:	50-75 μg/plate
	2-AA:	3-6 æg/plate / / / /
<b>Application volume:</b>	0.1 mL/plate	
Incubation time:	48 hours, 37°C	
	II Results and	discussion a a co

Doses up to and including 5000 µg per plate FOE 5043 oxalate produced weak bacteriotoxic effects, starting at 158 µg per plate in the plate incorporation thal only. Evaluation of individual dose groups, with respect to relevant assessment parameters (dose effect, reproducibility) revealed no biologically relevant variations from the respective negative controls. In spite of the low doses used, positive controls increased the mutant counts ignificantly compared with negative controls, and thus demonstrated the system's left sensitivity.

Despite this sensitivity, no indications of mutagenic effects of FOE 50434-oxalate could be found at assessable doses of up to 5000 µg per plate in row of the Salmonella typenmurium strains used.

# Table 5.8.1/07- 1: Summary of results

intern revertants per prate												
Substance	S9			Strain								
Dose (µg/plate)	mix	TA1535	TA100	<b>TA1537</b>	<b>TA98</b>	TA102						
<u> </u>												
FOE 5043-oxalaty 0 🔬	,	¥ ¢	<sup>♥</sup> 106@	6	17	191						
L 16	_>	<u> </u>	× 101×	5	19	204						
- 50-	_0	<sup>00</sup> 9 🗞	115	7	21	207						
A.58	<i>&amp;</i> -	Û,8 Û	<b>93</b>	6	19	200						
500	Ş – 4	S 85	<sup>0</sup> 109	7	19	193						
<u>م</u> ي 1581 م	_	a l	\$ 108	6	21	202						
َ <sup>(</sup> ) 5000	×	× 8 ×	118	2	22	229						
Na-azide 🔊 🔊 🕅	9	<sup>6</sup> 877 🔊										
20 ×	V – "	10850										
NF 🕉 🚕 0.2 🖓		. 0″	302									
«يَ <sup>♥</sup> 0.4 ّ	Ş		541									
4-NPDA	]	Ĵ		32								
20		D'		54								
0.5	~ —				63							
	_				92							
MMC 0.2	_					705						
0.4	_					896						



Mean revertants per plate											
Substance	<b>S9</b>		•	Strain							
Dose (µg/plate)	mix	TA1535	TA100	TA1537	<b>TA98</b>	TA102					
FOE 5043-oxalate 0	+	9	177	10	<b>Q</b> 30	254					
16	+	10	170	8	29 <sup>(1)</sup>	248					
50	+	10	150	8	°~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~	چ 279 م					
158	+	9	176	9 💰	Î VÎ Î	© 289					
500	+	9	167	9 0	30 3	247					
1581	+	9	187	~ 6 L	× 30 K	مُكْلِمٌ 🕺					
5000	+	8	150		$300^{\circ}$	<u></u> ≈ 236					
2-AA 3	+	135	2270 🖋	j 283 (	1527	ر <sup>1</sup> 601					
6	+	103	1598 C	<u>~~~80 %</u>	r 1748	§ 1112					
		Pr	e-incubation								
FOE 5043-oxalate 0	-	9	<b>99</b>	Ŭ <sub>(</sub> Ø	@* 210						
16	-	10			× Å	<sup>164</sup>					
50	-	8	¥23	\$\$ <u>}</u>		159					
158	-	9		O $70$	0° 17 ×	182					
500	-	9	65° 1096			158					
1581	-	8 ×	d 12			176					
5000	-	10	<u>0103</u>			180					
Na-azide 10	—	76.0	S ×								
20	-	884		Ô <sup>y</sup> ~ C <sup>y</sup>	-0						
NF 0.2 0.4	-	o ×	7 <u>428</u> 775	Ĭ, O' ~							
4-NPDA 10	,			Q 34 &	<i>y</i>						
20	_% /	ð									
0.5	, C	Ő			71						
1	Ű,		Å a		100						
Cumene 50	9 – d		, 2	, A		335					
75				<u> </u>		335					
FOE 5043-oxalate	¢,	A 10		S 11	30	244					
© <sup>16</sup>	, Of	0° 10 S	~125 ~	r 9	27	264					
	·	× £	× 135 @	10	31	247					
	+%			9	26	254					
× 5000	+			9	31	238					
1288	$\swarrow^+$			8	28	221					
			2254	275	<u> </u>	224					
	/ + ~		2023	196	2180	488 801					
			/ 2023	170	2100	001					
A O	@.	Ŭ 炎	II Conclusion								
			II. Conclusion								
FOE 5043-6xalate has t	o bêşeş	arded as non-	-mutagenic								
		2									
	$\checkmark$	0°									
× A	<i>y</i>										
0											



Report:	ü;	;2002;M-361724-01
Title:	FOE 5043-Oxalate	e - Gene mutation assay in Chinese hamster V79 cells in
	vitro (V79/HPRT)	)
Report No:	1277301	Ô
Document No:	M-361724-01-1	©`
Guidelines:	OECD 476; Com	mission Regulation (EC) No. 440/2008, B17; US-EPA
	712-C-98-221, OI	PPTS870.5300;
	<b>Deviations: none</b>	O TA L
GLP/GEP:	yes	
	I.	Materials and methods
A. Materials		
1. Test material:		FOE 5043-oxadate
Description:		white powder in the second sec
Lot/Batch no:		SES 10564-3-1 X X X &
Purity:		95.3%
Stability of tes	t compound:	guaranteed for study duration; expiry date: 2009-09-24
2. Vehicle and/or po	ositive control:	Vehicle: DMSO OF ST L
	Â	Fositive controls ethylmethane sulfonate (EMS),
	<sup>o</sup>	7,12-friethylbenz(a)anthracense (DMBA)
3. Test system:	, ,	Chinese hamster V79 cells
metabolic activa	ntion:	SØ Mix
B. Study design and	l methods 🍼 🚿	
1. Treatment	, v	
Dose:	Û Â	0-300-600-2200-1800-2400 ug/mL
	S O	Positive controls
	à × 4	$EMS_{0.15} mgmL_{0.15}$
2		DMBA: 1.1 (mg/mL)
Treatment durat	ion: 🔊	5 Abours St St
Ê9	S & M	. Results and discussion
No precipitation of	the test item was o	served op to the maximal concentration in all experimental
parts.		
No relevant ytotox	effects/occurred u	p to the maximal concentration of 2400 μg/mL.
No relevant and cop	roducible increase i	in mutant colony numbers/106 cells was observed in the main
experiments up to th	e maximal concent	<sup>""</sup> stion The mutation frequency generally remained within the

experiments up to the maximal concentration. The mutation frequency generally remained within the historical range of solvent controls; the induction factor did not reach or exceed the threshold of 3.0. A linear regression analysis (least squares) was performed to assess a possible dose dependent increase of mutant frequencies. No significant dose dependent trend of the mutation frequency indicated by a probability value of 50.05 was determined in any of the experimental groups. A significant trend detected in the first culture of the first experiment with metabolic activation was judged as irrelevant since it actually was reciprocal, going down versus increasing concentrations.

In both experiments of this study (with and without S9 mix) the range of the solvent controls was from 13.2 up to 34.6 mutant colonies per  $10^6$  cells; the range of the groups treated with the test item was from 5.7 up to 26.5 mutant colonies per  $10^6$  cells.



) of the first ex, controls (0.8 – 31.3). very minor and the solves i well within the range of the first experiments slightly exceeded. as positive controls and showed a defined the control of t



Table 5.8.1/08-1: Summary of results induction relative & celative **S9** relative relative <sup>O</sup>'mutant induction mutant concentration \* factor Octoning s cloning colonies A06 colonies/10<sup>6</sup> cloning cloning factor efficiency II efficiency I , feells cells efficiency II efficiency I Ø, Ø % % μg/mL Oprulture II Experiment I / culture I 5 h treatment J. 0.0 Solvent control DMSO 100.0 100.0 1407 1.0 34.5 \_ 60 96.7 🔨 859 Positive control EMS 150.0 109.8 6.6 7A) 187.7 5.4 \_ \$ 96.6 eulture was not continued# FOE 5043-oxalate 87.5 Culture was not continued# 150.0 \_ 94.8 0.4 300.0 106.1 83.1 15.0 \_ 600.0 77.8 12.4 0.4 106.0 1200.0 77.4 16.8 0.5 63.3 1800.0 91.5 71.3 23.8 0.7 1.1 24.2 82.5 2400.0 10.6 LÓ 75.6 18.4 0.5 Solvent control DMSO 000.0 *®*2.2 0.1 100.0 100.0 34.6 1.0 100.0 🕷 🖉 +Positive control DMBA 700 47.5 74.0 1265.3 ୍ୟ103.2 34.2 36.6 1.1 **\$**4.9 culture was not continued 150.0 91.6 culture was not continued# FOE 5043-oxalate 90, 006.1 ~2P.1 300.0 105.5 0.7 86.1 21.7 0.6 600.0¢ 102.0 87.0 20.6 0.6 107.1 20.5 0.6 96B \*97.8 1200.0 19.4 0.6 84.1 103.0 13.3 0.4 107.2 140.3 1800.0 ETAR US OTHET OTHET 16.4 102.5 0.5 82.9 17.5 0.5 14.8 0.5 74.8 14.8 0.4 74.1



Flufenacet								ŝ		
								N N C Y		
	concentration	S9	relative cloning efficiency I	relative cloning efficiency II	mutant colonies/10 <sup>6</sup> cells	induction factor	relative cloning efficiency I	Cloning effectency II	mutant colonies/10 <sup>6</sup> cells	induction factor
	μg/mL		%	%			» % § »	°0%	, t ·	
Experiment II /				cultur	eI 🔊			🔬 🔊 🦉 cultur	KÛ <sup>V</sup>	
5 h treatment					×, ×	1 Ž	. @	J. J. A.	/	
Solvent control DMSO			100.0	100.0	JP7.6	Q1.0 🔬	N 100.0 00	100.0	18.5	1.0
Positive control EMS	150.0	_	70.9	82.4	> 108.5 √	6.2	74.2	06.3	🏷 ° 96.9	5.2
FOE 5043-Oxalate	150.0	_	82.3	culture was not o	continued#		98.9	culture was	continued#	
	300.0	_	77.9	90 <b>65</b> 0 E	21.1	\$H.2	93.8 🔊	86. O <sup>llus</sup>	8.1	0.4
	600.0	_	88.1	s ⊜78.2 s (	18.7 🗲	1.1	280	87.9	8.3	0.4
	1200.0	_	76.9	> 71.6 5	S K ST		\$99.0	85.2	12.3	0.7
	1800.0	_	35.7	74 <u>7</u> .+0)-	ي 26.5 ي	ົ້ 1.5 🔬 🏠	39.0	97.4	16.4	0.9
	2400.0	-	3 d C	Ø1.1	22.5 C	1.3	12:02	86.8	11.4	0.6
Solvent control DMSO		+	J00.0	Q <sup>1</sup> 100.0	, x1 \$2	£ 1.0°	C100.0	100.0	1511	1.0
Positive control DMBA	1.1	+0	28.1 3	54.00	1104.7	83.9	52.3	70.8	617.5	41.0
FOE 5043-Oxalate	150.0	\$+	68.01	culture was not	ontinued#		89.9	culture was not	t continued#	
	300.0	* +	Q68.8 J	78.4%	£5.3	1×1.2	87.8	89.6	12.1	0.8
	600.0 *	+	75.3°°	85.Y	o v 17.6	1.3	89.7	98.7	6.3	0.4
	1200.0	°O₽″	\$5.1	VV70.0	14.E	0.9	86.7	64.2	24.0	1.6
	1800.0	+	083.0	95.0 <sub>0</sub> 0	AS.3	1.2	89.1	67.9	10.9	0.7
	2400.06	+	75.0	Q2.9 F	V <sup>4er</sup> 7.5	0.6	92.2	72.2	15.5	1.0

 $\frac{2400.06^{+}}{12400.06^{+}} + \frac{83.0}{75.0} = 0^{+} \frac{95.0}{92.9} + \frac{14.6}{127.5}$ Conc. = concentration # Culture was discontinued since a minimum of only tour analysable concentrations is required the the the tour analysable concentrations is required the the the tour analysable concentrations is required the the the tour analysable concentrations is required



**III.** Conclusion

It can be stated that under the experimental conditions reported the test item did not induce gene mutations at the HPRT locus in V79 cells. Therefore, FOE 5043-oxalate is considered to be non-mutagenic in this HPRT assay.

Report:	o; 2009:M-35804801
Title:	FOE 5043-oxalate (Project: Flufenacet (FOE 5043)) The vitro chromosome
	aberration test with Chinese hamster 79 cells
Report No:	AT05598
Document No:	M-358043-01-1
Guidelines:	Directive 2000/32/EC, Method B.10, DECD 473; US-EPA 712-C-98 223,
	OPPTS 870.5375;
CLD/CED.	Deviations: none is a contraction of the contractio
GLP/GEP:	yes
	I. Materials and methods
A. Materials	
1. Test material:	POE 5043-oxalate
Description:	fine white powder O SO AY
Lot/Batch no:	SE\$ 10564,3-1 0 Q
Purity:	
Stability of test co	mpound? Suaranteed for study duration expiry date: 2009-09-24
2. Vehicle and/or po	sitixe control. DMSO, Hanks' balanced salt solution (positive control) /
	🖉 🔧 mitomycin C, cyelophosphamide
3. Test system:	© @Chinese hamsted V79 cers
metabolic activation	$ on: \int_{Y} \int_{Y} S9 \max_{X} \int_{Y} \int_{Y} \int_{Y} $
B. Study design and	methods V A Q
Dose:	کَ (4/- S9 mix)
	positive controls:
~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~	$\swarrow$ mitornycin $\pounds 0.1 \ \mu$ g/mL (4 h treatment), 0.03 $\mu$ g/mL (18 h
The second second	treatment), cyclophosphamide, 2.0 μg/mL
I reatment duration	No with Symix: 4 hours
Harvegt	$\sqrt[3]{3}$ $\sqrt[3]{3}$ w inspire S9 mix. 4 and 18 mours
	Du Deculte and discussion
Ĩ,	> S S S S S S S S S S S S S S S S S S S
A	

Chinese hamster  $\sqrt{79}$  cells were treated with FOE 5043-oxalate concentrations of 600, 1200 and 2400 µg/ml for 4 hours without and with S9 mix for assessment of the clastogenic potential of FOE 5043-oxalate. In addition, after 18 hours treatment with FOE 5043-oxalate concentrations of 600, 1200 and 2400 µg/ml were read without S9 mix.

None of these cultures treated with FOE 5043-oxalate in the absence or presence of S9 mix showed statistically significant or biologically relevant increases of numbers of metaphases with aberrations.



The positive controls mitomycin C and cyclophosphamide induced clear clastogenic effects and demonstrated the sensitivity of the test system and in the case of cyclophosphamide the activity of the used S9 mix.

Table 5.8.1/09-1:	Summary	of cells with	structural	aberrations
1 abic 5.0.1/07 1.	Summary	or cens with	suucuiai	aberrations

					Ű	
Table 5.8.1/09-1:	Summar	y of cells	with struct	ural aberrations		Ó Q
Substance			Cells	Metaphases with	aberrations (%)	Mitotic Index
Dose (µg/mL)		+/ <b>- S9</b>	scored	Including gaps	Excluding gaps	
Experiment 1 (4 ho	our treatmer	ıt + 18 hou	ur harvest, +/-	- S9)		°~
Solvent (DMSO)		_	200	3.5	×3.5 0	\$00.0
FOE 5043-oxalate	600	_	200	and s	2.5	97.9 <sub>56</sub> °
	1200	_	200	×3.5 m	3.5	105.7
	2400	_	200	<sup>O</sup> 3.0 Č	C 3. C	94.3
Mitomycin C	0.1	-	168	Q 385 ~	37.0ª 🏷	<b>1</b> 34.2
Solvent (DMSO)		+	200 🦼	Ĭ ~OU 🔬	4.0	_¶00.0
FOE 5043-oxalate	600	+	200	Å.0 U		106.3
	1200	+	2000	3.0 €	<u>2</u> 20 0 0	127.7
	2400	+	200	√ 4.0° ×	Ø.5	110.7
Cyclophosphamide	2	+	<u></u> 86	0 75.5	∾~75.5ª	39.9ª
Experiment 2 (4 ho	our treatmer	nt + 30 hou	ur coverx+	-/- S9) 🐐 🔬		
Solvent (DMSO)		- 6	$200^{\circ}$	Q 1.5 X		100.0
FOE 5043-oxalate	2400	- ~	200	× 2.50 0	× ~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~	99.4
Solvent (DMSO)		\$ <del>\</del>	Q00	3.5	×3.0	100.0
FOE 5043-oxalate	2400	+7	× <sup>3</sup> 200 ~	<b>4</b> .5	ô 4.0	106.4

 $\frac{1}{200}$ 

Substa	nce	Harvest time [h]	Polyploid Metaphases			
Concentration	n [μg/mL]		without metabolic	with metabolic		
			activation	activation		
4 hours Treatment			L.	0		
Solvent (DMSO)	0	18	12 7			
FOA 5043-oxalate	600	18	9 <b>9 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1</b>			
FOA 5043-oxalate	1200	18				
FOA 5043-oxalate	2400	18		× 8 °		
Mitomycin C	0.1					
Cyclophosphamide	2.0			9 10		
Solvent (DMSO)	0			0 <sup>7</sup> 7 7		
FOA 5043-oxalate	2400	30 O <sup>V</sup>		11 5		
Substance		Jarvest time [h] 🖄	Polypioid Metaphase			
Concentration [µg/1	mL]		without metabolic activation	on		
18 hours Treatment	t 🧳					
Solvent (DMSO)	0					
FOA 5043-oxalate	600					
FOA 5043-oxalate						
FOA 5043-oxalate	2400		5 5 5			
Mitomycin C 🔅	~Q.93	5 18 Q	7 2/ 8			
	Õ Õ	<sup>™</sup> <sup>™</sup> <sup>™</sup>	ion			

### Table 5.8.1/09-2: Additionally observed polyploid metaphases

FOE 5043-oxatate is considered not to be clastogenic for mammalian cells *in vitro*.



### FOE-sulfonic acid (M02)

The bacterial reverse mutation assay *in vitro*, the acute oral toxicity study and the plasma kinetics and excretion study in rats were already presented and evaluated during the EU process for Annex I listing. Please refer to the Evaluation table of flufenacet (7468/VI/98-rev. 10(27.12,2001) and the baseline dossier of flufenacet.

Report:	∃; ;2009;M-361158-01
Title:	FOE 5043-Sulfonic acid Na-salt - Gene mutation asay in Oninese Anamster
	V79 cells in vitro (V79/HPRT) $\bigcirc^{\circ}$ $\checkmark$ $\bigcirc^{\circ}$
Report No:	1277302
Document No:	M-361158-01-1
Guidelines:	OECD 476; Commission Regulation 449/2008/EG, Method B.14, US-EPA
	712-C-98-221, OPPTS 870.5306; p
	Deviations: none
GLP/GEP:	yes
	I. Materials and wethods
A. Materials	
1. Test material:	FQE/5043-sulfonic@cid Na-salt
Description:	white solid of the second seco
Lot/Batch no:	SES 19294-6-2 5 5
Purity:	
Stability of test	compound: guaranteed for study duration; expiry date: 2010-02-11
2. Vehicle and/or po	sitive control: Acionised water; DMSO (positive controls) / ethylmethane
	Sulfonate (EMS), 7,12 dimethylbenz(a)anthracene (DMBA)
3. Test system:	Chinese hamster V79 cells 4V79/HPRT)
metabolic activa	ution Symix O Cy
B. Study design and	prethods y w O Q
1. Treatment	
Dose:	O Experiment Land D
2050. V	$\sqrt{10^{-201} - 201} = 403 8 - 807 5 - 1615 0 - 3230 \mu g/mL (+ S9 mix)$
Ê,	0-101 0-201 9 403.8-604.8-807.5 µg/mL (- S9 mix)
	(highest applied conc. equal to approximately 10 mM)
~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~	<sup>2</sup> <sub>w</sub> positive controls: EMS: 0.15 mg/mL, DMBA: 1.1 μg/mL
Treatment time:	hours
Incubation time:	2 6 8 daxs, 37°C
, <sup>o</sup> , ô	
A C	<i>Q</i> <b>W Results and discussion</b>
T L	S & O
No precipitation of	the test item was observed up to the maximal concentration in all experimental
parts.	

parts. Relevant cytotoxic effects defined as a reduction of the relative cloning efficiency I to values below 50% in both parallel cultures were noted in the first experiment without metabolic activation at 604.8  $\mu$ g/mL and above. In the second experiment cytotoxic effects as described above occurred at 807.5  $\mu$ g/mL. The recommended toxic range of the relative cloning efficiency of approximately 10-20% was covered without metabolic activation.

No relevant cytotoxic effects were observed in the presence of metabolic activation up to the maximum concentration.

ŶER

### Document MCA: Section 5 Toxicological and metabolism studies Flufenacet

No substantial and reproducible dose dependent increase of the mutation frequency was observed in both main experiments.

Appropriate reference mutagens, used as positive controls, induced a distinct increase in mutant colonies and thus, showed the sensitivity of the test item and the activity of he metabolic activation system. Under the experimental conditions the test item did not induce gene metalons at the HPRT losus in V79 cells. Results are summarised in the following table. colonies and thus, showed the sensitivity of the test item and the activity of the metabolic activation



Flufenacet

Table 5.8.1/10-1: Sumr	nary of res	ults					
	concen- tration	S9 mix	relative cloning	relative cloning	mutant colonies/10 <sup>6</sup>	induction factor	relative cluming
			efficiency I	efficiency II	cells		efficiency
	μg/mL		%	%	×.	P JC	
Experiment I / 5 hr treatme	nt				2 Alle	-110 -	
Solvent control		-	100.0	100.0	18.9	°C 1.0 C	100.0
Positive control EMS	150.0	_	66.7	83.8	109	6 P	£ <b>Q63</b> .0
FOE 5043-sulfonic acid	50.5	-	93.0	culture not cont	inued A	A Cran	90.8
Na-salt	101.0	-	96.6	گ 57.2 €	10.0\$	Q. C.	S. # 95.5
	201.9	-	96.1	53.00	2.2	JON 1.4	° <sup>3</sup> ∕97.1 €
	403.8	-	7898 ···	39.6	J 35.6	1.9%	\$9.04 V

### Table 5 0 1/10 1. C. -14 c

	concen-	<b>S9</b>	relative	relative	mutant	induction	relative	relative cloning	<sup>O</sup> mutant	induction
	tration	mix	cloning	cloning	colonies/10 <sup>6</sup>	factor	xloning	efficiency If	colornes/106	factor
			efficiency I	efficiency II	cells		) efficiency (	× ×	💥 🔊 cells	
	μg/mL		%	%	*			all at		
Experiment I / 5 hr treatme	nt				1 Ope	-110	i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i	9° 11°		
Solvent control		-	100.0	100.0	18.9	°C 1.0 C	100.0	Č100.0	گ <sup>°</sup> 16.0	1.0
Positive control EMS	150.0	_	66.7	83.8	109 <b>D</b>	S B	£ <b>0</b> 63.0	10 <sup>0</sup> 37	608.2	38.1
FOE 5043-sulfonic acid	50.5	_	93.0	culture not cont	inued	A C	90.8	culture not continu	ied#	
Na-salt	101.0	-	96.6	هُي 57.2 ي	10,06	0.C	s. * 95.5	<sup>9</sup> 101.3	29.1	1.8
	201.9	-	96.1	55.00	2.2	0 <sup>16</sup> 1.4	∿ <sup>€</sup> 97.1 e	112.4	9.5	0.6
	403.8	-	789	39.6	35.6	1.9	89.0	128.4	12.0	0.7
	604.8	_	C33.7	6° 53 A	43.0	-0 <sup>0</sup> .7	36.0	120.0	13.7	0.9
	807.5	_	25.5	41.8	45.3	چ <sup>2.4</sup>	14.7	124.8	9.5	0.6
Solvent control		1P	s (100.0	100.0 ×	127		100.0	100.0	19.4	1.0
Positive control DMBA	14	+	45.3 <sub>0</sub> 0	5 <b>6 9</b>	682.2	\$\$5.3	55.2	74.5	660.9	34.1
FOE 5043-sulfonic acid	101.0		100.9	culture not cont	injud# <u>&gt;</u> C		94.3	culture not continu	ied#	
Na-salt	201.9	¥ +	¥00.4	0 <u>8</u> 5.7 °C °	-3400	1.9	86.4	106.4	18.7	1.0
	\$403.8	+		§ @95.1	<u> </u>	1.2	85.7	105.5	17.5	0.9
	807.5	0. <sup>9+</sup>	*102.2	°C <sup>-%-</sup> 95.9 ×	23.7	1.9	88.3	109.5	9.2	0.5
122	1645.9	" + 	§ 99.1	× 91.32	7.0	0.5	95.0	99.3	6.0	0.3
<i>v</i>	3230.0		Z8000	94.6	10.4	0.8	55.5	93.4	12.1	0.6
*	Je Je	Ĵ,		20-						
	A.	ne								
	Beler	Э <sup>Кур.</sup>	TIO-							
		A	, ~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~							
	Oppere	0								



Flufenacet								Flufenacet								
	concen-	<b>S9</b>	relative	relative	mutant	induction	relative	relative cloning	mutant	induction						
	tration	mix	cloning	cloning	colonies/10 <sup>6</sup>	factor	Cloning ~	efficiencyII	eolonies/106	factor						
			efficiency I	efficiency II	cells	Ċ	efficiency I	1 0% of	cells							
	μg/mL		%	%				12. 021	, ter							
Experiment II/ 5 hr treatme	Experiment II/ 5 hr treatment															
Solvent control			100.0	100.0	16.7	10	1 <b>1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1</b>	100.0 5	14.2	1.0						
Positive control EMS	150.0		70.8	89.0	× 103.1	JH0.3	61.5	SV.9	° 139.6	9.8						
FOE 5043-sulfonic acid	50.0		91.5	culture not cont	infued#		9t.7	culture not continu	)ed#							
Na-salt	101.0		84.5	78.60	17.	<u>s</u> îQ	\$ 93.1	105 D	14.2	1.0						
	201.9		80.4	76.4	s of \$18.7	~ <sup>©</sup> 1.1	<u>ن 90 چ</u> (	<b>98.5</b>	9.4	0.7						
	403.8		70.3	گ∦102.1 گ	14,49	Q. D.	s ≈93.7	ر 100.1	17.0	1.2						
	604.8		28.9	~ 76 D	Ť¥.6	\$0.7	√ <sup>€</sup> 52.4 <u>_</u> €	99.6	8.0	0.6						
	807.5		1880	Q 7.9	<b>∂</b> 7.5	C 0.5	21.5	103.6	20.6	1.5						
Experiment II/ 5 hr treatme	Experiment II/ 5 hr treatment															
Solvent control		+	0 100.0	100.0	14.1	§ <sup>1.0</sup>	100.0	100.0	9.4	1.0						
Positive control DMBA	1.1	Ĵ.P	s. 0 <sup>5</sup> 0.8 <sup>1</sup>	48.7	<sup>0</sup> <sup>-1399</sup> 1 <sup>*</sup>	99.	41.7	66.6	879.6	93.2						
FOE 5043-sulfonic acid	101 0	» <sup>1</sup> +	× × 103.5	culture not cont	inued#\$		105.0	culture not continu	ied#							
Na-salt	201.9	2 D	<b>9</b> 8.8	1087.7	18.3	1.3	104.2	91.3	10.3	1.1						
	403.8	r H	D02.8	0 <sup>0°°</sup> 80.7 <sub>0</sub> °°	140500-	0.8	96.8	80.7	12.9	1.4						
	\$800.5	์ +	K 99 <u>.</u> 9 O	119.8	N0.4	0.7	97.6	92.7	117	1.2						
	<b>O</b> r615.0	, S <sup>e</sup> '	94.0	ه 119.2 🐔	10.7	0.8	96.3	92.7	13.7	1.4						
	32300	1 - A	§ <sup>70.4</sup>	S 12061	19.9	1.4	65.7	92.8	20.0	2.1						

# Culture was not continued since a minimum of only four analyce concentrations is required

L

Document MCA: Section 5 Toxicological and metabolism studies Flufenacet

### **III.** Conclusion

Based on the study results FOE 5043-sulfonic acid Na-salt is considered to be non-mutagenic in this HPRT assay.

Report:	•; ; ; ; ; ; ; ; ; ; ; ; ; ; ; ; ; ; ;
Title:	FOE 5043-sulfonic acid Na-salt (Project Flufenacet (FQE 5043) In vitio
	chromosome aberration test with Chinese hamster V79 cells
Report No:	AT05870
Document No:	M-366380-01-1
Guidelines:	OECD 473; Directive 2000/32/6°C, Method B.10; US-ICPA 712-C-98-223,
CL D/CED	OPPTS 870.5375;none
GLP/GEP:	yes
	I. Materials and methods and the second s
A Matariala	
A. Materials	
1. Test material:	FOR 3043-stutionic acid ina-sait
Description:	tine white powder
Lot/Batch no:	SES 10294-6-2 0 4 5
Purity:	32.4
Stability of test co	mpound: guaranteed for study duration; expiry date: 2010-02-11
2. Vehicle and/or po	sitive control: deionised water, Hanks' Galanced salt solution (positive control) /
_	mitomycin C, cyclophosphamide
3. Test system:	Chinese hangier V7@cells
Metabolic activati	one Spinix of the
B. Study design and	shethods a start s
Dose:	<sup>6</sup>
N.	<sup>2</sup> <sup>2</sup> 0-250-500-1000-2000-3000 μg/mL (+ S9 mix)
je G	<sup>3</sup> <sup>(μ</sup> ) motomycing C: 0; <sup>(μ</sup> ) mL, cyclophosphamide: 2.0 μg/mL
Treatment durație	$\hat{p}$ . $\bigcirc$ $\bigcirc$ $\bigcirc$ $\bigcirc$
Harvest:	🗶 🖉 18 and 30 hours
Incubation temper	atore: 2 37 C
Replicates	a: At least slides for each culture
A O	<b><i>II</i> Results and discussion</b>
T. O	
Chinaga hartan V7	Contractions of 200

Chinese hamster V79 cells were treated with FOE 5043-sulfonic acid Na-salt at concentrations of 200, 400 and 800  $\mu$ g/m<sup>2</sup> without S9 mix for assessment of the clastogenic potential of FOE 5043-sulfonic acid Na-salt. In an independent repeat, concentrations of 600, 700 and 800  $\mu$ g/ml of the test substance were used for assessment. With S9 mix concentrations of 500, 1000 and 3000 µg/ml were employed. Cultures treated with FOE 5043-sulfonic acid Na-salt in the absence of S9 mix showed statistically significant and biologically relevant increases of numbers of metaphases with aberrations, starting at a concentration of 700 µg/ml.

In contrast cultures treated in the presence of S9 mix showed no statistically significant or biologically relevant increases of numbers of metaphases with aberrations.



The positive controls mitomycin C and cyclophosphamide induced clear clastogenic effects and demonstrated the sensitivity of the test system and in the case of cyclophosphamide the activity of the used S9 mix.

Table 5.8.1/11-1:   Summary of					
Substance		Cells	Metaphases with	aberrations (%)	Mitotic kndex
Dose (µg/mL)	+/ <b>- S9</b>	scored	Including	Excluding gaps	() ()
Experiment 1A (4 hour treatment	+ 18 hou	ır harvest, ·	+/- S9) J		
Solvent (water)	-	200	10 ~	Q1,5 N	100.0 .
FOE 5043-sulfonic acid Na-salt					
200	_	200		© 1.5°	96. <u>Q</u>
400	—	200		1.5	1007
800	—	200		4.5 Å	93.3
Mitomycin C 0.1	-	168	Q79.0 Ø	79.0**	91.3
Solvent (water)	+	290	L 3.56 × ×	, <u>3</u> Ø	100.0
FOE 5043-sulfonic acid Na-salt		K× (			
500	+	200	5.5	5.0 J	89.3
1000	Ũ	200	x <sup>x</sup> 5.0 ° ×	4.5 <u>0</u>	102.5
3000	©+	$\overset{\otimes}{\overset{\circ}}_{106}$	× 3.5	3.3	135.8
Cyclophosphamide 2	¥ +		6990 ~~	68.0	44.0**
Experiment 1B (4 hour treatment	+ 30 beu	r harvest, -	+/-~\$9)		100.0
Solvent (water)	, <u>O</u>	200 G		¥ 2.0	100.0
FOE 5043-sulfonic acid Na salt		200	3.5 64	13.0**	79.8*
Solvent (water)	- Â	268	230	2.5	100.0
FOE 5043-sulfonic acid Nasalt	A Contraction				10010
×, 3000	+ >	200 C		0.5	118.7
Experiment 2 4 hour reatment +	30 hour	harvest, –	S9)) \$		
Solvent (water)		2,200	1.5	1.5	100.0
FOE 5043-sulfonit acid Na-salt a			¥		
<u>ر</u> پي 600 س	* - ¢	200	1.5	1.5	119.3
~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~		<u>200</u>	10.0	10.0**	108.4
× × × × × × × × ×	e <sup>0</sup> -	× 200	12.5	12.5**	67.2*
Mitomycin C 0.1	-~	200	50.0	50.0**	107.6
* Statistical agnificant at p < (05)		*statistical	significant at $p < 0$ .	01	
	S"				
	r				
J.					

Bayer CropScience

Table 5.8.1/11-2:	Additionally observed polyploid metaphases	s - 4 hours treatment – Experiment 1
-------------------	--------------------------------------------	--------------------------------------

wi	thout meta	bolic Activatio	n	with metabolic Activation			
Concentration	ι [μg/mL]	Harvest	Polyploid	Concentration [µg/mL]	Harvest	Polyploid	
		time [h]	Metaphases		time [h]	Metaphases	
Control (water	)	18	3	Control (water)	<u>م</u> 18 ه	_ 10	
			8	\$* *		×11 ×	
FOE 5043-sulf	onic acid			FOE 5043-sulfonic acid	*	Ŭ OŠ	
Na-salt	200	18	3	Na-salt 500	<b>18</b>	1, <u>9</u> %	
			5	s° 4	N A	, P	
	400	18	6		× 18	∞~5	
			9	N OV LA	. ^	ي∜ي″ 7	
	800	18	5	<b>3000</b>	₹\$	& 8, °	
			9		ô ô		
Mitomycin C	0.1	18	5	Sclophosphamid	Ø 18 Õ	Ĩ	
			3	× × × × × 2 4		4	
Control (water	)	30	5	Control (water)	<u>A</u>	<u> </u>	
			4 🔊		O L	3	
FOE 5043-sulf	onic acid	30	9	FQE 504 Sulfonic acid	30	3	
Na-salt	800			Na-salt 3900		10	
			A Ó		. V		

# Table 5.8.1/11-3: Additionally observed polyploid metaphases - 4 hours treatment - Experiment 2

without metabolic Activation	
Concentration [µg/mL]	Polyploid Metaphases
Control (water)	<u> </u>
	× 8
FOE 5043-sulfonic acid Nassalt 600 30	y 9
	9
	17
	14
× × × × × × × × × × × × × × × ×	12
	14
Mitomycin C N 0.1 N 30	12
	11

FOE 50 sulforme acid Na-salt is considered to be clastogenic without S9 mix for mammalian cells in vitro.





### A. Clinical observations

After two intraperitoneal administrations of 500, 1000 and 2000 mg/kg bw FOE 5043-sulfonic acid Na-salt treated males showed compound-related symptoms such as apathy, spasm and difficulty in breathing. Symptoms were recorded for up to 4 hours after the second treatment. These symptoms

### **Document MCA: Section 5 Toxicological and metabolism studies** Flufenacet

demonstrate relevant systemic exposure of males to FOE 5043-sulfonic acid Na-salt. Thereafter, their external appearance and physical activity remained unaffected. There was no substance-induced mortality. For the control group animals no symptoms were recorded.

### **B.** Microscopic Evaluation

Normally, cells with micronuclei (Howell-Jolly bodies) occur in polychromatic effthrocytes with an incidence of up to approximately 6.0/2000. The increase in preronucleated polychromatic erythrocytes, due, for example, to chromosome breaks or pindle disorders, is the criterion for clastogenic effects in this test model.

The results with FOE 5043-sulfonic acid Na-salt gave no indications of clastogenic effects for male mice after two intraperitoneal treatments with doses of up to and including 2000, bg/kg by. The number of micronucleated normochromatic erythrocytes did not increase relevantly in any of the groups.

The known mutagen and clastogen cyclophospharorde had a clear clastogenic Seffect at an intraperitoneal dose of 20 mg/kg. The number of micronucleated polychromatic erythrocytes increased Ű Ő to a biologically relevant degree.

Furthermore, the ratio of polychromatic to normochromatic erythrocytes was not altered by treatment in any of the groups.

X			Ŷ	
Experimental groups	Number of	Number of NCE	MNNCE per	<b>MNPCE</b> per
U.	evaluated PCE	per 2000 PCE	2000 NCE	2000 PCE
negative control	10000 x	<b>3110 + 537</b>	4.9 <u>+</u> 2.2	5.0 <u>+</u> 2.6
FOE 5043-sulfonic scid Na-se	lt S			
500 mg/kg bw 💭	10000	℃ 1809 <u>+</u> 418	4.5 <u>+</u> 2.3	4.0 <u>+</u> 2.3
1000 mg/kg bw	10000	<b>1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1</b>	4.2 <u>+</u> 2.7	5.2 <u>+</u> 2.8
2000 mg/kg bw	~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~	1725 <u>+</u> 448	4.6 <u>+</u> 1.6	5.6 <u>+</u> 2.1
positive control CPA	10000 %	1990 + 397	52 + 38	26 2* + 5 1
20 mg/kg 🖓		<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u>20.2 ·</u> 0.1

### Table 5.8.1/12-1: Summary of results

PCE = polychromatic erythocytes; NCE = not mochromatic erythrocytes; MNNCE = micronucleated NCE; MNPCE = micronucleated PCE

CPA = cyclophosphamide

\*Statistical significant at p < @01 in non-parameter Wilcoxon ranking test

### **III.** Conclusion

There was no indication of a clastogenic effect of intraperitoneally administered FOE 5043-sulfonic acid Na-salt in the partonucleus test on the male mouse, i.e. in a somatic test system in vivo.



Donort:		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
Title:	EOE 5042 sulf	, 2010, MI-597610-01 onic acid Na salt (Project: Elufenacet (EOE 5042))
11110.	Unscheduled D	NA synthesis test with male rat liver cells in vivo
Report No <sup>.</sup>	AT06167	
Document No <sup>-</sup>	M-397810-01-1	ı "Ö
Guidelines:	Council Regul	ation No. 440/2008. B.39.: OECD 486
Guiucinics	Deviations: no	ne à 0 0 2
GLP/GEP:	yes	
	•	L Materials and methods
A. Materials		
1. Test material:		FOE 5043-sulfoni@acid Na salt @ 2 2
Description:		white powder O c c
Lot/Batch no:		SES 10294-6 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2
Content:		92.4%
Stability of test of	compound:	guaranteed for study duration; expiry date: 2010-07-08
2. Vehicle / positive	control:	deionised water, corn giv, phys. saline plution /
		2-Acetylammofluor@e (2-ACAF), 1,2-Dimet/Ayhydrazine (DMH)
3. Test animals		
Species:	(	Wistar at a france of the second seco
Strain:	Č0	$\operatorname{Crl}(\mathcal{W}^{1})\operatorname{BR}^{*}$
Age:		approx. 6 7 weeks
Weight at dosing	g: 🔬	@46g-1@3g.~~
Source:	S S	, Germany
Acclimatisation	period.	at toast 5 dags O
Diet:		Fixed-formula diet 3883 00 mm cubes) (Provimi Kliba SA.
~		Switzenand), allibitum
Water:		r tap venter, ad Ibitum 7
Housing:		singly in type III H cages; bedding: soft wood granules, type BK
	Š. × 1	815 (J. Rettenmorer & Soehne, Fuellstoff-Fabriken, Germany)
B. Study design and	umethous (	
1. Animal assignme	nt and treatmen	
Dose:		6/1000-2000 mg/kg bw;
Application	sute:	oral
Application v	olume:	20 mL/kg bw (test item, negative control);
		<sup>(A)</sup> mL/kg bw (positive control)
		$\leq$ Negative control and test item. 4 and 10 nours after treatment
A.		DMH: 4 hours after treatment
Group size:	.1 °	4 males/dose group
Fasting time:	Ç,	before administration: approx. 6 hours – 16 hours
	ř	after administration: approx. 30 minutes
Observations:		clinical signs

**Bayer CropScience** 

### **II. Results and discussion**

After single oral administrations of 1000 and 2000 mg/kg bw FOE 5043-sulfonic acid Na-salt, treated animals showed no symptoms and there was no substance-induced mortality no symptoms and no mortality were recorded for the control groups.

No treatment related cytotoxic effects were observed. The availability of a bigh quality cell population for the *in vitro* part of the assay was demonstrated.

After treatment with FOE 5043-sulfonic acid Na-salt no bologically relevant increase in nuclear labelling was induced.

labelling was induced. The positive controls (2-AAF, DMH) induced significant increases in NG (net grain count) and in the percentage of cells ion repair and thus demonstrated the sensitivity of the test system for the detection of induced DNA-damage.

		<u> </u>	0 .0				
Dose group	Mean NNG + SD	Mean NG + SD	Mean CG + SD				
Sacrifice interval 16 ho	ours		L. C.				
Negative control	-0.71 <u>0</u> 0.40	Q 1.54 <u>+</u> 0.93 <u></u>	$2.25 \pm 0.88$				
1000 mg/kg bw	-0.55 <u>+</u> 0.37		2.45 <u>+</u> 1.46				
2000 mg/kg bw	°≈9.60 <u>+</u> 8,25	© 1.49 ±0.63	2.08 <u>+</u> 0.59				
Positive control 2-AFF	≪_4.15# <u>*</u> 0.73_0	6.6 <u>9</u> <u>+</u> 1.50	2.54 <u>+</u> 0.85				
100 mg/kg bw	No the B						
Sacrifice interval 4 hours 0 7 0 2							
Negative control	~-0.60 <u>₹</u> 0.37 <u>≮</u>	O <sup>™</sup> 3.34 <u>0</u> 0.42	3.94 <u>+</u> 0.78				
1000 mg/kg bw 🔗		$260 \pm 0.31$	3.27 <u>+</u> 0.41				
2000 mg/kg bw 👸 😽	$\bigcirc$	2.74 <u>+</u> 1.08	3.55 <u>+</u> 1.13				
Positive control DMH	<u></u>	13.55 <u>+</u> 2.52	2.27 <u>+</u> 0.46				
40 mg/kg bw	O <sup>*</sup> <sup>®</sup> <sup>®</sup>	$\mathbb{A}^{\nu}$					

Table 5.8.1/13-1:	Mean grain values	per dose group
	2	

NNG =nuclear net grains ; NG = nuclear@rains ; CO = cytoplasmic grains ; SD = standard deviation





FOE 5043-sultonic acid Na-saft is considered negative in the *in vivo* UDS Assay with rat liver cells.



### FOE-thioglycolate sulfoxide (M04)

The bacterial reverse mutation assay *in vitro* was already presented and evaluated during the EU process for Annex I listing. Please refer to the Evaluation table of flufenacet (7468/VI/98-rev. 10(27.12.2001) and the baseline dossier of flufenacet.

### **FOE-methylsulfone (M07) Report:** Title: Salmonella typhimurium reverse muta methylsulfone Report No: 1454201 Document No: M-422370-01-1 OECD 471; Commission Regulation (EC) No. 440/2008, Method @13/14; US-**Guidelines:** EPA 712-C-98-247, OPPTS 870, \$100; Deviations: Test substance and reference compounds were not analyzed to verify concentration, homogeneity of stabi **GLP/GEP:** yes rials and method A. Materials -methylsulfone 1. Test material: Description: Lot/Batch no: 8856-1 Purity: 8 ആ Stability of test compound; guaranteed for study duration; expiry date:2012-04-28 acetone 2. Vehicle and/or positive ontrol positive controls: Straurs: sodium azide, (NaN3), 4-nitro-ophenylene-thamine (4-NOPD), methyl methane sulfonate, (MMS), 2-aminoanthracene (2-AA) Salmonella typhimurium strains TA1535, TA100, TA102, 3. Test system metabolic act B. Study design an 1. Treatment Dose: Pr&Experiment/Experiment I: Q-9-10-33-100-333-1000-2500-5000 μg/plate Experiment II: 0-33-100-333-1000-2500-5000 µg/plate Application volume Plate incorporation assay: 0.1 mL Pre-incubation assay: 0.05 mL (test substance, solvent), 0.1 mL (positive control) Incubation time 48 hours

### II. Results and discussion

The plates incubated with the test item showed normal background growth up to 5000  $\mu$ g/plate with and without S9 mix in all strains used in experiment I. In experiment II, reduced background growth was observed at the highest concentration with and without metabolic activation in all strains used. No toxic effects, evident as a reduction in the number of revertants (below the indication factor of 0.5),

### **Document MCA: Section 5 Toxicological and metabolism studies** Flufenacet

occurred in the test groups with and without metabolic activation in experiment I. In experiment II, toxic effects evident as a reduction in the number of revertants (below the indication factor of 0.5) were observed at the highest concentration without metabolic activation in strain TA 1535, and with and without metabolic activation in strains TA 1537, TA 98, TA 100, and TA 102.

No substantial increase in revertant colony numbers of any of the five tester strains was observed following treatment with FOE 5043-methylsulfone at any dose level, pether in the presence for absence of metabolic actions increasing concentrations in the range below the generation and showed a distinct increase of relevance. Appropriate reference mutagens were used as positive controls and showed a distinct increase of absence of metabolic activation (S9 mix). There was also no tendency of higher mutation rates with

Metabolic	Test Group	Dose	Ŵ	Revertant C	alony Counts	(Mean ±SD)	4
Activation	•	Level	TA 1535	ÆA 1537⊘	Ť <b>A 98</b>	🗼 TA 100	TA 102
		(per plate)			L	Or Or	
Without	Acetone		\$3 ± 1 0	× 12 ∰\$	$26 \pm 2\%$	111@16	$492 \pm 8$
Activation	Untreated		$7 \pm 6$	14 ± 4	$\bigcirc 24 \pm 4\%$	$13 \pm 2$	$458 \pm 38$
	FOE 5043-	3 μg (	) <sup>∞</sup> 13 <del>≱</del> ∰	∂11±3	27×±7	$012 \pm 4$	$460 \pm 47$
	methyl-	10 µg <sup>©</sup>	17 + 2	$\sqrt[8]{13} \pm 10^{8}$	2\$,£4 、	$O_{111 \pm 22}$	$490 \pm 24$
	sulfone	33 (Jug	2¥±9	∑ 10 ±	$29 \pm 2$	$119 \pm 11$	$499 \pm 13$
		100%µg	17 ± 3,€	1 <i>€</i> )±4	$\dot{Q}^{2}26 \pm 3$	$117 \pm 2$	$479 \pm 10$
		333 μg	)∽ 13 ±∅″	<b>√</b> 44±4	× 32 - 8	$110 \pm 12$	$437\pm8$
		_∰000 μg	$12 \pm 5$	$\sqrt[9]{8 \pm 2}$	<b>2</b> 3/± 5	$95 \pm 9$	$424 \pm 6$
		©2500,00	() ± 1 🖉	17±6 <sup>°</sup>	≥ 5 ± 4	$100 \pm 13$	$382 \pm 32$
		500@ug	\$¶7±4 <sup>©</sup>	844	$\sqrt[9]{26\pm 5}$	$87 \pm 1$	$296 \pm 21$
	NaN <sub>3</sub>	ĨЮμg "	1880		0 <sup>×</sup>	$2233\pm73$	
	<u> </u>		1439	<u> </u>			
	4-NOPD	5 10 jg		Y Š	$286 \pm 12$		
	4-N@D	<b>50</b> 0 jug	S Q	$72 \pm 11$			
	MANS 🔬	3.0`µL					$5294 \pm 106$
With	Acetone	l o <sup>x</sup> o	1975-3	$\sqrt{20} \pm 0$	$34 \pm 4$	$131 \pm 3$	$611 \pm 12$
Activation	Untreated		16/±6	$17 \pm 3$	$31 \pm 6$	$116 \pm 17$	$624 \pm 21$
	FOE 5043-	, Zurg	19 ± 2	$21 \pm 4$	$30 \pm 2$	$123 \pm 8$	$596 \pm 18$
	methyl-	«Qð μg	$\mathbb{Q}^{\vee}23\pm8$	$20 \pm 3$	$42 \pm 3$	$136 \pm 14$	$633 \pm 17$
	sulfone 6	💪 33 μg 🛇	° 19,≇∛3	$23 \pm 4$	$40 \pm 9$	$135 \pm 9$	$656 \pm 13$
	O, Vi	്√100 µgs	234 ± 3	$20 \pm 6$	$43 \pm 4$	$123 \pm 5$	$637 \pm 15$
A	ð "	333û/g	<u>∛</u> ¥8 ± 7	$19 \pm 4$	$35 \pm 6$	$135 \pm 9$	$573 \pm 28$
S"		10 <u>10</u> 0 μg ≽	$17 \pm 6$	$21 \pm 5$	$36 \pm 3$	$122 \pm 10$	$533 \pm 33$
"Y"		<b>25</b> 00 μg C	$14 \pm 1$	$19 \pm 4$	$29\pm 8$	$99\pm9$	$481\pm9$
\$	Ę¥ ₄ ĭ.	,≪\$5000 μĝ≫	$14 \pm 2$	$12 \pm 3$	$33 \pm 5$	$93 \pm 10$	$353 \pm 76$
	2-AA	2.5 µg	$484 \pm 24$	$358 \pm 21$	2621 ±	$2940\pm32$	
		<u> </u>			162		
	2-AA	10.0 µg					$2946 \pm 105$

Table 5.8.1-14-1: Summary of results of the pre-experiment and experiment I	[ ^	P
-----------------------------------------------------------------------------	-----	---

 $NaN_3 = sodium azide$ MMS = methyl methane sulfonate

4-NOPD = 4-nitro-o-phenylene-diamine

<sup>2-</sup>AA= 2-aminoanthracene

BAYER Bayer CropScience Document MCA: Section 5 Toxicological and metabolism studies Flufenacet

Metabolic Activation         Test Group (per plate)         Dose Level TA 1535         TA 1535         TA 98         JA 100         TA 102           Without Activation         Actione         18 ± 5         14 ± 1         28 ± 7         414 ± 16         443 ± 8           Activation         Interated         16 ± 1         17 ± 6         42 ± 5         100 ± 10         426 ± 5           FOE 5043- methyl- sulione         33 µg         20 ± 3         11 ± 4         28 ± 7         414 ± 16         443 ± 5           Naw, -         1000 µg         19 ± 4         11 ± 1         26 ± 7         416 ± 15         416 ± 21           sulione         333 µg         22 ± 2         13 ± 3         31 ± 6         71 ± 6         427 ± 2           Naw, -         1000 µg         15 ± 6         19 ± 6         31 ± 6         71 ± 2 <sup>M</sup> 42 ± 14         043 ± 5           Naw, -         10 µg         2004 ± 48         24 ± 14         9 ± 25         600 ± 14           Mithout -         Activation         10 µg         71 ± 6         42 ± 11         027 ± 12         600 ± 6           Naw, = sotion         17 ± 2         17 ± 5         44 ± 1         13 ± 6 ± 5         577 ± 39           With -         Acetone         <				· · · · · · · ·				
Activation         (per plate)         IA 1535         IA 1537         IA 98         JA 100         IA 102           Without         Acetone         18 ± 5         14 ± 1         28 ± 7         514 ± 16         443 ± 8           Activation         Untreated         16 ± 1         17 ± 6         42 ± 5         110 ± 10         436 ± 25           Mithout         Activation         Int + 4         32 ± 5         104 ± 11         42 ± 5         104 ± 11         44 ± 2 ± 5         104 ± 11         44 ± 2 ± 5         104 ± 11         44 ± 2 ± 5         104 ± 11         44 ± 2 ± 5         104 ± 11         44 ± 2 ± 5         104 ± 11         40 ± 2 ± 5         100 ± 15         41 ± 6 ± 2 ± 7         43 ± 5         41 ± 6 ± 2 ± 7         43 ± 5         41 ± 6 ± 2 ± 7         43 ± 5         11 ± 5         100 ± 2 ± 7         50 ± 7 ± 7         50 ± 7 ± 7         50 ± 7 ± 7         50 ± 7 ± 7         50 ± 7 ± 7         50 ± 7 ± 7         50 ± 7 ± 7         50 ± 7 ± 7         50 ± 7 ± 7         50 ± 7 ± 7         50 ± 7 ± 7         50 ± 7 ± 7         50 ± 7 ± 7         50 ± 7 ± 7         50 ± 7 ± 7         50 ± 7 ± 7         50 ± 7 ± 7         50 ± 7 ± 7         50 ± 7 ± 7         50 ± 7 ± 7         50 ± 7 ± 7         50 ± 7 ± 7 ± 7         50 ± 7 ± 7 ± 7         50 ± 7 ± 7 ± 7         50 ± 7 ± 7 ± 7	Metabolic	Test Group	Dose Level		Revertant C	colony Counts	(Mean ±SD)	
Without Activation         Acetone         18 ± 5         14 ± 1 $28 \pm 7$ $\sqrt{114 \pm 16}$ $443 \pm 8$ Activation         Intreated         16 ± 1 $17 \pm 6$ 42 ± 5         11023(0) $443 \pm 8$ POE 5043-         33 µg         20 ± 3         11 ± 4         32 ± 3         10024 11         443 ± 2           Intended         100 µg         19 ± 4         11 ± 1         26 ± 3         106 ± 1         416 ± 2           No         100 µg         15 ± 6         19 ± 4         31 ± 6         19 ± 4         31 ± 6         28 ± 1           2000 µg         16 ± 4         14 ± 4         51 ± 5         130 ± 5         22 ± 18         28 ± 11         19 ± 4         28 ± 7         21 ± 8 ± 7         28 ± 15         28 ± 15           2000 µg         16 ± 4         14 ± 4         130 ± 5         577 ± 39         30 ± 6         24 ± 11         72 ± 42         600 ± 6           4-NOPD         10 µg         17 ± 2         17 ± 5         44 ± 4         135 ± 5         577 ± 39           With         Acetone         17 ± 2         17 ± 5         44 ± 4         13 ± 4         502 ± 57           MS         0000 µg         22 ± 3         16 ± 4	Activation		(per plate)	TA 1535	TA 1537	TA 98	TA 100	TA 102
Activation       Untreated $16 \pm 1$ $17 \pm 6$ $42 \pm 5$ $100 \pm 0$ $236 \pm 5$ FOE 5043-       33 µg $20 \pm 3$ $11 \pm 4$ $32 \pm 3$ $106 \pm 15$ $416 \pm 21$ with $333 µg$ $22 \pm 2$ $13 \pm 3$ $31 \pm 6$ $110 \pm 16$ $447 \pm 25$ NaN_3 $100 µg$ $15 \pm 6$ $19 \pm 6$ $33 \pm 6$ $111 \pm 10$ $447 \pm 25$ NaN_3 $10 µg$ $7 \pm 2^{MR}$ $2g \pm 11$ $13g \pm 5$ $112 \pm 3$ $22 \pm 2^{MR}$ $2g \pm 2^{$	Without	Acetone		$18 \pm 5$	$14 \pm 1$	$28 \pm 7$	¶14±16	$443 \pm 8$
FOE 5043-       33 µg $20 \pm 3$ $11 \pm 4$ $22 \pm 3$ $1042 \pm 3$ $106 \pm 15$ $416 \pm 25$ aufone $33 µg$ $22 \pm 2$ $13 \pm 3$ $316 + 5$ $416 \pm 25$ $1000 µg$ $15 \pm 6$ $19 \pm 4$ $33 \pm 6$ $130 \pm 3$ $457 \pm 25$ $1000 µg$ $15 \pm 6$ $19 \pm 4$ $257 \pm 151$ $427 \pm 288$ $11 \pm 216$ $457 \pm 288$ NaN $100 µg$ $2004 \pm 48$ $2 \pm 788$ $128 \pm 11$ $2 \pm 2888$ $128 \pm 11$ $2 \pm 2888$ 4-NOPD $50 µg$ $71 \pm 26$ $30 \pm 17$ $273 \pm 57$ $250 \pm 277$ With       Acctone $17 \pm 37$ $406 \pm 17$ $428 \pm 1$ $977 \pm 37$ MMS $30 µg$ $192 \pm 3$ $1748$ $444 \pm 7$ $135 \pm 577 \pm 39$ withyl- $1000 µg$ $15 \pm 3$ $1926$ $36 \pm 17$ $428 \pm 1$ $3700 \pm 20$ With       Acctone $17 \pm 37$ $4414$ $135 \pm 577 \pm 39$ $600 \pm 6$ $60 \pm 577 \pm 39$ Motone $178 \pm 3$ $1926$ $38 \pm 16$ $138 \pm 18$ $130 \pm 14$ $380 \pm 25$ <	Activation	Untreated		$16 \pm 1$	$17 \pm 6$	42 ± 5 %	× 110∋_10	$436 \pm 25$
methyl- sulfone       100 $\mu$ g       19 ± 4 333 $\mu$ g       11 ± 1 22 ± 2 33 ± 6 200 $\mu$ g       13 ± 3 34 $\mu$ s       13 ± 5 33 ± 6 33 ± 6 4 ± 10 ± 1 2 ± 10 $\mu$ g       13 ± 6 33 ± 15 ± 8 34 ± 6 35 ± 7 35 ± 8 34 ± 7 ± 15 ± 8 34 ± 7 ± 15 ± 8 34 ± 7 ± 12 \pm 15 \pm 8 34 \pm 7 \pm 12 \pm 15 \pm 12 \pm 12 \pm 12 \pm 12 \pm 12 \pm 12		FOE 5043-	33 µg	$20\pm3$	$11 \pm 4$	$32 \pm 3$	1944 11	©429 <b>±</b> 50
sulfone         33 µg 1000 µg 15 ± 6 $2 \pm 2$ 19 ± 6 $3 \pm 3 + 3$ 19 ± 6 $3 \pm 3 + 3$ 13 ± 6 $5 + 13$ 13 ± 6 $5 + 28 \pm 11$ 13 ± 6 $5 + 28 \pm 11$ 14 ± 4 $5 + 28 \pm 11$ 14 ± 6 $5 + 28 \pm 12$ 14 ± 6 $5 + 28 \pm 128 \pm 128$		methyl-	100 µg	$19 \pm 4$	$11 \pm 1$	26 + 2 *	106 ± 15	416 + 21
$ \begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$		sulfone	333 µg	$22 \pm 2$	$13 \pm 3$	31/4-8	°∿j11±±t∳⁄″	<b>43</b> ⊅ ± 25
Image: solution of the			1000 µg	$15 \pm 6$	19 ±	$33 \pm 6$	≥ 130,55	≈_328 ± 11
$ \begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$			2500 μg	$16 \pm 4$	14 54	$31 \pm 5$	119×±4	© 287 ± 51
NaNs         10 µg         2004 ± 48         Cliptic to the second sec			5000 μg	$7 \pm 2^{MR}$	2 4 MR	$9\pm 2$	17) ± 2 <sup>MR</sup>	<sup>2</sup> 2 ± 2 <sup>M R</sup>
$4-NOPD$ 10 µg       71 ± 6       36 ± 17 ± 7       7         MMS       3.0 µL $3700 \pm 20$ With       Acetone $17 \pm 3$ $42 \pm 11$ $072 \pm 16$ $608 \pm 14$ Activation       Untreated $21233$ $164 \pm 4$ $442 \pm 11$ $072 \pm 16$ $608 \pm 14$ Activation       Untreated $21233$ $164 \pm 4$ $444 \pm 7$ $136 \pm 5$ $577 \pm 39$ methyl-       1000 µg $5 \pm 3$ $1026 - 6$ $36 \pm 5$ $91 \pm 15$ $532 \pm 94$ sulfone       333 µg $78 \pm 3$ $112 \pm 4$ $444 \pm 4$ $123 \pm 8$ $502 \pm 57$ $1000 µg$ $22 \oplus 6$ $18 \pm 4$ $312 \pm 8$ $502 \pm 57$ $1000 µg$ $22 \oplus 6$ $18 \pm 4$ $312 \pm 15$ $802 \pm 57$ $1000 µg$ $22 \oplus 6$ $18 \pm 4$ $313 \pm 14$ $802 \pm 57$ $125 \pm 8$ $466 \pm 59$ $2.000 µg$ $72 \pm 3$ $42 \pm 25$ $1751 \pm 466$ $1756 \pm 125$ $2057 \pm 115$ $AA = 2.8 µg$ $2368 \pm 24$ $238 \pm 25$ $1751 \pm 466$ $1756 \pm 125$ $2057 \pm 115$ NAN = sodium azide $A = 2$		NaN <sub>3</sub>	10 µg	$2004 \pm 48$	× ô	••0	§136±55	<u> </u>
$\frac{4 \times NOPD}{MMS}$ $50 \times \mu g$ $71\pm 8$ $71\pm 8$ $72\pm 8$ $72\pm 8$ $72\pm 8$ $72\pm 8$ $72\pm 12$ $3700\pm 20$ With         Acetone $17\pm 2$ $17\pm 5$ $42\pm 11$ $472\pm 12$ $600\pm 6$ Activation         Untreated $2163$ $16\pm 4$ $44\pm 4$ $135\pm 62$ $600\pm 6$ FOE 5043- $33 \ \mu g$ $9\pm 3$ $17\pm 4$ $44\pm 4$ $42\pm 5$ $577\pm 39$ methyl- $1000 \ \mu g$ $5\pm 3$ $14\pm 4$ $44\pm 4$ $42\pm 8$ $502\pm 57$ $1000 \ \mu g$ $22\oplus 6$ $18\pm 4$ $13\pm 5$ $125\pm 8$ $466\pm 59$ $2-AA$ $2.8 \ \mu g$ $2368\pm 44$ $238\pm 25$ $1751\pm 466$ $1756\pm 125$ $2-AA$ $2.00 \ \mu g$ $2.057\pm 115$ NAN = sodium axide $A = 2 \ \pi M$ $A = 2 \ \pi M$ $2.057\pm 115$ $2.057\pm 115$ NAN = sodium axide $A = 2 \ \pi M$ $A = 2 \ \pi M$ $A = 2 \ \pi M$ $2.057$		4-NOPD	10 µg			$3.08 \pm 17$		
MMS $3.0  \mu$ l.		4-NOPD	50 μg	Q	<u>71,±%</u>			
With ActivationAccione $17+22$ $207+50$ $427+102$ $407+402$ $608+14$ $427+102$ ActivationUntreated $21033$ $416+40$ $117+23$ $416+40$ $4123+102$ $413+40$ $600\pm16$ $500\pm60$ POE 5043- methyl- $33 \mu g$ $100 \mu g$ $333 \mu g$ $17+23$ $41\pm30$ $44\pm7$ $136\pm5$ $136\pm5$ $577\pm39$ sulfone $333 \mu g$ $100 \mu g$ $220=60$ $17+43$ $18\pm30$ $17+44$ $44\pm47$ $123\pm8$ $136\pm50$ $502\pm57$ $1000 \mu g$ $220=60$ $220=60$ $2500 \mu g$ $2500 \mu g$ $220=60$ $18\pm4$ $4\pm33$ $130\pm14$ $43\pm33=96$ $54\pm7$ $300\pm25$ $130\pm14$ $300\pm25$ $2-AA$ $2-AA$ $2.5 \mu g$ $2500 \mu g$ $2-AA$ $2.5 \mu g$ $2.5 000 \mu g$ $2-AA$ $2.5 \mu g$ $420\pm4250$ $30\pm25$ $130\pm14$ $43\pm33=96$ $54\pm77154$ $4660$ $1756\pm125$ NaNs = sodium axide MIS = methyl methane subroate MIS = methyl methane subroate MIS = methyl methane subroate M = Manual count $2-AA = 2.3 \mu \mu g$ $2-AA = 2.3 \mu \mu g$ $2-AA = 2.4 \mu g$ $4.4 \pm18$ $4.4 \pm18$ $4.4 \pm18$ $4.4 \pm175$ $4.1754\pm466$ $1756\pm125$ $2.957\pm115$ Nets MIS = methyl methane subroate M = Manual count $4.4 \pm1000 \mu g$ $4.4 \pm1000 \mu g$ $4.4 \pm1000 \mu g$ $2.4A = 2.3 \mu \mu g$ $2.4A = 2.5 \mu \mu g$ $4.4 \pm18$ $4.4 \pm18$ $4.4 \pm18$ $4.4 \pm18$ $4.4 \pm127$ $4.4 \pm12754$ $4.4 \pm12$		MMS	3.0 µL			þí sv		$3700 \pm 20$
ActivationUntreated $21233$ $41544$ $44447$ $155454$ $600\pm 6$ FOE 5043- methyl- sulfone100 µg $15\pm 3$ $1746$ $444\pm 7$ $136\pm 5$ $577\pm 39$ $1000 µg$ $22\oplus 6$ $18\pm 3$ $10\pm 6$ $36\pm 5$ $19\pm 15$ $532\pm 94$ $1000 µg$ $22\oplus 6$ $18\pm 4$ $12\pm 4$ $44\pm 4$ $123\pm 8$ $502\pm 57$ $1000 µg$ $22\oplus 6$ $18\pm 4$ $30\pm 5$ $125\pm 8$ $466\pm 59$ $2500 µg$ $9\pm 28M4$ $4\pm 18$ $43\pm 3^{-1}$ $54\pm 7M8$ $121\pm 15$ MR $2-AA$ $2.5 µg$ $368\pm 24$ $224\pm 25$ $1751\pm 466$ $1756\pm 125$ $2-AA$ $2.5 µg$ $36\pm 24$ $224\pm 25$ $1751\pm 466$ $1756\pm 125$ $2-AA$ $2.000 µg$ $2-AA = 2-aminoanflacene4NOED^2 \pm aniso-opheavere-diamineRMS = methyl methane sulforerR Reduced background growthRR2057\pm 115NaN = sodium azideV2-AA = 2-aminoanflacene4NOED^2 \pm aniso-opheavere-diamineM = Manual countR Reduced background growthRRFOE 5043-methylsulfore's considered as be non-onutagenic in the Salmonella typhimurium reversemutation assat14542022012;M-430571-01Title:00E 5043-methylsulfore'- Gene mutation assay in Chinese hamster V79 cells10 \times 430571-01-11454202Document NoM430571-01-1Guidelines:9ECD 376; Commission Regulation (EC) No. 440/2008, B.17; US-EPA125 \times 2823;150\pm 823;Docum$	With	Acetone		17+2		42±11	*@27 ± 2%	$608 \pm 14$
FOE 5043- methyl19 ± 3 1000 µg 220 to 118 ± 319 ± 5 14 ± 419 ± 5 16 ± 519 ± 5 16 ± 319 ± 5 16 ± 125 1000 µg 22 ± 6 22 ± 6 18 ± 4 24 ± 18 24 ± 18 24 ± 18 24 ± 18 24 ± 18 24 ± 25 26 ± 1751 ± 4661751 ± 466 1751 ± 4661751 ± 15 MR 210 ± 125 ± 2057 ± 115NaNs = sodium azide MS = methyl methane sulforme M = Manual count2-AA = 2-aminoant/macme 2-AA = 2-amino	Activation	Untreated	22	2163	~~16±4	44"± 4 °	135 43	$600 \pm 6$
Inclusion100 µg $13 \pm 3$ $12 \pm 6$ $303 = 12 \pm 15$ $532 \pm 94$ $1000 µg$ $122 \pm 6$ $14 \pm 4$ $44 \pm 4$ $213 \pm 8$ $502 \pm 57$ $1000 µg$ $122 \pm 6$ $18 \pm 4$ $214 \pm 5$ $125 \pm 8$ $466 \pm 59$ $2500 µg$ $9 \pm 2^{RM}$ $4 \pm 1^{R}$ $43 \pm 3^{ND}$ $54 \pm 7^{NR}$ $121 \pm 15^{MR}$ $2-AA$ $2.5 µg$ $368 \pm 49$ $228 \pm 25$ $17516 \pm 466$ $1756 \pm 125$ $2-AA$ $2.00 µg$ $9 \pm 2^{RM}$ $4 \pm 1^{R}$ $43 \pm 3^{ND}$ $54 \pm 7^{NR}$ $121 \pm 15^{MR}$ $2-AA$ $2.00 µg$ $9 \pm 2^{RM}$ $4 \pm 1^{R}$ $43 \pm 3^{ND}$ $54 \pm 7^{NR}$ $121 \pm 15^{MR}$ $2-AA$ $2.00 µg$ $9 \pm 2^{RM}$ $4 \pm 1^{R}$ $43 \pm 3^{ND}$ $54 \pm 7^{NR}$ $121 \pm 15^{MR}$ $2-AA$ $2.00 µg$ $9 \pm 2^{RM}$ $4 \pm 1^{R}$ $43 \pm 3^{ND}$ $54 \pm 7^{NR}$ $121 \pm 15^{MR}$ $2-AA$ $2.00 µg$ $2^{RA} = 2$ -aminoantifucene $4 + 000$ $4 + 000$ $4 + 000$ $4 + 000$ MMS = methyl methane sulformer $R$ = Reduced background growth $H$		FOE 5043-	33 μg			$\times 44 \pm 7$	$136 \pm 5$	$577 \pm 39$
Sindle $353 \ \mu\text{g}$ $18 \pm 4$ $14 \pm 4$ $4425 \pm 8$ $302 \pm 37$ $1000 \ \mu\text{g}$ $22 \oplus 6$ $18 \pm 4$ $4125 \pm 8$ $466 \pm 5$ $2500 \ \mu\text{g}$ $7\pm 3$ $15 \pm 2$ $13\pm 3^{304}$ $54 \pm 7^{MR}$ $2-AA$ $23 \ \mu\text{g}$ $368 \pm 24$ $232 \pm 2$ $1751 \pm 466$ $1756 \pm 125$ $2-AA$ $23 \ \mu\text{g}$ $368 \pm 24$ $243 \pm 25$ $1751 \pm 466$ $1756 \pm 125$ $2-AA$ $23 \ \mu\text{g}$ $368 \pm 24$ $243 \pm 25$ $1751 \pm 466$ $1756 \pm 125$ $2-AA$ $2.00 \ \mu\text{g}$ $2-AA = 2$ -aminoanfurcene $2957 \pm 115$ MMS = methyl methane sulformed $2-AA = 2$ -aminoanfurcene $2957 \pm 115$ M= Manual count $2-AA = 2$ -aminoanfurcene $4-NOPD = 4$ -nites-o-phenylcre-diamineM = Manual count $R$ = Reduced background growth <b>HF</b> Conclusion <b>HF</b> ConclusionFOE 5043-methylsulfore is considered to be non-nutagenic in the Salmonella typhimurium reversemutation assay $16520 \pm 0.020$ Document No: $M43057l-01-1$ Guidelines: $9ECD476$ ; Commission Regulation (EC) No. 440/2008, B.17; US-EPA $712 - 98-2217$ Devations: noneDevations: none $1.$ Materials and methodsA. MaterialsI. Materials and methodsI. Test material:FOE 5043-methylsulfoneDescription:light yellow granulesLot/Batch no:NLL 8856-1-3Purity: $98\%$		sulfone	100 μg	$15 \pm 3$		$36\pm 3^{\circ}$	$1 \pm 15$	$532 \pm 94$
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $		suitoile	333 μg	$0^{-18} \pm 3^{-18}$	$14 \pm 4$	44€4	$423 \pm 8$	$502 \pm 57$
$2300 \text{ Hg}$ $33 \text{ J}$ $13 \text{ J}$ $130 \text{ J}$ $130 \text{ H}$ $300 \text{ H}$ $300 \text{ H}$ $2-AA$ $2.3 \text{ Hg}$ $2368 \pm 14$ $243 \pm 25$ $1751 \pm 466$ $1756 \pm 125$ $2-AA$ $2.00 \text{ Hg}$ $264 \pm 7$ $21 \pm 15^{\text{MR}}$ $2-AA$ $2.00 \text{ Hg}$ $264 \pm 7$ $21 \pm 15^{\text{MR}}$ $2-AA$ $2.00 \text{ Hg}$ $264 \pm 7$ $2957 \pm 115$ NaNs = sodium azide $2-AA = 2$ -aminoanthracene $2957 \pm 115$ MMS = methyl methane sulforer $R = \text{Reduced background rowth}$ $R = \text{Reduced background rowth}$ M = Manual count $R = \text{Reduced background rowth}$ $R = \text{Reduced background rowth}$ FOE 5043-methyl sulforers considered to be non-anutagenic in the Salmonella typhimurium reversemutation assay $GE = 5043$ -methyl sulforerGene mutation assay in Chinese hamster V79 cellsin vite (V794PRT) $1454202$ $M430571-01$ Report No: $M430574-01-4$ $M430574-01-4$ Document No: $M430574-01-4$ $M = 300574-01-2$ $M30574-01-4$ Guidefines: $GECD3/65$ Commission Regulation (EC) No. 440/2008, B.17; US-EPA $712 \subseteq 98-224$ $Decyations:$ noneGLP/GEP:yesI. Materials and methodsI. Test material:FOE 5043-methylsulfoneDescription:light yellow granulesLot/Batch no:NLL 8856-1-3Purity: $98\%$			1000 μg	$22 \oplus 6$	$18 \pm 4$		$125 \pm 8$	$466 \pm 59$
2-AA       23 µg       368 ± 14       243 ± 25       1731± 466       1736 ± 125         2-AA       40.0 µg       368 ± 14       243 ± 25       1731± 466       1736 ± 125       2957 ± 115         NaNs = sodium azide MMS = methyl methane sulforder M = Manual count       2-AA = 2-aminoanfluracene 4-NOPD≠ 4-nitw-o-phenotene-diamine R = Reduced background frowth       2-AA = 2-aminoanfluracene 4-NOPD≠ 4-nitw-o-phenotene-diamine R = Reduced background frowth         FOE 5043-methylsulforiers considered to be non-nutagenic in the Salmonella typhimurium reverse mutation assay       2012;M-430571-01         Title:       60E 5043-methylsulforer-Gene mutation assay in Chinese hamster V79 cells in vite (V79/fPRT)         Report:       1454202         Document No:       9ECD476; Cammission Regulation (EC) No. 440/2008, B.17; US-EPA 712; 08-221; Deviations: none gLP/GEP:         Ves       I. Materials and methods         A. Materials       FOE 5043-methylsulfone Ight yellow granules Lot/Batch no:         NLL 8856-1-3 Purity:       98%			2300 μg	n + 2 RM	$13 \neq 2$	$0^{30} \pm 0^{30}$	$130 \pm 14$ $54 \pm 7 MR$	$380 \pm 23$ $121 \pm 15$ MR
2-AA       2.0.0 μg       2.0.3 μ       2.0.2 μ       2.0.1711, 1000       17.0.2 12.3       2.957 ± 115         NaN <sub>3</sub> = sodium azide       2-AA       2.0.0 μg       2AA       2.0.0 μg       2.0.1711, 1000       17.0.2 12.3       2.957 ± 115         NaN <sub>3</sub> = sodium azide       2-AA       2AA       2AA       2AA       2.0.0 μg       2.0.1711, 1000       17.0.2 12.3       2.957 ± 115         MAS = methyl methane sulforer       MAS = methyl methane sulforer       Report:       2AA       2AA       2AA       2.0.0 μg       2.0.12, M-430571-01         FOE 5043-methylsulforer is considered to be non-mutagenic in the Salmonella typhimurium reverse mutation assay       FOE 5043-methylsulforer       Gene mutation assay in Chinese hamster V79 cells         Report:       FOE 5043-methylsulforer       Gene mutation assay in Chinese hamster V79 cells       in vitro (V79.000, 10.1)         Title:       GOE 5043-methylsulforer       Gene mutation assay in Chinese hamster V79 cells         in vitro (V79.000, 0.000, 0.000, 0.000, 0.000, 0.000, 0.000, 0.000, 0.000, 0.000, 0.000, 0.000, 0.000, 0.000, 0.000, 0.000, 0.000, 0.000, 0.000, 0.000, 0.000, 0.000, 0.000, 0.000, 0.000, 0.000, 0.000, 0.000, 0.000, 0.000, 0.000, 0.000, 0.000, 0.000, 0.000, 0.000, 0.000, 0.000, 0.000, 0.000, 0.000, 0.000, 0.000, 0.000, 0.000, 0.000, 0.000, 0.000, 0.000, 0.000, 0.000, 0.000, 0.000, 0.000, 0.000, 0.000, 0.000, 0.000, 0.000, 0.000, 0.000, 0.000, 0.000, 0.000, 0.000, 0.000, 0.000, 0.000, 0.000, 0.000, 0.000, 0.000		2. 1 1	28 ug	O = 2	$4 \pm 1$	$1751 \pm 166$	$34 \pm 7$ 1756 + 125	$121 \pm 13$
NaNa = solium azide       2-AA = 2-aminoanflucene       2-AA = 2-aminoanflucene         MMS = methyl methane sulfomer       4-NOPD# 4-nitro-o-phenetere-diamine         M = Manual count       R = Reduced background growth         III: Conclusion       Report:         Miller       GOE 5043-methylsulfore-is considered to be non-mutagenic in the Salmonella typhimurium reverse mutation assay         Report:       GOE 5043-methylsulfore-Gene mutation assay in Chinese hamster V79 cells in vitro (V79/4FPRT)         Report No:       1454202         Document No:       M 430571-01-1         Guidelines:       GECD(J/6; Commission Regulation (EC) No. 440/2008, B.17; US-EPA 712-C98-22(;)         Deriations: none       I. Materials and methods         A. Materials       I. Test material:         I. Test material:       FOE 5043-methylsulfone         Description:       light yellow granules         Lot/Batch no:       NLL 8856-1-3         Purity:       98%		2-AA	2.3 μg	× 308 ± 1¥	$249 \pm 23$	\$17310400	$1730 \pm 123$	$2057 \pm 115$
MMS = methyl methane sulforder       4-NOPD# 4-nitro-o-pheaviene-diamine         M = Manual count       H. KOPD# 4-nitro-o-pheaviene-diamine         Report:       III. Conclusion         Report:       III. Conclusion         MOE = not visual for e-is considered to be non-mutagenic in the Salmonella typhimurium reverse mutation assay         Report:       III. Conclusion         Report:       III. To the salmonella typhimurium reverse mutation assay         MOE = 5043-methylsulfore- Gene mutation assay in Chinese hamster V79 cells in vitro (V79/4HPRT)         Report No:       1454202         Document No:       M430571-01-1         Guidefines:       OECD476; Commission Regulation (EC) No. 440/2008, B.17; US-EPA         712-C98-221:       Decylations: none         GLP/GEP:       ves         I. Materials and methods         A. Materials       FOE 5043-methylsulfone         Description:       light yellow granules         Lot/Batch no:       NLL 8856-1-3         Purity:       98%	$NaN_2 = sodiu$	um azide	<u>Osmovie</u>	$2-\Delta A = 2$	-aminoanthracer			$2737 \pm 113$
M = Manual count       R = Reduced background efforth         FOE 5043-methylsulfore is considered to be non-mutagenic in the Salmonella typhimurium reverse mutation assay         Report:       .2012;M-430571-01         Title:       BOE 5043-methylsulfore - Gene mutation assay in Chinese hamster V79 cells         Report No:       .430571-01-1         Guidefines:       .9EED 3/6; Commission Regulation (EC) No. 440/2008, B.17; US-EPA 712; C98-221; Deviations: none         Decumper No:	MMS = meth	vl methane sulfor	ale O	~ C4-NOPD	<sup>2</sup> 4-nitro-o-pher	wiene-diamine		
FOE 5043-methylsulfore is considered to be non-mutagenic in the Salmonella typhimurium reverse mutation assay         FOE 5043-methylsulfore is considered to be non-mutagenic in the Salmonella typhimurium reverse mutation assay         Report:         Title:       FOE 5043-methylsulfore - Gene mutation assay in Chinese hamster V79 cells         In vitro (V79/HPRT))         Report No:       M430574-01-1         Document No:       M430574-01-1         Guidelines:       Decladios: none         VECD 3/6; Commission Regulation (EC) No. 440/2008, B.17; US-EPA         712_098-221;         Declations: none         Ves         I. Materials and methods         A. Materials         I. Test material:       FOE 5043-methylsulfone         Description:       light yellow granules         Lot/Batch no:       NLL 8856-1-3         Purity:       98%	M = Manual	count 🔊	, O V	$\mathbb{V}$ R = Reduc	ced background	growth		
FOE 5043-methy/sulfore is considered to be non-nutagenic in the Salmonella typhimurium reverse mutation assay         Report:         Title:       FOE 5043-methy/sulfore - Gene mutation assay in Chinese hamster V79 cells         In vitro (V79/EPRT)         Report No:       1454202         Document No:       M430571-01-1         Guidefines:       OE D04/6; Commission Regulation (EC) No. 440/2008, B.17; US-EPA 712; C98-224;         Decirations: none       ves         I. Materials and methods         A. Materials       FOE 5043-methylsulfone         Description:       light yellow granules         Lot/Batch no:       NLL 8856-1-3         Purity:       98%		Ŭ	× 4	, &		/		
FOE 5043-methy sulfore is considered to be non-mutagenic in the Salmonella typhimurium reverse mutation assay         Report:         Title:       60E 5043-methysulfore - Gene mutation assay in Chinese hamster V79 cells in vitro (V79/4FPRT)         Report No:       1454202         Document No:       M430571-01-1         Guidehnes:       9ECD47/6; Commission Regulation (EC) No. 440/2008, B.17; US-EPA 712-C98-221;         Derivations: none       712-C98-221;         Derivations: none       es         I. Materials and methods       I. Materials and methods         A. Materials       FOE 5043-methylsulfone         Description:       light yellow granules         Lot/Batch no:       NLL 8856-1-3         Purity:       98%		~		IL Con	etusion 🖉			
FOE 5043-methy/sulfore is considered to be non-autagenic in the Salmonella typhimurium reverse mutation assay         Report:         Title:       FOE 5043-methylsulfore - Gene mutation assay in Chinese hamster V79 cells         in vitro (V79/4PRT)         Report No:       1454202         Document No:       M430571-01-1         Guidelines:       OE CD47/6; Commission Regulation (EC) No. 440/2008, B.17; US-EPA         712-C98-221;       Deriations: none         GLP/GEP:       ves         I. Materials and methods         A. Materials       FOE 5043-methylsulfone         Description:       light yellow granules         Lot/Batch no:       NLL 8856-1-3         Purity:       98%		~ (	Î Î		× 5¥			
mutation assact Report: Title: BOE 5043-methylsulfore - Gene mutation assay in Chinese hamster V79 cells in vitre (V79/HPRT) Report No: Document No: Guidelines: GLP/GEP: Ves I. Materials and methods A. Materials I. Test material: Description: Lot/Batch no: Purity: 98%	FOE 5043-	methylsulfone	is considered	tobe non-m	utagenic in th	ne Salmonella	typhimuriun	<i>i</i> reverse
Report:       FOE 5043-methylsulfore - Gene mutation assay in Chinese hamster V79 cells         Report No:       1454202         Document No:       M430571-01-1         Guidelines:       9ECD47/6; Commission Regulation (EC) No. 440/2008, B.17; US-EPA         712-C98-224;       Deviations: none         Ves       I. Materials and methods         A. Materials       FOE 5043-methylsulfone         Description:       light yellow granules         Lot/Batch no:       NLL 8856-1-3         Purity:       98%	mutation as	SSASC ~~	& . C	$\sim$	~ CV		• •	
Report:       Title:       POE 5043-methy sulfore - Gene mutation assay in Chinese hamster V79 cells         Report No:       1454202       M430571-01-1         Document No:       M430571-01-1       M430571-01-1         Guidelines:       DECD476; Commission Regulation (EC) No. 440/2008, B.17; US-EPA         T12-C98-221;       Deriations: none         Deriations: none       yes         I. Materials and methods         A. Materials       FOE 5043-methylsulfone         Description:       light yellow granules         Lot/Batch no:       NLL 8856-1-3         Purity:       98%			O <sup>v</sup> õ	, O'	d y			
Report:       DE 5043-methylsulfore - Gene mutation assay in Chinese hamster V79 cells         ritle:       DOE 5043-methylsulfore - Gene mutation assay in Chinese hamster V79 cells         riverov(V79/HPRT)         Report No:       1454202         Document No:       V430571-01-1         Guidelines:       DECD4/76; Commission Regulation (EC) No. 440/2008, B.17; US-EPA         712-C98-22(;       Deviations: none         Deviations: none       ves         I. Materials and methods       I. Materials and methods         A. Materials       FOE 5043-methylsulfone         Description:       light yellow granules         Lot/Batch no:       NLL 8856-1-3         Purity:       98%		S S A	'ı <i>Qı</i>					
Keport:       FOE 5043-methodsulfore- Gene mutation assay in Chinese hamster V79 cells         Title:       FOE 5043-methodsulfore- Gene mutation assay in Chinese hamster V79 cells         Report No:       1454202         Document No:       M430571-01-1         Guidennes:       9ECD 476; Commission Regulation (EC) No. 440/2008, B.17; US-EPA         712-C98-224;       Deviations: none         GLP/GEP:       ves         I. Materials       I. Materials and methods         A. Materials       FOE 5043-methylsulfone         Description:       light yellow granules         Lot/Batch no:       NLL 8856-1-3         Purity:       98%	Donoute				·2012·M 420	571.01		
A. Materials         I. Test material:         Description:         Lot/Batch no:         NLL 8856-1-3         Purity:         98%	Title:		$y_{3}$	Caulford Co	,2012,1 <b>01-4</b> 50	571-01 Chin	aga hamatar V	$\sqrt{70}$ colla
Report No: 1454202 1454202 430574-01-1 Guidennes: 0ECD 476; Commission Regulation (EC) No. 440/2008, B.17; US-EPA 712-C 98-224; Deviations: none yes I. Materials and methods A. Materials I. Test material: Description: Lot/Batch no: Purity: 98%	The.		1.3043-IIIeuw	Suffore - Oe		assay in Chin	ese namster	v /9 cells
Report 140:       1434202         Document No:       M430571-01-1         Guidelines:       DECD4/6; Commission Regulation (EC) No. 440/2008, B.17; US-EPA         712-C98-221;       Deviations: none         Beriations: none       I. Materials and methods         A. Materials       I. Materials and methods         1. Test material:       FOE 5043-methylsulfone         Description:       light yellow granules         Lot/Batch no:       NLL 8856-1-3         Purity:       98%	Deport No.		1202 ×					
Guidennes:       DECDQ//6; Commission Regulation (EC) No. 440/2008, B.17; US-EPA         712-C98-221;       Deviations: none         GLP/GEP:       ves         I. Materials and methods         A. Materials         I. Test material:       FOE 5043-methylsulfone         Description:       light yellow granules         Lot/Batch no:       NLL 8856-1-3         Purity:       98%	Documpt	No: $M^{2}$	$\frac{1}{202}$	<i>w</i>				
Guidenness       SPECDQ/0; Cummission Regulation (EC) No. 440/2008; B.17, US-EFA         712-C998-221;       Deriations: none         GLP/GEP:       ves         J       I. Materials and methods         A. Materials       FOE 5043-methylsulfone         Description:       light yellow granules         Lot/Batch no:       NLL 8856-1-3         Purity:       98%	Cuiddinas		$CD a f (\cdot C \alpha)$	Wind Day	ulation (FC	) No. 440/20	00 D 17. US	ГДА
GLP/GEP:       Jeriations: none yes         Jeriations:       I. Materials and methods         A. Materials       I. Materials and methods         1. Test material:       FOE 5043-methylsulfone         Description:       light yellow granules         Lot/Batch no:       NLL 8856-1-3         Purity:       98%	Guidennes		$\mathcal{C}$ $\mathcal{D}$ $\mathcal{A}$	mission Reş	gulation (EC	) INU. 440/20	UO, D.17; US	-EFA
GLP/GEP:       Yes         Joint       I. Materials and methods         A. Materials       I. Materials and methods         I. Test material:       FOE 5043-methylsulfone         Description:       light yellow granules         Lot/Batch no:       NLL 8856-1-3         Purity:       98%	·		-C*90-224;"					
GLI/GET.       Kes       I         I. Materials       I. Materials and methods         A. Materials       FOE 5043-methylsulfone         Description:       light yellow granules         Lot/Batch no:       NLL 8856-1-3         Purity:       98%	CI D/CED	· Dev						
I. Materials and methodsA. Materials1. Test material:Poscription:Description:Lot/Batch no:NLL 8856-1-3Purity:98%	GLI/GEI	· · · · · ·						
A. Materials         1. Test material:       FOE 5043-methylsulfone         Description:       light yellow granules         Lot/Batch no:       NLL 8856-1-3         Purity:       98%			Ι	. Materials a	and methods			
A. MaterialsFOE 5043-methylsulfone1. Test material:FOE 5043-methylsulfoneDescription:light yellow granulesLot/Batch no:NLL 8856-1-3Purity:98%	1 Mataria	.Ue						
I. Test material:FOE 5043-methylsulfoneDescription:light yellow granulesLot/Batch no:NLL 8856-1-3Purity:98%	A. materia	us toriali	T	OF 5042 -	4 las 1 av 1 f			
Description:light yellow granulesLot/Batch no:NLL 8856-1-3Purity:98%	1. Test ma	terial:	ŀ	UE 3043-me	envisuitone			
Lot/Batch no:         NLL 8856-1-3           Purity:         98%	Descri	ption:	li	ight yellow g	ranules			
Purity: 98%	Lot/Ba	atch no:	Ν	NLL 8856-1-3	3			
	Purity	:	9	8%				

### Table 5.8.1-14-2: Summary of results of experiment II

### Document MCA: Section 5 Toxicological and metabolism studies Flufenacet

Stability of test compound:

- 2. Vehicle and/or positive control:
- 3. Test system:

metabolic activation:

**B.** Study design and methods

### 1. Treatment

Dose:

hods					R A	
exposure	<b>S</b> 9	concentrat	ions in μg/n	1L&	Y Å	~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~
period	mix			7 (N	×,	,~Y
		Experimen				
4 hours	_	175.00	350.0	<sup>©</sup> 700.0	1050.0	400.0
4 hours	+	175,0	<sub>م</sub> 350.0 م	700,0	1400.0	2800.0
		Experimer	HA NY	Å	Å Ö	
24 hours	_	43.8	\$7.5	رو <sup>0</sup> 175.0	350.0	525.0
4 hours	+ 🍬	175.0	§50.0	7000	1400.0	2800.0
	8 day	ys, 376C	Ŭ S		<u>N</u>	

guaranteed for study duration; expiry date: 2012-08-07

acetone /ethylmethane sulfonate (EMS), 7,12-

dimethylbenz(a)anthracene (DMBA)

Chinese hamster V79 cells

S9 mix

Incubation time:

## 11. Results and discussion

Precipitation was observed at the maximum concentration of  $700 \mu$ g/mL in the second experiment without metabolic activation. As no precipitation was noted in any other experimental part even at higher concentrations, this observation may well be based on precipitation of denatured proteins rather than test item. The protein concentration during 24 hours treatment is considerably higher due to the 15% horse serum added.

Relevant cytotoxic effects indicated by a relative cloning efficiency I or a relative cell density below 50% occurred in the first experiment without metabolic activation at 700  $\mu$ g/mL and above in the absence of metabolic activation. The recommended cytotoxic range of approximately 10-20% relative cloning efficiency I was covered in the absence of metabolic activation. In the presence of metabolic activation no relevant cytotoxicity was noted up to the maximum concentration of 2800 µg/mL by 10 mM.

No relevant and reproducible increase in mutant colony numbers/10<sup>6</sup> cells was observed in the main experiments up to the maximum concentration. The mutation frequency generally remained well within the historical range of solvent controls. The induction factor did not reach or exceed the threshold of three times the matation frequency of the corresponding solvent control at any of the concentrations with and without metabolic activation.





Ô

J. A linear regression analysis (least squares) was performed to assess a possible dose dependent increase of mutant frequencies. No significant dose dependent trend of the mutation frequency indicated by a probability value of <0.05 was determined in any of the experimental groups. EMS (150 μg/mL) and DMBA (1.1 μg/mL) were used as positive controls and showed a distinct increase in induced mutant colonies. Table 5.8.1/15-1: Summary of results of experiment I and II

		-					. «1		ð-	
	Concen-	<b>S9</b>	relative	relative	mutant	induction	relative	~ relative "	mutant	induction
	tration	mix	cloning	cloning	colonies/106	factor	Cloning (	choning	colonies/106	factor
			efficiency I	efficiency II	OF cells	)*	efficiency I	efficiency II	cells	
	μg/mL		%	% @			~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~	× %		
Experiment I / 4 hr treat	tment			The state of the s				9-9		
Solvent control		-	100.0	100.0 Å	10.6	d0 <sup>%</sup>	100.0	<u>چ</u> 100.0	30.3	1.0
Positive control EMS	150.0	-	91.1 05	109.7	128.5	§ 12.1	90.1 Ch	90.6	126.0	4.2
FOE 5043-	87.5	-	2926	culture not con	bjnued#		~ <u>963</u>	culture not contin	ued#	
methylsulfone	175.0	- ,	§ 91.5	124,5	326	्रूज़ि.1	<u>6</u> 92.1	91.8	7.7	0.3
	30.0	<u>_10</u> _1	88,90%	1 <b>P</b> 1.0	× 11.6 C	, <u>1.1</u>	71.6	124.0	11.6	0.4
	700.0	~ <u>~</u>	326.0	8 <sup>0</sup> 122.2 C	× 170 ×		16.6	94.9	32.0	1.1
	1050.0	_^^	0.0	129.7	JJ + 2.2	<b>3°</b> 1.1	2.4	85.2	51.4	1.7
	1400.0	g <sup>tir</sup>	×0.0	2915.6 v	للال 18.6 T	1.8	0.0	91.3	34.6	1.1
Solvent control	J.	+ 6	© <sup>™</sup> 100.0	100,00	19.9	1.0	100.0	100.0	17.8	1.0
Positive control DMBA	1.1		_39.ð	84.8	1001.5	50.3	44.3	94.4	628.2	35.4
FOE 5043-	87.5	$\varphi$ +	<b>9</b> 4.9	Culture not Con	tinued#		98.1	culture not contin	ued#	
methylsulfone	025.0	+	93. <b>&amp;</b> O <sup>V®</sup>	\$ 86.3	6.0	0.3	97.1	99.5	28.8	1.6
	30.0	- Of	95.3	94.7	26.5	1.3	94.8	120.0	10.0	0.6
	706.0	+ , *	93.8	<sup>©®</sup> 91.0	20.4	1.0	92.8	107.4	14.0	0.8
	1050.0	Ð	93.3	100.0	24.7	1.2	95.0	103.3	9.7	0.5
	1400.0	+	95.1	107.0	20.3	1.0	93.4	118.5	3.7	0.2
	Option									



Flufenacet										
								\$ 7 <sup>6</sup> *		
	Concen-	<b>S9</b>	relative	relative	mutant	induction	relative	relative 🔻	• mutant	induction
	tration	mix	cloning	cloning	colonies/10 <sup>6</sup>	factor	cloning	& Cloning &	colonies/106	factor
			efficiency I	efficiency II	cells		Officiency h	efficiency II	r cells	
	μg/mL		%	%				£ 3 3%		
Experiment II/ 24 hr trea	atment					-0 <sup>3</sup>			1 KV	
Solvent control		-	100.0	100.0	16.6	<u> </u>	> 100.0	100.0	12.5	1.0
Positive control EMS	150.0	—	98.1	96.3	178.0	10-0	93.7	- 90.50 <sup>%</sup>	192.6	15.5
FOE 5043-	43.8	_	101.2	99.1	AN 97.8	£ F.1	94.3	95.2	15.0	1.2
methylsulfone	87.5	_	99.6	102.6	OF 3.3	0.255	94.0	95.3 CT	4.9	0.4
	175.0	-	68.7	101.4	1206	<b>1</b> 9.8	68.6	270	10.4	0.8
	350.0	_	12.6	96.6	ي د <sup>يلي</sup> آ4.9 م	چ 0.9	15.60	<b>@92</b> .1	17.7	1.4
	525.0	_	0.0	<u>م</u> ک 94.0	14-6		\$ <b>9</b> .0	چ <sup>©</sup> 94.5	15.7	1.3
	700.0P	-	DZC 0.0	culture not con	tinued##	ĝ "(	0.0 C	culture not contin	ued##	
Experiment II/ 4 hr treat	tment	•	200	A A	j j		72			
Solvent control		+	§ 100.0	100,0	02.0	\$.A.0	<u>م</u> 100.0	100.0	16.4	1.0
Positive control DMBA	1.1		88,70	A 98.6	x 244.4 e	34.9	75.5	83.5	605.9	36.9
FOE 5043-	87.5	¥	103.3	Coulture not Con	tinued#0		100.1	culture not contin	ued#	
methylsulfone	175.0	+	104.8	97.8	3744.9	2.1	97.3	103.7	8.2	0.5
	30.0	G E P	×99.9	ð <sup>0</sup> 98.2 "	° 5.8 Jil	0.8	91.5	113.2	9.6	0.6
	700 0	+	6 <sup>5298.4</sup> ~6	95.40	12.0	1.6	88.6	101.0	10.9	0.7
	1050.0	+0	96. <b>%</b>	<b>96</b> .1	<sup>۳</sup> 8.1	1.2	91.1	96.3	8.7	0.5
9	🔊 1400.0	ĊŶ'	\$79.3	رگ <sup>ر 1</sup> 91.1 م	8.8	1.3	82.7	87.1	9.4	0.6

Culture was not continued since a minimum of one four analysable concentrations is required Culture was not continued due to strong toxic effects Precipitation #

##

Р



### **III.** Conclusion

The test item did not induce gene mutations at the HPRT locus in V79 cells. Therefore, FOE 5043methylsulfone is considered to be non-mutagenic in this HPRT assay.

Donoute	12012:M 427250 0 4
Title:	In vitro chromosome aberration test in Chinese harster WTP, called with EDE
Thue.	50/3-methylsulfone
Report No <sup>.</sup>	1454203
Document No:	M-437250-01-1
Guidelines:	OECD 473; Commission Regulation (EC) No. 440/2008, B10; 6S-EPA
	712-C-98-223, OPPTS 870.5378; C , C , C , C
	Deviations: none
GLP/GEP:	yes
	L. Materials and methods
A. Materials	
1. Test material:	FOF 5043-methylsulfone
Description:	Dight yeffow gramules in the second s
Lot/Batch no:	NLL 8856-1-3 0 0 1
Purity:	~~ 98°
Stability of test	compound: Quaranteed for study duration; export date: 2012-08-07
2. Vehicle and/or po	sitive control: Acetone / ethylmethane sulformete (EMS), cyclophosphamide
3. Test system:	S Chinese hamster \$79 cells
metabolic activa	tion: \$9 mix 0 3
B. Study design and	methods A O A A
1. Treatment	
Dose <sup>-</sup>	$4^{170}6 - 2730$ mJ (- S9 mix)
	$0^{\circ}$ $0^{\circ}$ $250^{\circ}$ $2730^{\circ}$ $10^{\circ}$
	positive controls:
Ś.	<sup>2</sup> <sup>2</sup> EMS: 500-600-1000 μg/mL
	$\mathbb{Q}^{\vee}$
Treatment durat	ion: 4 hr, 18 hr (only without S9 mix)
Chromosome pr	eparation: 2 18 hr after start of treatment
Incubation temp	erature: 379C
	ST OT OT
×,	💭 🕺 II. Results and discussion
In Experiment La a	nd IB in the absence of S9 mix no cytotoxicity was observed up to the highest

In Experiment 13 and 1B in the absence of S9 mix no cytotoxicity was observed up to the highest applied concentration. In Experiment IA in the presence of S9 mix, cytotoxicity of approx. 50% was observed at the highest evaluated concentration, indicated by reduced cell numbers. In Experiment IIA in the absence of S9 mix concentrations showing clear cytotoxicity were not evaluable for cytogenetic damage. In the presence of S9 mix no cytotoxicity was observed up to the highest applied concentration. In Experiment IIB in the absence of S9 mix clear cytotoxicity was observed at the highest evaluated concentration, indicated by reduced cell numbers.

In Experiment IA in the absence of S9 mix one statistically significant and dose-dependent increase in



chromosomal aberrations (4.8% aberrant cells, excluding gaps) slightly above the historical solvent control data range (0.0 - 4.0% aberrant cells, excluding gaps) was observed after treatment with 2000.0 µg/mL. In Experiment IB in the absence of S9 mix two statistically significant increases in chromosomal aberrations (4.8 and 4.5% aberrant cells, excluding gaps) slightly above the historical solvent control data range were observed after treatment with 1750.0 and 2000.0 µg/ml. However, no dose-dependent increase was observed, no precipitation or cytotoxicity occurred and no relevant increase after continuous treatment with the test item was observed. Therefore, the findings are considered as being biologically irrelevant. In the presence of S9 mix nov statistically significant increases in chromosomal aberrations were observed.

No evidence of an increase in polyploid metaphases was policed after treatment with the test item as compared to the control cultures compared to the control cultures.

Appropriate mutagens were used as positive controls? They'nduced statistically significant increases in cells with structural chromosome aberrations 

Substance	+/- 🖉	Cells	Metaph	ases with 0	Çelî	Mitotic		
Dose (µg/mL)	<b>S9 m</b>	scored	aberrat	ions (%) 🗡	numbers	Index		
	<u>ب</u>	4	Ancluding	Excluding	°∼y % of	(%)		
84		Å Å	gaps*	gaps*	🎽 control			
Experiment IA (4 hour treatment; preparation after 18 hours, +/_ S9 mix								
Solvent (acetone, 0.5 % (v/v))	L.	200	2.5 ×	2.0	100.0	100.0		
Positive control (EMS) 1000.0	Ŗ-	<b>Q</b> 00	20.5 <sub>0</sub>	20 55	n.d	96.7		
FOE 5043-methylsulfone $500.0$		<sup>\$</sup> 200	1,00	JY.0	86.3	93.8		
	-O	200>	Q5	2.5	77.1	100.0		
<sup>©*</sup> 2000 <sup>0</sup> #	À	<b>4</b> 00	\$5.0 \$	₹ 4.8 <sup>s</sup>	68.6	100.4		
Solvent (acetone 0.5 % (v/v))	+ 2	©200 ¢	Q 1.0	1.0	100.0	100.0		
Positive control (EMS) 7000.0 (	+0	200	1/805	18.0 <sup>s</sup>	n.d.	71.6		
FOE 5043-methylsulfore 250.	Ŷ	200	3.0	2.5	83.2	92.6		
چې 5 <b>40</b> .0	$\mathbb{Q}^+$	200	\$ 2.0	2.0	85.4	110.9		
	+ 0	¢∕200 <sup>©</sup>	2.5	1.5	51.3	113.1		
Experiment IB (Å hour Greatment; p	orepara	tion after	• 18 hours, –	S9 mix)				
Solvent (acetone, 0.5% (v/v))	Ĵ, O, Ĩ	290	1.0	1.0	100.0	100.0		
Positive control (EMS) 1000.0	9 – <i>1</i>	200	15.0	14.5 <sup>s</sup>	n.d	48.1		
FOE 5043-meterIsulforea 500.0	-0	200	1.5	1.5	101.6	70.3		
مَرْبُ <sup>v</sup> مُ <sup>∞</sup> 1750•0#		400	4.8	4.8 <sup>s</sup>	90.4	107.0		
2000.0#	4	400	5.8	4.5 <sup>s</sup>	88.1	90.5		
2250.0 <sup>©</sup>	- *	200	5.5	3.0	90.7	94.9		
2500.0	-	200	2.5	2.0	102.5	81.0		
2730.0	-	200	2.5	2.5	91.3	108.9		

Table 5.8.1/16-1:	Summary of cells	with structural	aberrations 🗸



Substance	+/—	Cells	Metaph	ases with	Cell	Mitotic
Dose (µg/mL)	S9 mix	scored	aberrat	tions (%)	numbers	Index
			Including	Excluding	%_of	(%)
			gaps*	gaps*	control	
Experiment IIA (4 hour treatment	; prepara	ation afte	r 18 hours, +	- S9 mix)		° 4
Solvent (acetone, 0.5 % (v/v))	+	200	3.0	2.5	Q100.0	100.0
Positive control (CPA) 1.0	+	200	9.0	8.5 <sup>8</sup>	n. <b>đ</b>	A81.8 🔊
FOE 5043-methylsulfone1023.8	+	200	4.5	°&°4.0	106.5	¥ 105.5
1365.0	+	200	3.5	2.5~	°≫91.3 🔊	9.7 <u>.</u> 8
2047.5P	+	200	4.0	3.0 K	97.0	ta 0.5 °
2730.0P	+	200	2,0	×2.0 °	91.3	§113.2
Experiment IIA (18 hour treatmen	nt; prepa	ration aft	er 18 nours,	⊖S9 mixØ	jõ Č	, "Ú
Solvent (acetone, 0.5 % (v/v))	-	200	Q 2.5	1.0	100.0	100.0
Positive control (EMS) 500.0	_	200 🧹	14.50	14:0 <sup>s</sup>	n d	£106.8
FOE 5043-methylsulfone 170.6	_	200	20	© 1.5 📎	124.1	D 100.9
341.3	-	200	1.5	🖇 1.5 <sub>K</sub>	87.7	124.0
682.5		200	0 <sup>°</sup> 3.5 0 <sup>°</sup>	35 ×	83.2	75.1
Experiment IIB (18 hour treatmer	ıt; prepâ	ation aft	er 18 hours,	<b>- \$9</b> mix) 🖓	<u> </u>	
Solvent (acetone, 0.5 % (v/v))	Ũ	290	×2.5 (	▶ 1.5~	S CO0.0	100.0
Positive control (EMS) 600.0	Ô-	ž <b>20</b> 0	×24.0 0	20,0 ~	n.d	80.3
FOE 5043-methylsulfone 170.6	· · ·	چ 200	∕ 3.5 <sup>©</sup>	-Q5	89.7	105.7
341.3		200	°&\$	گي 1.5 چي آ	69.0	102.5
682	6¥	200	3.5	3.0	38.0	62.4
# Evaluation of 200 petaph	ses per c	Mure; 2 d	ultures per co	oncentration		

inclusive cells carrying exchanges

S statistical significant at p < 0.0

EMS = ethyl methane sulfonate: 
$$A = C PA$$

The test item did not include structural chromosome aberrations in V79 cells (Chinese hamster cell line) in vitro. Ine) *in vitro* Therefore, GOE 5043-methylsulfore is considered to be non-clastogenic in this chromosome aberration test in the absence and presence of metabolic activation.




# **FOE-thiadone (M09)**

Report:	<b>§</b> ;	;2011;M-413989-01	
Title:	Salmonella typh	imurium reverse mutation assay with FOE	6043-Thiadone
Report No:	1423000	L.	0 2
Document No:	M-413989-01-1		
Guidelines:	<b>OECD 471; Co</b>	mmission Regulation (EC) No. 4404008, J	Method BI3/14;
	US-EPA /12-C	-98-227, OPP 15 870.5100;	
CI P/CFP			
	yes		
		I. Materials and methods	
A. Materials			
1. Test material:		FOE 5043-thradone 🔨 🔬	
Description:		white solid	
Lot/Batch no:		SES 10558-3-5 2 0 40 10	
Purity:		98.6%	Ĩ,
Stability of test co	ompound:	guaranteed for study duration, expire date:	2012-05-24
2. Vehicle and/or po	sitive control:	DVISO / sodium azide (NaV3); 4 mitro-o-	henylene-diamine (4-
	(°	OOPD) methyl methane sulforate (MMS)	); 2-aminoanthracene
		(2-AA)	
3. Test system:		Salmonella typhimurium strains TA\535,	ТА1537, ТА98,
matabalia astivati	· *	1.26/100, 14/102	
B. Study design and	methods		
1. Treatment			
Dose:	O 'Y	experiment I: $\bigcirc 3 - 5000^{\circ} \mu g/plate$	
ŝ.		experiment II: 3-10-33-100-2	2500-5000 μg/plate
Č0	O O	sodium azide (NaNa)	10 µg/plate
	17 - 49 1	4-potro-o-phenylene-diamine (4-NOPD):	10 µg/plate
É S'	S & A	methyl methane sulfonate (MMS):	$3.0 \mu\text{g/plate}$
Application vol		2-aminoranthrasene (2-AA):	2.5 µg/plate
Incubation trope:		pre-incubation: 60 minutes; at least 48 hou	irs
	Ç (, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,		
	ĬŎĮĮ	II. Results and discussion	

The plates incubated with the test item showed reduced background growth in all strains used in

experiment II & higher concentrations Toxic effects evident as a reduction in the number of revertants (below the indication factor of 0.5) occurred in all strains at higher concentrations.

No substantial revenant colony numbers of any of the five tester strains was observed following treatment with FOE 5043-Thiadone at any dose level, neither in the presence nor absence of metabolic activation (S9 mix). There was also no tendency of higher mutation rates with increasing concentrations in the range below the generally acknowledged border of biological relevance.

Appropriate reference mutagens were used as positive controls and showed a distinct in-crease of induced revertant colonies.



Metabolic	Test	Dose		Revertant C	Colony Counts	s (Mean ±SD)	
Activation	Group				Strain	Ś	
		(per plate)	TA1535	TA1537	<b>TA98</b>	TA100	TA102
Without	DMSO		$12 \pm 2^{BM}$	$12 \pm 5$	29±8	126 ± 4	S 399 ±∂5
Activation	Untreated		$14\pm3^{\;B\;M}$	$12 \pm 5$	$25 \pm 1$	1.25 ± 22	389 <b>€</b> Ž3
		3 µg	$11 \pm 3$ <sup>B M</sup>	$12 \pm 3$	27 ±®	@17 ± 2,4→	$362 \pm 40$
		10 µg	$11\pm1$ $^{\rm B~M}$	15 ± 5	ໍ 29 ⊉⁄4 .	×119 ±3×	$\sqrt{400} \pm 9$
	FOF	33 µg	$12 \pm 2^{BM}$	14 ± 1	27 ± 7 _ °≈	∲ 116⊈17	\$∕374 ± 23
	FOE 5043	100 µg	$12\pm2^{\;B\;M}$	13 👌 1	$26 \pm 4$	$12\Psi \pm 2$	$365 \pm 39$
	J043- Thiadone	333 µg	$11\pm3$ $^{B\ M}$	_1®# 2 🐇	° 27 ±⁄8	$36 \pm 180^{5}$	30&# 33</td></tr><tr><td></td><td>Thadone</td><td>1000 µg</td><td><math>9\pm2^{\mathrm{B}\mathrm{M}}</math></td><td>¥4 ± 1</td><td><math>29 \pm 6</math></td><td></td><td>97 ± 17</td></tr><tr><td></td><td></td><td>2500 µg</td><td><math display="block">8\pm2^{\mathrm{B}\mathrm{M}}</math></td><td><math>11\pm 3^{\circ}</math></td><td>31±3 (</td><td><math>92 \pm 6</math></td><td>9 ± 3</td></tr><tr><td></td><td></td><td>5000 µg</td><td><math>6 \pm 2^{BM}</math></td><td><math>2^{5}</math> 5 <math>4^{2^{3}}</math></td><td><math>3 \pm 3 \text{UM}^{3}</math></td><td>7@≇13</td><td><math>\tilde{U}</math> <math>2 \pm 1</math></td></tr><tr><td></td><td>NaN3</td><td>10 µg</td><td><math>1822 \pm 44</math></td><td></td><td></td><td><math>1243 \pm 210^{\circ}</math></td><td></td></tr><tr><td></td><td>4-NOPD</td><td>10 µg</td><td>Ċ.</td><td></td><td>286 34</td><td></td><td></td></tr><tr><td></td><td>4-NOPD</td><td>50 µg</td><td></td><td><math>76 \pm 10^{\circ}</math></td><td></td><td>Ô Ô</td><td></td></tr><tr><td></td><td>MMS</td><td>3.0 µL</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td>Ŵ</td><td><math display="block">4674\pm98</math></td></tr><tr><td>With</td><td>DMSO</td><td></td><td><b>4</b>8 ± 4<sup>BM</sup> €</td><td>18年3</td><td>37±15/</td><td>\$\$8 ± 4</td><td><math>522 \pm 22</math></td></tr><tr><td>Activation</td><td>Untreated</td><td></td><td><math>39\pm4</math></td><td><math>16 \pm 1</math></td><td>/ 42<u>€</u>13</td><td><math>140 \pm 4</math></td><td><math>502 \pm 60</math></td></tr><tr><td></td><td></td><td>3 μg (</td><td>ĵ 17 ± 3<sup>₽M</sup></td><td>14 ± 20°</td><td></td><td>) 116 ± 3</td><td><math>492 \pm 43</math></td></tr><tr><td></td><td></td><td>10 µg</td><td>16⁄с 4 вм %</td><td>y 18 ±Q≠</td><td>Q41 ± 8</td><td>° 141 ± 13</td><td><math>510 \pm 23</math></td></tr><tr><td></td><td>FOF</td><td>33 µg</td><td><math>19 \pm 2^{BM}</math></td><td>16 ± 5</td><td><math>0^{9}34 \pm 11^{9}</math></td><td><math>140 \pm 13</math></td><td><math>492 \pm 1</math></td></tr><tr><td></td><td>FOE 5043</td><td>100 µg</td><td><math>38 \pm 3</math></td><td><math>19 \pm 3</math></td><td>≶ 43 €)</td><td><math>138 \pm 8</math></td><td><math>407 \pm 18</math></td></tr><tr><td></td><td>Thiadone</td><td>333 μg 🦉</td><td><math>\bigcirc 16 \pm 3^{BM}</math></td><td>`≫14±5</td><td>-35<sup>5</sup>≟ 4</td><td><math>131 \pm 17</math></td><td><math>353 \pm 50</math></td></tr><tr><td></td><td>Thadone</td><td>_@000 μg√></td><td>′1<b>3~</b>⇒4<sup>₿М</sup> "</td><td><math>16 \pm 1^{\%}</math></td><td><math>232 \pm 5</math></td><td><math>129 \pm 10</math></td><td><math>144 \pm 10</math></td></tr><tr><td></td><td></td><td>\$2500 <b>@</b></td><td>107°±4<sup>BM</sup>O</td><td>1<i>5</i>∕∰ 2</td><td><math>36 \pm 2</math></td><td><math>120 \pm 19</math></td><td><math>15 \pm 5</math></td></tr><tr><td></td><td>Ć</td><td>5000 ag</td><td><math>9 \pm 1 \frac{BM}{M}</math></td><td>6<sup>2</sup>±3</td><td><math>23 \pm 3</math></td><td><math>84 \pm 13</math></td><td>5 ± 2</td></tr><tr><td></td><td>2-AAO</td><td>2.5 μg</td><td>300 € 60</td><td><math>224 \pm 10^{\circ}</math></td><td><math>2\overline{112 \pm 88}</math></td><td><math>2400 \pm 76</math></td><td></td></tr><tr><td></td><td>2-AA</td><td>0.0 μg</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td><math>2944 \pm 55</math></td></tr><tr><td></td><td>Če .</td><td>0 0</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td></tr></tbody></table>

# Table 5.8.1/17-1: Summary of results for pre-experiment and experiment I





Metabolic	Test	Dose		Revertant (	Colony Counts	(Mean ±SD)	
Activation	Group				Strain	- Ø	
	-	(per plate)	TA1535	TA1537	TA98		TA102
Without	DMSO		$17 \pm 4$	$11 \pm 3$	33 ± 8	107 ± 13	337 ±€}6
Activation	Untreated		$14 \pm 2$	$12 \pm 3$	23 ± 10	₩37 ± 27	29 <b>6</b> #6
		3 µg	$16 \pm 7$	$11 \pm 3$	27 🖧		$297 \pm 22$
		10 µg	$15 \pm 5$	$10 \pm 2$	27¥3 ♪	111 +07	≪3)26 ± 17
	FOF	33 µg	$13 \pm 4$	13 ± 🖗	$3 \pm 3$	116±6	≫309 ± 29
	FOE 5042	100 µg	$17 \pm 6$	13 3	$O_{30\pm 0}$	A 19 ± 21 0	294 <del>±</del> 38
	5045- Thiadana	333 µg	$14 \pm 1$	ØV±5 ≼	28 <b>≭∂§</b>	$384 \pm 30^{34}$	23€¥±17
	Thiadone	1000 µg	$12 \pm 4$	$34 \pm 3.0$	$23 \pm 6$	91 <sub>⊅</sub> _⊋`	94±3
		2500 μg	$11 \pm 2$	√ 10 <del>±</del> 4	$2\pm 4$	$86 \pm 5$	©29 ± 1 R
		5000 μg	$3 \pm 0 R$	2 £∳⁄R	°∜⁄±0 M, R	31@ 10 R	$2 \pm 1 \text{ R}$
Without	NaN3	10 µg	1425±\$5			\$91 ± 84	
Activation	4-NOPD	10 µg	ŝ		349 + 28		
	4-NOPD	50 µg		, <sup>™</sup> 89±4Q	J. 0	)» 'Ø*	
	MMS	3.0 µL	Å Ő			.O	$2290 \pm 41$
With	DMSO		$49\pm3$	24 ± 2	@"39 <u></u> ±*₩	$15 \pm 17$	$346 \pm 13$
Activation	Untreated		° 21 ± 🖓	15 ± 5	41 = 16	151 ± 7	$363\pm29$
		3 μg	ĩ 2 <b>0, ‡</b> 2 ·	21 ± 5	±1(	) 111 ± 16	$298 \pm 18$
		10 μg	$20\pm6$	√ 22 <b>£</b> 2	$35\pm6$	$107 \pm 15$	$347 \pm 25$
	EOE	33 jag	$20 \pm 4$	233 ± 2 ,	$5^{37} \pm 4^{37}$	$116 \pm 5$	$341 \pm 52$
	FUE 5042	100 µg	<pre>     17 ± ③     17 </pre>	_ ≪21 ± 2 ≥	<sup>≫</sup> 36 €)0	$106 \pm 13$	$331\pm30$
	5045- Thiadone	ي μg 3	〕 19 14	<sup>™</sup> 22 ±3	~39/±9	$125 \pm 7$	$215\pm29$
	Thadone	©7000 µg У	(19) ± 3 🔍	$16 \pm 6$	$25 \pm 1$	$120 \pm 13$	$108 \pm 13$
		S 2500 @g	√ 4 ± 1 0 <sup>°</sup>	14 ± 2	18 ± 5	$70 \pm 10$	$36 \pm 12 \text{ R}$
	Ô	5000/μg	7±1	$\bigcirc 5 \pm 3$	$16 \pm 3$	$49 \pm 2$	$1 \pm 1 \text{ M R}$
	2-AAO	2 <sub>5</sub> μg	∑ 208 <b>⊕</b> 7	$23 \pm 13^{\circ}$	$924 \pm 35$	$977 \pm 121$	
	2-AX	\$70.0 μg					$1257 \pm 6$
Key to Positiv	e Controls 👒		<u> </u>	Key to Plate	e Postfix Codes		
NaN3	sochum azide		Õ . V	K I	Reduced backgro	ound growth	
2-AA	2 aminoanthra	icene 🏹 🔗			Aanual count		
MMS 4-NOPD	4-nitro-X-pher	ne suveronate 🤍		Ĭ			

# Table 5.8.1/17-2: Summary of results for pre-experiment and experiment II

FOE 5043-thiadone is considered to be non-mutagenic in this Salmonella typhimurium reverse mutation assay.



# FOE-trifluoroethanesulfonic acid Na-salt (M44)

<b>Report:</b> Title:	n; 2012;M-434728-01 Salmonella typhimurium reverse mutation assay with FOE \$943-
	trifluoroethanesulfonic acid Na-salt
Report No:	1486601 × ° &
Document No:	M-434728-01-1
Guidelines:	OECD 471; Commission Regulation (EC) No. 440/2008, Method B.13/14;
	US-EPA 712-C98-247. OPPTS 870.5190;
	Deviations: none
GLP/GEP:	yes of the the second s
	I. Materials and methods of Stars
A. Materials	
1. Test material:	FOE 5043-Prifluoroethanestrlfonic acid Na Salt
Description:	white solid S C O O S
Lot/Batch no:	NLL 365-4-1 NLL
Purity:	
Stability of test	compound: guaranteed for study duration; expiry date?
2. Vehicle and/or po	ositive control: Odeionised water
	sodium azide (NaNs) 4-nitro o-phenylene-diamine (4-NOPD),
	methyl methane sulfonate (MMS), 2-aminoanthracene (2-AA)
3. Test system:	Salmonella typhanurium TA1535, TA1537, TA98, TA100,
<i>i</i> <b>1 1</b> <i>i i</i>	
metabolic activa	ttion: Sy mix y y
B. Study design and	methods or $\nabla$ O' $\mathcal{O}$ $\mathcal{V}$
1. Treatment	
Dose:	<sup>0</sup> 0-3-40-33-100-333-1900-2500-5000 μg/plate
Č	or positive controls: S
\$~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~	$\sim$ $\sim$ NaN <sub>3</sub> : $\sim$ IU µg/plate
	$\sim$
	2 - AA $2 - 10  µg/plate$
Application yol	umer al mL/Date
Incubation time:	$2^{\circ}$ 48 hours. 37°C
~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~	
	U. Results and discussion

The plates incubated with the test item showed normal background growth up to 5000  $\mu$ g/plate with and without metabolic activation in both independent experiments.

No toxic effects, evident as a reduction in the number of revertants occurred in the test groups with and without metabolic activation

No substantial increase in revertant colony numbers of any of the five tester strains was observed following treatment with FOE 5043-trifluoroethanesulfonic acid Na-salt at any dose level, neither in the presence nor absence of metabolic activation. There was also no tendency of higher mutation rates with increasing concentrations in the range below the generally acknowledged border of biological relevance.



1

#### Document MCA: Section 5 Toxicological and metabolism studies Flufenacet

Appropriate reference mutagens were used as positive controls and showed a distinct increase of induced revertant colonies.

In conclusion, it can be stated that during the described mutagenicity test and under the experimental conditions reported, the test item did not induce gene mutations by base pair changes or frameshifts in o de la companya de l Ŵ, the genome of the strains used. 

$ \begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$	Table 5.8.1/18-1:	Reverta	ant counts (mea	an ±SD)				
$\begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c } \hline mix & TA 1535 & TA 1537 & TA 98 & TA 100 & TA 102 \\ \hline Experiment I & - & 12 \pm 0 & 15 \pm 0 & 28 \pm 8 & 91 \pm 4 & 571 \pm 13 \\ \hline Vehicle control & - & 10 \pm 2 & 13 \pm 3 & 30 \pm 7 & 96 \pm 9 & 349 \pm 25 \\ \hline Vehicle control & - & 10 \pm 2 & 13 \pm 3 & 30 \pm 7 & 96 \pm 9 & 349 \pm 25 \\ \hline FOE 5043 \cdot trifluoreutanesulfonic acid Na-salt & - & - & - & - & - & - & - & - & - & $	Dose	<b>S9</b>			🖉 Stran	14 . 0	L.	
Experiment I           Vehicle control         -         12 ± 0         15 ± 5 $28 \pm 8$ $491 \pm 4$ $571 \pm 13$ Untreated         -         10 ± 2         13 ± 3 $30 \pm 7$ $96 \pm 5$ $349 \pm 25$ FOE 5043-trifluorethanesulfonic acid Na-salt         -         14 ± 2 $15 \pm 4$ $26 \pm 8$ $92 \pm 1$ $365 \pm 14$ 10         -         11 ± 5         14 ± 5 $29 \pm 6$ $97 \pm 3$ $379 \pm 29$ 33         -         12 ± 5 $19 \pm 1$ $29 \pm 2$ $94 \pm 12$ $364 \pm 3$ 100         -         13 \pm 1 $15 \pm 3$ $30 \pm 1$ $100 \pm 16$ $348 \pm 42$ 333         - $14 \pm 7$ $16 \pm 3$ $29 \pm 6$ $97 \pm 3$ $379 \pm 29$ 1000         - $42 \pm 3$ $14 \pm 5$ $30 \pm 4$ $95 \pm 4$ $363 \pm 11$ 2500         - $12 \pm 3$ $14 \pm 5$ $30 \pm 4$ $95 \pm 4$ $363 \pm 11$ 4-NOPD 50         - $12 \pm 3$ $29 \pm 3$ $29 \pm 1$ $45 \pm 11$ $136 \pm 9$ $482 \pm 37$	(µg/plate)	mix	TA 1535	TA 1537	<b>TA 98</b>	TA 100	5 TA:102	
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	Experiment I		•			n d	<u> </u>	
$ \begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$	Vehicle control	—	$12 \pm 0$	15 ±	≥>> 28 ± 8	~91±4	\$71 ± 13	
FOE 5043-trifluoroethanesulfonic acid Na-salt3- $14 \pm 2$ $15 \pm 4$ $26 \pm 8$ $92 \pm 1$ $365 \pm 14$ 10- $11 \pm 5$ $14 \pm 5$ $29 \pm 6$ $110 \pm 60$ $353 \pm 29$ 33- $12 \pm 5$ $19 \pm 1$ $29 \pm 2$ $94 \pm 12$ $364 \pm 3$ 100- $13 \pm 1$ $15 \pm 3$ $30 \pm 1$ $160 \pm 16$ $348 \pm 42$ 333- $14 \pm 7$ $16 \pm 1$ $29 \pm 6$ $97 \pm 3$ $379 \pm 29$ 1000- $42 \pm 3$ $14 \pm 5$ $30 \pm 4$ $95 \pm 4$ $363 \pm 11$ 2500- $12 \pm 3$ $14 \pm 5$ $30 \pm 4$ $95 \pm 4$ $363 \pm 11$ 2500- $12 \pm 3$ $20 \pm 2$ $30 \pm 4$ $95 \pm 4$ $363 \pm 11$ 2500- $12 \pm 6$ $20 \pm 2$ $30 \pm 4$ $102 \pm 2$ $358 \pm 14$ NaNi10- $193 \pm 55$ - $2171 \pm 50$ -4-NOPD10- $29 \pm 3$ $29 \pm 1$ $45 \pm 11$ $136 \pm 9$ $482 \pm 37$ Untreated $22 \pm 6$ $28 \pm 5$ $53 \pm 11$ $128 \pm 6$ $491 \pm 18$ FOE 5043-trifluoroethanesulfonic acid Na-salt- $22 \pm 4$ $30 \pm 4$ $47 \pm 6$ $130 \pm 9$ $474 \pm 51$ $30 \pm 3$ $48 \pm 9$ $22 \pm 4$ $30 \pm 3$ $30 \pm 3$ $111 \pm 128 \pm 6$ $491 \pm 18$ FOE 5043-trifluoroethanesulfonic acid Na-salt- $25 \pm 2$ $49 \pm 1$ $118 \pm 2$ $504 \pm 38$ $100$ + $27 \pm 8$ $30 \pm 3$ $30 \pm 3$ <t< td=""><td>Untreated</td><td>—</td><td><math>10 \pm 2</math></td><td>13±3 ~</td><td>30,∉,7</td><td>√ 96 ±</td><td>© 349 ± 25</td></t<>	Untreated	—	$10 \pm 2$	13±3 ~	30,∉,7	√ 96 ±	© 349 ± 25	
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	FOE 5043-trifluoro	ethanesulf	fonic acid Na-sal	t AC A			$\mathbb{R}^{2}$	
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	3	—	$14 \pm 2$	\$€15±4	$26\pm8$	$92 \pm 1$	365 ± 14	
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	10	_	11±5	§″ 1465	© <sup>°</sup> 29 <b>≭©</b> °	≪110±@	$353\pm29$	
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	33	_	12 ± 5	$19 \pm 1$	229, ⊈2, ~(	94 12	$364 \pm 3$	
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	100	_	13±	$\tilde{O}15\pm 3$	\$0 ± 1	$100 \pm 16$	$348\pm42$	
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	333	_	14 ± 7	∠ <sup>7</sup> 16 <del>%</del> J	© 29±0°	\$\$¥97 ± 3	$379\pm29$	
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	1000	_	°42°±3	14±5 @	30,4	95 ± 4	$363 \pm 11$	
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	2500	_	12 ± 30	$09 \pm 5$	$28\pm5$	96 ± 11	$351 \pm 14$	
NaN3       10       -       1916 $\pm$ 55       2       2171 $\pm$ 50         4-NOPD       10       336 $\pm$ 14       336 $\pm$ 15       4404 $\pm$ 61         MMS       3.0       -       68 $\pm$ 14       4404 $\pm$ 61         Vehicle control $\pm$ 29 $\pm$ 3       29 $\pm$ 1       45 $\pm$ 11       136 $\pm$ 9       482 $\pm$ 37         Untreated $\pm$ 23 $\pm$ 6       28 $\pm$ 5       53 $\pm$ 11       128 $\pm$ 6       491 $\pm$ 18         FOE 5043-trifluoroethenesulfonic acid Na-salt $29 \pm$ 3       30 $\pm$ 4       47 $\pm$ 6       130 $\pm$ 9       474 $\pm$ 51         30 $\pm$ 29 $\pm$ 2       29 $\pm$ 3       53 $\pm$ 12       120 $\pm$ 17       427 $\pm$ 33         100 $\pm$ 27 $\pm$ 4       31 $\pm$ 6       48 $\pm$ 7       117 $\pm$ 14       472 $\pm$ 23         100 $\pm$ 27 $\pm$ 7       25 $\pm$ 6       53 $\pm$ 10       119 $\pm$ 17       520 $\pm$ 64         200 $\pm$ 27 $\pm$ 7       25 $\pm$ 6       53 $\pm$ 10       119 $\pm$ 17       520 $\pm$ 64         100 $\pm$ 25 $\pm$ 9       25 $\pm$ 3       51 $\pm$ 8       128 $\pm$ 25       461 $\pm$ 57         300 $\pm$ 7       30 $\pm$ 7       30 $\pm$ 7       58 $\pm$ 2       100 $\pm$ 11	5000	—	\$° 12 <b>#</b> 4	$20\pm2$	$30 \pm 7$	$102 \pm 2$	$358\pm14$	
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	NaN <sub>3</sub> 10	- 2	1918 ± 55		U N	$2171 \pm 50$		
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	4-NOPD 10	Ũ	× í.	×, 0 <sup>×</sup>	330 ± 15			
MMS $3.0$ $ 29 \pm 3$ $29 \pm 1$ $45 \pm 11$ $136 \pm 9$ $4404 \pm 61$ Vehicle control $\pm$ $23 \pm 6$ $29 \pm 1$ $45 \pm 11$ $136 \pm 9$ $482 \pm 37$ Untreated $23 \pm 6$ $28 \pm 5$ $53 \pm 11$ $128 \pm 6$ $491 \pm 18$ FOE 5043-trifluoroethanesulfonic acid Na-salt $29 \pm 3$ $53 \pm 12$ $120 \pm 17$ $427 \pm 33$ $474 \pm 51$ $29 \pm 2$ $29 \pm 3$ $53 \pm 12$ $120 \pm 17$ $427 \pm 33$ $474 \pm 51$ $29 \pm 2$ $29 \pm 3$ $53 \pm 12$ $120 \pm 17$ $427 \pm 33$ $49 \pm 3$ $30 \pm 3$ $30 \pm 3$ $30 \pm 3$ $30 \pm 3$ $48 \pm 7$ $117 \pm 14$ $472 \pm 23$ $333$ $+0$ $30 \pm 3$ $30 \pm 3$ $30 \pm 3$ $48 \pm 9$ $120 \pm 12$ $521 \pm 41$ $1000$ $+$ $25 \pm 9$ $25 \pm 3$ $51 \pm 8$ $128 \pm 25$ $461 \pm 57$ $5000$ $+$ $30 \pm 7$ $30 \pm 7$ $58 \pm 2$ $100 \pm 11$ $483 \pm 24$ $2-AA$ $2.5$ $\pm$ $30 \pm 16$ $202 \pm 13$ $1771 \pm 107$ $1444 \pm 90$ $2-AA$ $10$ $\pm$ $1511 \pm 160$ $1511 \pm 160$	4-NOPD 50	Å,		$\sqrt{68 \pm 14Q}$	<u> </u>			
Vehicle control $\pm$ $29 \pm 3$ $29 \pm 1$ $45 \pm 11$ $136 \pm 9$ $482 \pm 37$ Untreated $23 \pm 6$ $28 \pm 5$ $53 \pm 11$ $128 \pm 6$ $491 \pm 18$ FOE 5043-trifluoroethanesulfonic acid Na-salt $29 \pm 3$ $53 \pm 12$ $120 \pm 17$ $427 \pm 33$ $30 \pm 4$ $29 \pm 2$ $29 \pm 3$ $53 \pm 12$ $120 \pm 17$ $427 \pm 33$ $40$ $28 \pm 4$ $25 \pm 2$ $49 \pm 1$ $118 \pm 2$ $504 \pm 38$ $100$ $+$ $27 \pm 4$ $31 \pm 6$ $48 \pm 7$ $117 \pm 14$ $472 \pm 23$ $333$ $+$ $30 \pm 3$ $30 \pm 3$ $48 \pm 9$ $120 \pm 12$ $521 \pm 41$ $100$ $+$ $25 \pm 7$ $25 \pm 6$ $53 \pm 10$ $119 \pm 17$ $520 \pm 64$ $400$ $+$ $25 \pm 7$ $25 \pm 3$ $51 \pm 8$ $128 \pm 25$ $461 \pm 57$ $5000$ $+$ $30 \pm 7$ $30 \pm 7$ $58 \pm 2$ $100 \pm 11$ $483 \pm 24$ $2-AA$ $2.5$ $\pm$ $30 \pm 16$ $202 \pm 13$ $1771 \pm 107$ $1444 \pm 90$ $2-AA$ $10$ $\pm$ $1511 \pm 160$ $1511 \pm 160$	MMS 3.0	- C			S.		$4404 \pm 61$	
Untreated $23 \pm 6$ $28 \pm 5$ $53 \pm 11$ $128 \pm 6$ $491 \pm 18$ FOE 5043-trifluoroethanesulfonic acid Na-salt $33$ $+$ $29 \pm 8$ $30 \pm 4$ $47 \pm 6$ $130 \pm 9$ $474 \pm 51$ $29 \pm 2$ $29 \pm 3$ $53 \pm 12$ $120 \pm 17$ $427 \pm 33$ $33$ $+$ $28 \pm 6$ $25 \pm 2$ $49 \pm 1$ $118 \pm 2$ $504 \pm 38$ $100$ $+$ $27 \oplus 4$ $31 \pm 6$ $48 \pm 7$ $117 \pm 14$ $472 \pm 23$ $333$ $+$ $30 \pm 3$ $30 \pm 3$ $48 \pm 9$ $120 \pm 12$ $521 \pm 41$ $1040$ $+$ $25 \pm 9$ $25 \pm 6$ $53 \pm 10$ $119 \pm 17$ $520 \pm 64$ $2500$ $+$ $25 \pm 9$ $25 \pm 3$ $51 \pm 8$ $128 \pm 25$ $461 \pm 57$ $5000$ $+$ $30 \pm 7$ $30 \pm 7$ $58 \pm 2$ $100 \pm 11$ $483 \pm 24$ $2-AA$ $2.5$ $\pm$ $3025 \pm 16$ $202 \pm 13$ $1771 \pm 107$ $1444 \pm 90$ $2-AA$ $10$ $+$ $1511 \pm 160$	Vehicle control		29 ± 3 °	29 ≇ 1 _ ⊘	$45 \pm 11$	$136 \pm 9$	$482\pm37$	
FOE 5043-trifluoroethanesulfonic acid Na-salt $30 \pm 4$ $47 \pm 6$ $130 \pm 9$ $474 \pm 51$ $40$ $29 \pm 2$ $29 \pm 3$ $53 \pm 12$ $120 \pm 17$ $427 \pm 33$ $40$ $28 \pm 4$ $25 \pm 2$ $49 \pm 1$ $118 \pm 2$ $504 \pm 38$ $100$ $+$ $27 \pm 4$ $31 \pm 6$ $48 \pm 7$ $117 \pm 14$ $472 \pm 23$ $333$ $+$ $30 \pm 3$ $30 \pm 3$ $48 \pm 9$ $120 \pm 12$ $521 \pm 41$ $1000$ $+$ $25 \pm 9$ $25 \pm 6$ $53 \pm 10$ $119 \pm 17$ $520 \pm 64$ $2000$ $+$ $25 \pm 9$ $25 \pm 3$ $51 \pm 8$ $128 \pm 25$ $461 \pm 57$ $5000$ $+$ $30 \pm 7$ $30 \pm 7$ $58 \pm 2$ $100 \pm 11$ $483 \pm 24$ $2-AA$ $2.5$ $\pm$ $30 \pm 16$ $202 \pm 13$ $1771 \pm 107$ $1444 \pm 90$ $2-AA$ $10$ $ 1511 \pm 160$ $ 1511 \pm 160$	Untreated		≥ 23 ± 6	28±5	$53 \pm 11$	$128 \pm 6$	491 ± 18	
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	FOE 5043-trifluoro	ethanesulf	fonic acid Na-sal	ţ~				
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	3	× +	~29±8 _(	3@≇4	$47 \pm 6$	$130 \pm 9$	$474 \pm 51$	
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	, Q9 ~	, O <sup>v</sup>	$\sqrt{2}9 \pm 2$	$29 \pm 3$	$53 \pm 12$	$120 \pm 17$	$427\pm33$	
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	33			$0^{25} \pm 2$	$49 \pm 1$	$118 \pm 2$	$504 \pm 38$	
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	100	$\mathbb{O}' + \mathbb{O}$	₽ 27,₽4 🧹	$31 \pm 6$	$48 \pm 7$	$117 \pm 14$	$472 \pm 23$	
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	333	+0	$30 \pm 3$	$30 \pm 3$	$48 \pm 9$	$120 \pm 12$	$521 \pm 41$	
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	T 1000	R	~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~	$25 \pm 6$	$53 \pm 10$	$119 \pm 17$	$520 \pm 64$	
$5000$ $+$ $30 \pm 7$ $30 \pm 7$ $58 \pm 2$ $100 \pm 11$ $483 \pm 24$ 2-AA $2.5$ $+$ $3025 \pm 16$ $202 \pm 13$ $1771 \pm 107$ $1444 \pm 90$ 2-AA $10$ $ 1511 \pm 160$	2300	4 /	Ç <sup>™</sup> 25 ¥9 <sup>™</sup>	$25 \pm 3$	$51 \pm 8$	$128 \pm 25$	$461 \pm 57$	
2-AA       2.5 $\frac{1}{2}$ $325 \pm 16$ $202 \pm 13$ $1771 \pm 107$ $1444 \pm 90$ 2-AA       10 $\frac{1}{2}$ 10       1511 \pm 160	5000	<del>;</del> + 0	$30 \pm 7$	$30 \pm 7$	$58 \pm 2$	$100 \pm 11$	$483\pm24$	
2-AA 10 1511 ± 160	2-AA 2.5 💱	4	$325 \pm 16$	$202 \pm 13$	$1771 \pm 107$	$1444 \pm 90$		
	2-AA 10	St.					$1511 \pm 160$	



Dose	<b>S9</b>			Strain		
(µg/plate)	mix	TA 1535	TA 1537	TA 98	TA 100	TA 102
Experiment II	I				Ô	
vehicle control	-	$14 \pm 6$	$24 \pm 0$	$32 \pm 3$	1774 17	$425 \pm 14$
untreated	_	15 ± 5	21 ± 2	34 ± 5	194 ± 20	399 ± 12
FOE 5043-trifluoro	bethanesulf	onic acid Na-sal	t		67 2	4 <i>J</i>
33	—	$13 \pm 2$	$28 \pm 6$	32°± 5	197 = 12	( 423⇒11
100	_	$11 \pm 3$	$29 \pm 2$	27 ± 5	$211 \pm 21$	$446 \pm 13$
333	-	$16 \pm 1$	$22 \pm 5$	26 ± 2	203 ± 8	<b>4</b> 67 ± 18
1000	-	$17 \pm 4$	27 ± 7	2776	18932	<sup>∞</sup> 404 <b>∉</b> _10
2500	—	$15 \pm 1$	$28 \pm 1$		ح 20⊈ 20	J 387 ± 26
5000	-	$16 \pm 1$	31 ± 21	°∼ 24 ± 6	$\sqrt{902} \pm 10$	$20 \pm 17$
NaN <sub>3</sub> 10	-	$2088\pm72$			∠ 2006 ± 73	<u> </u>
4-NOPD 10	—			349 ± 27 &		5 7
4-NOPD 50	—		$3 \pm 10^{3}$	R. s.	je di	
MMS 3.0	—	.4	9 Ô <sup>9</sup>	Ű Ş	K O	$1690 \pm 459$
vehicle control	+	25 ± 5 Q	$29 \pm 1$	<b>40</b> ± 7 _ ~(	2400-11	$646 \pm 21$
untreated	+	18 ± 4	$\bigcirc \bigcirc 30 \pm 3 \bigcirc$	44 ± 3	$256 \pm 39$	$632 \pm 6$
FOE 5043-trifluoro	ethanesulf	onic acid Na-sal	K) <sup>s</sup> y	Č Ĵ		
33	+	°20 ± 7	3,4 ± 1 🖉	410-5	$250 \pm 12$	$659 \pm 36$
100	+	24 ± 7°°	$O2 \pm 4$	$36 \pm 3$	$247 \pm 22$	$685 \pm 18$
333	+		$32 \pm 2$	$42 \pm 5$	$245 \pm 7$	$682 \pm 54$
1000	+		34 34		$244 \pm 9$	$642 \pm 20$
2500	+~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~		$29\pm7$	) 44 ≠ 6	$229 \pm 10$	$628 \pm 6$
5000		27±87	$29 \pm 10$	65 ± 7	$251 \pm 17$	$704 \pm 26$
2-AA 2,5	$\bigcirc +$	311 <u>≠</u> 27	$232 \pm 3$	$574 \pm 146$	$2217 \pm 96$	2010 - 251
2-AA 10.5 (			ř Q	2		$3019 \pm 251$
NaN <sub>3</sub> sodium azud	e Sic	× ×		2-aminoanthra	cene	
MMS metnya metn	ane sulton	ate Or		4-nitro-o-phen	ylene-diamine	
, K	ý "		III. Onclusio	n		
	Ŵ		S.			
FOE 5043 apriluc	proethanes	sulfonic acid I	Na⊖alt is non-	mutagenic in	this Salmonell	a typhimurium
reverse mutation	assay. (					
	<i>S</i>	L ~	, ,			
\$*	S,					
\$Q`	AX	y â'				
	y" O	10 m				
¥,	A	w later				
	E.					
	-					



<b>Report:</b> Title:	g; FOE 5043-triflu	;2 oroethanesulfor	2013;M-446033 nic acid Na-salt	-01 - Gene muta	ation assay in	1
	Chinese hamster	r V79 cells in vi	tro (V79/HPRT	)	Ĉn	
Report No:	1486603			, C		
Cuidelines:	M-440033-01-1	mmission Dogi	lation (FC) No	. 110/2008		6/A 5
Guidennes.	712-C-98-221	OPPTS 870 53(	1121011 (EC) 140 10:	). ++0/2000,	, <b>D</b>	
	Deviations: nor	10 070.000	,		õ A	×,
GLP/GEP:	yes		ð°			×,
		I. Materials an	d methods			¥ 0
A. Materials				The second se	2	Å C
1. Test material:		FOE 5043-trift	wroethmesulfo	@ic acid&iá	-salt Õ	Ű
Description:		white solid $\hat{Q}$			ð Ö	ž
Lot/Batch no:		NLL 8865-4-1		. 6		
Purity:		94.7%	Q V	K z		
Stability of test	compound:	guaranteed for	study durations,	expiry@ate:	2013-03-06	
2. Vehicle and/or po	sitive control:	deignised water	r / ethylmethane	e sulfonate (	EMS),	
		₹¥2-dimethylb	enz(a)anthracer	ne (DMBA)	Y'	
3. Test system:	Č	Chinese hamsto	n V79 Quils 😤	y . O		
metabolic activa	ition: 🔬 🖗	S9 mix 🌂	, A	$\sim$		
B. Study design and	methods			Ó		
1. Treatment			Y . Q .	S.		
Dose:	exposure S9	mix concon	trations in µgAr	аL		
	period (					
~	0, , ,	🖉 🖉 Experi	ment I			
C	) <sup>×</sup> 4 boars A	1254	\$250	500	1000	2000
, Č	°4 hours +	A25	250	500	1000	2000
Ê\$	5 4 ×	O Dexperij	ment II			
* * ~	$^{2}$ 24 hours $^{2}$	م 125 کې	250	500	1000	2000
~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~	A hours +	a 025	250	500	1000	2000
Positive control:	Û V	Sethylmethane s	sulfonate (EMS):	(	0.15 mg/mL	
S L		7,12 @imethyll	enz(a)anthracene	e (DMBA):	1.1 μg/mL	
Incubation time:		& days after e	xposure, 37°C			
Š <sup>1</sup> a,		$\sim$				
	Š <sup>4</sup> Š <sup>1</sup> v	A. Results and	discussion			
W A	S S					

No relevant toxic effects indicated by a relative cloning efficiency I or a relative cell density below 50% was noted up to the maximum concentration of 2000  $\mu$ g/mL with and without metabolic activation following 4 and 24 hours treatment.

No relevant and reproducible increase in mutant colony numbers/ $10^6$  cells was observed in the main experiments up to the maximum concentration.

The historical solvent control range and the threshold of three times the mutation frequency of the corresponding solvent control was reached or exceeded in the second culture of the first experiment without metabolic activation at 500  $\mu$ g/mL. The isolated increase was judged as biologically



# Document MCA: Section 5 Toxicological and metabolism studies Flufenacet

irrelevant, as it was neither reproduced in the parallel culture under identical experimental conditions nor dose dependent as indicated by the lacking statistical significance. The historical solvent control range (2.8 - 43.5 mutant colonies/10<sup>6</sup> cells) but not the threshold was also exceeded in culture II of the first experiment without metabolic activation at 250 and 1000 µg/mL (48.9 and 47.5 mutant colonies/10<sup>6</sup> cells). In culture II of the first experiment with metabolic activation the range of the historical solvent control data (3.4 - 36.6 mutant colonies/10<sup>6</sup> cells) was slightly exceeded at 1000 µg/mL (41.5 mutant colonies/10<sup>6</sup> cells). However, all of the increased mutation frequency values listed above were judged as biologically irrelevant, as they were norther reproduced in the parallel cultures performed under identical experimental conditions nor dose dependent.

No statistically significant dose dependent trend of the grutation frequency indicated by a probability value of <0.05 was determined in any of the experimental parts  $\mu$  and  $\mu$  by  $\mu$  by a

increase in induced mutant colonies.

				4 %	<u> </u>		Ő	y v	· 🥪	ò~		
			relative	refative	relative	mutant		relative	<sup>♥</sup> relative	v relative	mutant	
	conc.	S9	cloning	6 Sell	cloning	colonies/	induction	cloning	cel	cloning	colonies/	induction
	µg/mL	mix	efficiency	density	efficiency II	, HOP cells	factor	efficiency l	depisity	efficiency II	10 <sup>6</sup> cells	factor
			%	<u>%</u>	<u> %                                   </u>	<u> </u>	$\sim$	N.	~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~	%		
Column	1	2	30	4, 🔍	5 🌱	6	<u>7</u>	ر 8 ⊈r	/9	10	11	12
Experiment I / 4 h treatment				c	ulture	- Ro	^	¥	1	culture II		
Solvent control with water		-	<sup>4</sup> 00.0	00.0 <b>آ</b>	\$00.0	25.0	1.6	10,60	100.0	100.0	27.1	1.0
Positive control (EMS)	150.0		101.3	_O 98.7	110.4	<ul> <li>√162.8</li> </ul>	6.5	(99.1	97.8	90.2	148.6	5.5
Testitem	62.5	0	م 101 o	) cul	ture was no	ť oontinue	۳¢#	93.4	cul	ture was no	t continue	ed#
Testitem	125.0	$\hat{a}$	101.¥	87.2	122-6	23.5	0.9	95.3	90.8	94.3	19.6	0.7
Testitem	250 Q	»-	100,4	89.7	10670	30-8,	, 1⁄2	92.6	107.5	81.5	48.9	1.8
Testitem	500,0	-	_ <sup>€</sup> 96.2	94.0	1+3.5	8.7	Q.7	93.4	109.0	86.7	81.1	3.0
Testitem	1000.0	-	‴У 100.0	J 93.7	102.7	32.8	01.3	93.0	109.4	84.5	47.5	1.8
Test item	2000.0	-	100.7	95.6	113.8	34.0	1.4	91.3	95.7	87.5	24.6	0.9
Solvent control with water	ř	÷.	10000	100	ີ 100. <b>0</b>	14.0	1.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	36.4	1.0
Positive control (DMBA)	1,1	St.	50.0	96.	68.1	5394	38.5	50.7	122.0	81.4	850.2	23.3
Test item 🖒	62.5	1+	0089.2	- Seul	ture was no	t continue	ed#	91.9	cul	ture was no	t continue	ed#
Test item	125.0	+	75.9	79.4	81.3	<b>(24</b> .3	1.7	97.6	111.3	103.1	22.8	0.6
Test item	250.0	+(	80.2	80.6	77.4	هر€11.9	0.8	99.2	92.9	100.1	16.0	0.4
Test item	\$500.0	t,	77?	69.0	67.1 <sub>5</sub>	11.2 🏹 🖉	0.8	97.9	79.9	99.6	22.8	0.6
Test item	1000.0	$\mathbb{P}$	78.8	94.2	67.3	30.0	2.1	100.3	75.1	84.8	41.5	1.1
Test item	2000/0	<u>,</u> +	<i>(</i> <b>\$</b> 9.4	\$7 <sub>8</sub> 5	\$3,8	21.5	1.5	94.4	80.3	83.6	15.2	0.4
Experiment II / 24 h treatment				۰ م ا	ulture					culture II		
Solvent control with water	a	-	x 🕺 100.0	<i>@_</i> 100.0	100.0	10.6	1.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	15.7	1.0
Positive control (EMS)	http://	-	97.4	124.5 🔊	86.8	403.5	38.0	98.9	101.0	88.1	320.6	20.4
Test item	62.5	Ge /	98	🖇 cu	t))ré was no	t continue	ed#	102.1	cul	ture was no	t continue	ed#
Test item	125.0	$\cap^{\mathbb{Y}}$	100,5	12 <b>4</b> .≸	81.7	14.3	1.3	102.0	131.9	101.0	18.2	1.2
Test item	250.0	-	96.9	¥\$27.5	87.5	17.5	1.6	100.1	133.0	97.7	14.4	0.9
Test item	500,0	-	94.7	@ <del>9</del> 5.5	91.1	22.1	2.1	99.8	114.8	102.4	19.0	1.2
Test iten	0000.0	-	96.9	98.6	85.8	13.0	1.2	96.8	119.8	88.6	19.5	1.2
Test item	2000.0	-0	98.1	🔘 ໌ 110.8	90.1	21.0	2.0	99.1	127.4	101.8	16.8	1.1
Experiment II / 4 / treatment	× 4	Ň	, S	1								
Solvent control with water	1	Uř I	1/00.0	100.0	100.0	8.7	1.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	10.6	1.0
Positive control (DMBA)	O	+	92.7	72.2	69.1	676.0	78.1	69.9	83.1	92.7	594.3	56.3
Test item	62.5	+	07 97.0	cul	ture was no	t continue	ed#	81.5	cul	ture was no	t continue	ed#
Test item	25.0	+	99.4	91.2	79.5	10.1	1.2	86.4	101.2	97.3	18.3	1.7
Test item	250.0	+	94.7	90.8	75.2	19.5	2.3	88.5	96.3	86.3	9.2	0.9
Test item	500.0	+	93.6	98.0	74.9	15.6	1.8	87.7	98.3	98.8	21.5	2.0
Test item	1000.0	+	99.1	104.3	81.8	12.4	1.4	86.9	95.3	93.1	12.6	1.2
Testitem	2000.0	+	94.6	96.7	96.2	14.0	1.6	84.1	109.1	91.1	23.0	2.2

# Table 5.8.1/19-1: Summary of results for experiment I and II

# culture was not continued since a minimum of only four analyzable concentrations is required

# **III.** Conclusion



Under the experimental conditions the test item did not induce gene mutations at the HPRT locus in V79 cells. Therefore, FOE 5043-trifluoroethanesulfonic acid Na-salt is considered to be non-mutagenic in this HPRT assay.

Report:	";;;;;;;;;;;;;;;;;;;;;;;;;;;;;;;;;;;;
Title:	In vitro chromosome aberration test in Chinese hamster V79 cells with FOE
	5043-trifluoroethanesulfonic acid Na-salt
Report No:	
Document No:	M-447404-01-1
Guidelines:	OECD 473; Commission Regulation No. 440/2008; B10; US-EP 4712-C-°
	98-223;
CLD/CED.	Deviations: none
GLP/GEP:	yes Q A A A
	I. Materials and wethods
A. Materials	
1. Test material:	FOE 5043-milluoroethanesultonic acud Na-selt
Description:	white solid $\sim$ $\sim$ $\sim$
Lot/Batch no:	PLL 8605-4-1 Q Q Q
Purity:	94.7% ~ ~ ~ ~
Stability of test co	ompound: www.guaranteed for study duration: expired date: 2013-03-06
2 Vahiala and/or no	sitive control. Asionice water
2. venicie anu/or po	ethylmethane sulfonate (CPA)
3 Test system:	Chipese hameter V79 cells
matabalia activati	and So baix
B. Study design and	methods a g g
1. Treatment	
Dose:	<sup>2</sup> <sup>2</sup> 0-405-930 4860 μg/mL (- S9 mix)
je G <sup>al</sup>	<sup>5</sup> (μg/mL (+ S9 mix)
«» ~	) O Oposiți vo controls
L.Y	$\mathbb{E}$ EMS: 600(1000 µg/mL
T ( )	CRA: 2.004g/mL
I reatment type:	a nours 18 nours (only without 89 mix)
Chromotome pret	parations after start of treatment
Incubation:	
	تَعْرَيْ اللَّهُ اللَّهُ عَلَيْ اللَّهُ عَلَيْ اللَّهُ عَلَيْ اللَّهُ عَلَيْ اللَّهُ عَلَيْ اللَّهُ عَلَيْ الْ
Four independence	$\sim$ $\sim$

Four independent experiments were performed. In Experiment IA the exposure period was 4 hours without S9 mix. In Experiment IB and IIB the exposure period was 4 hours with S9 mix. In Experiment IIA the exposure period was 4 hours with S9 mix and 18 hours without S9 mix. The chromosomes were prepared 18 hours after start of treatment with the test item.

In each experimental group two parallel cultures were set up. At least 100 metaphases per culture were evaluated for structural chromosome aberrations, except for the positive controls in Experiment IIA and IIB in the presence of S9 mix, where only 50 metaphases were evaluated.



The highest treatment concentration in this study,  $1860.0 \ \mu g/mL$  (approx. 10 mM) was chosen with regard to molecular weight of the test item and with respect to the OECD Guideline for in vitro mammalian cytogenetic tests.

No precipitation of the test item in the culture medium was observed. No relevant influence on osmolarity or pH value was observed.

In Experiment IA, IB and IIA in the absence and presence of S9 mix no control was observed up to the highest required concentration. In Experiment IIB in the presence of S9 mix cytotoxicity indicated as reduced cell numbers was observed at the highest evaluated concentration (54,2% of control).

In Experiment IA and IB in the absence and presence of 59 mix and in Experiment IIA in the absence of S9 mix, no clastogenicity was observed at the concentrations evaluated. The aberration rates of the cells after treatment with the test item (1.0 - 2.5%) aberrant cells, excluding gaps) and were within the range of the solvent control values (2.0 - 2.5%) aberrant cells, excluding gaps) and were within the range of the laboratory historical solvent control data. In Experiment the in the presence of S9 mix, one single statistically significant increases in chromosonial aberrations (5.3%) aberrant cells, excluding gaps), slightly exceeding the historical solvent control data range (0.0 - 4.0%) aberrant cells, excluding gaps) was observed at the highest required concentration. In the continuatory Experiment IIB three statistically significant increases (3%) 3.0, and 3.5\% aberrant cells excluding gaps) were observed after treatment with 930.0, 4395.0 and 1743.8 µg/mL, respectively. These values were in the range of the historical solvent control data and are therefore regarded as biologically irrelevant. The statistically significant increases in chromosonial aberrations of Experiment IIA could not be confirmed.

No biologically relevant increase if the rate of polyploid metaphases was found after treatment with the test item (1.1 - 4.4 %) as compared to the rates of the solvent controls (1.7 - 4.1 %).

No biologically relevant increase in the rate of endomionation metaphases was found after treatment with the test item (0.0 - 52%) as compared to the rates of the solvent controls (0.0 - 1.3%).

Either EMS (600.0 or 1000.0  $\mu$ g/mL) or CPA (2  $\rho$   $\mu$ g/mL) were used as positive controls and showed distinct increases in cells with structural chromosome alterrations.

Test item 🔬 🖏	Polyploid	Endomitotic	Cell	Mitotic	Ab	errant cel	ls (%)
concentration	cells	📞 cells	<b>Qumbers</b>	indices	incl.	excl.	with
4		° ° °	S <sup>×</sup>		gaps*	gaps*	exchanges
(µg/m)	(%) (%)	(%)	(% of	(% of control)			
			control)				
Кхре	Experiment IA: Exposure period 4 hrs without S9 mix; preparation interval 18 hrs						
Solvent control <sup>1</sup>	2.8 0	0.0	100.0	100.0	2.5	2.5	0.0
Positive control	r s	*0*					
(EMS) 1000.0	PQ.	n.d.	n.d.	99.1	14.5	14.5 <sup>s</sup>	5.5
FOE 5043-trifluc	proethanesul	fonic acid Na-sal	t				_
465.0	1.8	0.0	123.1	87.0	2.0	1.5	0.0
930.0	2.5	0.0	107.5	99.1	1.5	1.5	0.0
1860.0	1.6	0.0	119.5	95.0	1.5	1.5	1.0

# Table 5.8.1/20-1; Summary of results



Test item	Polyploid	Endomitotic	Cell	Mitotic	Ab	errant cel	ls (%)
concentration	cells	cells	numbers	indices	incl.	excl.	with
					gaps*	gaps*	exchanges
(µg/mL)	(%)	(%)	(% of	(% of control)	, Ô		
			control)			$\checkmark$	\$ A
Exper	iment IIA:	Exposure period	l 18 hrs witho	ut S9 mix; prepa	ration inte	rval 18 h	rs <sup>O</sup>
Solvent control <sup>1</sup>	1.7	0.0	100.0	100.0	Q 2.5	کر 2.0	0:5
Positive control				ð° í		Ô	. *
(EMS) 600.0	n.d.	n.d.	n.d.	<b>6</b> 4.6	28.5	<b>28</b> .5 <sup>s</sup>	××20.0
FOE 5043-trifluo	oroethanesul	fonic acid Na-sal	t			Y (	Q
465.0	2.1	0.0	102.4 👷	117.4	0 1.5	1.5	<b>A0</b>
930.0	1.5	0.0	80.1	£12.8 "Ø	3.5	205	Q0.5
1860.0	1.7	0.0	103.@	×92.4	3.0	2.5	0.0
Ex	periment IB	: Exposure peri	od 4 hrs with S	SQmix; preparat	tion/intery:	18 hrs	IJ.
Solvent control <sup>1</sup>	2.8	0.4	200.0	100.0	2.5	2.0	1.0
Positive control					Ŵ	- -	
(CPA) 2.0#	n.d.	n.d.	n.do	ِرِيِّ 78.2 <i>-</i>	<b>4</b> 4.5	4.5 <sup>s</sup>	6.0
465.0	3.5	0.7 Q	84.3	119,7 *	Q <sup>2</sup> .0 <u></u>	1.5	0.5
930.0	3.4	0.5	004.4	<b>195</b> .5	2.5	2.0	0.0
1860.0	3.3	0.4	₹J 68.5~	©126.3 O	150	1.0	0.5
Exp	eriment IIA	: Exposure per	od 4 hrs with	S9 mix; prepara	tion <sup>®</sup> interv	al 18 hrs	
Solvent control <sup>1</sup>	1.7	0.1	ູ 🕼 0.0 🦿	100.0	2.5	2.0	1.0
Positive control				N N			
(CPA) 2.0	n.d.	, ng	n.d	51.8	42.0	40.0 <sup>s</sup>	17.0
465.0	1.1~	× 0.0 ×	84.3	Q 113.8	0.5	0.5	0.0
930.0	10	0.2	04.4	094.8	3.5	2.5	2.0
1860.0##	<b></b> .5	0.4	68.5	A08.7	5.3	5.3 <sup>s</sup>	1.3
Exp	eriment HB	: Exposure peri	od 4 hrowith	<b>S9 mix; prepara</b>	tion interv	al 18 hrs	
Solvent control	y 4.	& 1.3 O	~100.0 ~	> 100.0	0.5	0.5	0.0
Positive control	~°°	O' Ò'					
(CPA) 2.0	"(n.d. 🔬	ngd.	ົງ n.d.	63.3	36.0	36.0 <sup>s</sup>	11.0
930.0	2.6	ð.2 🎧	65.4	116.7	4.0	3.5 <sup>s</sup>	1.5
1395.0	424	1.2	\$72.9	110.0	4.0	<b>3.0</b> <sup>s</sup>	1.0
1627.5 <sup>©</sup>	Å¥.2	0.0	م 🔊 51.6	119.1	2.0	2.0	1.0
17438	Õ 2.7 <sub>@</sub> ,	6 <u>?</u> 5	54.9	110.4	3.5	3.5 <sup>s</sup>	2.0
1800.0 _C	, 2. <i>T</i>	<i>≦</i> 0.2 <i>∕</i>	54.2	96.8	1.5	1.0	0.0
* Including	lla appring	an a	Not dat	rminad		-	

Not determined carrying exchanges; n.d. Inc

1 Tot

S

Aberration frequency statistically significant higher than corresponding control values 50 metaphases per culture were evaluated ## 200 metaphases per culture were # 1 200 metaphases per culture were evaluated Deionised water 10.0 % (v/v)

# **III.** Conclusion

Under the experimental conditions reported, the test item FOE 5043-trifluoroethanesulfonic acid Nasalt did not induce structural chromosomal aberrations in V79 cells of the Chinese hamster in vitro, when tested up to the highest required concentration.







Trifluoroaceta	te (TFA) (M45)
Report:	d; ; ; 2005;M-256628-01
Title:	Trifluoroacetate (TFA): reverse mutation in five histidine-requiring strains of
	Salmonella typhimurium
Report No:	2014/82
Document No:	M-256628-01-1
Guidennes:	OECD 4/1; EEC Annex V, B15/14; UKENIS Guerennes, Japanese
	OPPTS 870 5100:
	Deviations: none
GLP/GEP:	yes a y y y y y
	I. Materials and methods
A. Materials	
1. Test material:	Trifluoroacetate (SFA)
Description:	white powder $Q$ $\gamma$ $\gamma$ $\gamma$
Lot/Batch no:	$016911/1$ $\zeta$ $\zeta$ $\zeta$ $\zeta$
Purity:	
Stability of test c	ompound: Evaranteed for study duration; expiry date? 2007-03-14
2. Vehicle and/or pe	ositive control: Water , S
	2-Nitrofluorene (2NF), Sodium azide (NaN <sub>3</sub> ), 9-Aminoacridine
	(A)AC), MytomycryC (MARC), Benzo[a]pyrene (B[a]P), 2-
3 Test system•	Salmonella typhimuritum straithy TA98 TA100 TA1535
o. rest system.	$\mathcal{A}$ TAP37. TAY02 $\mathcal{A}$
Metabolic activat	ion S9 mix 6 5
B. Study design and	Imethods
1. Treatment	
Dose: 💊 🖗	<sup>2</sup> ExperimenQ1: 0, 1.6 - 5000 μg TFA/plate
	<sup>2</sup> <sup>3</sup> <sup>3</sup> <sup>3</sup> <sup>3</sup> <sup>3</sup> <sup>3</sup> <sup>4</sup> <sup>3</sup> <sup>5</sup> <sup>5</sup> <sup>5</sup> <sup>5</sup> <sup>5</sup> <sup>5</sup> <sup>5</sup> <sup>5</sup> <sup>1</sup> <sup>5</sup> <sup>5</sup> <sup>1</sup> <sup>5</sup> <sup>5</sup> <sup>1</sup> <sup>5</sup> <sup>1</sup> <sup>5</sup> <sup>1</sup>
	<sup>o</sup>
Ĵ	$2NE$ $(5.0 \mu g/plate)$
×,	$\mathcal{A}$ Na(x <sub>3</sub> : $\bigcirc 2.0 \mu$ g/plate
	$\sim$
, <sup>o</sup> , ô	$\tilde{\mathcal{V}}$ $\tilde{\mathcal{O}}$ $\tilde{\mathcal{O}}$ $\tilde{\mathcal{B}}[a]$ $\tilde{\mathcal{B}}$ 10.0 µg/plate
A C	$A_{A}$ N: 5.0 and 20.0 µg/plate
Application	me M mL/plate
Incubation time:	<sup>*</sup> برج مج ا hour
, Ch	
	II. Results and discussion

Following treatments of all the tester strains in the absence and in the presence of S-9, only in Experiment 2 treatment of strain TA98 in the absence of S-9 resulted in an increase in revertant numbers that was statistically significant when the data were analysed at the 1% level using Dunnett's test. This increase in revertant numbers showed no evidence of a dose-response and was not observed following comparable Experiment 1 treatments. Accordingly, this increase in revertant numbers was considered to have been a chance occurrence, and not a compound related effect. As no other



#### Document MCA: Section 5 Toxicological and metabolism studies Flufenacet

treatments provided any statistically significant increases in revertant numbers, this study was considered to have provided no evidence of any mutagenic activity of trifluoroacetate (TFA).

Table	5.8.1/21-	I: Summ	ary of mean re	evertant colonie	28	~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~	° L
				Salmon	ella typhimurium	ı strains 🦉	
		S-9 mix	TA98	TA100	TA1535	TA1537	A TA102
Dose (µ	ıg/plate)	(-/+)			means ± SD 🖄		
Experi	ment 1						~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~
Solvent	t control	-	27 ± 6	$105 \pm 14$	0 15 ±26	\$\$~19 ± €\$	Q21 ± 8 °
TFA	1.6	-	$27 \pm 8$	103 ± 15 🔬	14 2	1568	© <sup>y</sup> 214 ±Q0
	8	_	$25 \pm 7$	$101 \pm 7$	$1 \pm 6$	1843 C	212 27
	40	_	$28 \pm 4$	91 ± 🗐	√11 ± 3	22 ± 1 0	$249 \pm 16$
	200	_	$36 \pm 3$	101 1		0 <sup>™</sup> 16 ±76 <sup>™</sup>	$\sqrt{216 \pm 10}$
	1000	_	$34 \pm 4$	$103 \pm 6$	£5 %	20 ± 1	$223 \pm 6$
	5000	_	$25 \pm 5$	¥04 ± 5(	©15 ± 2 ≪	Q7 ± 7	$211 \pm 27$
Positive	e controls		A	$\sim 0$			
2NF:	5.0	_	1192 ± 121				
NaN <sub>3</sub> :	2.0	_	0	\$666 ± 266	6 ± 17	~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~	
AAC:	50.0	-	, Ô	õ,		~2004 ± 30	
MMC:	0.2	_					$643 \pm 34$
Experi	ment 2		<u>×</u> 0	$\sim$	, Ø , Ø	<i>, /</i>	
Solvent	t control	_	() <sup>2</sup> 0 ± 4	© <sup>97 ±</sup> 5	14 ± 5,4	$19 \pm 2$	249 ±17
TFA	156.25	- 2	∑ 28 <i>€</i> 7 ^	🖓 103 🕰	© 15 ± 4	$16 \pm 4$	271 ± 29
	312.5	_0`	25 <sup>4</sup> ±3	104±9 0	1 <i>60</i> #4	$16 \pm 7$	$234\pm33$
	625	ð	$33\pm8$	103 ± 2,€	$5 \pm 4$	$23 \pm 4$	$208 \pm 27$
	1250	~ ~ ·	° 23 ±∂* <sup>™</sup>	§ 95 ±⊲00″	√13 ± 5	$17 \pm 4$	$219 \pm 37$
	2500 کې	¥,	21¥3 ∂	95 ± 2	$10 \pm 3$	$20 \pm 1$	$248 \pm 40$
	5000	, D	$49 \pm 2$	88 ± 9	$14 \pm 4$	$18 \pm 2$	$232 \pm 27$
Positive	e controls						
2NF:	5.0	\$ - ×	₽ 577 <b>, ₽2</b> 0 _				
NaN <sub>3</sub> :	2.0 🍃		s Q	$438 \pm 30$	$438\pm30$		
AAC:	500	<u></u>	y d	Í Ox		75 ± 12	
MMC:	0.2	~ <sup>0</sup> ~		S			620 ± 8
	No N	Ū,		)* 			

#### 0 1/21 • •



				Salmon	alla tophimurium	etraine	
		C 0	T 4 0.9				TA 102
D (		5-9 mix	1 A90	IAIUU	TAI555	TA1557	IAIUZ
Dose (µ	lg/plate)	(-/+)			mean ± SD	Ô	
Experii	ment 1					<u></u>	-
Solvent	control	+	$30 \pm 7$	$107 \pm 16$	$17 \pm 3$	20 ± 5	202 ≠ 30
TFA	1.6	+	$36 \pm 8$	$92 \pm 12$	$13 \pm 3$	$37\pm3$	$193 \pm 160^{\circ}$
	8	+	$36 \pm 10$	$99 \pm 19$	14±7	@ 20 ± @	€ 162 ± 10
	40	+	$49\pm7$	$99 \pm 5$	10±3	18 ± 3 C	× 180s∉,14
	200	+	$33\pm 8$	$107 \pm 6$	√16 ± 2 √√	(18 ± 4 )	$185 \pm 20$
	1000	+	$31 \pm 2$	$88 \pm 8$		\$17 ± 8	\$89 ± 7 •
	5000	+	$36 \pm 15$	93 ± 8 🔬	15 5	0°22 <b>* 8</b> °	5¥177 ±45
Positive	e controls			Ő		ÇÛ Û	<u></u>
B[a]P:	10.0	+	$245 \pm 32$	Ŵ.		, <sup>sy</sup> , Sy	Ő
AAN:	5.0	+		977 <u>+</u> 35	\$ <sup>°</sup> 196 <i>±</i> <sup>°</sup> 24	∽¥ 97 ±3	L.
AAN:	20.0	+		Q			
Experin	ment 2						
Solvent	control	+	41 ± 5	√112 ±@2	0 17 ± 3	≈~22 ± 2€	$196 \pm 34$
TFA	156.25	+	$32 \pm 10$ Q	, 7 <b>6</b> ≠5	<sup>™</sup> 12∉1	Q″24 <b>±Q</b> ĩ	$176 \pm 29$
	312.5	+	29 ± 6	$\sqrt{94} \pm 5\sqrt{2}$		28 ± 1	$208 \pm 3$
	625	+	$38 \pm 10$	≪5 69 ± 35 ×	$\tilde{\mathbb{O}21} \pm 6.0^{\vee}$	×8 ± 3	$178 \pm 29$
	1250	+	41~\$6	0 75,∉6 @	b 11 <b>±3</b> <sup>™</sup>	$\sqrt[9]{20 \pm 4}$	$212 \pm 35$
	2500	+	$26 \pm 5$ $3$	9®±9≪	10±3	$21 \pm 2$	$246 \pm 6$
	5000	+	$34 \pm 3$	90 ± 4	°≈45±2 °	$20 \pm 4$	$232\pm18$
Positive	e controls	ć					
B[a]P:	10.0	+ ,	39⊈ ≠ 62		ð "Ý		
AAN:	5.0	0 a		1058 ± 1070	290 ± 72	$124 \pm 16$	
AAN:	20.0	Q.			C.		$796 \pm 246$

\* Dunnett's test, significant at 1% logi

TFA = trifluoro acetate; 2NF = 2 Nitrofluorene (2NF); NaNe sodium azide; AAC = 9-Aminoacridine; MMC = mitomycin C; B[a]P = Benzo[aByrene; BAN = 2Aminoarcharacene



Trifluoroacetate (FA) did not influce mutation in five histidine-requiring strains of Salmonella typhimum (TA98, TA100, TA1535, TA1537, TA 102) when tested under the conditions of this study.



Report.	<	· 2005·M-260699-01
Title:	Trifluoroacetate	(TFA) - Mutation at the thymidine kinase (tk) locus of mouse
	lymphoma L517	78Y cells (MLA) using the Microtitre fluctuation technique
Report No:	2014/84-D6173	
Document No:	M-260699-01-1	
Guidelines:	<b>OECD 476; UK</b>	KEMS Guidelines; US-EPA OPPTS <b>\$70.5309</b> ;
CI D/CED.	Deviations: nor	ne or w A
GLF/GEF:	yes	
		I. Materials and methods
A. Materials		
1. Test material:		Trifluoroacetate (TFA)
Description:		white powder ( C C C C C
Lot/Batch no:		
Purity:		99.1% \$ 2 2 2 4 2 4
Stability of test co	ompound.	guaranteed for study duration: expiry date: 2007-03-14
2. Vehicle and/or no	sitive control:	sterile water for injection
2. · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		4-phtroquinoline Loxide (200) benzo(a) percene (BP)
3 Test system•		meuse Lomphone I 51785 TK & mouse wells
metabolic activati	on <sup>.</sup>	$S_{9} \text{ min}$
R Study design and	methods	
1 Treatmont		
Doso:	~ (	$0$ 260 $50$ 760 $0$ 60 $1260$ $0$ 7 TEA/mL (1260 $\mu$ g/mL is
Dose.	Ő Á	$e_{0.00}$ equivalent to 10 mM TFA)
	S. O	positive control: 415.0.20 ug/mL NOO Experiment 1
	õ 4	$\mathcal{L}$ $\mathcal{Q}$ $\mathcal{O}0.05$ $\mathcal{Q}$ $\mu$ g/mL NQO Experiment 2
A		<sup>ν</sup> 2.0-29 μg/mL BP
Incubation time:		37±45°C, 24b <sup>3</sup>
254		
		Q. Results and discussion
No statistically sign	ificant increases	in mutant frequency were observed at any dose tested in the
absence of S92A ver	y mall but statis	stally significant increase in mutant frequency was observed at
the intermediate dos	se of 960 μg/m	in the presence of S9 in Experiment 1. This increase was
sufficiently small	n magnitude, Pha	at it is not considered a biologically relevant response, and
furthermore, provide	ed 🕼 evidence o	of any dose-relationship or reproducibility, as it occurred at a
at a 1 State of the state of		





Dose (µg/mL)	-;	89	+\$9			
	% rel. total growth	mutant frequency <sup>§</sup>	% rel. total growth	mutant frequency§		
Experiment 1 (3	hour treatment +/-S9)		Ø	9		
TFA 0	100	58.86	100	<u>√61.07</u> √		
360	88	45.14	94 🔊	91.3 <sup>1</sup> 91.3 <sup>1</sup>		
560	100	45.25	90 07	91 <u>4</u> 29		
760	119	44.31	م₀78 <sub>م</sub> ر °م	<b>94.4</b> 8 S		
960	122	51.85		Q01.77*		
1160	120	59.43	103 67	75.57		
1360	112	55.90	~~ <u>~</u> 2} &~	85.00 .		
NQO 0.15	57	314.40	N O A			
3	42	435.99				
BP 2			46	648.5		
3		Q' A	30%	O 975 9		
Experiment 2 (2	4 hour treatment - S9, 3	hour treatment + S				
TFA 0	100	56,99	0 100 %	050.34		
360	81	s <b>4</b> 4244	Q 100 O	<sup>©</sup> 74.18		
560	82	58.47		63.84		
760	82	41.65		58.07		
960	93	52.01	83 . 9	57.83		
1160	90 (	\$ \$4Q63 \$	81 P	70.88		
1360	76	\$1.89		56.79		
NQO 0.05	34	ي 294.33 گ				
NQO 0.1	14	398 97 398	<u> </u>			
BP 2	× (		63 ~	270.86		
BP 3			× 25×	542.41		

#### Table 5.8.1/22-1: Summary of results

§ 5-TFT (5-trifluorothymidine) resistant mutents 10° mable cetts 2 days ofter treatment

\* Comparison of each treatment with control. Dunnett's test (one-sided), significant at 5% level

D. Conclusion

Trifluoroacetate (TFA) did not induce mutation at the k locus of L5178Y mouse lymphoma cells in the absence and presence of a rat liver metabolic activation system.



Ô



<b>Report:</b> Title:	6; ;2005;M-260807-01 Trifluoroacetata (TEA) Induction of abromacome abarrations in culturad
Titte.	human peripheral blood lymphocytes
Report No:	2014/83-D6172
Document No:	M-260807-01-1
Guidelines:	OECD 473; EEC Annex V, B.10; Japanese MOHW (1999); MAFR, ICH
	Harmonised Tripartite Guideline; US-EPA-OPP S Guideline 870.5375;
	Deviations: none
GLP/GEP:	yes O' y y O' y
	I. Materials and methods 💍 🕺 🖉 🖉
<b>A</b> Materials	
1 Tost motorial	Trifluoroacetate TEA 8° a a a
Description:	white powder a start of the sta
Lot/Potch no:	
Duritan	
Fully.	
Stability of test co	mpound: guaranteed for study chiration, expiry date: 2007-03-14
2. Vehicle and/or po	sitive control: step le water for injection of the second state of
	A witrogumoline 1-oxide (NQQ), cyclophosphamide (CPA)
3. Test system:	human blood symphocytes prepared from pooled blood of three
	, comate donors
metabolic activati	on:
B. Study design and	methods O - A - A
1. Treatment	
Dose:	0-85-170-340-1360 μg TFA/mL (20h treatment)
~	0 40-340-680-1360 g TFA mL (3h treatment)
Č	positive controls.
. Q	$\sim$
Treatment and rec	envery hours: Experiment 1: St + 17 hours (+/- S9)
	Experiment 2: $20 + 0$ hours (-S9)
L.Y	$\swarrow$ $@$ $?$ $\%$ $3 + 17 hours (+S9)$
s,	
	A. Results and discussion
, <sup>o</sup> , ô	
Structural aberratio	ons C ×
Exposite to TFA res	suffed in percentages of chromosome aberrations that were mostly similar to the

Exposure to 17 A resulted in percentages of chromosome aberrations that were mostly similar to the concurrent vehicle controls in the presence and absence of S9. There was one exception after a 20-hour exposure to TFA in the absence of S9 in Experiment 2. There was a small increase in the percentage of colds with structural chromosome aberrations (excluding gaps) exposed at 1360  $\mu$ g/mL, the highest concentrations of TFA assessed for chromosome damage. The aberrations included two chromosome exchanges in one cell. However, the percentages of cells with aberrations fell within the historical vehicle control frequencies. Also exposure at 1360  $\mu$ g/mL was associated with 61% mitotic inhibition in Experiment 2. Numbers of aberrant cells (excluding gaps) in all treated cultures fell within historical negative control ranges. For the reasons mentioned above the small increase mentioned above was not judged to represent a positive response.



0.1.4		1	C 11	0.11 .41	1 .:	
Substan	ce		Cells	Cells with	aberrations	Mitotic Index
Dose (µ	.g/mL)	+/- S9	scored	Including gaps	Excluding gaps 🖉	(mean)
Experi	ment 1 (3	hour treatme	ent + 17 hour	recovery, +/-S9)		
Solvent	t	+	200	5	1 %	7.1
TFA	340	+	200	0	0	
	680	+	200	3		27.6 x 1
	1360	+	200	4	s of s	× 7.9 ×
CPA	6.25	+	168	53	49 <sup>a</sup>	
Solvent	t	_	200	2 🔬	S 1 6	R & 3
TFA	340	_	200	3		<b>8</b> .2 , °
	680	_	200	6 🐒	<u>3</u> <sup>™</sup> 3 <sup>™</sup> ~	7.2
	1360	_	200	0 _0		Ũ 7.QU <sup>V</sup>
NQO	2.50	_	186	45	44ª ×	
Experi	ment 2 (3	hour treatme	ent + 17 hour	recovery, +S9)		y O
Solvent	t	+	200		O Oly O	7.6
TFA	340	+	200	6 3 V		7.7
	680	+	200	N IL Ö	× × 0 , 0	6.1
	1360	+	200	k Ω k		6.2
CPA	6.25	+	97 🏒	48	x, 40° ~	
Experi	ment 2 (2	20 hour treatm	nent + 0 hour	recovory, -S9)		
Solvent	t	_	200			6.1
TFA	85	_	<u>2</u>	ê 0 î		5.3
	170	_	200		Q° p	3.8
	340	_	🗶 200 🖉		à d'	3.7
	1360	- 6	S 200	s. 6 ×	¥	2.4
NQO	2.50		1.62		34 <sup>a</sup>	

#### Table 5.8.1/23-1: Summary of cells with structural aberrations

<sup>a</sup> statistical significance  $p \ge 0.00$ 

Numerical aberrations No increases in the frequency of cells with numerical aperrations, that exceeded the historical negative control range, were generall observer in cultures treated with TFA in the absence and presence of S9. The only exception to this was observed in Experiment 1 in a single culture at the lowest concentration analysed following 3+1% hour freatment in the presence of S9. In this culture the numerical aberration frequency marginally exceeded the historical control range. In isolation, this increase is not considered





~ .			~ 44	-				
Substar	ice		Cells	Ν	umerical aberrations		Total	% with
Dose (µ	ıg/mL)	+/- S9	scored	hyperdiploid	endoreduplicated	polyploid	abs	num abs
Experi								
Solvent		+	200	0	0	0		0
TFA	340	+	203	0	1	D'	Ø 3 O	1.5
	680	+	200	0	0		0,4	×9
	1360	+	202	0	0 🔊	مر <sup>™</sup> 2 °∼γ	Ź, Y	^ <u>)</u> .0
CPA	6.25	+	168	0		Q V	00	$\approx 0$
Solvent		-	200	0	æ Ø		≈ 0 «J	0
TFA	340	-	200	0	N	$\sqrt[6]{0}$	0 0	00
	680	-	202	2			2	J.0
	1360	-	200	0			03	0 <sup>v</sup>
NQO	2.5	-	186	0			0	0
Experi	ment 2 (3	hour trea	tment + 17	hour recovery, +	\$99			
Solvent		+	200	0 🐇		, O <sup>y</sup> 0 O <sup>y</sup>	ø	0
TFA	340	+	202	0	Q 0 $Q$	≫ 2 🏊		1.0
	680	+	200	0 🗞			<sup>1</sup> 0 <sup>1</sup> 0	0
	1360	+	201	0	o o c		1	0.5
CPA	6.25	+	97				0	0
Experi	ment 2 (2	0 hour tre	eatment + 0	) hou recover - S	59)	°~~ .O		
Solvent		-	201	C 0 📎		ĴŰ	1	1.0
TFA	85	-	200			$\sim 0^{\circ}$	0	0
	170	-	201 🦄			0	1	0.5
	340	-	203	ôž j		<u>)</u> 1	3	1.5
	1360	-	200	0 0	~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~	<u>ک</u> 0	0	0
NQO	2.5	-	<b>Ø</b> Ø2	<u> 0</u>		0	0	0

### Table 5.8.1/23-2: Summary of numbers and types of numerical aberrations









### II. Results and discussion

# A. Mortality

Sodium trifluoroacetate did not cause mortality at the limit dose level of 2000 p@kg bw.

# **B.** Clinical observations

Treatment with sodium trifluoroacetate at the dose level of 2000 mg/kg @w did not cause any test item related adverse effects during the 14 days observation period

### C. Body weight

Body weight and body weight gain of sodium trifluoroacetate reated animals showed indication of a treatment-related effect.

#### **D.** Necropsy

There was no evidence of observations at a dase level of 2000 mg/kg by at necropsy

Sodium trifluoroacetate is non-toxic after acute voral addinistration with an  $LD_{50}$  value above 2000 mg/kg bw in female rats.

I. Conclusion

Report:	;2001;M=202165-01
Title: Trisuoroaceta	te - Exploratory 14-day toxigity study in the rat by dietary
administration	LA LA OL AU
Report No: 2016316	
Document No(s): M-202165-01	
Guidelines: , on applicable	e Š Q
GLP/GEP: K	
	I. Materials and methods
A. Materials	
1. Test material:	Torfluoroacetate
Description: S	white powder
Lot Batch no C	129143458
Builty: O L	985% (Sigma-Aldrich)
2. Positive control:	clofibric acid (positive control for peroxisomal proliferation)
3. Test animals 🙏 📈 🇳	
Species: 🖓 🔬 🛷	Wistar (HAN) rats
Strain:	RJ: Wl (TOPS HAN)
Age:	8 weeks
Weight at dosing:	males: 304 g - 355 g; females: 212 g - 231 g
Source:	France
Acclimatisation period:	at least 6 days



Diet:	certified and irradiated rodent powder diet A04C-10 PI (U.A.R.
	(Usine d'Alimentation Rationnelle, Villemoisson-sur-Orge,
	France)) ad libitum
Water:	tap water (filtered and softened) ad libitum
Housing:	individually in suspended stainless steel wire mesh cages
B Study design and methods	
1 Animal assignment and treatme	ent O A X
Dose:	Trifluoroacetata: 0 600 $200$ $2400$ mbm
Dose.	males: $0.43.85.470 \text{ mg/m}$
	families: $0 - 45 - 85 - 300$ mg/kg bu/kgy $\sqrt{2}$
	Positive control (received and prolifection)
	Chlofibrio agid: 5000 prop
	malas/famalass/201/250 mg//abw/dat
Duration:	14 days
Application route:	aral (distan)
Application foute.	
Group size:	S rats/sex/group
Observations:	mortality, clinical signs, body weight, food consumption,
	haenatology, clinical chemistry, hepatotoxerity testing, gross
	necropsy organ weight Arstopathology
(A)	
100 M	IL Results and discussion
A Mortality	De la
There were no treatment-related mo	stelities during the study a d
There were no treatment-togated ins	same study.
B. Clinical absorvation	
D. Chinical observations	
I nere were no treatment-related clin	ncai signs auring the study.

# C. Body weight

Trifluoroaceate: Bodoweight and bodo weight development was not changed.

Positive control: During the first treatment week the rats lost weight (males: -14 g; females: -1 g). Lower body weight gain resulted in lower body weights (males: -19 %; females: -10%, p < 0.01), when compared with control mean values on Day 14 of the study.

# Table 5.8.125-1: Summary of mean body weights

	, O	Trifluor	oacetate		Pos.		Trifluor	oacetate		Pos.
Dose (ppm)		600	1300	2400	Contr.	0	600	1200	2400	Contr.
Body weight (g)	۲		males					females		
Day 1	y <sup>y</sup> 336 ()	335	341	336	336	221	224	223	223	223
Day 7	376	373	383	368	322*	239	240	237	235	222*
Day 14	406	410	421	408	327*	251	249	248	246	227*

Pos. = positive; Contr. = control

\* statistically different from control  $p \leq 0.01$ 



#### Table 5.8.1/25-2: Summary of mean body weight gain

		Trifluoroacetate			Pos.	Trifluoroacetate			Pos.	
Dose (ppm)	0	600	1200	2400	Contr.	0	600	1200	2400	Contr.
			males				. "	(females	۰ .(	
Body weight gain (g)							1 and 1	Ŵ	Ô Y	Ś
Day 7	35	38	43	36	-14*	17	167	<b>N</b>	<u></u>	×,
Day 14	66	75	80	76	-9* .	29	£ 25	°∼y26	£723	<i>\$</i> 4*
Pos. = positive; Contr.	= control	1			Q	ÿ .e	~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~	× *		, ,

\* statistically different from control  $p \le 0.01$ 

# **D.** Food consumption

Trifluoroacetate: Food consumption was not affected?

Positive control: Mean food consumption was significantly decreased, more pronounced to males than in females (-26 and -36% in males and -13 and -23% in females on weeks P and Z respectively). Lower food consumption correlated with the observed body weight loss in both sexes.

Dose (ppm)	Trifluorgacetate Pos. 0 600 1200 2400 Contr.	<b>TrifluoCo</b> acetate	Pos. Contr.
Food consumption (g)	thales	females	
Day 7	27.3 27.9 27.9 26.6 20.1*	19.5 018.5 18.2 18.5	16.9
Day 14	27.4 27.6 28.9 28.2 17:6*	19.8 18.5 18.6 19.5	15.3*

Table 5.8.1/25-3: Summary of mean food consumption

\* statistically different from control Q 0.01

### E. Laboratory investigations Haematology

Trifluoroacetate: A tendency towards lower total white blood cell counts was noted in females at 2400 ppm (-20% compared to controls, statistically significant  $p \le 0.05$ ). This slight change was associated with lower mean absolute lymptrocyte count (-38% compared to controls, statistically significant  $p \le 0.01$ ). In the absence of relevant change in absolute neutrophil count, the statistically significant change in neutrophil percentage observed in females at 2400 ppm was considered not to be toxicologically relevant.

Positive control: No toxicorogicall o elevant changes observed.

K K K K K K K K K K K K K K K K K K K	~(?	Trifluor	oacetate	•	Pos.		Trifluo	roacetat	e	Pos.
Dose (ppm)	Ŕ	600	1200	2400	Contr.	0	600	1200	2400	Contr.
Parameter (unit)		Ø	males					females	8	
White blood cell count	\$15.1	12.8	13.9	14.4	17.3	11.9	10.9	9.9	8.3*	11.7
$(10^{9}/L)$										
Neutrophil count	3.0	2.5	3.3	3.7	3.2	1.9	2.0	2.3	2.0	2.0
(10 <sup>9</sup> /L)										
Neutrophils (%)	20	20	23	26	19	15	18	23	24*	17
Lymphocyte count	11.4	9.7	9.9	9.9	13.1	9.3	8.3	7.1	5.8**	9.0
$(10^{9}/L)$										

# Table 5.8.1/25-4: Summary of haematology



\* statistically different from control  $p \le 0.05$  \*\* statistically different from control  $p \le 0.01$ 

### Clinical chemistry

Trifluoroacetate: No treatment-related variation was observed.

Positive control: Treatment-related variations (increased aspartate aminotransferase activity, alkaline phosphatase activity, urea concentration and decreased total protein and cholesterol concentrations) were predominantly observed in males. In females, the only noticeable change was a tendency towards higher aspartate aminotransferase activity which was considered not to be toxicologically relevant.

						$\sim$	$\sim$	~~Y	- A	A n
		Trifluo	roacetate	e	«"Pos. "		Trifluo	macetat	©``	Pos.
Dose (ppm)	0	600	1200	2400	Contr	0,0	∕ 600 🦉	1200 <sup>(</sup>	2400	Contr.
Parameter (unit)			males	Ŷ	$\sim$		۷ ا	female	s 🖉	
Aspartate amino	50	55	57	≪57	<b>39</b> 7	Č <sup>33</sup>	Ĩ,	<i>\$</i> 8	£58	64*
transferase (IU/L)					Q A	¥) %	,, - , - ~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~	• <i>(</i> 1	$\mathcal{O}$	
Alkaline phosphatase	99	112	109 🕎	113	214	63	600	77	63	67
(IU/L)			A	0″	Ű	ŝ		<i>b</i>		
Urea (mmol/L)	4.71	4.63	A 69	¢\$209	7.09**	\$.32 _	9.74	\$.06	5.20	4.84
Protein (g/L)	63	63 🕻	64 🔊	63 🔬	58*0	63~	62 0	62	65	60
Cholesterol (mmol/L)	1.89	1.26	1.46	1.44%	0.95**	1,69	1.79	1.55	1.74	1.62

#### Table 5.8.1/25-5: Summary of clinical chemistry

\* statistically different from control  $p \le 0.05$  statistically different from control  $p \le 0.01$ 

# Hepatotoxicity testing

#### Cytochrom P-450

Trifluoroacetate: At 2400 ppm a slightly increased total cytochrome P-450 content reaching 19% and 14% in males and females, respectively, occurred.

Positive control: The increase in oral cytochrome P-450 content was pronounced after clofibric acid administration, especially in the males (55% increase compared to control mean).

# Enzymatic activities

Trifluoroacetate: No significant changes occurred in BROD, EROD and PROD activities, whereas a significant dose-related increase in lauric acid hydroxylation was observed in males reaching 159% increase at 2400 ppt, when compared to controls. In the absence of other significant changes in the related parameters (liver weight, histology and peroxisomal activity), the increase in lauric acid hydroxylation observed at 600 ppm in males was considered not toxicologically relevant.

Positive control: BROD, EROD and PROD activities were not affected by the clofibric acid administration, whereas a significant increase in lauric acid hydroxylation was observed in males and females (+363% and +118%, respectively).

# Cell cycling assessment

Trifluoroacetate: After 3 days of treatment, the labelling index was higher in males and females at 2400 ppm, when compared to controls. At terminal sacrifice, no effect of treatment on hepatocellular proliferation was noted at 2400 ppm.

Positive control: At terminal sacrifice, the labelling index was higher in comparison to control groups in males and females.



#### Palmitoyl-CoA oxidation activity

Trifluoroacetate: The hepatic whole protein content was not affected in either sex. Specific and total palmitoyl-CoA oxidation activities were increased in male rat  $\geq$  1200 ppm up@o 184% and 192% of control, respectively. No statistically significant effects were observed in female rats. Positive control: The whole homogenate protein content was statistically significantly increased to 112% of control in both male and female rats. Hepatic palmitoy/ CoA ovordation activity was statistically significantly induced in both sexes. The specific palmitoyl-CoA oxidation activity was increased by clofibric acid in both sex to 1029 and 503% of control respectively. For total palmitoyltat CoA oxidation activity the increases were to 1144 and 564% of control, respectively.

	-	×,	×.
		, O	Ċ,
Table 5.8.1/25-6:	Summary of hepatotoxicity	assessment	Y

Table 5.8.1/25-6: Sum	mary of	hepato	toxicity	assessi	nenty		"0" ~{	5 6		ý.
		Trifluor	oacetatę	Ű,	Pos.		Trifluor	oavetate	L.	Pos.
Dose (ppm)	0	600	1200	<u>&gt; 2400</u>	Contr	~ 0 K	<u>600</u>	<u>1200</u>	<u>}2400</u>	Contr.
Parameter (unit)			males		<u> </u>	<i></i>		females		
Cytochrome P-450 activity	у	r	<u> </u>	Y		$\sim$	<u> </u>		0	1
Cytochrome P-450	1.40	1.51	<i>4</i> ,64	1.66	1.89	Ø <i>1</i> 95	°1,05	<b>£0</b> 3	1.08	1.12
(nmol)		Ő	\$ <u>\$</u>				e à	Ş.		
Enzymatic activities		Ô	$\sim$	¥	<u> </u>	~~~	<u> </u>	~		r
BROD	14.71	20.20	22.58	17,51	42.86	2,99	3.65/	4.35	4.45	13.31
(pmol/min/mg protein)	<	S.	<u> </u>	C	<i>l</i> a	Ő <sup>Y</sup>	¥			
EROD	54.75	71.24	,23.90 °	24.98 <sub>%</sub>	J1.34	\$5.95 (	38.14	41.34	29.63	29.99
(pmol/min/mg protein)	× ×	_0	C	°~	<u> </u>	S	, °			
PROD	8 <i>3</i> ¥	8,47/	7,85	5.94	12.32	4.74	3.72	3.77	4.32	5.26
(pmol/min/mg protein)	Ĩ	á, s		Ŏ <sup>Ÿ</sup>	, O	$\searrow$				
Lauric acid hydroxylation	P3.20 🖌	5.85	7.20	8.28	<b>9</b> 24.82	<b>X</b> .56	2.10	2.05	2.26	5.59
(nmol/min/mg protein)		a y								
Cell cycling			<u> </u>	¥	<u> </u>					
PCNA positive cells	<u>©.</u> 2	~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~	L.	20.8	<u>~</u> *	8.4			17.4	
/1000 (day 3)	Y '	V į	$\sum_{i=1}^{n}$	$\sim$	<i>Q</i> 1					
PCNA positive cells	2.8	0	🚿	3.7 🔨	7.7	3.2			3.3	5.8
/1000 (day 14)	O`	O'	<u> </u>							
Palmitoyl-CoA oxidation	activity	<i>a</i>	Č <sup>*</sup>	<u>k</u>	-	-	-	-	-	-
Whole protein content	234 ×	Q <b>3</b> 38	Q <del>4</del> 7	©46	261	224	244	236	237	250
(mg/protein/g loger)	* *	i d	/*		*		**			***
Palmitoyl-CoA oxidation	4.3%8	5.3	6.30	8.06	45.05	4.50	4.18	4.50	4.24	22.64
(nmol/min/mg	$\bigcirc$	×°0	**	**	***					***
homogenate protein)	₽.	UU ,	× Ø							
Palmitoyl-CoA-oxidation	1.03 🗶	1.29 🕎	1.57	1.98	11.78	1.00	1.02	1.06	1.01	5.64
(µmol/min/g@wer)		l °O	**	**	***					***

\*, \*\*, \*\*\* statistically different from control  $p \le 0.05$ ,  $p \le 0.01$ ,  $p \le 0.001$ -- no data

Ø



# F. Organ weight

Trifluoroacetate: Body weights in treated animals were not affected at interim as well as at terminal sacrifice. Absolute and relative liver weights were statistically significantly increased in male rat  $\geq 1200$  ppm. There was no difference of the liver weight in females. Other statistically significant changes were considered incidental and not treatment related since they were lacking dose response and were not associated with any microscopic finding.

Positive control: Mean terminal body weight was statistically significantly lower in males and females. Absolute and relative liver weights were higher in males and remales. The increased elative thyroid weight was not considered treatment-related since it was not associated with any histopathological finding and the absolute weight was not increased.

			A L	\$ <u>.</u> .	- (h)	ها م			ř
	Trifluo	roacetate	· Q	Pos.	Å,	Trifluo	roacera	te 🖉	Pos.
0	600	1200	ل2400	Contr.	<b>0</b>	<b>600</b>	Ĵ <b>2</b> 00	2400	Contr.
		males	, K		- ×	/ ^>	, femal	Ş	
		<sup>1</sup>	L.	Q4	Ø	Ű		9	
306		<u> </u>	Q15	L	203	<sup>°</sup>	<u>6</u>	196	
9.2	6	R A	> 10.2	🕺	/ 5. <u>%</u>	Ş	~~	5.7	
3.0	-0	×~	3,2,3	<sup>ر</sup> ۵ ا	2.0	Ô		2.9	
4	Ô	Ř	$\sim$	0	L.	$\sim$			
373	∕≫374	\$ 381	چ 367	\$\$04** ·	Q <sup>2</sup> 231	228	226	223	213**
9.%	105	11.2	11.%	14.70	6.3C	6.1	6.2	6.4	8.6**
æ,6	29	3:1**	3,2**	4.8**	Å.	2.7	2.7	2.9	4.1**
<b>9</b> .016	@.019	\$0.016	<b>@</b> .020	Ø.019	0.015	0.012	0.014	0.013	0.015
0.004	¥ 0.005	0.004	, 0.005	0.006*2	y <sup>v</sup> 0.006	0.005	0.006	0.006	0.007
	0 306 9.2 3.0 4 373 9.9 6 0.004	Trifluo       0     600       306        9.2        3.0     -C       4     373       9.2     10       6     29       0.016     0.017	Trifluoroacetate         0       600       1200         male       male       male         306           9.2           3.0           3.0           4           373       374       381         9.9       10       11         26       29       21**         0.016       0.007       0.004	Trifluoroacetate         Q           0         600         1200         2400           males          315           306           915           9.2           915           3.0           915           4          32/         4           373         374         381         367           9.9         105         11.2         11.5           9.6         9         31**         32**           0.016         0.019         0.004         0.005	Trifluoroacetate         Pos.           0         600         1200         2400         Contr.           males          90         90         90         90         90         90         90         90         90         90         90         90         90         90         90         90         90         90         90         90         90         90         90         90         90         90         90         90         90         90         90         90         90         90         90         90         90         90         90         90         90         90         90         90         90         90         90         90         90         90         90         90         90         90         90         90         90         90         90         90         90         90         90         90         90         90         90         90         90         90         90         90         90         90         90         90         90         90         90         90         90         90         90         90         90         90         90         90         90 <t< td=""><td>Trifluoroacetate         Pos.           0         600         1200         2400         Contr.         0           males         0         males         0         0         0           306          2         915          203           9.2          0         32         2.9%         2.9%           4          32         2.9%         2.9%         4           373         374         381         367         204**         2.31           9.%         10.7         11.7         14.7%         6.3%           26         29         2.1**         3.2**         4.8**         27           0.016         0.019         0.016         0.020         0.019         0.015           0.004         0.005         0.006**         0.006         0.006**         0.006</td><td>Trifluoroacetate       Pos.       Trifluo         0       600       1200       2400       Contr.       0       600         males       0       600       1200       2400       Contr.       0       600         306        2       915        203          9.2        0       32       2.9        0         3.0         32       2.9        0         4        32       2.9        0       0.04       0.05       0.012         9.9       10       11.2       11.7       14.75       6.3       6.1         6       2.9       2.1**       3.2**       4.8**       2.7       2.7         0.016       0.019       0.004       0.005       0.006**       0.006       0.005</td><td>Trifluoroacetate         Pos.         Trifluoroacetate           0         600         1200         2400         Contr.         0         600         2200           male         0         600         2200         femal           306          0         5.9          7           9.2          10.2          5.9          7           3.0          32         2.9          7         7           3.0          32         2.9          7         7           4         7         373         374         381         367         204**         2231         228         226           9.9         10.7         11.7         14.76         6.3         6.1         6.2           26         29         3.1**         3.2**         4.8**         2.7         2.7         2.7           0.016         0.019         0.016         0.020         0.019         0.015         0.012         0.014</td><td>Trifluoroacetate         Pos.         Trifluoroacetate           0         600         1200         2400         contr.         0         600         200         2400           male         0         600         1200         2400         contr.         0         600         200         2400           male         0         600         700         700         700         700         700           306          9.15          203          196           9.2          9.2          7.5.9          5.7           3.0          32         20         20          7.7         2.9           4         7         3.73         374         381         367         304**         231         228         226         223           9.9         10.7         11.5         14.75         6.3         6.1         6.2         6.4           26         29         21**         32**         4.8**         27         2.7         2.9           0.016         0.019         0.004         0.005         0.006**         0.006         0.</td></t<>	Trifluoroacetate         Pos.           0         600         1200         2400         Contr.         0           males         0         males         0         0         0           306          2         915          203           9.2          0         32         2.9%         2.9%           4          32         2.9%         2.9%         4           373         374         381         367         204**         2.31           9.%         10.7         11.7         14.7%         6.3%           26         29         2.1**         3.2**         4.8**         27           0.016         0.019         0.016         0.020         0.019         0.015           0.004         0.005         0.006**         0.006         0.006**         0.006	Trifluoroacetate       Pos.       Trifluo         0       600       1200       2400       Contr.       0       600         males       0       600       1200       2400       Contr.       0       600         306        2       915        203          9.2        0       32       2.9        0         3.0         32       2.9        0         4        32       2.9        0       0.04       0.05       0.012         9.9       10       11.2       11.7       14.75       6.3       6.1         6       2.9       2.1**       3.2**       4.8**       2.7       2.7         0.016       0.019       0.004       0.005       0.006**       0.006       0.005	Trifluoroacetate         Pos.         Trifluoroacetate           0         600         1200         2400         Contr.         0         600         2200           male         0         600         2200         femal           306          0         5.9          7           9.2          10.2          5.9          7           3.0          32         2.9          7         7           3.0          32         2.9          7         7           4         7         373         374         381         367         204**         2231         228         226           9.9         10.7         11.7         14.76         6.3         6.1         6.2           26         29         3.1**         3.2**         4.8**         2.7         2.7         2.7           0.016         0.019         0.016         0.020         0.019         0.015         0.012         0.014	Trifluoroacetate         Pos.         Trifluoroacetate           0         600         1200         2400         contr.         0         600         200         2400           male         0         600         1200         2400         contr.         0         600         200         2400           male         0         600         700         700         700         700         700           306          9.15          203          196           9.2          9.2          7.5.9          5.7           3.0          32         20         20          7.7         2.9           4         7         3.73         374         381         367         304**         231         228         226         223           9.9         10.7         11.5         14.75         6.3         6.1         6.2         6.4           26         29         21**         32**         4.8**         27         2.7         2.9           0.016         0.019         0.004         0.005         0.006**         0.006         0.

 Table 5.8.1/25-7:
 Summary of organ weights

Pos. Comtr. = positive control

\*\* statistically different from control  $\gamma \leq 0.0$  s  $\sim$  no data

# G. Gross necropsy

Trifluoroacetate: Only few gross pathology changes were noted and considered as incidental findings. Positive control: At terminal sacrifice obviously arger livers were observed in 2/5 males.

# H. Micropathology

Trifluoroacetate: At interim sacrifice a stight increase of hepatocellular mitoses was observed in all males and 2/3 females at 2400 ppm. At terminal sacrifice slight diffuse centrilobular hepatocellular hypertrophy was observed in 0/5 and 0/5 males at 2400 and 1200 ppm, respectively.

All other changes were considered to be incidental in origin and unrelated to the treatment.

# **III.** Conclusion

The NOAEL is 600 ppm (43 /45 mg/kg bw/day males / females) based on liver findings (increased organ weight in correlation with hepatocellular hypertrophy, increased cytochrome P-450, lauric acid hydroxylation activity, specific and total palmitoyl-CoA oxidation activities) in male rats. Trifluoroacetate is a very weak peroxisome proliferator in male rats at doses  $\geq$  1200 ppm (85 mg/kg bw/day).





#### **II. Results and discussion**

# A. Mortality

No mortalities were noted during the study.



### **B.** In life observations

No clinical signs were observed during the study in either sex.

#### C. Body weight

Body weight and body weight gain were not affected by treatment.

#### **D.** Food consumption

No effect on mean food consumption was noted in either sex

#### E. Ophthalmology

the study in either sex There were no treatment related ophthalmological furthing

#### F. Laboratory investigations

#### Hematology

No treatment related effects.

#### **Clinical chemistry**

y meither sex. Slightly higher alanine aminotransferase activity (ALAT) was observed at 46000 ppm in both sexes (+37% in males and +23% in females). Decreased lower cholesterol concentration (CHOL) was noted in males ≥5400 ppm (-30% and 29% respectively). Increased concentration of glucose (GLUC) was noted in all treated groups in both sexes.

However, in the absence of associated histopathological findings these changes are not considered to be adverse.

Parameter		Dose gr	oup (ppr	n) males	<u></u>		Dose gro	oup (ppm	) females	5
means (unit)		600	~1800	5400	16000	0	600	1800	5400	16000
ALAT (IU/L)	2~38	44	45	×43 🐇	52**	35	36	41	40	43*
CHOL (mmol/L)	2.14	1.69	1.65	1.510	1.50*	1.75	1.84	1.60	1.86	2.00
GLUC (mmol/L)	5.770°	4.1 F**	3.70**	4,25**	4.09**	6.17	4.32**	5.19	4.18**	4.32**

# Table 5.8.1/26-1: Summary of climical chemistr

\*, \*\* statistically different from control  $5 \le 0.05$   $p \le 0.01$ 

# Urinal

A dose-related increase of the ketope@oncentration was noted in all dose groups in both sexes. Higher mean urinary volume was noted at 6000 ppm in males (+65%). However, based on the variability of individual values in the control group, this isolated difference was not considered toxicologically relevant.



Dose group (ppm) malesDose group (ppm) females									
0	600	1800	5400	16000	0	600	1800	5400	16000
						~	K _	° (	
1	0	0	0	0	0	1		00	0Ô
3	0	0	0	0	0	207	N.	<u>^</u> 2	× P
1	1	0	0	0	$\mathbb{A}^0$	2	°∼∕3	× 2	<del>گ`</del> 3
0	1	0	0	0		0 %			1
0	3	5	5	5	$\bigcirc 0$	<u>6</u>	00	ø	0
7.1	9.9	9.6	8.5	11.70	2,0%	4 A	25 S	Q.2	\$5.3
	0 1 3 1 0 0 7.1	Dose gr           0         600           1         0           3         0           1         1           0         1           0         3           7.1         9.9	Dose group (ppn           0         600         1800           1         0         0           3         0         0           1         1         0           0         1         0           0         3         5           7.1         9.9         9.6	Dose group (ppm) males           0         600         1800         5400           1         0         0         0           3         0         0         0           1         1         0         0           3         0         0         0           1         1         0         0           0         1         0         0           0         3         5         5           7.1         9.9         9.6         8.5	Dose group (ppm) males           0         600         1800         5400         16000           1         0         0         0         0           3         0         0         0         0           1         1         0         0         0           1         1         0         0         0           1         1         0         0         0           0         1         0         0         0           0         3         5         5         5           7.1         9.9         9.6         8.5         11.75	Dose group (ppm) males           0         600         1800         5400         16000         0           1         0         0         0         0         0         0           1         0         0         0         0         0         0           3         0         0         0         0         0         0           1         1         0         0         0         0         0           0         1         0         0         0         0         0           0         3         5         5         5         0         0           7.1         9.9         9.6         8.5         11         2         0	Dose group (ppm) males         Dose group (ppm) (ppm) males         Dose group (ppm) (ppm	Dose group (ppm) males         Dose group (ppm)           0         600         1800         5400         16000         0         600         1800           1         0         0         0         0         0         1         0         0         0         1         0         0         0         1         0         0         0         1         0         0         0         1         0         0         0         1         0         0         0         0         0         0         0         0         0         0         0         0         0         0         0         0         0         0         0         0         0         0         0         0         0         0         0         0         0         0         0         0         0         0         0         0         0         0         0         0         0         0         0         0         0         0         0         0         0         0         0         0         0         0         0         0         0         0         0         0         0         0         0         0         0	Dose group (ppm) males       Dose group (ppm) remaines         0       600       1800       5400       16000       0       600       1800       5400         1       0       0       0       0       0       1       0       0       0       5400         3       0       0       0       0       0       0       2 $4$ $2$ 1       1       0       0       0       0       2 $4$ $2$ 1       1       0       0       0       0       2 $4$ $2$ 1       1       0       0       0       0       0 $2$ $4$ $2$ 1       1       0       0       0       0 $2$ $4$ $2$ $2$ 0       3       5       5       5       0       0 $0$ $0$ $0$ $1$ 0       3       5       5       5       0 $0$ $0$ $0$ $0$ $0$ $0$ $0$ $0$ $0$ $0$ $0$ $0$ $0$ $0$

# Table 5.8.1/26-2: Urinalysis summary

\* statistically different from control  $p \le 0.0$ 

# G. Organ weight

At 16000 ppm, mean absolute and relative liver weights were higher and statistically different in both sexes, when compared to controls. At 5400 ppm in both sexes and at 1800 ppm in males, mean liver to body weight ratios were higher and statistically different, when compared to controls. As these differences were not associated with refevant histopathological findings, they were considered not to be toxicologically relevant.

# Table 5.8.1/26-3: Liver weight changes at terminal sacrifice (%) change when compared to controls)

			Con (	~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~				
	<u></u>	N R	ale ô	, O	$\sim$	Fer	nale	
Dose (ppm)	<sup>م</sup> 600 م	1800	5400	<b>46000</b>	<i>6</i> 00	1800	5400	16000
Mean absolute liver 📎	NO	+6%	\$9% ×	Ç%+24%	NC	NC	+7%	+15%
weight 🔊		ONS	S NS	p≤0.05	ne	NC	NS	p≤0.05
Mean liver to body	NC 4	×+15%	+19%	-33%	NC	+7%	+13%	+18%
weight ratio		p⊴9.91	p≶0.01	<b>⊘</b> ¢≦0.01	NC	NS	p≤0.05	p≤0.01
Mean liver to brain	مەر مەر	@ NC	A12%	+27%	NC	+10%	+12%	+24%
weight ratio			NSO	p≤0.01	NC	NS	NS	p≤0.01

NC: no relevant hange NS. not statistically significant

The other organ weight differences, even if statistically significant were judged to be incidental and not treatment related.

# H. Gross necrops

A higher incidence of enlarged liver was observed in both sexes at 16000 and 5400 ppm when compared to controls. As this finding was not correlated with any histopathological finding at the microscopic examination, it was considered to be without toxicological significance.

All other gross pathology changes were considered as incidental and not treatment related.

# I. Micropathology

There were no treatment related histopathological changes. All histopathological findings encountered were considered to have arisen spontaneously.



#### **III.** Conclusion

The NOAEL of the present study was established at 16000 ppm in both sexes after 28-day exposure to sodium trifluoroacetate (TFA) which is equivalent to 1315 / 1344 mg/kg bw/day in males and females.

Report:	2; (007;M-283994-01)
Title:	Sodium trifloroacetate (TFA) 90-day toxicity study in the rat by dietary
	administration
Report No:	SA06080
Document No:	M-283994-01-1
Guidelines:	OECD 408; Directive 2001/59/EC, Method B.26; US-EPA OPPTS
	8/0.3100; JNIAFF 12 Nousan n° 84/;
CI P/CFP·	vos
ULI/ULI.	
	I. Materials and methods
A. Materials	
1. Test material:	Sôdium trifuoroacetate (76Å)
Description:	white solid ' C , C , Y
Lot/Batch no:	× KTS \$10279-46-1 . Φ . Φ
Purity:	
Stability of test	compound: guaranteed for study duration; expiry date: 2006-10-05
2. Vehicle:	$\mathcal{F}$ $\mathcal{O}$ $\mathcal{O}'$ $\mathcal{O}'$ $\mathcal{O}'$
3.Test animals	
Species:	Wistar rat 2
Strain:	Ri:WEOPS HAN)
Age:	V 7 weeks
Weight at dosing	g næles: 220 g - 259 g, females: 165 g - 200 g
Source:	, France
Acclimatisation	perfod: s at least 12 days
Diet: N	centified redent powdered and irradiated diet A04CP1 10 (S.A.F.E.
O' Å	Scientific Animal Food and Engineering, Augy, France))
Water: O	Otap wäter
Housing:	رُمْ اللَّهُ suspended, stainless steel, wire-mesh cages
B. Study design and	methods
1. Animal assignment	nt and treatment
Dose:	∞
d	equivalent to: 0-9.9-98-1043 mg/kg bw/day (males)
Ô	<sup>2</sup> 0-12.2-123-1216 mg/kg bw/day (females)
Duration:	90 days
Application rout	e: oral
Group size:	10 rat/sex/group



Observations:

mortality, clinical signs, body weight, food consumption, neurotoxicity ophthalmology, urinalysis, haematology, clinical chemistry, gross necropsy, organs weight, histopathology

# II. Results and discussion

### A. Mortality

One male from the 1600 ppm group was found dead on study day 15.

### **B.** In life observations

No treatment-related clinical signs were observed.

One male from the 16000 ppm group was noted to have ocular discharge in both eyes from study days 78 to 85. As this sign was transient and disappeared before the end of the study, it was considered not to be treatment-related.

### C. Body weight

At 16000 ppm, mean body weight of males was reduced by 5 to 11% from study day 15 onwards, resulting in an overall reduction in mean body weight can of 1% on day 92 when compared to controls. The effect was statistically significant at most time points ( $p \le 0.01$  or 0.05). In females, mean body weight was reduced by up to 6% during the confise of the study resulting in an overall reduction in mean body weight gain of 14% on Day 92, when compared to controls. The effect was statistically significant at most time points ( $p \le 0.01$  or 0.05). In females, mean body weight gain of 14% on Day 92, when compared to controls. The effect was statistically significant on a number of occasions for cumulative body weight gain ( $p \le 0.01$  or 0.05). Body weight parameters were not affected in either same the 1600 mm or 0.01 or 0.05).

Body weight parameters were not affected in ether sex at 1600 ppm and at 160 ppm.

Dose	Dose Mean Mean Males Males													
(ppm)	1	8	150	22	چ <sup>*</sup> 29	36	<b>43</b>	50	57	64	71	78	85	92
0	245	°299	348	384	412	442 °	466	Z <b>4</b> 85	503	516	524	535	543	550
160	246	298	348	384	AQ	438	461	¥480	498	509	516	530	536	544
1600	244	294	342	<b>Q</b> 76	<b>40</b> 1	~4 <i>3</i> 9	449	470	483	496	499	514	522	529
16000	243	291	332*,	359 <sup>+</sup>	378 <sup>+</sup>	° <b>4</b> 04⁺	<b>42</b> 1 <sup>+</sup>	439+	$450^{+}$	465+	$471^{+}$	$482^{+}$	$490^{+}$	496+
		Ľ,	Q.	Ś	Å.	, (	◯ Fem	ales						
D		200 -	((// n <sup>*</sup>	s		an hadi	v weigh	t (g) on	etudy /	dav				
Dose	0	Q			Care	an yog	y weigh	(g) 01	Study	uay			_	
Dose (ppm)	1	\$ <b>8</b> _ (	2 15 S	ي 22	29		43	50 50	57	64	71	78	85	92
Dose (ppm) 0	1000 1,82	<b>8</b> 2040	220 C	222 228	239 239	<b>30</b> 249	<b>43</b> 260	<b>50</b> 264	<b>57</b> 269	<b>64</b> 271	<b>71</b> 274	<b>78</b> 278	<b>85</b> 280	<b>92</b> 282
<b>Dose</b> (ppm) 0 160	1 182	<b>8</b> 2040 263	220 C	228 230	239 239 238	<b>36</b> 249 248	<b>43</b> 260 256	<b>50</b> 264 264	<b>57</b> 269 271	64 271 276	<b>71</b> 274 276	<b>78</b> 278 277	<b>85</b> 280 280	<b>92</b> 282 282
Dose (ppm) 0 160 1600	182 182 181 183	2040 205 205 7200	220 C 222 222 27	22 228 230 228 230	239 239 238 237	<b>30</b> <b>24</b> 9 248 244	<b>43</b> 260 256 251	<b>50</b> 264 264 257	<b>57</b> 269 271 262	64 271 276 268	<b>71</b> 274 276 271	<b>78</b> 278 277 275	<b>85</b> 280 280 277	<b>92</b> 282 282 284

# Table 5.8.1/27-1: Summary of Grean body weights (g)

\* Statistically significant different from control (p < 0.05)

<sup>+</sup> Statistically significant different from control (p < 0.01)

In males, there was a dose-related trend towards lower terminal body weight when compared to controls, the effect being statistically significant at 16000 ppm (-11 %, p $\leq$ 0.01). In females, the mean terminal body weight was slightly lower at 16000 ppm (not statistically significant).

# **D.** Food consumption

Up to the highest dose level tested food consumption was not affected in either sex.



# E. Ophthalmology

There was no evidence of treatment-related effects up to the highest dose level tested of 16000 ppm. One male from the 16000 ppm group had a corneal opacity in the left eye and another male had anterior synechia in the iris of the left eye.

# F. Neurotoxicological investigations

### Locomotor activity

At 16000, 1600 and 160 ppm in both sexes, overall mean exploratory locomotor activity was comparable to control values. In addition, the pattern of the locomotor activity over time was similar to controls.

### **Open field observation**

No treatment-related changes were recorded during the open field observation and dese level in either sex. The few changes noted were observed in relation and/or with no dose-relationship and were considered not to be treatment-related

### Sensory reactivity

All reflexes and responses evaluated were unaffected by the treatment at any dose level in either sex. The increased incidence of exaggerated flexor reflex for both hind paws observed in the high dose females was considered not to be treatment-related, due to the limited magnitude of the change and inter-individual variation of this parameter.

# **Grip strength**

The fore- and hind-limb grip strength were unaffected by treatment at any dose level in either sex. A slight decrease in foretunb grip strength was observed in high dose females in comparison to controls  $(-17\%, p \le 0.01)$ , but it was considered to be fortuitous and the to a particularly high mean value in the control group. Furthermore, the mean value observed in the high dose females for this parameter was within the in-house historical control range

### G. Laboratory investigations Haematology

Treatment-related changes were noted only in females at 16000 and 1600 ppm.

 $\bigcirc$ 

When compared to the controls, lower mean haemoglobin concentration (-8%, p $\leq$ 0.01) was noted at 16000 ppm in females only. This slight change was associated with lower mean corpuscular volume (-6%, p $\leq$ 0.01) mean corpuscular haemoglobin (-7%, p $\leq$ 0.01) and haematocrit (-6%, p $\leq$ 0.01).

At 1600 ppm, lower mean haemoglobin concentration (-4%, p $\leq$ 0.05), essentially due to low values noted in two arithmals, and lower@nean corpuscular haemoglobin (-3%, p $\leq$ 0.01) were also noted.

No treatment-related change was noted in males at any dose level and in females at 160 ppm.

The few other statistically significant differences were considered to be incidental in view of their occurrence at the lowest dose and/or their low magnitude.



		Mean ± SD (% chang	ge when compared to cont	trol)
Parameter	Hb (g/dL)	MCV (fl)	Hct (L/L)	🖏 MCH (pg)
Dose (ppm)				e O
0	$15.6 \pm 0.7$ ()	$52 \pm 1$ ()	0.462 ± 0.019 () %	7 17.4≒ 0.4     (()
160	$15.6 \pm 0.4$ (±0	%) $51 \pm 2$ (-2%)	$0.467 \pm 0.010$ (+1%)	$17.0 \pm 0.5$ $O(-2\%)$
1600	$14.9 \pm 0.6^{*}$ (-4	%) $50 \pm 1$ (-4%)	$0.448 \pm 0.018$ (2%)	$16.8 \pm 0.3^{**}$ (-3%)
16000	$14.4 \pm 0.4^{**}$ (-8)	%) $49 \pm 1^{**} (-6\%)$	0.435 + 0.010** (+6%)	\$\16.2 ± \$\5** (-\$%)

#### Table 5.8.1/27-2: Summary of haematology parameter changes in females

Hb = haemoglobin concentration; MCV = mean corpuscular volume. Hct = haematecrit;

MVH = mean corpuscular haemoglobin \* = statistically significant different from control ( $p \le 0.05$ ); \*\* = statistically significant different from control ( $p \le 0.05$ ); \*\* = statistically significant different from control ( $p \le 0.05$ ); \*\* = statistically significant different from control ( $p \le 0.05$ ); \*\* = statistically significant different from control ( $p \le 0.05$ ); \*\* = statistically significant different from control ( $p \le 0.05$ ); \*\* = statistically significant different from control ( $p \le 0.05$ ); \*\* = statistically significant different from control ( $p \le 0.05$ ); \*\* = statistically significant different from control ( $p \le 0.05$ ); \*\* = statistically significant different from control ( $p \le 0.05$ ); \*\* = statistically significant different from control ( $p \le 0.05$ ); \*\* = statistically significant different from control ( $p \le 0.05$ ); \*\* = statistically significant different from control ( $p \le 0.05$ ); \*\* = statistically significant different from control ( $p \le 0.05$ ); \*\* = statistically significant different from control ( $p \le 0.05$ ); \*\* = statistically significant different from control ( $p \le 0.05$ ); \*\* = statistically significant different from control ( $p \le 0.05$ ); \*\* = statistically significant different from control ( $p \le 0.05$ ); \*\* = statistically significant different from control ( $p \le 0.05$ ); \*\* = statistically significant different from control ( $p \le 0.05$ ); \*\* = statistically significant different from control ( $p \le 0.05$ ); \*\* = statistically significant different from control ( $p \le 0.05$ ); \*\* = statistically significant different from control ( $p \le 0.05$ ); \*\* = statistically significant different from control ( $p \le 0.05$ ); \*\* = statistically significant different from control ( $p \le 0.05$ ); \*\* = statistically significant different from control ( $p \le 0.05$ ); \*\* = statistically significant different from control ( $p \le 0.05$ ); \*\* = statistically significant different from control ( $p \le 0.05$ ); \*\* = statistically significant different from control ( $p \le 0.05$ ); \*\* = statistically significant differen

### **Clinical chemistry**

Treatment-related changes were observed at 1600@and 1600 ppm in both sexes. Mean to a bilirubin and glucose concentrations were lower in both sexes and mean alkaline phosphatase; alanine aminotransferase and aspartate aminotransferase activities were higher in males only.

The slightly lower mean total bilirubin concentration noted at 160 ppm in both sexes was considered not to be treatment-related as the difference to controls was not statistically significant and all individual values were within the in-home historical control data.

	*	′∫ Mearo≇ SD (%	change when com	pared to control)	
Parameter	Bili 🔊	Gluc	ALP	مُ 🖓 AST	ALT
	(mmol/L)	@mmol/LŶ	(IU/L) «	∠→ (IU/L)	(IU/L)
Dose (ppm)			majles 🗸	7	
0	1.6 ± 0.4	5.87 0.53	\$0 ± 9	$89 \pm 37$	$47 \pm 25$
0	20 - C		()	()	()
160	$1.1 \pm 0.2$	$5 40 \pm 0.64$	5 × 68 ± 40 ×	$83 \pm 21$	$47 \pm 20$
100		Q (-8%)	Q (-1,5%)	(-7%)	(±0%)
1600 🎄	©~0.5 ±Q,¥**	4.21 + 0.44**	100 ± 18	$146 \pm 118$	$87 \pm 84$
1000	v (~69%)	× (28%), °	×(#33%)	(+64%)	(+85%)
16000	0°3≫± 0.2**	$4.14 \pm 0.84$	<b>‰</b> 156 ± 39**	$111 \pm 24$	$65 \pm 19*$
10000	<u>√</u> (-81%)	~~~(-29%)	◎	(+25%)	(+38%)
Dose (ppm)	Ŷ <u></u> Ű		females		
	∑ 2.1,¥0.5 &	5.50± 0.860	$50 \pm 12$	$73 \pm 12$	$38 \pm 9$
00	() O'	$\mathbb{O}^{\mathbb{V}}()$	()	()	()
, A	$91.8 \pm 0.4$	$3.13 \pm 0.56$	$45 \pm 10$	$82 \pm 17$	$40 \pm 10$
	(-14 <b>6</b> )	L (-8%)	(-10%)	(+12%)	(+5%)
1600	∑ 1.0 ±℃.6**	<sup>2</sup> 4.19 <sup>Q</sup> 0.45**	$53 \pm 15$	$87 \pm 16$	$47 \pm 17$
1000%	<u>(</u> -52%)	<u>(</u> <sup>2</sup> 25%)	(+6%)	(+19%)	(+26%)
16000	$\sqrt{5}^{5} \pm 0.3^{1}$	4.62 ± 1.11**	$50 \pm 12$	$85 \pm 12$	$45 \pm 5$
16000	(-76%)	"O" (-17%)	(±0%)	(+16%)	(+18%)

# Table 5.8.1/27-3: Summary of clinical chemistry parameter changes in males and females

Bili = total bilirubin; CDrc = glucose; ALP = alkaline phosphatase; AST = aspartate amino transferase ALP = alanine amino transferase

\* = statistically significant different from control ( $p \le 0.05$ ); \*\* = statistically significant different from control ( $p \le 0.01$ )

Several males from all treated and control groups had elevated aspartate aminotransferase and alanine aminotransferase activities. These effects were considered to be treatment-related at 16000 and 1600 ppm in males as they were of high magnitude and/or outside the in-house historical control data.



There was no effect on these parameters in females at any dose level.

The other statistically significant differences were considered not to be treatment-related in view of the variation of the individual values and/or their low magnitude.

### Urine analysis

When compared to the control groups, higher ketone levels were noted at 1600 and 1600 ppm in both sexes.

No other treatment-related change was noted for the parameters assayed. The few other statistically significant differences were considered to be incidental.

		-		Å		.4		le la	
Dos	se (ppm)	0	160	160®	16900	૾ૺૼૼૼૼૼૼૼ	160	D 1600	16000
Grade			males		~? ``		females (		
n° samples examined		10	10	<b>8</b>		۶ ۹%	<b>10</b>	<u> </u>	10
Glucose	0	10	10 %	8	100	" <u>9</u> "	٩Û	" <i>©</i> "10	10
	1	0	0 🔊		(D)	ã ,	» 0 گ	0	0
	2	0	05	0	<u>المي المجامعات المحامعات المحامع محامعات المحامعات المحامعات المحامعات محامعات المحامعات المحامعات المحامع محامعات محامعات المحامعات المحامعات محامعات المحامعات المحامعات المحامع محامعات المحامع محامعات المحامعات المحامعات المحامعات المحامعات المحامع محامعات محامعات محامعات محامع محامعات محامع محامع محامعات محامعات محامعات محامعات محامعات مح محامعات محامعات محامعات محامعات محامع محامع محامع محامع محامعات محامعات محامعات محامعات محامعات محامعات محامعات</u>	$\mathbb{Q}^{\vee} 0$	> 0,~~	0	0
	3	0	Ű,				, O	0	0
	4	0	$\mathbb{O}_0$	≫ّ 0 ِ≪		Ĵ.	s Co	0	0
Bilirubin	0	10 🤇	چ 10	8 🌾	10	£9 ·	<b>≫</b> ″10	10	10
	1	0 🔊		Q.	<b>_</b> Q0	Q`0 🚕	0	0	0
	2	Q	Ø	$\sqrt{0}0$	🔊 0 🗞		0	0	0
	3	Ś		0	0°°%	×0×	0	0	0
	4		$\bigcirc 0 \bigcirc 0$		Ð	~~Ø	0	0	0
Ketones	0	$\mathcal{D} 0 \mathcal{L}$	0 %	0	Ø0	<b>6</b>	10	3	0
		0 ″	A Y		$^{\circ}$ 0 $^{\circ}$	3	0	1	0
	Ũ	S.	A 7 (	ŷ 0 ý	≶ 0.C>	0	0	6	3
	چ 3	$0^{\vee}1$	or" 2 🍣		O)×	0	0	0	4
	¢م لا م	$\gg 0 \gg$	¢	81	@10	0	0	0	5
Occult blood	<sup>۲</sup> 0 ک	La contraction of the second se	×90	8	S 9	9	10	10	10
* ¥	~ĴŶ	q	0°0 🔅		0	0	0	0	0
	×2	× 1	ຍ 0ູ0	ĵ ĝ≫	0	0	0	0	0
(	× 3 🖞	y 1,7		0	1	0	0	0	0
	<u>4</u>	0	<b>N</b>	\$\$°0	0	0	0	0	0
Protein O <sup>o</sup>	A V	0 n	õ <sup>se</sup> 0 🗞	0	0	9	10	10	10
4	ĉĭ	0	ĭ 1,≪	0	2	0	0	0	0
S"	2	9	~8,0	8	8	0	0	0	0
	3,5	<b>N</b>	<u>`</u> 00	0	0	0	0	0	0
$\swarrow'$	.4		s × 1	0	0	0	0	0	0
Urobilinogen	~~~~	0 <sup>°</sup> 10	10	8	10	9	10	10	10
4	V 1 ,	0 0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	2,5	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Đ	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	4	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

# Table 5.8.1/27-4: Semi-quantitative urinalysis incidence summary table

# H. Organ weight

Mean absolute and relative liver weight were statistically significantly higher in male and female rats at 16000 and 1600 ppm when compared to controls. These changes were dose- and treatment related



and associated with hepatocellular hypertrophy.

All other statistically significant organ weight differences were judged to be incidental in view of their individual variation and in the absence of any correlated histopathological finding.

Table 5 8 1/27-5	Summary	of liver	weight data	at terminal	sacrifice
1 abit 5.0.1/4/-5.	Summary		weight uata	at ter minai	sacinic

		·	8		$\sim$	~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~		71		
	Mean (% change when compared to @ntrol)									
Parameter	Absolute	liver weight (g)	Liver to bo	Liver to body weight ratio			Liver to brain weight ratio			
Dose (ppm)			]	males		×,				
0	12.15	()	2.327	~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~	\$ \$566	5.9300	Ø			
160	11.61	(-4%)	2.258	© (-3%)	546	5.17.7	~(-4%)L	0		
1600	13.25*	(+9%)	2.657**%	$\mathcal{J}  (+14\%)$	612	00081 0	) <sup>v</sup> (+8%) <sup>v</sup>			
16000	14.48	(+19%)	3.102**	<u>م(</u> @3%)	<sub>4</sub> © 764	′.329** <sup>©</sup>	(+24%)			
Dose (ppm)			$\mathcal{Q}^{\nu}$ for	emales 🗞		ð	Ũ			
0	5.96	()	2,243	<u>v</u> () <u>v</u>	307	/.108	()			
160	6.25	(+5%)	~2×343 ^	J (+4%)	<u>د</u> 316	5.173 💍	≫ (+3%)			
1600	6.71*	(+13%)	, <sup>©</sup> Ž.520** <sup>*</sup> ≶	' (Q2%)	, í <u>3</u> 04	4.508 🐨 🤊	(+9%)			
16000	7.36**	(+23%)	2.880**	(A-28%)	982	2.160***	(+24%)			

\* = statistically significant different from control  $(p \le 0.05)$ ; \*\*= statistically significant different from control  $(p \le 0.01)$ 

# J. Gross necropsy

Unscheduled death

One male was found dead on study day 5. This animal was noted to have torsion and a dark content within the ileum and jejunum. This in estimal torsion was considered to be the cause of death and was therefore incidental. All other macroscopic findings were related to agonal changes found at the histopathology examination and were considered not to be treatment-related.

Terminal sacrifice

With the exception of the higher increase of foci (red or white) within the liver observed in males at 16000 ppm, all the other changes were considered to be increased and not treatment-related

# K. Micropathology

Unscheduled death

In addition to agonal changes, degenerative cardiomyopathy was noted. This change is a common spontaneous finding observed in the Wistar rat of this strain and age, it was considered not to be treatment-related. The cause of death was considered to be the intestinal torsion noted at necropsy.

# Terminal sacrifice

Treatment-related histopathological changes were observed in the liver.

In all male and most females at 4,6000 ppm, as well as in a proportion of males at 1600 ppm, a minimal to moderate diffuse centrilobular to panlobular hepatocellular hypertrophy with ground-glass appearance of the hepatocellular cytoplasm was observed. This latter observation is usually induced by peroxisome proliferators. This change was associated with a loss of the periportal hepatocellular vacuolation observed at 16000 ppm in both sexes and at 1600 ppm in males. The effect was dose-related and correlated with the higher mean liver weight noted in these groups.

There was also a higher incidence of hepatocellular necrotic foci in males at 16000 ppm when compared to controls, which was considered to be adverse. This finding was correlated with higher individual values of aspartate aminotransferase and alanine aminotransferase activities observed in


clinical chemistry evaluation.

A higher incidence of minimal to slight degenerative cardiomyopathy was noted in males at 16000 ppm. As this change is a common spontaneous finding observed in the Wistar rat of this strain and age, including in untreated control animals, with a similar severity and incidence, it was considered not to be treatment-related.

No effect of treatment was seen in any other organ examined microscopically. Some other histopathological findings were noted in animals of all groups but they were considered to be incidental, as they were within the range of expected changes for rats of this age and stain kept under laboratory conditions.

Table 5.8.1/27-6:	Incidence and severity	of microscop	ic change	s in the	liver, all	animals,	terminal
	sacrifice	<u>A</u>		A	~ ,	s á	Ű,

				Š					
Dose (ppm)	0	160	<b>£600</b>	<b>36000</b>	», 0 °	160	1,600	16000	
Sex		M	ales 1	Õ Ø		🔬 Fen	nales		
Number of animals examined	10	10	× 9,54			× 10	10 i j	10	
Centrilobular to panlob	Centrilobular to panlobular hepatocellular hyperterophy, diffuse 🗸 👋								
Minimal	1	çõ 🥺	3 1			, CO	0	5	
Slight	0		2 🏷	Ø	2 <sup>0</sup>	× 0	0	4	
Moderate	0 ~		<u>A</u>	Ø 3		0	0	0	
Total	×U	°°°	ر 5 ∞	10 🔊		0	0	9	
Periportal hepatocellular vaccoolations diffuse									
Minimal	Š 4 (Č	× 3~~	Ø,	<u> </u>	\$ 5	6	7	0	
Total	4 🖤	23	≪0		5	6	7	0	
Hepatocellular necretic focus (i), focal nultifical									
Minimal	0 <sup>×1</sup>		1,5,*	Ĩ,	1	0	1	1	
Slight	× 1 ≪	Ð	~¥∕	_@ 1	0	1	0	0	
Moderate	×	A Q		<b>§</b> 1	0	0	0	0	
Total	3	2	y 3	7	1	1	1	1	
<u> </u>			<u> </u>						

# III. Conclusion

Based on the study results (changes in haematological and clinical chemistry parameters, organ weights and histopathological liver findings) the NOAEL of the present study was established at 160 ppm in both sexes after 90-day exposure to sodium trifluoroacetate (TFA) which is equivalent to 10 / 12 mg/kg bw/day in males and females.





Report:	h; ;2010;M-411209	9-01
Title:	Trifluoroacetic acid: Embryo-fetal oral gavage	toxicity study in rats
Report No:	09-4352	
Document No:	M-411209-01-1	
<b>Guidelines:</b>	US-EPA OPPTS 870.3700; OECD 414;	L o
	Deviations: none	
GLP/GEP:	yes	Gi Li , Ui
	•	

# Supplementary studies on the active substance CA 5.8.2

#### Summary of supplementary studies

#### Flufenacet

In a mechanistic study, male rats were provided thyroid hormone replacement herapy wia osmotic minipumps and then fed diets of FOE 5043. The data suggested that FOE 5043 induced alterations in serum thyroid hormone levels, most notably serum thyroxone (T<sub>4</sub>), are being mediated indirectly. Specifically, a chemically-induced increase in hepatic of metabolisms implied by the gross and histopathologic changes in the liver, rather than through a mechanism of direct chemical interference with the synthesizing/secreting functions of the thyroid gland is strongly suggested.

This study was conducted to investigate the hypothesis that the effects of FOE 5043 on the thyroidal economy of the male rat were secondary to chemical induction of the liver's capacity to clear from the circulation, metabolize, and excrete the soxine.

In this mechanistic study, the structural and/or functional integrity of each potential target site or sites comprising the hypothalamic-pitutary-theroid-hepatic axis was examined in male rats (CDF[F-344]/BR) following exposure to FOE 5043. Twenty one days of treatment with FOE 5043 (purity ~97.2%) at a rate of 1000 ppp as a dietary admixture was found to significantly increase the clearance of [<sup>125</sup>I]TO from the serum, suggesting an enhanced excretion of the hormone. In the liver, the activity of kepatic uridine glucuronosyl transferase (UDP-GT), a major pathway of thyroid hormone biotransformation in the ration increased in a statistically significant and dose-dependent manner; conversely hepatics' more deiodifase activity trended downward with dose. Bile flow as well as the hepatic uptake and bilinary excretion of  $\int^{125} I T_4$  were increased following exposure to FOE 5043. Thyroidal function, as measured by the discharge of iodide ion in response to perchlorate, and pituitary function, as measured by the capacity of the pituitary to secrete thyrotropin (TSH) in response to an exogenous challenge by hypothalamic thyrotropin releasing hormone (TRH), were both unchanged from the controlled response

These data suggest that the functional status of the thyroid and pituitary glands have not been altered by treatment with FOE 5945, and that reductions in circulating levels of T<sub>4</sub> are being mediated indirectly through an increase in the biotransformation and excretion of thyroid hormone in the liver. Please refer to the Monograph and baseline dossier KCA 5.8.2, M-004982-03-1, M-012231-01-2, M-012226-01-1)

A mechanistic study was conducted with FOE-thiadone (M09) in order to test the hypothesis that the neurotoxicity in high-dose dogs given parent compound was likely caused by metabolic limitations. The study provides a preponderance of scientific support for the conclusion that limitations in glutathione interdependent pathways and antioxidant stress resulted in metabolic lesions in the brain and heart of dogs. (M-004978-01-1)



For registration of flufenacet in the United States (US), a developmental neurotoxicity study was conducted based on thyroid-related findings and therefore, the potential for affecting development of the nervous system. In this study dietary exposure to flufenacet did not cause any neurotoxic effect in parental and offspring animals. Treatment-related findings consisted of reduced food consumption and a reduction in maternal body weights during gestation and in males at the mid- and high-dose. Body weights were also reduced in mid and high-dose F1-males and high-dose F1-females. F1 offspring of these dose groups exhibited also a delay in development (eye opening, preputial separation), for details please refer to supplemental dossier MCA 5.7.1.

Furthermore, the US EPA required a special comparative thyroid pensitivity assay with flufenacet in neonatal and adult (pregnant and Mactating) female rats in order to investigate potential neonatal susceptibility to thyroid-related neurodevelopmental effects. Besides the range-finding study, two dietary studies were conducted to evaluate the effects of flufenacet on thyroid endpoints in pregnant and lactating rats and their offspring during fetal and post-natal development.

Dietary exposure to flufenacet during pregnancy from gestation day 6 to 20 revealed no adverse effects up to the top dose tested in dams and focuses. Slight (non-statistical) decrease in T4 showed no compensatory thyroid response.

Dietary exposure to flufenacer during pregnancy and lactation from gestation day 6 to lactation/post natal day 21 induced a slight decrease in maternal body weight gain resulting in lower body weight and decreases in T4 and T3 with theroid follicular cell hypertrophy in two dams. In post natal day (PND) 21 pups the highest dose tested (500 ppm) reduced body weight and weight gain, with slightly lower T3 in males and females. Thus, these results support 16.7 mg/kg bw/day (100 ppm) flufenacet as a NOAEL and 84.2 mg/kg/day (500 ppm) as a LOAEL in the dam and offspring with dietary exposure during pregnancy / gestation and lactation.

Flufenacet administration once daily by gavage from PND 10 to 21 to male and female pups at 1.7 mg/kg bw/day had no effect on the thyroid or any other endpoint measured. Thus, 1.7 mg/kg bw/day is a NOAEQ in pre-weaning rats.





Study	Sex	NOAEL	LOAEL	Main effects seen at LOAEL	Reference
•		(mg/kg	g bw/d)	l l l l l l l l l l l l l l l l l l l	
Rat	Dam	1.7/3.0	8.3/15	Dam: BW $\downarrow$ , food intake $\downarrow$ (gestation)	,
developmental	Pup			Pup: BW/BWgain ↓, rel. food intake ↑, ~	2000 🔏 🐁
neurotoxicity		(DG 6-21	/DL 1-12)	delayed development (eye opening, 🤍 🖉	M-026105-01
diet				preputial separation)	also 🔬
					cited MCA35.7.1
Rat	Dam	Na	Na	500 ppm maternal and pup toxicity	
range-finder	Pup				,2012
diet		(DG 6-DI	. 10 or 16)		M-434509-01-1
Rat	Dam	35		Slight changes in TA without correlating	.2012
mechanistic	Fet	(500 ppm)		changes in T3 and TSH, a@well as 🖉 🏾	M-4356 9-01-1
study thyroid				histopathological changes in the thyroid	
effects, diet		(DG	6-20)		Ű
Rat	Dam	17	84	Dants: BW & T4 4 (70%) (19 4 (-19%),	, 2012
mechanistic	Pup	(100 ppm)	(500 ppm)	rel liver weight 1, thyroid follicular, cell	<b>M</b> 435313-01-1
study thyroid			ſ	hypertrophy 2 cases 🔬 🖉	•
effects, diet		(DG 6-	-DL21)	Pups: BW/gain , T3 4 24 %	
Rat	Pup	1.7	,0, *	No adverse effects.	, 2012
mechanistic					M-435313-01-1
study thyroid		(DL 1	l0-21∮∕		
effects,			Ô,		
gavage			~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~		

#### Table 5.8.2-1: Summary of additional studies on the active substance

n.a. = not applicable, BW = body weight Fet = Detuses, DG = Day gestation, DL = Day lactation

Ô

Toxicological studies conducted with FOE-hydroxy FOE-(VDA)-sulfone and FOE-acetate are considered supportion to justify the limits of specified imporities.

#### FOE 5043-hydroxy

FOE 5043-hydroxy showed no genotoxicity potential in the bacterial reverse mutation assay. The substance was moderately toxic after acute oral, and slightly toxic after acute inhalation exposure. FOE 5043-hydroxy was not irritating to the skin and slightly irritating to eyes of rabbits, and showed no skin sensitizing potential under the conditions of the Magnusson-Kligman test.





(0)	ixelet ence
ve 🖉	, 1993
mix)	M-004586-01-1
pprox. 726 mg/kg kw (m)	, 1992
pprox. 474 mg/kg bw (f)	M-004579-017
6802 mg/m <sup>3</sup> (males)	, 1993
6800 mg/m <sup>3</sup> (females)	M-004589-01-2
itating S (Y	, 1992
	M-004564-01-1。
y irritating 🔗 🔊	, 1992
ication not triggered	M-004564-01-1
rsitizing 🔬 🔏 🔬	, 1994
Y Y (	M-004614-01-2

Table 5.0.2 2. Summary of studies with 1 OE 5045 hydroxy	Table 5.8.2- 2:	<b>Summary</b>	of studies	with	FOE	5043-hydroxy
----------------------------------------------------------	-----------------	----------------	------------	------	-----	--------------

FOE 5043-TDA sulfone (synonym FQE 5043-sulfone)

FOE 5043-TDA sulfone (synonym FOE 5043-sulfone) FOE 5043-TDA sulfone showed no genotox potential in the bacterial reverse mutation assay. The substance was moderately toxic after acute oral, and highly toxic after acute inhalation exposure. The substance was irritating to the skin and severely irritating to eyes of rabbits, and showed also a skin sensitizing potential under the conditions of the Magnusson Kligman dest. After inhalation a severe sensory irritation potential with a non-irritant threshold concentration of 0.3 mg/m3 was observed.





Study	Dose	Result	Reference
Bacterial reverse	8-5000 mg/plate	Negative	, 1993
mutation assay	(+/- S9 mix)	(+/- S9 mix)	M-004606-01-1
Acute oral, rat	50-100-150-170-200-300-	LD <sub>50</sub> > 150 - < 2000 mg/kg bw	, 1992
	1000 mg/kg bw		M-004578-01-1
Acute inhalation, rat	Dust: 0-35.3-122.7 mg/m <sup>3</sup>	$LC_{50} \approx 69 \text{ mg/m}^3 \text{ (males)}$	, 1992
(4 hours)	Aerosol: 0-8.2-52.6-89.8-	$LC_{50} > 146.30 \text{mg/m}^3$ (females)	M=004576401-1
	146.3 mg/m <sup>3</sup>		$\checkmark$
Skin irritation,	0.5 g/patch (undiluted)	Irritating, O' 🖉 🦯	, 1992
rabbit			M-004522-014
Eye irritation, rabbit	0.1 mL/animal (undiluted)	Severely irritating	, 1992
			M-004522-01-1
Skin sensitization,	Intradermal: 5%	Sensitizing	<b>49</b> 94
Guinea pig (MKT**)	Topical: 6% 🦿 🦿		M-004673-01-1
	Challenge: 1 and 0.5%		6
Sensory irritation,	0-4.3-9.8-13.3 mg/m <sup>3</sup>	Severe sensory irritation potential	, 1993
mice		non-irritant threshold	M-004601-01-1
(45 min)		eoncentration 0,3 mg/m <sup>2</sup>	r
Sub-acute	0-0.5-3.5-16.3 mg/m <sup>3</sup>	NOAEC: 0.5 mg/m <sup>3</sup>	, 1992
inhalation, range		LOACEC: 3.5 mg/m <sup>3</sup> (slight borly	M-004571-01-2
finder, rat		weight changes, respiratory tract	
(5 x 6h/day)		irritation, hypothermia caused by	
		irritation)	
		Mortality occurred at 16.5 mg/m <sup>3</sup>	100.4
Sub-acute	0-0.44-2.04-7.63 mg/m <sup>3</sup>	LOAEC: 0.97/mg/m	, 1994
innalation, rat		inflammatory changes in the	MI-004779-01-1
(5 x on/day)		upper respiratory tract, sensory	
20-uays		alized al signed	
**MVT – Magnussan V	ligner maximization to		

#### Table 5.8.2- 3: Summary of studies with FOE 5043-Sulfon

FOE 5043-acetate

<u>FOE 5043-acetate</u> FOE 5043-acetate was moderately toxic after acute oral and non-toxic after acute inhalation exposure. It was not irritating to the skin and eyes of rabbits.

0

0	<b>O</b> "			
Table 5 0 7 4.	C	L at a de		5012
1  able  5.8.2-4:	Summary	TI SLUGHES	WILL FUL	5045-acetate
		0- 000-00-0		

 $\bigcirc$ 

°

Study 0 4	Concentration Pange Dose level tested	Result	Author / Reference
Acute oral, rat	56-200-1000 mg/kg bw	LD <sub>50</sub> > 1000 mg/kg bw (m) LD <sub>50</sub> > 200 < 1000 mg/kg bw (f)	, 1994 M-004640-01-1
Acute inhalation, rat (4 hours)	0-2350 mg/m <sup>3</sup>	LC <sub>50</sub> > 2350 mg/m <sup>3</sup> (maximum technically attainable concentration)	, 1996 M-004734-01-1
Skin irritation, rabbit	0.5 g/patch	Not irritating	, 1994 M-004662-01-1
Eye irritation, rabbit	0.1 mL/animal	Not irritating	, 1994 M-004662-01-1



Flufenacet		
Report:	$\mathbf{v}^{\cdot}$	·2012·M-434509-01
Title:	FOE 5043 (flufena	icet) - A tolerability and pilot study to verify the exposure of ctation when administered via the diet & Sprague-Dawley
	rats	
Report No:	SA 10153	
Document No:	M-434509-01-1	
Guidelines:	not applicable;	
	Deviations: not aj	pplicable
GLP/GEP:	No	
	I.	Materials and methods
A. Materials		
1. Test material:		Flufenaget 2 A
Description <sup>.</sup>		Beigexolid O
Lot/Batch no		
Purity:		96 8% O O O A A A
Stability of te	est compound:	Quarableed for study Autom Quarable 2012-09-03
2 Vohiolo:		Physical dist of the study duration, explicitly dute. 2012-09-03
2. Venicie. 2. Tost onimals	Ča –	
5. Test annuals		$\mathcal{O}$
Species.	4, Õ	State Diata Chico (State
Strain:		Sprague-Dawley, Crech (SIS)
Age:		$\mathbb{C}_{10}$ is a second secon
weight at dos	sing.»	285 – 334 g
Source:	Õ , "Ø <sup>y</sup>	
Acclimationti	ion n Qad	Flance Area
Dist		Sa leasto days
Diet.	j k j	Figure First Augy France) ad libitum
Water:		Filtered and softened tan water from municipal water
water.		supply <i>Qd libitum</i> .
Housing.		Individual housing of pregnant females in suspended
OF A		stappless steel wire mesh cages.
B. Study design an	d methods	
1. Animal assignme	ent and treatment	
Dose	5° 0' 0'	0-500 ppm
Nº A.	NY AY	corresponding to 0-35.7 mg/kg bw/day (gestation) and 67.7
S <sup>1</sup>	0	mg/kg bw (lactation)
Duration.	A	Gestation day (GD) 6 through lactation (LD)/ postnatal day
Č.	ř.	(PND) 10  or  LD/PND 16
Application r	oute:	Ural (diet)
Group size:		IU remales
Observations		Diet analyses, mortality, clinical signs, body weight, food
		concentrations in liver tissue gross nathology litter weight
		concentrations in neer tissue, gross pathology, inter weight



#### **II. Results and discussion**

#### A. Dose formulations analysis

Homogeneity analysis revealed concentrations were within the range of 94 to 103% of nominal. Achieved concentrations were 98% of nominal. The results were within the in-prouse target range of 85 to 115% of nominal and therefore within the acceptable range. At 500 ppm, flufenacet was found to be stable in the diet over a 10-day period at room temperature or a 24-day freezing period followed by 14 days at room temperature. Results were within the in-house target range of 85 to 115% of nominal concentration, with the exception of the metho value measured .ex .py, clo .norwas ce .so to ce .s after 24 days frozen storage and 14 days at room temperature, which was very close to the lowest considered to be target value of acceptability (83%). Therefore, the stability of test item in die was acceptable under the study conditions. Contraction of the second seco

#### **B.** Maternal data

Mortality

There were no mortalities in dams.

#### Clinical signs

gns observed in an dam. There were no treatment-related clinical s

#### Body weight

In dams, there was a slightly reduced mean cumulative body weight gain between gestation day (GD) 13 and 20 (-12%, p≤0.05) when compared to controls. During lactation, maternal body weight gain Ô parameters were unaffected by treatment

Ò

Table 5.8.2/06-1: Summary of maternal body weight and body weight changes (mean ± standard 🔊 deviation)

		\$ <i>(</i> ),	
Dose 5	S Bodyw	eight)(g)	Body weight change (g)
(ppm) <u></u>		🔊 GD 20	GD 13-20
		mean ± standard deviation	tion)
	\$5.5 ± 9,14	$125.3 \pm 16.01$	$89.9 \pm 9.20$
500	32.9 # 1.99	$111.7 \pm 15.33$	$78.8 \pm 9.09 * T$
Doše		Body weight (g)	
Appm) 🖉	ŮĽD 4 ∜	LD 7	LD 14
		$(mean \pm standard deviate)$	tion)
	@13.7_£13.21	$28.2 \pm 13.06$	$34.9 \pm 19.46$
500	14 <b>.8</b> ± 11.01	$24.4 \pm 10.77$	$38.2 \pm 18.44$

Significantly different from the vehicle control group value ( $p \le 0.05$ ).

Т Student T test GD = gestation day



#### Food consumption and compound intake

There were no treatment-related effects on food consumption noted.

The mean achieved dose levels in mg/kg bw/day received by the animals during the study are summarised in the following table.

#### Table 5.8.2/06-2: Summary of maternal food consumption

		Mean achie	eved dietary	intake of Du	fenacet (mg	(kg bw/day)	. K
Dose	GD 6-13	GD 13-20	Mean	LD		LD \$14	`∕≫Mean
			gestation	Č.			lactation
			phase	«	i O'	N' N	phase
500 ppm	35.2	36.2	35.7	<u>ن 50.2</u>	69.1	77.2	@67.7

#### Terminal body weight

compared to the controls. There was no relevant change in mean termination

#### Gross pathology

treated dams. At necropsy, enlarged liver was noted in

### C. Fetal data

Mortality

An increased number of dead pups was noted in the flurenacet-treated group at parturition (live-birth index of 93.8%, compared to 99.5% in the control group) and between PND 0 and 2 (viability index of 88.3%, compared to 92% in the control group)

#### Pup and litter weights

Pup weights in the 500 ppm group were slightly

When compared to controls, the litter reights in the treated group were lower by between 10 and 16% over the lactation pepod.  $\bigcirc$ 

### Table 5.8.2/06-3: Supermary of pup body weights and litter weights

Dose	O O	Pup weight (g)	
eppm) 🛈 💦	GPND 4	PND 7	<b>PND 14</b>
		$(mean \pm standard deviat)$	tion)
	10.24 ± 1.27	$15.70 \pm 2.92$	$34.26 \pm 5.29$
500 🦼 🔬		$13.48 \pm 1.61$	$30.99 \pm 3.35$
Dose		Litter weight (g)	
(ppm) 🕅 🛁	PND 4	PND 7	<b>PND 14</b>
		$(mean \pm standard deviate)$	tion)
0	$98.14 \pm 14.06$	$149.51 \pm 37.73$	$186.99 \pm 55.05$
500	$84.94 \pm 19.62$	$125.43 \pm 31.50$	$167.40 \pm 45.28$



#### Table 5.8.2/06-4: Summary of observations at caesarean section

	Dose (ppm)	0	500
Parameter			Ô
Number of rats tested (n)		10	<u>ا</u> 10
Number of pregnant rats (n)		10 🗞	
Mean gestation length (days)		23.1	
Total number of fetuses at parturition		146	A42 K
Live fetuses (n)		<u>ما</u> 45 م	مَحْ الْعَدِي الْعَدَى الْحَدَّ
Dead fetuses (n)			
Fetus viability uncertain (n)			<u>`</u> ` <u>l</u> ev'
Sex male / female (n)		65 68	56759 <sub>10</sub> °
Number of implantations (mean $\pm$ SD)		∠ 15.0 ₹ 2.67	$104 \pm 2.22$
Number of pups (mean $\pm$ SD)		$1406 \pm 2.840$	0 • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •
Live pub at PND 0 (mean $\pm$ SD)		$14.5 \pm 2.68$	≥, 13.2 ±2.25
Live pub at PND 0 (mean $\pm$ SD)		<sup>∞</sup> ~ 13.2 ± 3.16 ~ √	4 <u></u> 11. <b>5</b> <i>⊈</i> 2.80
Live birth index (%) (mean $\pm$ SD)	Z Z		935 ± 11.79
Viability index (%) (mean $\pm$ SD)	<u> </u>	92 ± 17.19	<b>8 8 3</b> ± 19.41

#### Hormone analyses

In PND 10 pups, mean TSH concentration was moderately higher when compared to the controls:

- +71% (not statistically significant) for male pups,
- +104% (not statistically significant) for female pups,
- +83% (statistically significant at  $p \le 0.06$ ) for combined sexes.

On PND 16, mean TSH concentration was slightly higher in females only, +38% (not statistically significant) relative to the control group. There were no statistically significant differences in T4-levels.

Hormone analyses data are summarized in the following tables.

# Table 5.8.2/06-5: Summary of TSH Dormone analyses in pubs

TSH concentration (ng/mL) mean ± standard deviation (©change when compared to controls) PND 16 pubs					
Dose (ppm)		500	0	500	
Males A C	$0.31 \pm 0.31$	$0.53 \pm 0.22$ (+71%)	$0.72 \pm 0.25$	$0.75 \pm 0.32$ (+4%)	
Females S	0.26 0.24	$0.53 \pm 0.34$ (+104%)	$0.56 \pm 0.11$	$0.77 \pm 0.31$ (+38%)	
Males + females	0.29 ± 0.27	$0.53 \pm 0.28**$ (+83%)	$0.64 \pm 0.20$	$0.76 \pm 0.31$ (+19%)	

\*\* Significantly different from the vehicle control group value ( $p \le 0.01$ ).



#### Table 5.8.2/06-6: Summary of T4 hormone analyses in pubs

		<b>T4 concentration</b> ( $\mu$ g/mL) mean $\pm$ standard deviation			
		PND 1	0 pubs	PND 1	6 pubs
Dose (	ppm)	0	500	0	500
Males		$1.7 \pm 0.4$	$1.5 \pm 0.3$	3.4 20.4	3.3 \$ 0.7
Females		$2.0 \pm 0.4$	$1.7 \pm 0.5$	3,4€ 0.4	$3.3 \pm 0.7$
Males + females		$1.8 \pm 0.4$	$1.6 \pm 0.4$	<b>X</b> A ± Q.60°	→3.4 ± Q.6

Gross pathology

7/37 pups necropsied on PND 10 and 6/37 pups necropsied on PND 16 had prominent (abulation of the liver, compared to 0/40 and 1/38 pups in the control group respectively.

Test substance / metabolite analysis in liver tissues

Analyses of liver tissues collected from pubs at DND 10 and 16 revealed presence of the done, a main metabolite of flufenacet. The parent compound was below the unit of detection (LOD).

					· (*	_
T 11 E 0 1/0/ E	C	6 1		1. 1	•	~
1 anie 5 X 7/06-7*	Nummarv	of analys	es m	liver f	166116"	<i>.</i> //
1 abit 3.0.4/00-/.	Summary	UI analys	C (7) 4 11	II V LI L	155uc 4	/
	•	• 6				

	$\bigcirc$ Concentration in liver (mean $\pm$ standard deviation)				
	🔊 🕺 🕅 🕅	načet 🔍	C 🔨 Thiac	dione	
Flufenacet dose (ppm)	Êxtract (gg/L)	ζ, Tissu¢∕jµg/g)√Q	Extract (µg/L)	Tissue (µg/g)	
		)` <u>`</u> & BNİ	D 10		
0	ND ND	ND N	<u>م</u> م		
500		<sup>∧</sup> N₽, ∧	0.56 ± 0.11	$1.82\pm0.42$	
R.			Ď 16		
		/ _0 ~~	<50		
500 0 🦉		, s <sup>y</sup> , s <sup>y</sup>	$137 \pm 41$	$1.13 \pm 0.31$	
		Conclusion			
	N N N	í <sub>N</sub> i			

Based on the study results flufenacet at dietar exposure of 500 ppm induced maternal and pup toxicity. Thus, 500 ppm vas considered to be an appropriate dose level for subsequent toxicity assays. The study results showed clear evidence that pups were exposed during lactation to flufenacet and/or its major metabolity when administered to dams via the diet. Dietary administration is therefore an appropriate route of administration to ensure pups' exposure during lactation.

Report:	2; 2012;M-435619-01
Title:	Fl@enacet (FOE5043) - Comparative thyroid sensitivity assay in the rat
- V	(gestational exposure phase)
Report No:	SA 10154
Document No:	M-435619-01-1
Guidelines:	US E.P.A. OCSPP 870.SUPP;
	Deviations: not specified
<b>GLP/GEP:</b>	yes



#### I. Materials and methods A. Materials 1. Test material: Flufenacet Beige solid Description: Lot/Batch no: NK61AX0177 Purity: 96.8% guaranteed for study@uration;"e Stability of test compound: Vehicle: Plain diet 2. Vehicle / positive control: Positive control niouraci 3. Test animals Species: Rat Strain: Sprague-De 12 to 13 weel Age: Weight at dosing: 375 g 232 Source: France Acclimatisation period: At least 3 days A04CP1 10 from SA.F.E Scientific Animal Food and Diet: Engineering, Augy, France), ad tibitum Filtered and softened tay water from municipal water Water: supply, ad libitum, 🖗 Individual housing of pregnant females in suspended Housing: stainles steel whe mesh cages. B. Study design and wethods 1. Animal assignment and treatment Kufenacer: 0, 20, 100, 500 ppm Dose corresponding to : 0-1.3-6.8-34.8 mg/kg bw/day (gestation) Positive control PTU: 15 ppm corresponding to approx. 0.9 mg/kg bw/day (gestation) Duration: Gestation day 6 to 20 (GD 6 to GD 20) Application route Oral (diet) 10 presumed pregnant dams Group size: Observations: Dams: mortality, clinical signs, detailed clinical @examinations, body weight (GD 3, 6, 13, 20; LD 0, 4, 7, 14, 21), food consumption, compound intake, necropsy, organ weights (thyroid, liver), hormone analyses (T4, TSH, T3), histopathology(thyroid) Fetuses: terminal body weight, organ weights (thyroid, liver), hormone analyses (T3, T4, TSH), histopathology (thyroid)



#### **II. Results and discussion**

#### A. Dose formulations analysis

Flufenacet

With the exception of the first day of administration at 20 ppm, results were within the in-house target range of 85 to 115% of nominal concentration and were therefore considered to be acceptable for use on the current study.

At 20 ppm, the homogeneity results of the first formulation were  $\sqrt{1}-179$  % of mominal concentration), which is outside the in-house target range of normal concentration. Due to time constraints, this formulation was administered to animals on the first day only. It was replaced immediately by a new formulation on the next day (84, 99 % of normal concentration), which was within the in-house target range.

Stability analyses showed that flufenacet is stable at 20, 190, and 500 ppm in the diet for 49 days in the freezer followed by 14 days at room temperature.

#### Positive control: PTU

Homogeneity and concentration analysis revealed concentrations were within the range of 91-93% of nominal. The results were within the in prouse target range of \$5-115% of nominal, and were therefore considered to be acceptable for use on the current study.

Stability analyses revealed PTU, at 15 ppm, was stable in the diet after an 1-day period in the freezer followed by 14 days at room temperature

#### B. Maternal data

#### Mortality

There were no mortalities in dams up to and including Oufena et doses of 500 ppm.

There were no mortalities on the positive control group.

Clinical signs and pregnancy status

The pregnancy rate and the number of dead and live fetuses were unaffected by treatment. There were no treatment-related clinical signs recorded throughout the study at any dose level tested.

#### Body weight

There was no treatment-related effect on mean body weight or on mean body weight gain at any flufenated dose level. Positive control (PTU) animals gained 9% less weight than controls from GD 6 to 20, but this difference was not statistically significant and the resulting difference in body weight on GD 20 was minimal (3%)

Dose	Mean body weight ± standard deviation (g) Gestation day			
(ppm)	3	6	13	20
0	$278.2 \pm 27.7$	$293.3 \pm 26.0$	$340.2 \pm 33.2$	$420.3 \pm 43.7$
20	$276.0 \pm 36.4$	$293.5 \pm 35.6$	$336.7 \pm 41.8$	$421.7 \pm 47.3$
100	$272.8 \pm 36.3$	$289.9 \pm 37.5$	$336.9 \pm 40.7$	$426.9 \pm 46.3$
500	$272.7 \pm 31.0$	$294.2 \pm 30.99$	$337.1 \pm 33.8$	$413.9 \pm 38.3$

 Table 5.8.2/07-T:
 Summary of maternal body weight



Flufenacet	

15 (PTU)	$276.1 \pm 33.0$	$291.0 \pm 34.0$	$333.7 \pm 38.9$	$406.0 \pm 46.7$

Food consumption and compound-intake

Food consumption was not affected by treatment with flufenacet up to the highest dose of 500 ppm.

There were no effects on food consumption in the positive control group.

#### Table 5.8.2/07-2: Summary of test compound intake

	Μ	ean achieved dictary intake (mg/kg bw/day) 🖉 🜼
	PTU	Flufenacet N N
Dose (ppm)	15	
From GD 6 to GD 20	0.89	Av3 ~ 6.8 ~ 248

Terminal body and organ weights

There were no treatment-related effects on terminal body weights noted in any flutenacet dose group. Organ weights were also unaffected by treatment with flutenacet.  $\mathcal{A}$ 

In the positive control group there we no refevant change in mean terminal body weight in treated dams when compared to the controls.

At 15 ppm PTU, mean absolute and relative thyroid weights were higher in dams when compared to the controls (+91% and +100%,  $p \le 0.92$  respectively).

Dose	Terminal Body weight A		Organ weights (ma % (% change when weight	an ± standard deviati compared to controls	on) 8) woight
(ppm)	م م (g) م	Absolute (g)	Relative (%)	Absolute (mg)	Relative (%)
0	A \$ 8.4 ± 43.3	(14.85 ± 1-49	~3,553 ±0,155	$19.5 \pm 6.0$	$0.0046 \pm 0.0011$
20 «	420.9 7.4	014.87 ±07.85	@3.534 ₩0.202	$17.1 \pm 3.7$	$0.0040 \pm 0.0006$
100	426 6¥ 45.4	15.22±1.75	≫ 3.5 <b>@</b> 3 ± 0.264	$18.7 \pm 6.8$	$0.0043 \pm 0.0011$
500	$41335 \pm 3865$	15 45 ± 1.57	3.ℤ4Ĩ ± 0.206	$15.46 \pm 1.57$	$0.0043 \pm 0.0009$
15 (PTU)	495.9±46/8	13158 ± 1. U	<u>3 343 ± 0.152**</u>	37.2 ± 3.9**	$0.0092 \pm 0.0010 **$

### Table 5.8.2/07-3: Summary of dam organ weights

\*\* Significantly different from control group value  $(p \le 0.01)$ 

PTU = positive control

Gross pathology

There were no treatment-related macroscopic findings.

At 100 and 20 ppm flufenacet, an atrophic/small thyroid gland was noted in some treated dams (4/10 and 5/10, respectively). As this gross morphological alteration was neither dose-related nor associated to any histopathological change at 500 ppm, it was considered not to be treatment-related.

At 15 ppm PTU, enlarged and/or congested/red thyroid glands were noted in treated dams (9/10 and 7/10, respectively). As these changes were associated with increased absolute and relative thyroid weights and microscopic findings, they were considered to be treatment-related.



Table 5.8.2/07-4:	Summary of gross	pathological thyroid	findings in dams	(incidences)
			0	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·

		Thyroid glands				
Dose (nnm)	Dams examined (n)	congested / red	enlarged	atrophic / small	pale	
(ppm)		0	0		° «0	
0	10	0	0	al a		
20	10	0	0	S O	00	
100	10	0	1	<sup>07</sup> 4 ~	<u> </u>	
500	10	2	0 ~~°			
15 (PTU)	10	7	9			

#### **Histopathology**

There were no treatment-related findings observed at any flufe acet dose level

In the positive control group PTU moderate follicular cell hypertrophy hypertrophy hypertrophy and any noted in all dams. This change was considered to be treatment-related a set of the treatment of the treatme

#### Hormone analyses

At 500 ppm flufenacet mean T4 concentration was slightly lower (-37%) not statistically significant) when compared to control. This slight difference from control was not associated with any relevant change in T3 or TSH concentration at 500 ppm. There was no relevant change involving T3, T4 or TSH at 20 or 100 ppm. The slightly lower mean TSH concentrations in the treated groups, compared to controls, were due to the high concentration (6.25 pg/mL) noted in one control dam. When this value is excluded, the mean TSH concentration of the control group is 1.749 ng/mL.

Treatment with the positive control PTV caused a statistically significant decreases of T3 and T4 concentrations (-42 %  $p \le 0.01$ , and (\*82 %  $p \le 0.09$ ), and statistical significant increase of TSH (+331 %,  $p \le 0.01$ ).

The results are summarised in the following table.

~			
	Hormon	ne analysis mean ± standard d	eviation
Ň A	& <b>Q%</b> c	hange when compared to cont	rols)
Dose O		T4	TSH
(ppm)	🍘 (ng/ml) 💮	(μg/dL)	(ng/mL)
	$\sqrt[3]{9}$ $1.98 \pm 0.1$ M	$1.90 \pm 0.837$	$2.200 \pm 1.6260$
20 20	1.07 ±,0,120	$1.62 \pm 0.593$	$1.926 \pm 0.5525$
1 A	$\swarrow$ (4))	(-15%)	(-12%)
100	$\bigcirc$ 1.05 ± 0.224	$1.66 \pm 0.529$	$1.823 \pm 0.6124$
	(-3%)	(-13%)	(-17%)
500	$0.98 \pm 0.088$	$1.20 \pm 0.653$	$1.691 \pm 0.5493$
10%	(-9%)	(-37%)	(-23%)
15 (PTU)	$0.63 \pm 0.13$ **	$0.34 \pm 0.24$ **	9.49 ± 2.71**
	(-42%)	(-82%)	(+331%)
100 500 500 15 (PTU)	(+3%) $(-3%)$ $(-3%)$ $(-9%)$ $(-42%)$ $(-42%)$	$(-13\%)$ $1.66 \pm 0.529$ $(-13\%)$ $1.20 \pm 0.653$ $(-37\%)$ $0.34 \pm 0.24^{**}$ $(-82\%)$	$(-12\%)$ $1.823 \pm 0.6124$ $(-17\%)$ $1.691 \pm 0.5493$ $(-23\%)$ $9.49 \pm 2.71^{**}$ $(+331\%)$

Table 5.8.2/07-5: Summary of bormone analyses in dams

\* Statistically significantly different from controls ( $p \le 0.05$ )

\*\* Statistically significantly different from controls ( $p \le 0.01$ )



#### C. Fetal data

#### Terminal body and organ weights

In the flufenacet dose groups there were no relevant change in mean terminal body or organ weights when compared to controls.

Fetuses of the positive control group PTU had significantly increased absolute and relative thyroid weights. These changes were considered to be related to treatment.

			ž				
Dose	Terminal Body weight	Organ weights (mean per litter per group ± standard deviation) (% change when compared to controls)					
	bouy weight	Liver	• weight 🔿 🔪 🖉	🖉 🤍 Thyroid	weight		
(ppm)	(g)	Absolute (g)	Relative (%)	Absolute (mg) 🚕	Relative (%)		
			Male fotuses				
0	$3.94 \pm 0.21$	$0.3375 \pm$	8. <b>56</b> 48 ± 0.3785	0.3 0.3 0	$0.0341 \pm 0.0096$		
		0.0284	X Q A	Ŭ 🎙 💫			
20	$4.05 \pm 0.31$	$0.3291 \pm$	8.1198±0.4485	$1.0 \pm 0$	$0.0260 \pm 0.0046$		
		0.0307					
100	$4.09 \pm 0.21$	0.3271 ±	$7.9954 \pm 0.5678$	0 1.3 € 0.1	$0.0309 \pm 0.0027$		
		0.0315					
500	$3.83 \pm 0.25$	0.327 <b>I €</b>	\$.5381,∉,0.57870	$\sim 0.2 \pm 0.2 \odot$	$0.0310 \pm 0.0054$		
		0.0385 🕺	y' 'ny O				
15 (PTU)	$3.80 \pm 0.32$	03057 ± 💭	$8.0521 \pm 0.6787$	$0^{1.8 \pm 0.4*}$	$0.0461 \pm 0.0087 **$		
		0.0310		(+3%%)	(+35%)		
			Female fetuses 🔬				
0	$3.80 \pm 0.20$	© 0.3}69°± (°	8.3338 ± 0.3573	1.2 ± 0.2	$0.0318 \pm 0.0044$		
		° 0 <b>002</b> 54 💎	Oʻ , U				
20	$3.85 \pm 0.20^{\circ}$	03179 +	8,2393 ± 0,6372	$1.1 \pm 0.2$	$0.0297 \pm 0.0044$		
		0.0485	a Q. C	ř			
100	3.94 ± 9.28	©`0.3274 ±	Ø8.2980¥0.5410	$1.1 \pm 0.2$	$0.0273 \pm 0.0059$		
	Ô ° °	) <b>0,09</b> 34 🔊					
500	$3.68 \pm 0.26$	0.3164 ±Č	$8.5762 \pm 0.8486$	$1.1 \pm 0.2$	$0.0308 \pm 0.0035$		
	EY D	×∕0.0368O					
15 (PTU)	3.60 ± 0.31	0.2912± %	8.0839 ± 0.6228	$1.5 \pm 0.4*$	$0.0425 \pm 0.0100 **$		
	L X K	<i>0.0</i> 3€86 ℃	ľ 🖌	(+25%)	(+34%)		
			( ))				

Table 5.8.2/07-6:	Summary of	organ weights	in fetuses
1 abic 5.0.2/0/ 0.	Summary of	organ weights	III ICCUSCS

Histopatholo

There were no treamient-related findings observed at any dietary level of flufenacet. 1

In the positive control group PTU a higher incidence of minimal follicular cell hypertrophy / hyperplasia, associated with a loss of follicular organization and a solid appearance of the thyroid gland, was noted in treated fetuses (32/40 animals in 9/10 litters). In addition, minimal increased number of mittees was recorded with a higher incidence in the treated group (5/40 animals in 4/10 litters). Both changes were considered to be treatment-related.

### Hormone analyses

There were no changes observed in T3 concentrations at any dose level of flufenacet.

T4 and TSH concentrations of flufenacet dose groups and control showed high variations in the individual values. A tendency toward slightly lower mean T4 value and TSH was noted at 500 ppm.



However a relatively-lower TSH value does not support compensation for a decrease in T4 and has no known biological significance.

Tendencies towards lower mean TSH concentration were noted at 100 ppm As there were no associated differences in T3, T4 or other thyroid parameter, this minimal differ to from control is not considered as biologically relevant. Individual values at 20 ppm were within the range of controls (with the exception of one TSH value).

 $p \leq \mathfrak{O}(\mathfrak{O}^{1})$  and In the fetuses of the positive control group PTU mean T4 concentration was lower (-76%, mean TSH concentration was higher (+160%, p≤0.01) when compared toccontrols T3 concentrations Hormone analyses data are summarized in the following tables.

Table 5.8.2/07-7:	Summary of hormone	analyses in fetuses
	U	

	Ĥormon	ne analysis mean ± standard @	viation
	(% c	honge when compared to cont	rols)
Dose (ppm)	T3 🖉 🔍		TSH
0	$0.55 \pm 0.038$	گي 0.70£0.397 کي 0.79£	<i>2</i> 4.493 ± 1.2687
20	0.57 ± 0.051	0.55 ± 0.308	$3.645 \pm 0.4770$
	(# <b>4</b> %)	(-21%)	(-19%)
100	$0.55 \pm 0.050$	Ø.48 ± 6Q 86	$3.174 \pm 0.6148 **$
		~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~	(-29%)
500	\$0.54 ± 0.040	0.36 ± 0.25 9	$2.474 \pm 0.5043 **$
			(-45%)
15 (PTU)	$0.54 \pm 0.04$	0.15±0.05**	$11.67 \pm 1.90$ **
		O (~70%)	(+160%)

Statistically significantly different from copyols (p £ 0.05)

\*\* Statistically significantly different from controls (px 0.01)



Dietary administration of 500 pput flufenaeet induced slight changes in T4 concentrations in dams and fetuses. A high individual variability was noted in the hormonal parameters measured in fetuses, including controls. The effects were much ower in magnitude compared to the effects of the direct thyroid-acting compound PTU. In addition, there was no corresponding TSH concentration increase, as noted in PTU-treated animals, but rather TSH levels were slightly decreased in fetuses only, relative to the controls. No thyroid weight or histopathological changes were observed and no general toxicity parameters were affected by flufenacet at any dietary level.

Thus, a dose level of 500 ppm (equating to 34.8 mg/kg/day) is considered a No Observed Adverse Effect Level (NOAFE) for both dams and fetuses.







21), food consumption, compound intake, necropsy, organ weights (thyroid, liver), hormone analyses (T4, TSH, T3), histopathology (thyroid)

Pups: mortality, clinical signs, body worght (PND 4, 7, 14, 21), detailed clinical examination, hormone analyses (TSH, T4, T3), necropsy, thyroid weights, histopathology (hyroid)

#### II. Results and discussion

#### A. Dose formulations analysis

<u>Flufenacet</u>

Homogeneity and concentration analysis revealed concentrations were within the range of 88 J78% of nominal. The results were within the in-house target range of 85-115% of nominal, with the exception of one value measured at 118% (i.e. slightly outside the target range) at 500 ppm. In view of all results, preparations were considered to be acceptable for use of the current study.

#### Positive control: PTU

Homogeneity and concentration analysis revealed concentrations were within the range of 90-95% of nominal. The results were within the phouse parget range of \$5-115% of normal, and were therefore considered to be acceptable for use on the current study.

#### B. Maternal data

<u>Mortality</u>

There were no mortalities of dams up to and including flutenacet doses of 500 ppm and in the positive control group PTU.

Table 5.8.2/08-1:	Summary of	mortality	exclusion	andsacrifice	throughout the	e study
-------------------	------------	-----------	-----------	--------------	----------------	---------

Number of females Control	PTU &	Flafenacet	Flufenacet	Flufenacet
		$\sim 20 \text{ ppm}$	100 ppm	500 ppm
On GD 3 20		20	20	20
Not delivered or		1	0	0
exclude@	Q2_1768)	(3_1779)		
At scheduled		5	5	5
sacrifice on LD@ O	P vy	-	5	5
Killed for humane 0	~~ <sup>2</sup>	0	1	2
	2 1760 (LD 6)		4_1808 (LD 4)	5_1817 (LD 4)
Vicasous A	Q_1758 (LD 4)			5_1822 (LD 4)
At scheduled	12	14	14	13
sacrifice on LD21	12	14	14	15
				-

Clinical signs

There were no treatment-related clinical signs observed in any dam in any dose group.

#### Body weight

Flufenacet

There were no effects on body weights noted up to and including 100 ppm flufenacet.



At 500 ppm (corresponding to 64.6 mg/kg bw/day from GD 6 to LD21) body weights of dams were reduced by 28% between GD 6 and 13, when compared to controls (not statistically significant). Thereafter mean body weight was comparable to controls.

#### Positive control: PTU

In the positive control group PTU the mean body weight gain/day was reduced between 14 and 17% (not statistically significant) throughout the gestation period. Dre mean body weight was reduced by 6 and 7% on LD 0 and 4, respectively, when compared to the controls ( $p \le 0.05$ ). Following culling on LD 4, animals recovered and the mean body weight was comparable to the control group dowards the

Table 5.8.2/08-2:	Summary of materna	l body	weight
-------------------	--------------------	--------	--------

end of the study.								
Maternal body weights are summarized in the following table.								
Table 5.8.	2/08-2: Su	mmary of r	naternal bo	dy weight	By x		Ĩ.	
			A	Mean body	weight (g)		<i>b</i>	
Dose		Gestation		Q.	× K	<b>_bactation</b>	N. A.	
(ppm)	GD6	GD13	GD20	O <sup>°</sup> LD≬©	<b>E</b> \$ <b>D</b> 4		LD14	LD21
0	291.4	330.7	415.2	316.2	387.0 C	≫340. <b>8</b>	358.7	346.8
20	288.9	328.6	A02.9	309.3	331.4	332.2	346.5	338.4
100	288.4	323.5	409,40	310.8	2329.1 💖	334.8	354.1	344.9
500	288.1	315.8	404,3 \	304.4 %	3202	Q17.7	341.2	332.8
15 (PTU)	294	328	£399 ès	299*	3125*	≥ 328	355	351

GD = gestation day; LD = la Cation day

Statistically significant different from control ( $p \le 0.05$ 

\*\* Statistically significant different from control ( $p \leq 0.0$ 

#### Food consumption and

Flufenacet

Food consumption was not affected by treatment up to the highest dose of 500 ppm flufenacet.

#### Positive control

The mean food consumption was reduced by 12 to 29% from GD 13 to LD 21, compared to the controls (p@0.01).

L

0

The mean achieved dose levels of RTU or flufenacet expressed in mg/kg/day received by the animals during the study are summarized in the following table.

1 A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A	·					
- Cr	Mear	Mean achieved test compound intake (mg/kg bw/day)				
-	PTU		Flufenacet			
Dose level	15 ppm	20 ppm	100 ppm	500 ppm		
From GD 6 to GD 20	0.9	1.3	6.6	35.2		
From LD 0 to LD 21	1.9	3.4	16.7	84.2		
From GD 6 to LD 21	1.5	2.5	12.7	64.6		

### Table 5.8.2/08-3: Summary of test compound intake



#### Hormone analyses

#### Flufenacet

At 500 ppm T3 and T4-levels were reduced by 19% ( $p \le 0.01$ ) and 70% ( $p \le 0.01$ ), respectively. At 100 ppm there were no treatment-related effects on T3 or TSH concentration noted. The mean T4level was slightly (-26%,  $p \le 0.01$ ) less than controls. Hormone concentrations were unaffected treatment at 20 ppm flufenacet.

#### Positive control: PTU

gnificant decreases in 13 and 74-lev Treatment with the positive control PTU caused statistically si and a statistical significant increase of TSH.

Thyroid hormone data are summarised in the following

Table 5.8.2/08-4:	Summary of hormone	anatyses	in dams	on LD	2 k	2
	e e	A 195		(// //	~ //	

	Hormo	ne analysis mean ± standard d	oviation
	(% c	hange when compared to cont	rols) ©
Dose group	T3	~ T40 ~~	TSH
(ppm)	(ng/ml) ×	(μg/dL)	(ng/mL)
0	0.73 ± 🖗 088 🛯 📉	. √ 2.20 ± 0.428 √ .	$\bigcirc$ 1.365 ± 1.0147
20	0.71 0.159	2.96 ± 0.442	$2.277 \pm 1.7483$
	°∕∕∕3%) ∑		(+67%)
100	$0.67 \pm 0.04$ 0°		$1.890 \pm 1.1473$
	~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~	(~26%) ~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~	(+38%)
500	© 0.59 €0.112*©	$0.68 \pm 0.245$	$2.669 \pm 2.6633$
	~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~		(+96%)
15 (PTU)	0.44 ± 0.090#T «		$18.530 \pm 5.7364 \#W$

Statistically significantly different from controls (p 2005)

\*\* Statistically significantly different from controls  $(p \ge 0.01)$ 

Statistically significantly different from controls  $Q_2 \le 0.001$ ) Student T test #T

#W Statistically significantly different from controls ( $p \le 0.001$ ) adjusted Welch test

Gross pathology an terminal body and organ weights Flufenacet

There were no differences in terminal body weight between controls and treated animals at any dietary level. At 500 ppm, mean layer-to-body weight ratio was statistically-increased, relative to controls. There was no treatment-related change in mean thyroid gland weight at any dose level.

At terminal sacrifice all macroscopic findings were considered as incidental and not treatment-related.

### Positive control: PTU

There were no differences in terminal body weight between controls and treated animals. The mean absolute and relative thyroid gland weights were statistically significantly higher, when compared to controls (+175% and +171%, respectively;  $p \le 0.01$ ). There was no treatment-related change in mean absolute or relative liver weight.

At terminal sacrifice enlarged thyroid gland was noted in 10/12 females. Dark thyroid gland was noted in 3/12 females. Other changes were considered as incidental and not treatment-related.

Dam organ weights are summarized in the following table.



Þ.

#### Document MCA: Section 5 Toxicological and metabolism studies Flufenacet

Dose	Terminal	Organ weights (mean ± standard degration)						
groun	Rody weight		(% change when compared to controls) °					
Stoup	Douy weight	Live	r weight	Thyroid	weight 🔿 🛛 🖉			
(ppm)	(g)	Absolute (g)	Relative (%)	Absolute (g) 🔨	Relative (%)			
0	$346.8\pm30.73$	$15.08 \pm 1.230$	4.361 ± 0.2899	0.01751 0.0026	$0.0051 \pm 0.00102$			
20	$338.4\pm30.18$	$15.18 \pm 2.197$	4.494 ± 0.4137	) 0.01968 ± 0.0061	_0000576 ≰0.0015			
		(+1%)	(+3%)					
100	$344.9 \pm 33.91$	$15.66 \pm 2.294$	4.531 ± 0.3765	-0.92022 <b>@</b> 0.0063	$0.00589 \pm 0.0018$			
		(+4%)	(+4%)	L 6 2				
500	$332.8\pm20.10$	$16.57 \pm 1.217$	4.987 ± 0,3754**	0.01867 ± 0.00	0,00561 + 0.0015			
		(+10%)						
15 (PTU)	$351 \pm 17.3$	$15.7 \pm 1.4$	4.36 0.296	$0.00081 \pm 0.0095 **$	) 0.013 🕰 0.003**			

#### Table 5.8.2/08-5: Summary of dam organ weights

Significantly different from control group value  $(p \le 0.0)$ \*\* PTU = positive control 

#### Histopathology

#### Flufenacet

In the high-dose group follicular cell hypertophy in the thread gland was observed in 2 out of 13 dams, while there were no cases in control animals. The single incodence at 100 ppm is not attributed to flufenacet, based on low incidence and lack of corresponding effect on thyroid hormones.

#### Positive control: PTU

control group at scheduled sacrifice, diffuse follicular cell In dams of the positive hypertrophy/hyperplasia (12/12), focal follicular cell hyperplasia (1/12) and colloid depletion (12/12) were noted in the thyoid gland, compared to only one case of colloid depletion in the control group.

Histopathological findings in dans are summarised in the following table.

### Table 5.8.2/08-6: Summary of histopathology in dams on LD21 (terminal sacrifice)

		F	ollicular cell hypertrop	hy
Dose group 🛛	Dams examined	Ninimal 🖉	Slight	Total
(ppm)			U U	
0,			0	0
	@ 14		0	0
700	Q 14	0	1	1
500		2	0	2
15 (PTU) 🖉		No data	No data	12#

# diffuse follicular cell hypertrophy hyperplasia



#### C. Fetal data

#### <u>Mortality</u>

There were treatment-related effects on mortality, clinical signs or organ weights noted in any dose group.

Table 5.8.2/08-7: Sun	nmary of	mortality, culling	g and sacrific	ce throughout the	estudy °
Number of pupe	Control	PTU	Flufenacet	Flatenacet	Flufenacet
Number of pups	Control	15ppm	20 pp10	100 ppm	0 500 ppm
At delivery (PND 0)	267	252	250	5 26 ×	\$ \$58
Culled at PND 4 or 21	232	193	_@25 √	A27 ~~	
Dead on PND 0	2	3	õ . p		
(during delivery)	4	3			
Dead from PND 0		Q			
through PND 4	3	22 🔬	<b>31</b> 4 õ	1,0 <sup>2</sup> 7	ي 19
(prior to culling)*			Q. U		
Dead after PND 4	0			× 10	
(post culling)*	V	Ó <sup>×</sup>	L Ø j		
Final sacrifice	30	\$ <sup>7</sup> 24	28	× <sup>28</sup>	26
*Include found dead, cannib	alized or kil	le <b>O</b> or humane reaso			

#### Clinical signs

There were no treatment-related clinical signs observed in any pup of any dose group.

#### Body weights

<u>Flufenacet</u>

At 500 ppm the mean body weight was reduced during lactation between 13 and 22%, compared to the controls ( $p \le 0.01$ ). Overall, the mean cumulative body weight gain from PND 4 to 21 was reduced by 15% in males ( $p \le 0.01$ ) and by 12% in females ( $p \le 0.05$ ), compared to the controls. This effect was mainly attributed to a reduced body. Weight gain between PND 4 and 7 (-25% in males and -22% in females) ( $p \le 0.01$ ).

Body weights weight unaffected by freatment in the row- and mid-dose groups.

### Positive control: PT

In the positive control group (PTP) the mean body weight was reduced throughout the study period between 16 and 43%, compared to the controls ( $p \le 0.01$ ). The mean cumulative body weight gain was reduced by 26% in both series between PND 4 and PND 14. Thereafter, the effect was more pronounced when pups were exposed directly via dietary intake, reaching a body weight gain reduction of 64% in material and 69% in females between PND 14 and 21, compared to controls. Overall, the mean cumulative body weight gain was reduced by 50% in males and by 47% in females between PND 4 and 21, compared to the controls ( $p \le 0.01$ ).

Pup body weights are summarised in the following tables.



				Mean body	y weight (g)	
Dose		Ma	ales			Females
(ppm)	PND4	PND7	PND14	PND21	PND4	PND7 🖉 PND14 PND21
0	11.6	18.9	37.5	57.7	11.1	18.0 36 5° (54.0
20	11.1	18.1	36.8	55.3	10.3	17.4 3 <b>6</b> \$52.7 \$
100	11.2	18.1	36.6	57.5	10.5	169 34.9 54.4
500	9.3**	14.8**	31.4*	48.5**	9.0**	14.4** 31.2** 468**
15 (PTU)	9.7**	15.0**	26.6**	32.9**	9 <b>,9</b> **	14.3*** 25,8** 32.1**

#### Table 5.8.2/08-8: Summary of pup body weight

PND = postnatal day

Tuble 5.6.2,00 7. Summary of pup body weight gain	Table 5.8.2/08-9:	Summary of pup	body weight gain
---	-------------------	----------------	------------------

** Statistical	** Statistically significant different from control ( $p \le 0.01$ )								
Table 5.8.2/	Table 5.8.2/08-9:     Summary of pup body weight gain								
Dose		Male pups	– mean body weig	ht gain (g) 🖉	. O				
(ppm)	PND4-PND7	PND7-PND14	PND14PND2	PNO4-PNDO4	PND4-PND21				
0	7.3	18.6	202	2\$.9 🔊	46.1				
20	7.0	18.7	18.5 A	≰J25.7 0°	44.2				
100	6.9	18.5	©″20.9 <sub>€</sub> ©°	25.4	46.3				
500	5.5**	16.6	, 17.f <sup>×</sup> ()	220 ** ~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~	39.2**				
15 (PTU)	5.1**	11,00*	\$3**	<b>^16</b> .7** 0	23.0**				
Dose		Female pups - mean body weight gain (g)							
(ppm)	PND4-PND7	POD7-PND14	PND14-PND21	PND4 PND14	PND4-PND21				
0	6.9	×18.4 ×	V 17,62 ~	25.3	42.9				
20	7.1 🐋	18,20		25.3	42.3				
100	6.4	18,0	19.4 📎	<u></u>	43.9				
500	5.4**	Q6.8	×15.6	>> 22.2*	37.8*				
15 (PTU)	4.9** 🔊	11.4**	6.85*	16.3**	22.6**				

Statistically significant different from control ( $p \leq 0.05$ )

Statistically significant different from control  $p \le 0.01$ 

#### Hormone analy Flufenacet

There were no treatment-related changes observed on T3, T4 or TSH at PND 4 and on T4 or TSH concentrations at PND 21. The higher TSH-levebobserved at 100 ppm on PND 4 is considered to be incidental and onrelated to treatment, as this variation was mainly due to only 3 values out of 18 and was not associated with a decrease in T4 or O, and as TSH was not increased at 500 ppm.

Ľ At 500 ppm T3-levels in PND 21 pups were slightly lower than controls in males (-24%;  $p \le 0.01$ ) and females (-16%,  $p \le 0.95$ ). The biological significance of this finding is unclear since only one value was below the control range and there was no associated change in T4, TSH, thyroid weight, or thyroid histopathology.

At 100 ppm T3-levers in PND 21 pups was slightly reduced, relative to controls, in males (-16%;  $p \le 0.05$ ) but not their female littermates. It is unclear whether this finding represents a treatmentrelated effect, since all but one value was within the control range and there were no associated differences in T4, TSH or other thyroid parameter. Therefore, this minimal difference from control is not considered to be biologically significant or adverse.



#### Positive control: PTU

In PND 4 pups, mean T3 and T4 concentrations were markedly lower (-38% and -88%, respectively;  $p \le 0.001$ ) and mean TSH concentration was markedly higher (+721%;  $p \le 0.001$ ), when compared to the controls.

In PND 21 pups, mean T3 concentrations were markedly lower (-87% in males and -83% in females; p≤0.001), mean T4 concentrations were markedly lower (-93% in @ales, and -94% in females;  $p \le 0.001$ ) and mean TSH concentrations were markedly higher +697% in males;  $p \le 0.001$  and +429% in females;  $p \le 0.001$ ), when compared to the controls. 

Thyroid hormone data are summarized in the following tab

#### Table 5.8.2/08-10: Summary of hormone analyses in pups on RND 4 (pooled per litter

	$\sim$		
	Hoppor	ne and ysis mean ± standard d	exiation
	°≂, <b>(%</b> c	hange when compared to cont	rols)
Dose group	ТЗ 🖉	6 0 T4 6 4	© TSH
(ppm)	(ng/mL)	~(μg/dΩ) ~ ~~	(ng/mL)
0	0.71 ± 0.04Y	1.00 0.226	$1.505 \pm 0.5547$
20	0.72 ± 🖗 137 🗞	. ≪ 1.0© ± 0.217 S	$\bigcirc$ 1.521 ± 0.7668
	(**************************************	$\sim 0.0\%$	(+1%)
100	$0.76 \pm 0.135$		$2.963 \pm 4.1301$
	(+7%) (+7%) (+7%)	<u></u> ~ (−3%)	(+97%)
500	0.72 ± 09.57	≫ 1.05 ≠ 0.363	$1.237 \pm 0.4397$
		الله ( <sup>4</sup> 5%) الله ( <sup>4</sup> 5%)	(-18%)
15 (PTU)		0 = 0.120 #W	$12.361 \pm 3.2925 \#T$

Ŋľ

Ø

Statistically significantly different from controls ( $p \le 0.05$ ) \*

\*\* Statistically significantly different from controls ( $p \leq Q,01$ )

Statistically significantly different from controls (p\$20.001) Student T test #T

#W Statistically significantly different from controls  $0 \le 0.001$  adjusted Welch test

### Table 5.8.2/08-11; Summary of hormone analyses in pups on PND 21 (1 pup/sex/litter)

	$\sqrt{2}$ $\sqrt{2}$ Hormone analysis mean $\pm$ standard deviation							
	Ğ.Ű	S Ch	change when con	npared to controls	5)			
Dose		🖉 Males 🔊			Females			
group	© T3	TAN	🏷 TSH	Т3	T4	TSH		
(ppm) 🖉	(ng(mL)	(µg@ll)	ິ (ng/mL)	(ng/mL)	(µg/dL)	(ng/mL)		
0	$1.10 \pm 0.196$	$3.18 \pm 0.458$	$0.779\pm0.506$	$1.24\pm0.179$	$3.09\pm0.667$	$1.236\pm0.423$		
20 8	$0.94 \pm 0.217$	$30 \pm 0.905$	$0.856\pm0.423$	$1.14\pm0.191$	$3.36 \pm 1.091$	$1.395\pm0.763$		
	≪ <sup>♥</sup> (−15%)	(+4%)	(+10%)	(-8%)	(+9%)	(+13%)		
100	0.92 ± 🕅 152* 🖒	$3.16 \pm 0.673$	$1.172 \pm 1.208$	$1.16 \pm 0.145$	$3.03\pm0.554$	$1.624\pm1.086$		
	(216%)	@1%)	(+50%)	(-6%)	(-2%)	(+31%)		
500	0.84 ± 0.13	$3.41 \pm 0.864$	$0.790 \pm 0.559$	$1.04 \pm 0.178*$	$3.33\pm0.535$	$1.218 \pm 0.589$		
	(-24%)	(+7%)	(+1%)	(-16%)	(+8%)	(-1%)		
15	$0.14 \pm 0.053 \# W$	0.23 ±	6.208 ±	0.21 ±	0.18 ±	6.541 ±		
(PTU)		0.166#W	1.9850#W	0.078#W	0.136#W	2.2392#T		

Statistically significantly different from controls ( $p \le 0.05$ )

\*\* Statistically significantly different from controls ( $p \le 0.01$ )

Statistically significantly different from controls ( $p \le 0.001$ ) Student T test #T

#W Statistically significantly different from controls ( $p \le 0.001$ ) adjusted Welch test



### Gross pathology, terminal body and organ weights

#### Flufenacet

At 500 ppm Terminal body weight was reduced by 9% in males (not statistically significant) and 19% in females ( $p \le 0.01$ ) on PND 4 and by 14% in both sexes on PND 21 ( $p \le 0.01$ ).

There were no treatment-related changes in mean thyroid gland weights at any dose devel on PND 4 and PND 21.

Furthermore, at scheduled sacrifice, all macroscopic changes in PND4 and PND 1 pups were considered as incidental and not treatment-related.

#### Positive control: PTU

PND 4 pups of the positive control group had statistically significant lower mean terminal body weights (-14%, p $\leq$ 0.01 in males, -21%, p $\leq$ 0.01 in females), when compared to the controls. Mean absolute and relative thyroid gland weights were statistically significantly higher, when compared to controls (+65% and +92% in males and 72% and +116% in females, respectively; p $\leq$ 0.01).

On PND 21 mean terminal body weight (\*4.5%,  $p \leq 0.01$  in males 41%,  $\hat{p} \leq 0.01$  in females) was statistically significantly lower, when compared to the controls.

Mean thyroid gland-to-body weight ratio was statistically significantly higher, when compared to controls (+104% in males and  $\pm$  82% in females,  $p \le 0.01$ ).

In PND 4 pups at terminal sacrifice consested/red thyroid gland was observed in 4/13 females. Other changes were considered as incidental and not treatment related.

In PND 21 pups at cominal sacrifice chlarged thyroid gland was noted in 4/12 males and 5/12 females. Congested/red thyroid gland was observed in 3/12 males and 3/12 females.

Terminal pur body weights and thyrour weights are summarised in the following table.

	Terminal	K Thyroud we	ight)(mean)	Terminal	Thyroid we	ight (mean)	
Dose group	body weight	Oabsolute (g)	Telative (%)	body weight (g)	absolute (g)	relative (%)	
	PSD 4 - Mailes			PND 4 - Females			
0	<sup>∞</sup> 10.7 <u></u> ≋	ð.0020Ø	0.01857	10.6	0.00182	0.01708	
20	10.9° O	0.00178	0.01640	10.7	0.00203	0.01905	
100	10.5	0.00188	0.01825	10.4	0.00184	0.01810	
500	9.7 🔊 🖉	0.00161	0.01737	8.6+D	0.00163	0.01896	
15 (PTU)	9.2**0	0.0033**	0.0358**	8.4**	0.0031	0.0369**	
	PND 21 - Males			PND 21 - Females			
0	57.8	0.00653	0.01136	54.9	0.00664	0.01206	
20	55.4	0.00669	0.01199	52.1	0.00663	0.01272	
100	56.9	0.00695	0.01230	53.6	0.00651	0.01191	
500	49.8+D	0.00549	0.01104	47.2+D	0.00582	0.01234	

Table 5.8.2/08-12: Summary of Ferminal pup body weights and thyroid weights



Terminal	Thyroid weight (mean)		Terminal	Thyroid we	ight (mean)
body weight	absolute (g)	relative (%)	body weight	absolute (g)	relative (%)
(g)			(g)	~	
				Q	
32.1**	0.0075	0.0232**	32.3**	0.007	0.0220**
	body weight (g) 32.1**	body weight (g)absolute (g)32.1**0.0075	(g)absolute (g)relative (%)32.1**0.00750.0232**	body weight (g)absolute (g)relative (%)body weight (g)32.1**0.00750.0232**32.3**	body weight (g)absolute (g)relative (%)body weight (g)absolute (g)32.1**0.00750.0232**32.3**0.000

Statistically significantly different from controls ( $p \le 0.01$ ) Dunnetts LSD test +DStatistically significantly different from controls ( $p \le 0.01$ )

#### Microscopic pathology

#### Flufenacet

There were no treatment-related findings observed in PN nsidered to be related to treatment with flufenacet.

#### Positive control: PTU

At scheduled sacrifice on PND 4 diffuse follicular cap hypertrophy 62/13 m males and 10/13 in females) and colloid depletion (11/13 in males and 1423 in females) were noted in the hyroid gland, compared to only one case of colloid depletion in the control group

In PND 21 pups, diffuse follicular cell hypertrophy (11/12 in mates and 11/12 in the males) and colloid depletion (10/12 in males and 11/12 in females) were noted in the thyrod gland, compared to no case in the control group.

II. Conclusion

The No-Observed-Adverse ffect Kevel (NOAEL) for dams and pups is 100 ppm (13 mg/kg bw/day) based on decreased maternal body weights, reduction in 1/4 and 1/3, increased relative liver weight and two cases of thyroid follicular cell hypertrophy, observed in dams after dietary exposure to 500 ppm (65 mg/kg bw/day) Sufenacet during gestation and factation and slightly decreased body weight/body weight gain and slightly decrease or T3 values in pups at the same dose.

#### Analytical methods

A method for the determination of Flutenacet B HPLC analysis in rodent diet was developed. The reference of the study report is presented in the following.

#### Report: Title:

Enfenacet - Determination by high performance liquid chromatography analysis

Report No: Document No: **Guidelines: GLP/GEP:** Yes

:2010:M-393212-01 in ground roder diet SA 10292 M-393212-01-1 not applicable



Report:	d;	; ; ; ; ; 2011;M-426082-01
Title:	Flufenacet - Deterr	nination by high performance liquid chromatography analysis
	in ground rodent di	let la
Report No:	SA 11357	Ű
Document No:	M-426082-01-1	as of Cood Laboratory Drasting 10007 (Laborary 20 1000)
Guidennes:	O.E.C.D. Principi	es of Good Laboratory Practice, 1997 (January 20, 1996)
	octobre 2007 (Fre	nch GLP Legislation)
	US EPA OCSPP 8	R70.SUPP:Not specified
GLP/GEP:	Yes	
The stability of flufe	nacet in ground roo	dent diet wasevaluated. The reference of the study deport is
presented in the follo	wing.	
-	-	
Report:	V;	;2012;M-433625-01
Title:	Flufenacet - Stabili	ity in ground rodent thet
Report No:	SA 11175	A A A A A
Document No:	M-435625-01-1	
Guidelines:	OECD, 1997;n@	specified of the second s
GLP/GEP:	Yes	
<b>D</b> (		
Keport:	Eluformat (EDE50	;200/2;M-460 120-04
Title.	complementary as	(gaves exposure of burs)
Report No <sup>.</sup>	Sa 11167	ay (gavage exposure or paps)
Document No:	M-435126-01-4	
Guidelines:	US-EPÀ OCSPP 8	87 ØSUPP spone
GLP/GEP:	Yes	
	× v k	Materials and methods
A. Materials		
1. Test material.		Flutenacet
Description:		Beige solid
Lot/Batch no:		NK@1AX0177
Purity:	0 0	<b>26</b> .8%
Stability of te	st compound: 🔍	guaranteed for study duration; expiry date: 2012-09-03
2. Vehicle:	St OT O	0.5 % aqueous methylcellulose 400
3. Test animals 🔟		
Species	Õ 🄊	Rat
Strain:	4	Sprague-Dawley Crl·CD (SD)
Age.	N V	11 to 13 weeks
Weight at dos	ing.	Pups' males: $18.4 - 27.3  \text{g}$ ; females: $19.3 - 26.0  \text{g}$
Source.	·····Ð·	1 ups. marcs. 10.1 27.5 5, remarcs. 17.5 20.0 5.
Source.		France ,
Acolimaticati	on pariod:	Dame: during gastation: nuns: from high through nogtastal
Accimatisatio	ni period.	day 9 (PND/LD 9)



Diet:	A04CP1-10 from S.A.F.E. (Scientific Animal Food and					
	Engineering, Augy, France), ad libitum					
Water:	Filtered and softened tap water from municipal water					
	supply, <i>ad libitum</i> .					
Housing:	Individual housing of dams with litters in suspended					
	polycarbonate cages with bedding material O					
B. Study design and methods						
1. Animal assignment and treatment						
Dose	1.7 mg/kg bw/day to pups only					
Duration:	postnatal day (PND) 10-through PND 20 20					
Application route:	Oral (gavage)					
Group size:	15 male and female pups of the second s					
Observations:	Dams: mortality clinical signs, body weight (LD) - LD					
	21), bitths, near psy (pply dams found dead or selected for					
	study Q Q X A					
	Pups. mortality, clinical signs, body weight (PND 10 – PND					
20 and PND 21) Ø SH, TQ, T3, thyroid weights, thyroid						
Thistopathology y y and a second						
U Passitis and discussion						
UI. Results and discussion						

#### A. Dose formulations analysis $\label{eq:A.Dose}$

The stability of flufenacet formulations in aqueous 0.5% methycellukese 400 suspensions has been demonstrated in a previous study (\$2,1117) at 0.2 and 20 g/L over a time period of 28 days that covers the period of storage and usage for the current study.

Homogeneity and conceptration analysis revealed conceptrations were within the range of 91-92% of nominal. The results were within the in-house targe of 90-110% of nominal and therefore within the acceptable ran

### B. Maternal data

<u>Mortality</u> ŀ

There were no morta ies in dams

Clinical sign There were treated clineal signs observed in any dam.

# Body weight

With the exception of one dan (1 1693) all maternal animals gained body weight over the course of the study. There were no dinical signs which may affect the results of the study.

The one control dam (1\_1693) had a body weight loss of 41g between Lactation Day (LD) 15 and 21 and was observed wasted on LD 20 and 21.

In addition, two other dams (1 1688 and 1 1690) presented a body weight loss of respectively 32 and 45g between Lactation Day (LD) 15 and 21 without any clinical signs. Their pups showed no evidence of an adverse effect during this week of maternal weight loss.



#### Table 5.8.2/12-1: Summary of maternal body weight (mean ± standard deviation)

				Study day			
	GD4	GD8	GD15	LD0	LD8	@D15	LD21
Mean (g)	265.7	291.9	335.7	310.5	333.4	343.1	335.7
SD	24.81	26.89	26.53	26.71	26.27 °a	∑ 24 <b>.1</b> 3	£19.22
GD = gestatio	on day; LD = la	actation day			- D		
C. Fetal data Mortality							
There were no mortalities during the course of the study. $\bigcirc$							
<u>Clinical sign</u> There were	<u>18</u> no treatment-	related clinic	al signs obsei	wed in an on	un of any dos	Öroun <sup>Ör</sup>	<u>D</u>

#### Body weights

There was no treatment-related effect on mean body weight or on mean body weight grow throughout the study.

Animals from the litter 1\_1693 (R1M1630(R2M1660, R1F1645, R2F1675) presented a reduced body weight gain on Study Day 9 (PND/LD 19) and body weight loss on Study Days 10 and 11 (PND/LD 20 and 21). Since this finding was associated with maternal weight loss and observed in both control and treated pups, it was considered not to be related to flurencet treatment.

#### Table 5.8.2/12-2: Summary of pup body weights (mean)

		23		<u> </u>	<u>.</u>	. "/	2) 4	2/			
Study day Dose	1		3 Q.	<b>4</b>	5	6°~y~	7	8	9	10	11
(mg/kg bw/day)		G.	ď.	- V	0	Males	L'				
0	23.01	Q25.25	27.45	<b>(</b> 30.15 x	<b>B2.48</b>	OŠ4.99	Ø37.13	39.31	41.11	43.13	46.13
1.7	24.1%	26.39	28.90®	31.77	34.10	36.65	<sup>7</sup> 38.92	41.15	43.07	45.52	48.25
	)			Į.	Ž.	Females					
0	s2295	26/37	<b>\$</b> \$\$.67	30.48	3Q97	35.37	37.61	39.54	41.24	43.35	45.88
1.7	22.36	<b>%2</b> 4.74 🌾	27.07	<b>⊴2</b> 9.61≈	J2.17	34.71	36.74	38.94	40.72	42.93	45.74
					,	n					

Hormone analyses

When compared to the control group, no relevant change was noted in TSH, T4 and T3 concentrations in either sex

### Table 5.8.2/12-3: Summary of hormon@analyses in pubs

		· × ~ /			
			rmone analysis mea (% change when co	an $\pm$ standard deviat mpared to controls)	ion
Å	$\bigcirc$ $^{\prime}$ $\bigcirc$	Ma	les	Fem	ales
Dose (n	g/kg bw/day)	0	1.7	0	1.7
T3 (ng/mI)	ĹŊ,	$1.14 \pm 0.16$	$1.07\pm0.16$	$1.09 \pm 0.16$	$1.05 \pm 0.15$
15 (llg/lllL)	°C"		(-6%)		(-4%)
$T_{4}$ (u a/dI)		$3.40 \pm 0.51$	$3.19\pm0.42$	$3.13 \pm 0.74$	$3.24 \pm 0.51$
14 (μg/uL)			(-6%)		(+4%)
TSIL (readers I.)		$1.66 \pm 0.80$	$1.30 \pm 0.79$	$1.65 \pm 0.59$	$1.63 \pm 0.81$
15H (ng/mL)			(-22%)		(-1%)

Terminal body weight and organ weight



Ŵ

# Document MCA: Section 5 Toxicological and metabolism studies Flufenacet

There was no relevant change in mean terminal body weight in treated pups, when compared to the controls.

There was no relevant change in thyroid weights in treated pups, when compared to the controls.

Table 5.8.2/12-4:	Summary of mean pup boo	dy weights (g) and thyroid weights (g)
Dose	Terminal body weight	Thyroid weight Relative theroid weight
(mg /kg bw/day)		$(\text{mean} \pm \text{standard deviation})$
		males Q Q in the second s
0	$50.0 \pm 4.8$	$0.0045 \pm 0.00955$ ( $0.0087 \pm 0.00269$ )
1.7	$52.0 \pm 5.8$	$0.0032 \pm 0.00120$ ° $0.00300$
		females contraction of the second sec
0	$49.0 \pm 4.2$	$0.0047 \neq 0.00118$ $0.0096 \pm 0.00263$
1.7	$49.0 \pm 4.6$	$0.0051 \pm 0.00160$ $0.00371$

Gross pathology

There were no treatment-related effects observed.

Microscopic pathology

No treatment-related effect on the thyroid was observed.

A dose level of 1.7 mg/kc day flutenacet administered to male and female Sprague-Dawley rats from PND 10 through PND 20 by ord, gavage is a No Observed Effect Level (NOEL).

I. Conclusion

#### Analytical methods

A method for the determination of Dufenacet by HPLC analysis in vehicle was developed. The reference of the study report s presented in the following.

 Report:
 Image: Construction of the second secon

OECD 1997; not specified

<u>^</u>{

ves≪

Document No Guidelines GLP/GEP:

The stability of flufenacet in vehicle was evaluated. The reference of the study report is presented in the following.



<b>Report:</b> Title: Report No:	; Flufenacet - Stability SA 11177	;2011;M in aqueous 0.5 percent r	1-418123-01 nethylcellulose 40	00
Document No: Guidelines:	M-418123-01-1 (O.E.C.D. Principles and Article Annexe	s of Good Laboratory I II à l'article D523-8 du	Practice, 1997 (Ja Code d€r Envir	пнагу 26, 1998) dnnemईnt du <b>1</b> 6
	octobre 2007 (Frenc US EPA OCSPP 870	h GLP Legislation) ).SUPP;not specified		
GLP/GEP:				



#### FOE 5043-hydroxy :1993:M-004586-01 **Report:** FOE 5043-Hydroxy - Salmonella/microsome test plate incorporation and Title: preincubation method Report No: 22438 Document No: M-004586-01-1 OECD 471 (1983), EEC Directive 84/449/EEC **Guidelines: Deviations: GLP/GEP:** yes I. Materials and A. Materials 1. Test material: FOE 5043-H Light brown crysta Description: 17001/93 Lot/Batch no: Purity: Not reported guaranteed for study duration Stability of test compound: DMSO A 2. Vehicle and/or positive control: withour metabolic activation: Sodium and e (Na-azide), nitrofurantoin (NF), 4-nitro 2, 2-phenylene diamine (4-NPDA), With metabolic activation Q-aminoanthracene (2-AA) almonella typhimurium strains 7A1535, TA1537, TA100, 3. Test system: 1254 induced male Sprague-Metabolic activation; 9 mix prepared from Dawley rats B. Study design and methods Φ-200-Φ00-5000 μg/plate (plate incorporations and pre-Dose: incubation mositive controls 10 µg/plate (only TA 1535) azide 10 µg/plate (only TA 1537) 0.5 µg/plate (only TA 98) 0.2 µg/plate (only TA 100) $3 \mu g/plate$ Application volume: Rre-incubation: 20 minutes, 37°C Incubation time /temperature: <sup>Q</sup>Å hours, 37°C

### II. Results and discussion

The potential of FOE \$043-Hydroxy to induce gene mutations was investigated according to the plate incorporation and the pre-incubation method in two independent experiments both with and without liver microsomal activation (S9 mix).

The plates incubated with the test item showed normal background growth up to concentrations of 1000  $\mu$ g/plate. 5000 mg per plate had a weak, strain-specific bacteriotoxic effect.



In the plate incorporation test there were no dose-related and biologically relevant increases in mutant counts of any of the four tester strains observed following treatment with FOE 5043-hydroxy at any dose level, neither in the presence nor absence of metabolic activation (S9 mix).

Appropriate reference mutagens were used as positive controls and showed a distinct increase of induced revertant colonies.

In the pre-incubation test there was no indication of a bacteriotoxic effect of FOE 5043 Hydroxy at doses of up to and including 40  $\mu$ g per tube. The total bacteria counts consistently produced results comparable to the negative controls, or differed only insignificantly. No inhibition of growth was noted as well. Higher doses had only a weak, strain-specific bacteriotoxic effect. None of the four strains concerned showed a dose-related and biologically relevant increase in mutant counts over those of the negative controls and thus confirmed the results of the plate incorporation method.

1 St

Metabolic	Test	Dose	Reverting Colory Counts (Mean ±SD)					
Activation	Group	(µg/plate)	ATA1535	TA153	**** TA9 <b>8</b>	TA100		
	Summary@fresults Plate incorporation method							
Without	FOE	0	jît)∉ 3 、≪		≈21 ± 2	$70\pm 8$		
Activation	5043-	<u>8</u>	$\partial 10 \pm 2$	8 ± 3	∕≫∕24 ± 7	$87 \pm 13$		
	Hydroxy	40	≥ <sup>™</sup> 13 ±3 <sup>™</sup>	8 ± Î♥		$79\pm 8$		
		200	°∑ 8¥4 °^	∀ <u>,8</u> 93 ,	2 19 ± 4	$88 \pm 12$		
		@1000	(1)4 ± 6 , (1)	8 <sup>2</sup> ±2	$23 \pm 2$	$70 \pm 11$		
	4	500 <b>0</b>	$\sqrt[3]{3 \pm 3 \#^{0}}$	$3\pm 3$	$20 \pm 5$	$74 \pm 9 \#$		
	NaN <sub>3</sub>	10	≪y 825 ±€¥8*					
	NF 🔊	<u>~</u> ∿0.2 <u>√</u>	Î.Î			$337 \pm 53*$		
	4-NPDA	0 100		64 ± 13*				
	4-NPDA	0.5		Q	$74 \pm 8*$			
With 4	FOE S		0 14 <del>4</del> 2 x	7 ± 1	$33 \pm 6$	$108 \pm 13$		
Activation	5043	8		$8 \pm 2$	$33 \pm 10$	$128 \pm 8$		
	Пускоху	7 407	11 ± 2⊙ <sup>♥</sup>	9 ± 3	$34 \pm 4$	$120 \pm 6$		
		290	<11 <sub>₽4</sub>	9 ± 2	$25 \pm 6$	$113 \pm 14$		
ĉ		\$1000	Š _1Q≟5	9 ± 2	$23 \pm 10$	$124 \pm 17$		
1	Ô	0 5000°C	≪1Å ± 5#	$7\pm5$	$30 \pm 2$	108 ± 9#		
S.	2-AĂ	3	∑0 <sup>*</sup> 59 ± 4*	$56 \pm 10*\#$	1286 ± 133*#	$775\pm95*\#$		
¥*								



Metabolic	Test	Dose	R	evertant Colony (	Counts (Mean ±S	D)
Activation	Group	(µg/plate)	TA1535	TA1537	TA98	TA100
Summary of results – Pre-incubation method						
Without	FOE	0	$10 \pm 3$	$7 \pm 1$	23(± 4	$97 \pm 16$
Activation	5043-	8	$10 \pm 3$	$9\pm 2$	22 ± 3	85 ± 3 🔊
	Hydroxy	40	$10 \pm 4$	$7\pm 2$	626 ± 76	98 ± 1
		200	7 ± 2	9 <u>±</u> 2 <sub>°</sub>	28× 1	€ 88 ±€15
		1000	9 ± 5	7,22	28¥8 0	93 ± 13
		5000	8 ± 1#	×4∕± 2# 0		≪ <b>J</b> 3 ± 7#
	NaN <sub>3</sub>	10	$564 \pm 20*$			Å V°
	NF	0.2				9 424 ≨ 15*
	4-NPDA	10	Å	58€±4* ≾		Å.
	4-NPDA	0.5	- A		70 ± 4*	
With	FOE	0	13 ± 3	∑ 10 ±3	0 <sup>°</sup> 34 ±0 <sup>°</sup>	✓ 129 ± 17
Activation	5043-	8	1266	\$1 <b>0</b> ₽4	× 3⊖±8 ⊘	80 ± 12
	Hydroxy	40		@±1 ~~	$38 \pm 3$	$91 \pm 10$
		200	$=10\pm6$	$3 \pm 40^{\circ}$	°∼y 28 ± 90	$64 \pm 15$
		1000	6¥ 12 ±\$*	5 5	33 12	$68 \pm 11$
		5000	∕ <u></u> 8∕₅¥∕4# <sub>€</sub> .≪	∮	<u>~3</u> 0 ± 7#	64 ± 4#
	2-AA	30	141 ± 15*#	231 ± 198	1€02 ± 162*	$903 \pm 32*$

NaN<sub>3</sub> = sodium azide; NF = nitrofurantoin  $(\widetilde{OF})$ , 4-NBDA = A nitro-1,2 phenylene diamine, 2-AA = 2-

aminoanthracene # = bacteriotoxic effect; \* = metragenic effect

### **III.** Conclusion

be non-mutagenic of this Salmonella typhimurium reverse considered FOE 5043-Hydroxy mutation assay.

Ô

Report:	پل) 992;M-004579-01
Title: FOE 5043-Hydroxy	Study of the acute oral toxicity to rats
Report No: 21889 ~ 4	
Document No 2 10-0045 9-01-1	$\sim$
Guidelines OECQ 401 (1987),	USEPA Pesticide assessment Guidelines, Series 81-1
ِنْ (198 <b>4</b> ) (1984) (1984) (1984)	
Deviations: none	¥ Y
GLP/GEP: O ges 4	
	Iaterials and methods
A. Materials	
1. Test material: 🔊	
Name:	FOE 5043-Hydroxy
Description:	Brown crystal powder
Batch / Lot No.:	TE 90006, 17003/91
Purity:	99.2%
Stability of test compound:	guaranteed for study duration; expiry date: 1992-05-05
2. Vehicle:	2% (v/v) Cremophor ® EL in deionized water



3. Test animals							
Species:	Rat						
Strain:	Wistar	Wistar, Bor:WISW (SPF-Cpb)					
Age:	Young	adul	ts, approx. 7 (male	es) and <b>by</b> (female	es) weeks		
Weight at dosing:	males:	162	<u>g - 178 g; females</u>	: 172 <b>g</b> - 186 g •	L		
Source:			Gerr	nany	O' ĝ		
Acclimatisation period:	at least	: 7 da	ys				
Diet:	Altrom	in ®	1324 maintenang	e diet for rats and	mice		
	(Altror	nin C	GmbH & Co KG, (	Germany), ad libi	tum, except		
	during	a 17	hour tasting perio	d prior to dosing	×,		
Water:	Tap wa	iter, a	ad fibitum 🕎		× v°		
Housing: During acclematization 5 per sex in Makrolong Types							
	cages.	Durn	ng the experiment	al period individu	ally on		
	Makro	IONK IONK	Type z cages. Lo	w-dust wood shav	vings were		
<b>D</b> Study design and mothods	used as	s bea		N A A	0		
B. Study design and methods							
1. Animal assignment and treatment		a .					
Dose:	Males:	\$90-	800-1000 mg/kg l				
	Female	es: 20	0-400-500/mg/kg	bw mg/kg bw			
Application route:	Vra g	avag					
Application volume:	10 mL	Kg O	W U inistruction 170 1	have			
Fasting time:		aam	inistration: $10^{\pm}$	nour			
Post treatment observation period	11 day	EX S		>			
Observations:	clinica	5 🇞 Icior	s mostality body	weight gross ne	eronsy		
	Ö		is, morganty, boary	weight, gross net	cropsy		
	Results	@nd	discussion > "				
A Mortality	Ø		Ŏ <sup>ĸ</sup> ĸŬ <sup>Ÿ</sup>				
Mortalities occurred at 400 mg/kg by	and abo	verte	) F females and at	800  mg/kg bw	nd above for		
malas. The regultizers supporting the	allowin			ooo mg/kg ow a			
	alanowiti Alanowiti						
Table 5.8.2/16 1: Result summary		Å	Ş				
Animal Nos. Dose D	oxicolog	ical	Onset and	Onset of	Mortality		
, Ky (mg/kg by)} (	∲result <sup>©</sup>	J	duration of	death after	(%)		
	Ş		signs				
$\sim$							
1-5 500	<b>F</b> 5	5	4 min – 4 d		0		
21-25 800 5	5	5	2 min – 3 d	6 min – 2.5 h	60		
11-15 1000 4 4	5	5	1 min – 2 d	5 min – 2.25 h	80		
Approx	kimate L	$D_{50} =$	= 726 mg/kg bw				


Animal Nos.	Dose (mg/kg bw)	Toxicological result*		gical *	Onset and duration of signs	Onset of death after	Mortality (%)
Female rats							
16 – 20	200	0	5	5	0 min – 2 d	S 0	
26 - 30	400	1	5	5	1 min – 2 d	07 2 d =	20
6 - 10	500	3	5	5#	2 mm/ <sup>°</sup> 5 d √	2 d⊁ 3 d	2°00
Approximate $LD_{50} = 474 \text{ mg/kg by}$							
* 1 <sup>st</sup> number = nu	umber of dead animals, 2 <sup>nd</sup>	<sup>1</sup> numbe	er = nu	mber of	f animals with toxic si	gas ~	ò .

 $3^{rd}$  number = number of animals used

# One animal was sacrificed in moribund condition

#### **B.** Clinical observations

The following signs were observed in males at 500 mg/kg bw and above and in females at 200 mg/kg bw and above: piloerection, reduced or increased activity, dyspnea, spasmodic state, lateral recumbency, and spastic or staggering gait.

In the males, lethargy, increased salivation, convulsions, and atomy were also observed; in the females, no reflexes were observed. For males and females, these findings were first observed at the higher dose levels. For both sexes, extended limbs or extended hind limbs, extension spasms, head bent backward, temporary rolling over, sternal recumbency (females only), difficult breathing, and pallor were also observed in a few cases and some atomals were cold. The following signs were observed in one animal each: reduced reflexes, soft feces, narrowed palpebral fissures, and self-mutilation (high-dose female). The signs, which were mostly of up to moderate severity, were observed in some cases immediately after administration and continued in the males until day 4 maximum and in the females until day 5 maximum.

#### C. Body weight

There were not offects on body weight gain noted.

#### D. Necropsy

Animals that died during the study: The three males of the 800 mg/kg bw dose group that died had dark livers, and pale spleens. In addition, one rat had pale, severely distended lungs. All four high-dose males that died had an empty intestinal tract, the stomach filled with yellowish or clear fluid and a pale spleen. In addition, two males had pale lungs. In three high-dose males the small intestine was reddened and one rad had severely injected mesenteric vessels.

The one females of the 400 mg/kg by dose group that died had pale distended lungs; liver with lobular pattern, mottled; sporadio ulcer-like foci in the glandular stomach; the intestinal tract was partially reddened, and partially empty. The two high dose females that died had a pale liver with mottled, lobular pattern, One female had also pale mottled kidneys. The other abdominal organs were not assessable.

*Animals sacrificed moribund:* The one female of the 500 mg/kg bw dose group that was sacrificed moribund the following gross lesions were observed: liver pale, mottled, lobular pattern; stomach with brown fluid content; glandular stomach reddened; intestinal tract reddened, partially empty.



#### Animals sacrificed at termination:

There were no gross lesions observed in males of all three dose groups sacrificed at termination. In females of the 200 and 400 mg/kg bw dose group that were sacrificed at termination there were no gross lesions observed. In two females of the high dose group the lungs were slightly distended. In one female the liver showed also a slight lobular pattern.

#### **III.** Conclusion

FOE 5043-hydroxy is considered to be moderately toxic after acute oral administration. The estimated acute oral LD<sub>50</sub> values of male and female rats were approximately 726 rog/kg by and approximately 474 mg/kg by, respectively.

Report:	9;	;1993; <b>AQ</b> 0045 <b>8</b> 9401 4
Title:	FOE 5043-hydroxy (in	ntermediate for the manufacture of FOE 5063 technical) -
	Study of the acute inh	and ion toxicity in accordance with OECD guideline
	no. 403	
Report No:	22155	
Document No:	M-004589-01-2	
Guidelines:	OECD 403 (1981), E	C guidenine 84/499/EECB.2, US/EPA TSCA guideline
	798.1150 (1985)	
CI D/CFD.	Deviationsy none	
GLI/GEI.	yes to or	
		A A A
		aterials and methods,
A. Materials	je v k	w of so
1. Test material: े		Proe 5043-Hydroxy
Description		Broon, crystalline
Lot/Batch no:		AE 90006 17003/91
Purity:	Ç O O 💦	<b>9</b> 9.2%
Stability of tes	t compound 🖉 🔔	guaranteed for study duration; expiry date: 1992-05-05
2. Vehicle:		Society 3: Acetone / Polyethylene glycol 400 (PEG 400)
	9 4 Å .	$\bigcirc$ olution (1/1, v/v)
		Group 4: none
3. Test animals		
Species,	5° 0° 0°	Wistar rat
Strain:	J A	Bor WISW (SPF-Cpb)
Age:	- <sup>0</sup>	2 to 3 months
Weight at dosi	QE.	Mean weights: 170 to 210 g
Source:	Ý	Germany
Acclimatisatio	n period:	at least 5 days
Diet:		Standard fixed-formula diet (Altromin ® 1324; Altromin GmbH, Germany), ad libitum
Water:		tap water, ad libitum



Housing:

In groups of 5 in conventional Makrolon® Type III cages; bedding: type S8/15 low-dust wood shavings (Rettenmaier & Sons, Germany)

oncentration)

0 - 301 - 6802 mg/m3 air (actor

Inhalation, head/nose-only

4 hours

5 rats/sex/grou

### **B. Study design and methods**

- 1. Animal assignment and treatment
  - Dose:

Application route:

Exposure:

Group size:

Post-treatment observation period: Observations: 2 weeks mortality, clinical signs body weights, body temperature, reflex measurements, gross necropsy.

#### 2. Generation of the test atmosphere / chamber description

Generation and characterization of chamber atmosphere

	4 6 <sup>4</sup>	Group 1	Group 2	Coroup 3	Group 4
Target concentration (mg/m <sup>3</sup> )		Control	Control	2500	
		) (air	(vehicle)		
Analytical concentration (mg/m <sup>3</sup> )		4 A		301	6802
Test substance concentration in we	hicles (%, w/x)	jų Q			
Temperature (mean, °C) 🔬		20.8	<sub>م</sub> \$23.2	20.9	21.3
Relative humidity (mean 26)		12.5	19.2	30.3	39.8
MMAD (µm)		§ &	1.63	1.44	2.25
GSD			1.51	1.83	1,78
Aerosol mass $< 3 \text{ (\%)}$	<u>v</u> õ S	Í S	93	89	69

MMAD = Mass Median Acrodynamic Diameter, GSD = Geometric Standard Deviation; - = not applicable.

#### II. Results and discossion

#### A. Mortality

Three high-dose females died on study day 1 At lower concentrations and in high-dose males there were no modalities

#### Table 5.8.2/17-1: Result summary

Dose (mg/m <sup>3</sup> )		cological re	Onset and duration of signs	Onset of death after	Mortality (%)			
Male rats								
0 (air)	0 0	0	5			0		
0 (vehicle)	0	5	5	4 h – 5 h		0		
301	0	0	5			0		
6802	0	5	5	4 h – 11 d		0		
$LC_{50} > 6802 \text{ mg/m}^3$								



Dose (mg/m <sup>3</sup> )	Toxicological result*			Onset and duration of signs	Onset of death after	Mortality (%)		
<b>Female rats</b>								
0 (air)	0	0	5		S 0			
0 (vehicle)	0	5	5	4 h – 5 h				
301	0	0	5	ŝ	~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~	<u>s</u>		
6802	3	5	5	497−5 h.S	ر میں 3 d رائی ا	× 60		
		LC <sub>50</sub>	approximate	68@ mg/m	¥ >	Q. J.º		

\* 1<sup>st</sup> number = number of dead animals, 2<sup>nd</sup> number = number of animals with toxic signs 3<sup>rd</sup> number = number of animals used

#### **B.** Clinical observations

Group 1 (air control): There were no signs of toxicity observed in male and female and female ats during the study.

Group 2 (vehicle control): All rats exhibited Ofinical Gigns of toxicity. The signs consisted of piloerection and staggering gait. These signs were attributed to the high concentration of the acetone vehicle.

Group 3 (301 mg/m<sup>3</sup>): There were no signs of toxicity observed in males and females.

Group 4 (6802 mg/m<sup>3</sup>): All rats exhibited clinical signs of toxicity. The signs consisted of piloerection and unpreened fur, reduced motility, staggering gait, vocalization, atony, sternal recumbancy, comatose-like state, bradyphea and labored breathing, gasping, rales, sereous nasal discharge, bloody incrustrations around nose and comeal opacity.

#### C. Reflex measurements

The battery of reflex measurements revealed no changes abreflexes in any animal of dose groups 1 to 3 (i.e. air control, vehicle control and 304 mg/m<sup>3</sup>/s.

On day 1 of the recovery period, pinned reflex, reaction to noises and the righting reflex were impaired for one moribund meh-dose female. This animal died on the same day. On day 4 of the recovery period, temporary impairment of the reaction to poises and of the righting reflex was observed for one high-dose male By day of the recovery period the observations were fully reversible.

#### D. Body weight

A temperary body weight loss was determined for the rats of groups 3 and 4 (i.e. test substance groups).

#### E. Rectal temperature 🔘

A reduction in the rectal temperature (hypothermia) was found for the rats of Group 4 and the female rats of Group 2; the latter was caused primarily by the high concentrations of the acetone vehicle component in the chamber atmosphere. The rectal temperatures determined for the two other groups were within the normal physiological range for rats. Thus, no exposure-related hyperthermia was found.



#### **D.** Necropsy

*Animals sacrificed moribund:* Lungs not completely collapsed, reddish and mottled; liver pale and with lobulation; spleen pale; glandular stomach with bloody ulcerative changes; duodenum reddish and with mucoid, yellowish-black and bloody content, kidneys pale; renal pelvj@reddish.

Animals sacrificed at termination: There were no treatment-related pross-pathological findings observed in any animal examined at terminal sacrifice. The not completely collapsed ungs in two high-dose females are regarded as sacrifice-related findings.

#### III. Conclusion

FOE 5043-hydroxy is considered to be slightly toxic after acute inhalation exposure. The determined acute  $LC_{50}$  values of male and female rats were > 6802 mg/m<sup>3</sup> and approximately 6800 mg/m<sup>3</sup>.

Report:	d;	;1992;87 004564-01
Title:	FOE 5043-Hydro	xx Study for skinland eye irritation corrosion in rabbits
Report No:	21257	
Document No:	M-004564-01-1	
Guidelines:	OECD 404 (1981	l), EEC Directive 84/449/EEC B.4 (1984), US-EPA TSCA
	Test guidelines 7	98,4470 (1985), US-EPA Pesticide assessment guidelines
	§81-5 (1984), OF	CD 405 (1987); EEC Directive 84/449/EEC B.5 (1984), US
	EPA TSCA Test	guidelines 798.4450 (1985), QS-EPA Pesticide assessment
	guidelines §81-4	(1984)
	Deviations, pone	
GLP/GEP:	yes 4	
~	õ.	
Č	× S AI	Matorials and mathods
Ô		
A. Materials		
1. Test material:		
Name: 🔊		FQE 5042-Hydroxy
Description.		Brown Dystalline powder
Lot/Batch no:		<sup>2</sup> /ΓΕ 90006, 17003/91
Purity	9 V. S	99.2%
Stability of @	st compound: O	guaranteed for study duration;
2. Vehicle:		None, the test item was used in its original form.
3. Test animals		У́
Species:		Rabbit
Strain:		New Zealand White, HC:NZW
Sex:	. ~	Males (skin irritation), females (eve irritation)
Age:	A	adult
Weight at dos	ng:	Males: 3.0 – 3.4 kg; females: 3.1 – 3.8 kg
Source:		, England
Acclimatisatio	on period:	At least 14 days
Diet:	1	Standard diet "Ssniff K4" (Ssniff Spezialdiaeten GmbH,
		Soest, Germany), 100 – 120 g per animal per day
Water:		Tap water, ad libitum
Housing:		Individually in stainless steel cages with flat rod bases or
e		



plastic cages with perforated bases

#### **B.** Study design and methods

#### 1. Animal assignment and treatment (skin irritation)

8		
Dose:	0.5 g (moistened with water)	Ĩ
Application route:	Dermal (area: approx. 6 cm <sup>2</sup> )	
Duration:	4 hours	
Group size:	3 males	
Observations:	Mortality, clinical signs, skin	trects, body weight (at 3
	beginning of study)	

#### 2. Animal assignment and treatment (eye irritation)

8	
Dose	0.1 mL/animal a the second sec
Application route:	Single instillation to the conjunctival soc of one eye (eyes
	were rinsed with saline 24 hafter application
Group size:	3 females $Q^{*}$ $\sqrt{2}$ $\sqrt{2}$ $\sqrt{2}$
Observations:	Mortality, clinical signs eye effects, body weight (at
	beginning of study)

#### II. Results and discussion

#### A. Findings skin irritation

There were no mortalities or systemic of tolerance reactions. There were no sign of skin irritation observed in any animal at any discretation time point. The mean irritation scores for the individual animals were 0.0, 0.0 and 0.0 for erythema and for oedema.

The skin irritation observations are summarized in the Table 5.8.2/48-1

### Table 5.8.2/18-1: Summary of irritative effects (Score)

Time after patch	Anim		Anim	nal #2	Animal #3		
	Erythema and eschar formation	Ocdema	Erythema and eschar formation	Oedema	Erythema and eschar formation	Oedema	
60 min 🖉 🖉			0	0	0	0	
24 h	N L		0	0	0	0	
48 h ~		<u>م</u> ®″	0	0	0	0	
<sub>4</sub> 72 h 🔊	$\bigcirc 0 0 \bigcirc$	× 70	0	0	0	0	
Mean 24-72 h	0.0	@ 0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
		Y					

### B. Findings eye irritation

There were no mortalities or systemic intolerance reactions.

Exposure of the test substance to the eye caused reactions of the mucous membranes and effects of the cornea and discharge in all animals. The iris was also transiently affected in one animal. These signs proved to be fully reversible within 7 days.

The eye observations are summarized in the Table 5.8.2/18-2.



	.0.2/10-2. Summary 0	1 11 1 11 11 11	enects					-
Animal	Observation	1 h	24 h	48 h	72 h	Mean	Response	Reversibility
No.	Compatible static	1	1	1	1	scores		(days)
1	Corneal opacity	1	1	1	1	1.0		/
	ITIS Deduces continuetings	0	0	0	0	0.0		
	Redness conjunctivae	2	2	1	1	1.3 0		
2	Chemosis conjunctivae	3	1	1		° 1.0		
2	Corneal opacity	1	1	1				×7/
	Iris	1	1	0				$\circ$ $^{7}$ $\circ$
	Redness conjunctivae	2	2		$\int 2$	2.0	$\beta^{\dagger}$	
	Chemosis conjunctivae	3	2			<u> </u>	$\langle - \rangle$	
3	Corneal opacity	1	1	¥∕		× <sup>30.7</sup>		
	Iris	0	0				<u> </u>	i≯ na
	Redness conjunctivae	2	2,05	1		1.3	<u> </u>	° 7
	Chemosis conjunctivae	3	<u> </u>	ď		J.3 K	j _0	7
Respons	se for mean scores Cornea	al Iritis	Conj Vredness	unctival	× 4		<u> </u>	
- = n	legative <1	<1 0	<2%		(Regilat	ion (KC) No	202/2008 and	GHS)
+ = i	<2 rritant >1 - <3		~2.5″ ©	<2	(Directiv	/e 1009/45/EC	xs amended)	S) category 2)
· _ I	$\geq 2 - <3$	3° ⊻1 - <2	Ĵ¥2.5	<u>√</u> 22	Directiv	,Q1999/45/EC	C as amended)	(3) category 2)
++ = in	rreversible effects $\geq 3$	$ \geq 1.5 $		. 🔊	(Regulat	1000 (EC) No 1	1272/2008 and $(272/2008)$	GHS category 1)
na not	applicable		Ĉs	L	Diagin	/C 1998945/EC	as amenueu)	
		Ű,	- T	$\bigcirc^{\nu}$		S.		
	Ő	× Å	, IIK	Conclu	sion 🦉	, ¥ J		
	ð <sub>A</sub> v	L C			-G7			
The test	item FOE 5043-hodro	xy is not	irrotatin	g to the	skin?			
Based o	n the study results the	test subs	tance FC	DÊ 3043-	Bydroxy	is irritating	g to eyes of ra	abbits.
		* &		- D	2			
			N. S.	- Kana .	1.004	14.01		
Report:		5; 12, <sup>3</sup> Uudra	Que Sta	1994 (j. 1994) ji 1994	;M-0046	014-01	offect on aui	non niga
THE.	Maximi	zation	st of M	gnusson	and Kli	gman)	eneet on gun	liea pigs
Report ]	No: 2282	Õ		Bildsbol		5)		
Docume	M-0046	14-64-2						
Guideli	nes: OECD 4	<b>10</b> 6, Dir	setive 84	/449/EF	EC B.6 (1	1984)		
	FD: Deviation	ns: non	e					
GLI/G								
		<i>°</i>	Matar	الم محما	mothad	n.		
i. Materials and methods								
A. Mat	terials							
1. Test	materials:							
]	Name:		FOE :	5043-Hy	droxy			
]	Description:		Brown	n crystal	line pow	der		
]	Lot/Batch no: 17001/93							

### Table 5.8.2/18-2: Summary of irritant effects (Score)



AP CAC

# Document MCA: Section 5 Toxicological and metabolism studies Flufenacet

#### Purity:

Stability of test compound:

#### 2. Vehicle:

#### 3. Test animals:

Species: Strain: Age: Sex: Weight at dosing: Source: Acclimatisation period: Diet:

Water: Housing:

#### **B.** Study design and methods

#### 1. Animal assignment and treatment

Dose	
Intradermal induction	5% (= 20 mg test substance animal)
Irritation treatment $Q^{*}$	Sodium harryl sulfate prior to topical induction
Topical induction	30% (= 250 mg/test substance/animal)
Challenge:	50% = 250 mg test substance/animal) and
	25% (=125, mg test substance/animal)
Application route:	Bitrademal, dermal
Application votume: 🌾 🖉	intradermal induction: 0.1 mL/injection
	topical induction: 0.5 mL/patch
S & Q	challenge: approx. 0.5 mL/patch
Duration S	popical Induction: 48 hours, challenge: 24 hours
Group size: 🖉 🎾 🖉	20 increst item groups; 10 in control group
Observations?.	mortality, clinical signs, skin effects, body weight (at
A O . O	beginning and termination of study)
	9
49 5 A 49.	Results and discussion
lings A KY A	

#### A. Findings

After the second induction, open wounds followed by incrustations or skin flaking in the treated areas were observed on a tew animals of the control group; a few animals of the test substance group exhibited incrustations or skin flaking in the treated areas.

Neither the control animals nor those of the test substance group exhibited skin reactions after the challenge with a 50% and a 25% test substance concentration.

A summary of the skin reactions observed after challenge exposure are given in the Table 5.8.2/19-1.

#### 98.9%

guaranteed for study duration; expiry date: 1995-03-29 Cremophor EL in sterile physiological solution (2% v/v)

Guinea pig Hsd/Win:DH (SPF-bred) Approx. 5 to 8 week Males 317 - 420 g

, - +20 g \_\_\_\_

At least 7 theys Altromin® 3020 maintenace diet for guinea pig (ALTROMIN SmbH Uage, Germany) ad libitium Tap water, ad libitium In Makrolon® type 4 cages with low-dus@wood shavings as

A Makrolon® type 4 cages with low-dust wood shavings as bedding. During acclimatization 5 per cage, during experiment 2 to 3 animals per cage.



#### Table 5.8.2/19-1: Number of animals exhibiting skin effects

	-			8		1		Ĉa		
	Te	est item	group (2	0 anima	ls)	Control group (10 animals)				
	Tes	t item p	atch	Contro	ol patch	Tes	st item pa	iteh	Contr	ol patch
Hours	24	48	Total	24	48	24	48	Total	240	482
Challenge	0/20	0/20	0/20	0/20	0/20	0/10	0/10/2	0/10		.0710
Challenge	0/20	0/20	0/20	0/20	0/20	<b>a</b> 10	- 0/10 s	$\frac{1}{\sqrt{0}}$	$\dot{D}_{0/10}^{\ast}$	0/10
25%	0/20	0/20	0/20	0/20	0/20					0/10
		•			,Ø	~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~	<i>w</i>	S,	<u></u>	Ś
The last two rel	iability o	checks p	erformed	l in the l	aborator	y worth 2	-mercapto	benzoth	azole c	prirmed
the sensitivity an	nd reliabi	lity of th	e test me	ethod.	Å í	×	5 4		,	*
				. //			4	Å.	Ű	
				III, Çoi	nclusion	s Ö	«°,	<i>®</i>	S	
						Â,		ð (	) )	
Based on the stu	dy result	s FOE 5	043-hydı	ôxy doe	s not pos	sess a sk	m sensitiz	zing pote	ntial.	
					4	, O'	~~~~	R.		
			, OY	, Ô <sup>y</sup>	, Òg	S.		, O <sup>v</sup>		
			<u> </u>				Š <sup>×</sup> , °S	,		
FOE 5043-'	TDA su	lfone <sub> ៏</sub>	9	Ĵ.	í Ča					
Report:			y,		;1993;	M-00460	)6 <b>-@</b> )			
Title:	FO	)E 50#3-	Sulfon -	Salmone	lla/micro	some tes	st plate in	corporati	on and	
	preincubation method									
Report No:	224	\$ <b>2</b> 9 (	V S	, C	)' (U	' L	<i>.</i>			
Document No:	M-	004606-	01-1	×,	O <sup>s</sup>	~Û <sup>Ÿ</sup>				
Guidelines:	⇒ <sup>g</sup> €E	C Direc	tiv@84/4	149ØEEC	C <b>B</b> . <b>Q</b> ,4; C	<b>ECD 47</b>	'1 (1983);	US-EPA	A New a	ind
	rev	vised he	alth effe	ts test g	uideline	<b>§</b> (1984)				
CLD/CED.	V De	viations	none~	r Y	, 					
GLF/GEF:		× 🖇	~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~		4 G					
~ 7/		Û	Ч. М	aterials	and met	hods				
A. Materials 👒			y L	$, \circ$	¥					
1. Test material	, Û	i di	, and the second se	E 5043-S	ulfon					
Description.		<b>%</b>		NUTESS CI	rystals					
Lot/Batch no.	~ <sup>0</sup> ′	0.	0 170	27/97	ystais					
Durity:			000,1	0/2						
Stability of to	st com	und <sup>®</sup>		70 contood f	or study	duration	ovniry de	nto: 1005	04 13	
Stabilly of te	st compo	Manta S			of study	uuration,	expiry u	ale. 1993	-04-13	
2. venicie and/o	r <del>p</del> øsiuv	eeontro		50	haliaaa	live tien.	Codinue o	-ida (Na	(abies	
()	A	"0	with	iout meta		ivation:	Socium a	zide (Na-	-azide),	
	nitroturantoin (NF), 4-nitro-1,2-phenylene diamine (4-NPDA),									PDA),
	-0		Wit	n metabo	olic activa	ation: 2-a	iminoanth	racene (2	2-AA)	
3. Test system:			Saln	nonella t	yphimuri	<i>ium</i> strain	ns TA153	5, TA153	37, TA1	00,
			TAS	98						
Metabolic ac	tivation:		S9 n	nix prepa	ared fron	n Arocloi	r 1254 ind	luced ma	le Sprag	ue-
			Daw	ley rats						



#### **B.** Study design and methods

Dose:	0-8-40-200-1000-5000 $\mu$ g/plate (plate incorporations and pre-							
	incubation)	Ô						
	positive controls:							
	Na-azide:	10 $\mu$ g/plate (only $f_{A}$ 1535)						
	4-NPDA:	10 μg/plate (onl A 1537)						
		0.5 µg/plate (only TA 98)						
	NF:	0.2 gg/plate (only. 17x 100)						
	2-AA:	a trg/plato						
<b>Application volume:</b>	0.1 mL							
Incubation time /temperature:	Pre-incubation: 20	minutes, 37°C						
	48 hours, 37°6							
	~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~							
	11 D B IN							

### II. Results and discussion

The potential of FOE 5043-Sulfon to induce gene mutation was investigated according to the plate incorporation and the pre-incubation method in two independent experiments both with and without liver microsomal activation (S9 mix).

In the plate incorporation test there was no indication of a bacterio xic effect of FOE 5043-Sulfon at doses of up to and including 4 up per plate. The total bacteria counts consistently produced results comparable to the negative controls or differed only insignificantly No inhibition of growth was noted as well. Higher doses that a strong, strain-specific bacteriotoxic effect, and could only partly be used for assessment up to and including 32 µg per plate. None of the four strains used showed a doserelated and biologically relevant increase in the mutant frequency over those of the negative controls. Appropriate reference mutagens were used as positive controls and showed a distinct increase of induced revertant colonies

In the pre-inequation fest there was no indication of a bacteriotoxic effect of FOE 5043-Sulfon at doses of up to and actuding 2 µg per tube. The total bacteria counts consistently produced results comparable to the negative controls, or differed only insignificantly. No inhibition of growth was noted as well. Higher doses had a bacteriotoxic effect, and could only partly be used for assessment up to and including 16 ag per tube. None of the four strains concerned showed a dose-related and biologically relevant increase in mutant counts over those of the negative controls and thus confirmed the results of the plate incorporation method.

Appropriate reference mutagens were used as positive controls and showed a distinct increase of induced revertant colonies 



Metabolic	Test	Dose	R	evertant Colony	Counts (Mean ±S	D)
Activation	Group	(µg/plate)	TA1535	TA1537	TA98	TA100
Without	FOE	0	$10 \pm 2$	8 ± 3	20 ⊭ 4	° 66 ± 14
Activation <sup>\$</sup>	5043-	8	$4 \pm 2$	5 ± 2	$\sqrt{3} \pm 6$	$\bigcirc 45 \pm 8 \bigcirc 5$
	Hydroxy	40	$0 \pm 1^{\mathrm{B}}$ #	$0 \pm 0^{\mathrm{B}}$ #	$0 \pm 0^{\text{B}}$	0±02#
		200	$0\pm0\#$	0 ₽₽₽	0,±0#	0,∉0#
		1000	$0\pm0\#$	J <sup>@</sup> 0# ∠©	_0≠0# ≪	°0⁄≠ 0#
		5000	$0 \pm 0 \#$			$0 \pm 0 $
	NaN <sub>3</sub>	10	445±36*			
	NF	0.2	(	Ďů,		33@# 16*
	4-NPDA	10	Q.	49⁄±7*		Ő
	4-NPDA	0.5	× A n		√105 ± 32*	á.
With	FOE	0	17	° <sup>™</sup> 9 <del>1</del> 7 8	24 ± 7	$72 \pm 10$
Activation <sup>8</sup>	5043-	8	₹1 <u></u> 1	× 8 2 ,	2 = 6	$89 \pm 9$
	Hydroxy	40	5∕± 1 <sup>B</sup> # O	ر3 <sup>B</sup> #©	$\sqrt{4} \pm 6 \# 0$	$53 \pm 17 {}^{\mathrm{B}}\!\#$
		200	0 ± 0#			$0 \pm 0 \#$
		1000		0 <b>_0</b> #0#	∑ 0 <u></u> 40#	$0 \pm 0 \#$
		5000	_@0# ~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~	(0)± 0# 0	°~\$¥±0#	$0 \pm 0 \#$
	2-AA	~2,°	( <sup>1</sup> 49 ± 9*	391 ± 255#	$1256 \pm 36*$	$871 \pm 41*\#$
Without	FOE	, Ô	َنَي 18 ±رَي 18 €	$\sqrt[8]{8 \pm 2}$	$\bigcirc$ 23 ± 2	$106 \pm 1$
Activation	5043-	$\sqrt{1}$	$16\pm3$	<sup>≫</sup> 8,≢3	<sup>2</sup> 18 ± 5	95 ± 5
	пушоху		$96 \pm 4$	$7 \pm 1$	$23 \pm 3$	90 ± 19
	Č.	ř 4	<sup>♥</sup> 14±5	6 5 ± 2 5	$23 \pm 6$	99 ± 19
		8	× 12×1	5 53#	$25 \pm 7$	$100 \pm 6$
	O,	Q°16 A	10/±3	<sup>∞</sup> <i>₹ ¥</i> 2 <sup>B</sup> #	$19 \pm 3^{\mathrm{B}}$	$103 \pm 18$
		32	$3^{B} \pm 3^{B} \# Q$	$\tilde{1} \pm 1^{B} \#$	$3 \pm 2^{B} \#$	$76 \pm 11^{B}$ #
	NaN3	<u>(10</u>	567 <del>↓</del> 33*#	Ç.		
4	ŴF Ő	0 0.2		Ĵ		$450 \pm 22*$
	4-NPDA	x, 10 <sub>0</sub>		96 ± 18*		
	4-XPDA	× 9.5×			$102 \pm 25*$	
With	FOE	0 v	© 21 <i>€</i> 4	$10 \pm 1$	$35 \pm 4$	$115 \pm 11$
Activation (	JS043-		* \$16 ± 4	$6 \pm 1$	$40 \pm 6$	$114 \pm 16$
A	IIYUAOAY	<u>,</u> 20	₹45±7	$13 \pm 2$	$45 \pm 8$	$108 \pm 11$
	Q Q	4		$10 \pm 4$	$47 \pm 8$	$126 \pm 17$
× ~		8	$15 \pm 2$	9 ± 2#	$44 \pm 10$	97 ± 7
		للهُ 16 الم	17 ± 4	6 ± 3#	$43 \pm 6$	$102 \pm 13$
	,	Ŭ ¥¢r	11 ± 2 <sup>B</sup> #	$5 \pm 1^{B} \#$	$23 \pm 9^{\mathrm{B}}$ #	$80 \pm 17^{B}$ #
	2-ĂA	3	$130 \pm 10*\#$	$50 \pm 15*\#$	901 ± 212*#	$1200 \pm 221*\#$

#### Table 5.8.2/20-1: Summary of results of plate incorporation experiment

 $NaN_3 = sodium azide KF = nitrofurantoin (NF), 4-NPDA = 4-nitro-1,2-phenylene diamine, 2-AA = 2-aminoanthracene$ 

<sup>§</sup> = not used for assessment due to increased toxicity. Results were used only for assessment of bacteriotoxicity.

 $^{B}$  = background lawn reduced, # = bacteriotoxic effect; \* = mutagenic effect



Metabolic	Test	Dose	R	evertant Colony	Counts (Mean ±S	D)
Activation	Group	(µg/plate)	TA1535	TA1537	TA98	TA100
Without	FOE	0	9 ± 3	$10 \pm 1$	19⊭5	$^{\circ}$ 103 ± 12
Activation	5043-	1	$12 \pm 2$	9 ± 2		@7 ± 13
	Hydroxy	2	$11 \pm 4$	$6 \pm 2$		82 ±2)
		4	8 ± 2	5 <b>2</b> °	√ 12 <sup>°</sup> 21	71, <del>1</del> 8 <sup>₿</sup>
		8	$4 \pm 1$		<b>8</b> ≠4 <sup>B</sup> ≪	Å7%/± 17 <sup>B</sup>
		16	$2 \pm 1$	$0^{\text{R}} \pm 0^{\text{R}}$	$3 \pm 1^{\text{R}}$	$615 \pm 6^{B_{o}}$
		32	$0 \pm 0^{\mathrm{B}}$ #	$0\pm 6^{\text{B}}$	◎ 0 ± 0 #	$0\pm 0$
	NaN <sub>3</sub>	10	388 ± 63* (		i je č	
	NF	0.2	ĺ.		~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~	<b>4</b> ± 21*
	4-NPDA	10	4.	~060 ± 100	Å \$	, O
	4-NPDA	0.5			64±7*	5
With	FOE	0	\$5 <sup>₽</sup> 5	× 100≠2 ,	$p \pm 9$	$124 \pm 8$
Activation	5043-	1	$\sqrt{92 \pm 4}$	$\mathbb{Q}^{10\pm 3}$	37 ± 11∅	$124 \pm 22$
	Hydroxy	2	$0^{13\pm6}$	9 ± 1	23 <b>±€</b>	$119 \pm 7$
		4		\$ 7 <b>4</b> 72	∑ 19⊈1	$115 \pm 15$
		8	₹13 <sup>3</sup> ±2 °	0 ± 4 0	°~2∕6 ± 4	$113 \pm 13$
		łó	$012 \pm 4$	≥ 11 ± 3	$\Im_{28\pm2}$	$123 \pm 25$
		32	6 ± 3∰	$\sqrt[8]{7\pm 2^{\mathrm{B}}}$	$\bigcirc$ $10 \pm 4^{\mathrm{B}}\#$	$64 \pm 5^{\mathrm{B}}$ #
	2-AA	<u>کې</u> 3 <u>ر</u>	P 149 ± 3*	× 188 ± 9* ×	√ 492 ± 47*	$729 \pm 41*$

#### Table 5.8.2/20-2: Summary of results of pre-incubation experiment

NaN<sub>3</sub> = sodium azide; NF = throfuration (NIC) 4-NPD = 4-nitro-1,2-phonylene diamine, 2-AA = 2aminoanthracene

<sup>B</sup> = background lawn reduced, # = bacteriotoxic effect; \* = matageni@ffect

FOE 5043-Softon is considered to be non-mutagenic in this Salmonella typhimurium reverse mutation assay.

HI. Conclusion

;1992;M-004578-01 **Report:** Title: FOE 043 Sofon - Study for acute oral toxicity in rats 21893 Report Ne Ø Document No M-004578-01-1 OECD401 (1987); US-EPA Pesticide assessment guidelines, Series 81-1 Guidelines; (1984) Devlations: none **GLP/GEP:** I. Materials and methods A. Materials 1. Test material:

Name: Description: FOE 5043-Sulfon Colorless crystals



Batch / Lot No.:

# Document MCA: Section 5 Toxicological and metabolism studies Flufenacet

Purity: 99.3% Stability of test compound: guaranteed for study duration; expiry date: 1992-10-01 2. Vehicle: 2% (v/v) Cremophor ® EL in deionized water 3. Test animals Species: Rat Wistar, Bor:WISW (SPF-Cpb) Strain: Young adults, approx...7-8 (males) and 10 Age: (female Weight at dosing: males: 165 g - 184 g, females: 168 g - 18 Source: Germany at least 7 days Altromin ® \$324 maintenance diet for rats and mice Acclimatisation period: Diet: (Altromin GmbH & Co K Germany), ad Hitum except during a hour fasting period prior to cosing Water: Tap water, ad Obitum S 5 per sex in Makrolon® Type 3 cages with low-dust wood Housing: granules type S 8/Q (Ssniff, Germany) as bedding material **B.** Study design and methods 1. Animal assignment and treatment \$**0**¥100-1**50**-170-290-Dose: kg bw Application route: Oral, gavage Application volume: 10 mK/kg bw 🖗 before administration: 17 ±0 hour Fasting time: Group size: 5 rats/sex, except at 300 mg/kg: 10/sex Post-treatment observation period ∯4 days Observations: clinical signs mortality, body weight, gross necropsy **Results and discussion** A. Mortality Mortalities occurred at 150 mg/kg by and above for females and at 200 mg/kg bw and above for males. The results are summarised if the following table.

TE 86005, 17001-3/91





Document MCA: Section 5 Toxicological and meta	bolism studies
Flufenacet	

Animal Nos.	Dose	Tox	icolog	gical	Onset and	Onset of death	Mortality
	(mg/kg bw)	result*		*	duration of	after	(%)
					signs		
			Ν	lale ra	ats		
21 - 25	50	0	0	5		Å.	
31 - 35	100	0	0	5	🦉		×9
41 - 45	150	0	5	5	52 min – 2 đ У		. 🔊 0
51 - 55	170	0	5	5	$h - 2 \Phi$	××	× 0
11 - 15	200	3	5	5	Q.25 h d	3.75 hg−1 d Ø	60
61 - 65; 71 - 75	300	1	10	10	2.5 ₩J 2 d 🦉	r 🔊 5 h 🔊	<b>1</b> 0
1 - 5	1000	5	5	<b>5</b> 0	9 m/n – 1 d	48 min – († d	<b>2</b> 100
		LD <sub>50</sub>	> 170	) S20	0 mg%kg bw A		
			Fe	måle	rats 1 1		
26 - 30	50	0		5			0
36 - 40	100	0 、	ÔŽ	5	2.55 h−1 d	ð Ø	0
46 - 50	150	20	≶ 5	55	59 min 2 d	, \$.75 h <sub>2</sub> −1 d	40
56 - 60	170	<i>θ</i> ,	2	5	, 3.75 h∉ 1 d ≥	y Q	0
16 - 20	200 (	<b>5</b> ¥4	Š	5	2.25H-1 C	365°h−1 d	80
66 – 70, 76 - 80	<u>300</u> Č	4 %	$\sqrt{10}$	<u>_</u> ¥0 <sup>∛</sup>	290min – 201	$\sum_{h=1}^{\infty} C_2 h - 1 d$	40
6 - 10	1000	5	5	~5	19 min <del>_ 4</del> .25	2.25 h – 4.25 h	100
	~~	L1 50	> 150	0 < 20	0 Gang/kg Agay 🔬	•	

#### Table 5.8.2/21-1: Result summary

1<sup>st</sup> number = number of dead enimals, 2<sup>nd</sup> Pumber – number of animals with toxic signs

 $3^{rd}$  number = number of animals used

#### **B.** Clinical observations

The following signs were observed in males at 150 pc/kg by and above and in females at 100 mg/kg bw and above: apathy, reduced motility, puberection and labored breathing. In the females there was also pallor, this occurring in the males only in figher doses. In both sexes at the higher doses there were additionally signs of cyanosis, narrowed palpebor fissures and staggering gait. Atony, cramped posture, prostration, spastic fait, no fellexes and soft faeces were also observed in isolated cases in the males and females. The following individual signs were also observed: salivation; prostration; vocalization on touching. The signs were mainly up to moderate in degree, occurred in some cases shortly after administration and lasted in the males and females to max. day 2 of the study. A dose of 100 mg/kg b.w. we tolerated by the males and a dose of 50 mg/kg b.w. by the females without signs occurring.

Ó

#### C. Body weight

There were no treatment related effects on body weight gain noted. V Ø



#### **D.** Necropsy

R

Animals that died during the study: The three males of the 200 mg/kg bw dose group that died had a reddened glandular stomach and (small) intestine, as well as injected mesenteric vessels. Two of these males had a clear liquid in stomach, strongly circulated vessel, slightly reddened epididymes, and injected vessels on testes. In addition, one male each had severely distended vessels on testes, liquid diet in stomach, reddened adrenals and a somewhat patchy spleen. The one male of the 300 mg/kg bw dose group showed a distended stomach and reddened glandular stomach. All five high dose males that died had a severely reddened glandular stomach and (severely) reddened small intestines. Four rats had also a dark liver, liquid in the stomach, and liquid contents of the intestine of the senteric vessels of the intestine tract were severely injected in three rats, while distended hungs, dark adrenals, and dark spleen were observed in 2 rats each. In addition, reddened pelvis, dark pancheas, entarged stomach with slimy yellowish content, injected vessels on testes, bladder filled with clear liquid was observed in one rat each.

At 150 mg/kg bw two females died during the study. One had pale, mottled kipneys and clear liquid stomach content, as well as a reddened small intestine tract. The thoracic organs of this animal were not assessable. The second female had a dark liver, clear had it is stomach, reddened small intestine tract with slimy red contents and a slightly reddened renar pelvis. At 200 mg/kg by four females had a reddened glandular stomach. Three rats had a clear liquid in the glandwar stomach, a reddened small intestine and severely injected vessels. The small intestine of two females were filled with a clear liquid. In addition, mottled or pate liver, isolated ulcerous foci of glandwar stomach, mottled spleen and liquid content in the glandular stomach were observed in one rat each.

The four females of the 400 mg/kg by dose group that died had all a ceddened glandular stomach and intestinal tract. In addition mottled liver, slightly distended lungs, fiver lobulation, mottled lung, and a slightly distended stomach was observed in one rate each.

All five high-dose females had a severely reddened glandular stomach and a severely or slightly reddened intestinal bact. Four rats had also mottled river and liquid stomach contents. Three females had a dark liver and liquid in the small intestine. In addition, slightly distended lungs, distended stomach liver lobulation, mottled lung and a mottled spleen were recorded in one rat each.

Animals sacrificed at termination: There were no gross lesions observed in males up to and including 200 mg/kg bw sacrificed at termination. At 500 mg/kg bw four males had a small crater-like protrusion of the proventriculus. At the edge the crater was white and there were no mucous membrane on the oner sourface. In addition, one of these rats had isolated thicker regions on proventriculus.

In females sacrificed at termination there were also no gross pathological findings up to and including 200 mg/kg by. One termale \$200 mg/kg by had a small crater-like protrusion of the proventriculus. At the edge the crater was white and there were no mucous membrane on the inner surface.

#### **III.** Conclusion

FOE 5043-Sulfon is considered to be toxic after acute oral administration. The estimated acute oral  $LD_{50}$  for male and female rats is > 150 and < 200 mg/kg bw.



Report:	1;	;1992;M-004576-01
Title:	FOE 5043-Sulfone - S	Study of the acute inhalation toxicity to rats in accordance
Report No:	with OECD guideline	no. 403
Document No:	M-004576-01-2	Le la
Guidelines:	OECD 403 (1981), E	C guideline 84/449/EEC B.2, US/FIFRA guideline § 81-
	3 (1984)	G L Q
	<b>Deviations: none</b>	
GLP/GEP:	yes	
	I M	atorials and Pathods
A. Materials	1. 1716	
1. Test material		FOE 5043-Sultan
Description:		Colourless Systels
Lot/Batch no:		39 86000 1700 123/91 4 A
Purity:	\$	NO 19/1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1
funty. Stability of tost	taamnaund:	morentood for stude Juratien
2. Vehicle:	Ô à	Oust exposure thone A group avance of the pulse of the p
		acetone (1:1)
3. Test animals		
Species:		Wistar rat
Strain <sup>.</sup>		Bor WISW%SPF-brod
Age.		2/to 3 months
Weight at dosh		$\frac{1}{2}$ males: $163 \text{ g} - 192 \text{ g}$
Source:		Germany
A calimatization	Ariada O	, Comany
Diati		a reast a gays
		for rate and mice) (Altromin ® 1324. Altromin GmbH
L.	\$ \$ A	Germany), ad libitum
Water?		Sep water, ad libitum
Housing:		$\bigvee$ During acclimatization and during the study period in
		groups of five in Makrolon® Type III cages; bedding:
		type S8/15 low-dust wood shavings (Ssniff, Soest,
D. Study destand		Germany)
D. Study design an	u methous	
1. Animai assignm		
Dose:	<sup>y</sup> <sup>v</sup>	Aerosol: 0-8.2-52.6-89.8-146.3 mg/m <sup>3</sup> air (actual concentration)
		Dust: 0-35.3-122.7 mg/m <sup>3</sup> (actual concentration)
Application rou	ute:	Inhalation, nose / head only
Exposure:		4 hours
Group size		5 rats/sex/group
crosp size.		0. • uk



Post-treatment observation period: Observations:

2 weeks

mortality, clinical signs, body weights, rectal temperature (after aerosol exposure only), reflex measurements, gross necropsy

#### 2. Generation of the test atmosphere / chamber description

2. Generation of the test atmosphere / chamber description								
Generation and characterization o	f chambe	er atmosp	ohere		Š	×,	.1	Ű,
		Dust		ŝ	~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~	<sup>°</sup> Ajerosol		Š,
Target concentration (mg/m <sup>3</sup> )	0		(		≠ 5 <u>0</u> °~√	500	1000	1500
Analytical concentration (mg/m <sup>3</sup> )	0	35.3	1220		\$7 0	5¥.6	<b>89</b> .8	146.3
Temperature (mean, °C)	21.5	23.1	Onr	021.4	21.6	21.6 <sup>©</sup>	َّ 21 <b>ج</b>	21.7
Relative humidity (mean, %)	18.8	12.9	nr	45.1	18.2	186	18.6	19.7
MMAD (μm) GSD Aerosol mass < 3 μm (%)		~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~	39 -Q - 0 0	045 1.46 98	0.45 1.45 98	07.43 1.45 98	1.43 1.44 98	1.39 1.43 99

MMAD = Mass Median Aerodynamic Diameter, GSD = Geometric Sondard Deviation = not applicable.

nr = not reported

Results and discussion

#### A. Mortality

Dust exposure

There were no mortalities observed in the air control dose group in both sexes, as well as in females up to 122.7 mg/m3 dust. One male rate each fired at 303 and 22.7 mg/m3.

Ò

#### Aerosol exposure

There were no mortalities observed in sale and female rats in the vehicle control group and at concentrations up to and including 52.6 mg/m<sup>3</sup>.

Four males and one female each died of conceptrations of 89 and 146.3 mg/m<sup>3</sup>.

### Table 5.8.2/21-2 Result summa

Dose (mg/m <sup>3</sup> )	Toxi	cological re	su <b>C</b> *	Onset and duration of signs	Onset of death after	Mortality (%)
		AMa	le rats – Dus	st exposure		
Air controk	0	La	5			0
35.3		n N	5	4h – 14d	2d	20
122.7## 🛷	2	<i></i> С 5	5	4h – 7d	2d – 3d	20
·	<u>A</u>	Male	e rats – Aeros	sol exposure		
0#	$\bigcirc_{\mathbb{Z}} 0$	0	5			0
8.2	0	0	5			0
52.6	0	5	5	4h – 9d		0
89.8	4	5	5	4h – 9d	1d – 3d	80
146.3	4	5	5	4h - 6d	1d - 3d	80
		LC <sub>50</sub>	approximate	ely 69 mg/m <sup>3</sup>		



Dose (mg/m <sup>3</sup> )	Toxicological result*			Onset and duration of signs	Onset of death after ළු	Mortality (%)
		Fem	ale rats – Dı	ist exposure	Ū,	
Air control	0	0	5		م م ا	
35.3	0	5	5	4h – 14d	\$~~_Q`	
122.7##	0	5	5	4h – 14d		
		Femal	le rats – Aer	osol exposure 🦂		
0#	0	0	5	0 ~		0
8.2	0	0	5	~~~ <sup>©</sup>		0
52.6	0	5	5	4h7,₫		Å Ø
89.8	1	5	5	4h Mild		<u>_</u> 20
146.3	1	5	5 🎸	∘4h – 8d,⊴	ي 3d	20
			$LC_{50} > 146.3$	B mg/m <sup>3</sup>		

1<sup>st</sup> number = number of dead animals, 2<sup>nd</sup> number = number of animals with toxic sign # venicle control
## maximum technically attainable concentration
B. Clinical observations
Dust exposure
There were no aligned by the second secon

#### **B.** Clinical observations

#### Dust exposure

Dust exposure There were no clinical signs of toxicity observed in male and female rates of the air control group. At the two tested concentrations of 35.3 and 122.7 mg/m3 dast, all male and female rats exhibited clinical signs. These signs consisted Erespiratory sounds, difficult breathing, dyspnea and bradypnea, reduced activity, atony, piloerection, serous nasal discharge, salivation freddened and bloody rhinarium, corneal opacity, periorbital skip regions reddened, bloody and swollen, head and limbs severely swollen and reddened, Cachexia, vitregus humer lesion distended abdomen.

#### Aerosol exposure

There were no treatment related clinical findings in males and females at 0 and 8.2 mg/m<sup>3</sup>.

At higher concentrations all male and female rate exhibited clinical signs. The clinical signs at 52.6 mg/m3 consisted of dyspnea and bradypnea, respiratory sounds, difficult breathing, rhinarium reddened and bloody, serous nasa, discharge, reduced activity, atony, piloerection.

At 89.8 mg/m2 the following signs were observed: dyspnea and bradypnea, difficult breathing and respiratory Sounds, atony, reduced activity, serous nasal discharge, bloody and blood-incrusted rhinarium, periorbital incrustations of blood, piloerection.

The signs observed at the highest concentration of 146.3 mg/m<sup>3</sup> were Dyspnea and bradypnea, difficult breaching and respiredory sounds, atony, reduced activity, serous nasal discharge, bloody and blood-incrusted rhinarium, bloods and blood-incrusted eyelids, periorbital incrustations of blood, cyanosis, cacheria (severe body weight loss), piloerection and unpreened hair coat.

#### C. Reflex measurements

#### Dust exposure

The battery of reflex measurements conducted on day 1 revealed no changes of reflexes in any animal of the air control group. At 35.3 and 122.7 mg/m<sup>3</sup> dust reduced grip strength and reduced reaction to external stimuli were observed I a few animals.



#### Aerosol exposure

The battery of reflex measurements conducted on day 1 revealed no changes of reflexes in any animal of the vehicle control group and at concentrations up to and including 52.6 mg/<sup>3</sup>. At 89.8 and 146.3 mg/m<sup>3</sup> dust reduced grip strength and reduced reaction to external stimul@were observed I a few animals.

#### **D.** Body weight

#### Dust exposure

There was a treatment-related and toxicologically significant effect on body weight gain (reduction) at 35.3 and 122.7 mg/m<sup>3</sup> dust.

#### Aerosol exposure

There was a treatment-related and toxicologically significant reduction on body weight and at 52.6 mg/m<sup>3</sup> and above on day 3 after exposure. Afterwards body weights increased.

#### **E. Rectal temperature**

#### Aerosol exposure

There was a statistically significant, concentration-related hypothermia at concentrations of 8.2 mg/m<sup>3</sup> and above. The hypothermia is considered to be related to a sovere "sensory vertation".

#### **D.** Necropsy

#### Dust exposure – animals that died during the study

Observed findings were digrended longs, bloody nose, pale spleen pale liver, lobular pattern of liver, GI tract with yellowish prucoid content or empty and distended, pale kidneys, and reddened renal pelvis.

### Dust exposure - quimals Ocrifice Wat termination

One high-dose male and one low-dose tomale had lung@with hepatoid foci. In addition, one female at 35.3 and two temales at 122 mg/m<sup>2</sup> bad corneal opacity.

### Aerosol exposure animals that he duking the Gudy

Observed findings were Lungs distended, and or with hepatoid foci; lungs reddened, mucosa of the small intestine reddened, foci; thoras with serous fluid; spleen and kidneys pale; small intestine with bloody mucoid, bloody nose, glandular stomach reddened; and liver with lobular pattern;

Aerosol exposure – animals sacrifice Pat termination

There were no gross lesions observed in males and females of all dose groups.

#### **III.** Conclusion

FOE 5043-Sulfon, both as an aerosol (high respirability) and as a dust (practically no respirability) exhibited a high acute inhalation toxicity to rats. The determined acute  $LC_{50}$  values of male and female rats were approximately 69 mg/m<sup>3</sup> and > 146 mg/m<sup>3</sup> after aerosol exposure.



Ponort.	b.	·1002·M 004522 01
Title <sup>.</sup>	FOE 5043-Sulfon	- Study for skin and eve irritation/corrosion in rabbits
Report No <sup>.</sup>	21156	- Study for skin and eye initiation/corrosion in faborts
Document No <sup>•</sup>	M-004522-01-1	<u>Ś</u>
Guidelines.	OECD 404 (1981)	) FEC Directive 84/449/FEC B 4 (1984) US-FPA TSCA
Guidennes.	Test guidelines 7	98 4470 (1985). US-EPA Pesticide assessment guidelines
	881-5 (1984). OE	CD 405 (1987): EEC Directive 84 449/FFC B 5 (1984). ØS-
	EPA TSCA Test	guidelines 798.4450 (1985). USEPA Perticide assessment
	guidelines §81-4	(1984)
	Deviations: none	
GLP/GEP:	ves	
	·	
A. Materials		
1. Test material:		
Name:		FOE 5043-Sulfan x x x
Description:		Coloutess crystals $\mathcal{O}$ $\mathcal{O}$
Lot/Batch no:		TE \$5005, 17001-3/94 ~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~
Purity:		99.4% & 0
Stability of tes	st compound:	guaranteed for study duration;
2. Vehicle:	-	None for skin irritation and first eye irrulation test;
	Ô	Cremophor EL 2% for the repeated eye irritation test
3. Test animals	ľà	
Species:		Rabbit a a a
Strain:		New Zealand White, HC:NZX
Sex:		Femates
Age:		adult of
Weight at dosi	ing: S	Wemales 3.0 – 28 kg
Source:	Õ <sup>av</sup> k	, England
Acclimatisatic	period $\sqrt{2}$	At least 14 days
Diet:	Ô <sup>°</sup> N	Standard diet "Ssoiff K4" (Ssniff Spezialdiaeten GmbH,
~~	NY Q	Soest, Germany), 100 – 120 g per animal per day
Water	x & .0	Tap-water, ad tibitum
Housing:		Individually in stainless steel cages with flat rod bases or
	~ ~ _ O	plastic cages with perforated bases
B. Study design and	l methods (	
1. Animal assignme	nt and treatment	(skin (pritation)
Dos		First experiment: 0.5 g (moistened with water)
A. O	Ŭ Õ	second experiment: 1% formulation in 2% Cremophor EL/
S' a		animal
Application ro	nute: 0° 00	<sup>7</sup> Dermal (area: approx. 6 cm <sup>2</sup> )
Duration:		4 hours
Group size	0	3 females
Observations:	1	Mortality, clinical signs, skin effects, body weight (at
	× · · · · ·	beginning of study)
2. Animal assignme	int and treatment	(eye irritation)
Dose		First experiment: 0.1 mL/animal
		second experiment: 0.1 mL of a 1% formulation in 2%
۸ <u>۱</u> ۰۰۰۰۰۰۰۰۰۰۰۰۰۰۰۰۰۰۰۰	auto:	Cremophor EL/ animal
Application ro	oute:	Single insultation to the conjunctival sac of one eye (eyes
Group size		3 females
Group size.		5 remarcs



Observations:

Mortality, clinical signs, eye effects, body weight (at beginning of study)

#### **II. Results and discussion**

#### A. Findings skin irritation

There were no mortalities or systemic intolerance reactions.

Dermal application of the undiluted test substance caused irritant effects with The mean irritation scores for the individual animals were 0.2.0 and 2.00.3 other and 0.0 for oedema.

After dermal application of a 1% formulation of the test substance slight erythema were observed in all rabbits.

\_ryth 223-1. erythema and 0.0, 0.0 The mean irritation scores for the individual animals and 1.0 for and 0.0 for oedema.

All skin reactions were resolved by day 14.

The skin irritation observations are summarized in the Ta

Erythema and eschar formation formation	Oedema
Enst experiment (undiluted status)	
24 h $0$ $1$ $0$ $0$ $1$ $0$ $1$ $0$ $1$ $1$ $1$ $1$ $1$ $1$ $1$ $1$ $1$ $1$	0
48 h ~ ~ 0 ~ 7 2 ~ 1 2	0
72 h 0 0 0 0 2	0
Mean 24-72 h 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.3 2.0	0.0
$7 \operatorname{deg}^{\ast}$	0
$14 \operatorname{days} \sqrt{2}  0  0  \sqrt{2}  \sqrt{2}  0  0  0$	0
Second experiment (1% formulation)	
$60 \min \sqrt{3} \sqrt{3} \sqrt{3} \sqrt{3} \sqrt{3} 0 0 0 1$	0
	0
$48 h \sqrt{3} k 1 \sqrt{3} 0^{7} 1 0 1$	0
$72 h$ $0^{\circ}$ $1 0^{\circ}$ $1 0^{\circ}$ $1$	0
Mean 24-72 <sup>-</sup> h 🔐 1.0 💮 0.0 1.0 0.0 1.0	0.0
$\sim$ 7 days $\sim$	0
14 days $3$ $0$ $0$ $0$ $0$ $0$	0

### Table 5.8.2/23-1: Summary of irritant effects Score

### B. Findings exertinitation

There were no mortalizes or systemic intolerance reactions.

Exposure of the undiluted test substance caused strong irritating reactions to the eyes of all three rabbits. Therefore the animals were sacrificed 72 hours after the test substance administration, and the test was repeated with a 1% aqueous formulation of the test substance.

Exposure of the 1% formulation of the test substance caused also severe eye reactions.



The eye observations are summarized in the Table 5.8.2/23-2.

Animal	Observation	1 h	24 h	48 h	72 h	Mean	• Other eye	Response
INO.						scores	enects	d' Ø
1s	Corneal opacity	ne*	2	2	3	2.3		the second se
	Iris	ne*	2	2	ne#	° X		
	Redness conjunctivae	2	3	3	ŋØ	SX (		×.
	Chemosis conjunctivae	3	3	3		°∼ <sup>0</sup> 3.0 ¢°	Conjunctiver.	Å
2s	Corneal opacity	ne*	2	20	<u>_</u> 3©	203	Chemorrhage,	Ø++
	Iris	ne*	2	Ŵ,	~ne#	× X	eyelice partly	
	Redness conjunctivae	2	3	د ري ا	9 ne		black colored	
	Chemosis conjunctivae	4	3	× 3 ~ ~		3.0×	S nictitatog	
3s	Corneal opacity	ne*	A2	$O_2^*$	Ľ3	¢ <sup>۲</sup> 2.3 ک	formation of	++
	Iris	ne* (	° 2 ∂	¥ 2 🔊	ne#	×	. O Sessens	
	Redness conjunctivae	2 0	37	<u>,</u> 30	p.O.			
	Chemosis conjunctivae			3	3		ŕ	

#### Table 5.8.2/23-2: Summary of irritant effects (Score) after undiluted application

\*ne = no evaluation possible due to the chemosis of the conjunctival?

# ne = no evaluation possible due to strong comeal opacity



Animal	Observation	1 h	24 h	48 h	72 h	Mean	Reversibility	Other eye	Response
No.						scores		Seffects	-
4	Corneal opacity	0	1	1	1	1.0	7 days	Conjunctivea:	+
	Iris	0	0	0	0	0.0	na 🖉	Conjunctivae	, P
	Redness conjunctivae	2	2	2	3	2.3	14 days	membrane.	E
	Chemosis conjunctivae	3	3	3	3	S.	21 days	formation of	∦ + ∦ °
5	Corneal opacity	ne*	1	1			14 days	Sonjuncivea: whitish colored	10 <sup>2</sup>
	Iris	ne*	1	1	Ą,	~1,0	∿ 7 days	andhictitating	+
	Redness conjunctivae	2	2		3	≥ 2.3 č	14 <b>@</b> ys	strong	+
	Chemosis conjunctivae	3	3	<u>`</u> Y	O O	<b>X</b>	421 days©	vessels; vascularization	+
6	Corneal opacity	1				0.0		Conjunctivae and nictitating	-
	Iris	0	0	× 0	ۘ^¢۶	6.ŏ	o na	strong	_
	Redness conjunctivae					\$ 1.3 <sup>\$</sup>		formation of vessels;	_
	Chemosis conjunctivae				d'	1.3	A days		-

#### Table 5.8.2/23-3: Summary of irritant effects (Score) after application of 1 % formulation

\*ne = no evaluation possible due to the chemosis of the conjunctivae  $\hat{p}$ 

Response for mean score Corneal Conjunctival gedema opacity edness (Regulation (EC) No 1272/2008 and GHS)  $\langle \rangle$ negative Č2 (Directive 1999/45/EC as amended)  $\geq 2 \ll$ (Regulation (EC) No 1272/2008 (GHS) category 2) = irritant « (Directive 1999/45/EC as amended) (Regulation (EC) No 1272/2008 and GHS category 1) = irreversible effect ++serious damage (Directive 1999/45/EC as amended) not applicable na **III.** Conclusion The test item COE 5043-Sulfor was initating to the skin.

Based on the study results the test substance FOE 5043-Sulfon is severely irritating to eyes of rabbits.



Report:	0:	:1994:M-004673-01
Title:	FOE 5043-Sulfone	- Study of the skin sensitization effect on guinea pigs
	(Maximization test	of Magnusson and Kligman)
Report No:	23001	Ĩ
Document No:	M-0046/3-01-2	tive 84/440/EEC (1084)
Guidennes:	Deviations: none	uve 64/449/LEC (1964)
GLP/GEP:	yes	
A. Materials		
1. Test materials:		
Name:		FOE 5043-Section
Description:		White crystals
Lot/Batch no:		17007/92
Purity:		99.1% \$ 5 6 6
Stability of te	st compound:	guaranteed for study duration? expire date: 1995-04-13
2. Vehicle:		Propylene glycol @,2-propanediol
3. Test animals:	4	
Species:	Ő	Guinea pig of the two sets of the sets of the set of th
Strain:	Û	Hst Win: DPI (SPE Dred)
Age:		A to 8 weeks
Sex:	, <sup>®</sup>	Males V S
Weight at dos	ing:	292~399 g <sup>(*)</sup>
Source:		, Germany
Acclimatizati	on period:	At least 7 days
Diet:		Altromin® 2020 montenace diet for guinea pigs (ATRONIN GrapH, Lage, Germany), ad libitum
Water: 🚬 🖗		Fap water, ad libitum
Housing.		In Makrolon® type 4 cages with low-dust wood shavings as
	) 0' 0	bedding. During acclimatization 5 per cage, during
		experiment 2 or 3 animals per cage.
B. Study design and	1 methods	× ×
1. Animai assignme	the and treatment	$\sim 0^{3^{\circ}}$
Leiradermal ir	duction:	$(\sim)$
	tion:	6% (= 30 mg test substance/animal)
Challenge		1% (= 5 mg test substance/animal) and
A	J' A'	0.5% (=2.5 mg test substance/animal)
Application re	oute: 🛷	Intradermal, dermal
Application x	olume:	intradermal induction: 0.1 mL/injection
Ø	<i>,</i>	topical induction: 0.5 mL/patch
		challenge: approx. 0.5 mL/patch
Duration:		topical induction: 48 hours, challenge: 24 hours
Group size:		20 in test item groups; 10 in control group
Observations:	•	mortality, clinical signs, skin effects, body weight (at
		beginning and termination of study)



#### **II. Results and discussion**

#### A. Findings

There were no mortalities or systemic intolerance reactions noted in any angual during the study. Body weight development was not affected by treatment.

After the second induction the application sites of 16 animals of the test substance groups were encrusted. The incrustation was not resolved in all animals at termination.

After challenge exposure with 1% test substance skin findings were observed in all guines pigs of the test substance group. After challenge with 0.5% 19 animals exhibited skin fordings in the control group skin findings were observed in 2 and 1 animal after exposure to 1% and 0.5% of the test substance, respectively.

A summary of the skin reactions observed after challenge exposure are given in the Table 5.8.2/24-1.

	Test item group (20 animals)					Control group (10 animals)				
	Test item patch		Contrôl patch		🗸 Test item patch		<b>Control patch</b>			
Hours	24	48 🗞	Total	24	48	240 <sup>×</sup>	48 "	Total	24	48
Challenge	20/20	20/20	20/20	Q/20″	0/20	2/10	2710	2/10	0/10	0/10
1%		-Q					N N			
Challenge	19/20	\$8/20	Q9/20_	©0/20 _	√0/20 <sub>@</sub>	1/10/=	> 1/10	1/10	0/10	0/10
0.5%			P" "\}		Ø	~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~				
* After noteh rea	moval	V	a .	× 1	$\bigcirc$	s Ø				

#### Table 5.8.2/24-1: Number of animals exhibiting skin effects\*

\* After patch removal

The last two reliability checks performed in the aboratory with 2-mercaptobenzothiazole confirmed the sensitivity and reliability of the test method.

M. Conclusions

Based on the study results FOE 5043-stalfon does possess a skin sensitizing potential.

(eports <sup>2</sup> ) (1993;M-004601-01
Fitle: <sup>1</sup> AS STOE 5093-Sulfone - Study to assess the sensory irritation potential to mice
$\sqrt[\infty]{}$ (RD) determination)
Report No: 22739
Document No. 2 M-00460 01-1
Guidelines: ASTM E981-84
<b>(Exposure technique in accordance with OECd 403 and EC guideline</b>
84/449/EEC B.2)
Deviations: not specified
GLP/GEP: yes



#### A. Materials FOE 5043-Sulfon 1. Test material: Description: white crystals AUC: 1993-02. Lot/Batch no: 17004+5/91 99.2-99.9% Purity: Stability of test compound: guaranteed for None 2. Vehicle: 3. Test animals Species: Mouse Strain: OF1 (SPF Age: approximately5 Weight at dosing: Mean: Source: Acclimatisation period: least 5 days dard fixed formula standard diet (maintenance diet Diet: for rats and mice) (Attromin @ 1324; Altromin GmbH, Germany), ad libitum Water: water ad libram Housing: During acclimatization and during the study period in groups of four in Makrolon® Type II cages; bedding: type S8/10 low-d@t wood shavings (Ssniff, Soest, B. Study design and menods 1. Animal assignment and treatment apour **1** apour Dose.≪ Inhaligation, nose / head only Applicationrout 45 minutes Exposure A males/group Group size Post-treatment observation period 1 week mortality, clinical signs, body weights, lung function test, Observations: gross necropsy Calculations RD<sub>50</sub> (minimum smoothed respiratory rate); decrease in respiratory rate 2. Generation of the test atmosphere / chamber description Generation and characterization of chamber atmosphere

Target concentration (mg/m <sup>3</sup> )	0	7.6	16.1	23.3
Analytical concentration (mg/m <sup>3</sup> )	Air control	4.3	9.8	13.3
Temperature (mean, °C)	22	42	50	54

#### I. Materials and methods



#### II. Results and discussion

#### A. Mortality

One rat at 4.3 mg/m<sup>3</sup> died on study day 4.

#### Table 5.8.2/25-1: Result summary

1 able 5.0.2/25-1	. Nesuit suii	illial y			R' 1	
Dose (mg/m <sup>3</sup> )	Tox	icological re	esult*	Onset and duration of signs	Onset death al	of A Mortality fteo (%)
			Vapour exp	possure		
0	0	0	4			
4.3	1	1	4	*মুর – 4ুd∡	م مجلم الم	\$ 25
9.8	0	0	4	~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~	<u>4</u> -\$	0
13.3	0	0	Å.			<u> </u>

\* 1<sup>st</sup> number = number of dead animals, 2<sup>nd</sup> number = number of animals with toxic signs 3<sup>rd</sup> number = number of animals used

# air control

#### **B.** Clinical observations

Except for the one mouse that died no clinical signs were observed

The one rat of the low dose group that died showed bradypness and reduced motility from day 1 to day 4. However, it was not clearly if there signs were treatment-related

Ô

#### C. Lung function test

The tests showed that the test substance vapor induces a concentration-related decrease in the respiratory rate. The decrease in frequency is antibuted to a reflex bradypnea and is indicated by a pause between inspiration and expiration. The changes were found to be largely reversible during the recovery period.

Based on the decrease in the respiratory rate, the KD50 was calculated to be 8.9 mg/m<sup>3</sup> air.

#### Table 5.8.2/25-2: Results for respiratory decrease

Conceptration (mg/m <sup>D</sup> air)	<b>Respiratory Decrease (%)</b>
	25
¥	47
13 <u>3</u> () A	69

#### D. Body weight

There was no treatment-related and toxicologically relevant effect on body weight gain.

#### **D.** Necropsy

Animals that died during the study

In the one low-dose animal that died on study day 4 the lungs were bright red. *Animals sacrificed at termination* 



Ò

#### Document MCA: Section 5 Toxicological and metabolism studies Flufenacet

There were no gross lesions observed in any rat of all dose groups.

#### **III.** Conclusion

A severe sensory irritation potential was observed in mice exposed to FOF 5043-Sulfon capor for approximately 45 minutes. The changes that were observed are characteristic of an upper respiratory tract irritant. The observed respiratory changes and their relatively rapid reversibility are regarded as characteristic of a sensory irritant vapor.

Based on the most sensitive parameter (i.e. respiratory rate), 0.3 mg FOE 5043-Surfon /m? is regarded as the non-irritant threshold concentration.

Report:	Ξ;	;1992;1992;1992;1992;1992;1992;1992;199
Title:	FOE 5043-Sulfone - R	arge-finding stude of the subacute inhalation toxicity to
	rats (exposure: 5x6h)	
Report No:	21390	
Document No:	M-004571-01-2	
Guidelines:	EC Guideline 84/449/	(ESC; OECD 403, and 412, 2
CLD/CED.	Deviations:	
GLF/GEF:	yes a c	
	. °	
		iterials and methods
A. Materials		
1. Test material:		FOE 5043 Sulfon
Description:		Colourless crystals
Lot/Batch no:		TE 86005, 17004+5/91
Purity:		99.2% <i>Q</i>
Stability of test	Fompound: S	guaranteed for study duration; expiry date:
2. Vehicle:		None
3. Test animals		
Species?	2 4 E .	Rat
Strain: 200		Wistar Bor:WISW (SPF-Cpb)
Age:		Approximately2 to 3 months
Weight at dosir	ng: N N	Mean males: $210 \pm 9$ g; mean females: $185 \pm 7$ g
Source:		, Germany
Acclimatisation	period:	at least 1 week
Diet:	ý ý	Standard fixed-formula standard diet (maintenance diet for rats and mice) (Altromin ® 1324: Altromin GmbH
		Germany), <i>ad libitum</i>
Water:		tap water, ad libitum
Housing:		During acclimatization and during the study period in groups of five in Makrolon® Type III cages; bedding: type S8/15 low-dust wood shavings (Ssniff, Soest,



**B.** Study design and methods

#### Document MCA: Section 5 Toxicological and metabolism studies Flufenacet

#### Germany)

1. Animal assignment and treatment	Ŕ
Dose:	Vapour: 0-0.5-3.5-16.3 mg/m <sup>3</sup> ait (actual concentration)
Application route:	Inhalation, nose / head only in the second s
Exposure:	5 x 6 h/day
Group size:	10 rats/sex/group $\sim$
Post-treatment observation period:	14 days 2 8 27 2 27
Observations:	mortality, explical signs, body weights, rectal temperature,
	reflex tests, organ weights, gross merops Qinterin after 3
	days and terminal)

### 2. Generation of the test atmosphere / chamber description

2. Generation of the test atmosphere / chamber description							
Generation and characterization of chamber approsphere 2 40 0 0 4							
Target concentration (mg/m <sup>3</sup> )	Air@ntrol	× _00.5	<b>04</b> .0	15.0			
Analytical concentration (mg/m <sup>3</sup> )	Air control	0.5	<u>,</u> ≪ 3.5,©	16.3			
Temperature (mean, °C)	21,36	<sup>2</sup> 21×62 ,	Q <sup>7</sup> 21.68	21.80			
Relative humidity (mean, %)	*23.38 ×	Dr.22	<b>2</b> 8.94	15.92			
MMAD (µm)	Not reported	Not reported	Not reported	2.03			
GSD	\$ <sup>3</sup> .0 <sup>4</sup> .1		Ó	1.57			
Aerosol mass $< 3 \ \mu m \ (\%)$				81			

### I. Results and discussion





#### Table 5.8.2/26-1: Result summary

Animal Nos.	Concentration (mg/m <sup>3</sup> )	Toxicological result*		gical *	Onset and duration of	Onset of death	Mortality (%)
					signs		A.
			Γ	viale r	als		Ô <sup>¥</sup> Ò
1-10	Air control	0	0	10			<u> </u>
11-20	0.5	0	0	10	~~ ~		<u>م</u> کر 0
21-30	3.5	0	10	10	20d − 6 d	1°	مچر 0 م
31-40	16.3	4	10	10	d − 7 @**	1 d 2 d	40
			F	emale <sub>&amp;</sub>	rats 🖉 🗘		Q Q
41-50	Air control	0	0	100	, Č , Ø	<i>© °</i>	0
51-60	0.5	0	0	-QÓ	~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~		DÎ O
61-70	3.5	0	10%	ي 10 ر	2 d 6 d		0
71-80	16.3	4	<u> </u>	10	$0 d^2 7 d^{**}$	2 d - 4 g	40

1<sup>st</sup> number = number of dead animals, 2<sup>nd</sup> number = number of animals with toxic

 $3^{rd}$  number = number of animals used \*\* All rats were sacrificed on day 7 (1<sup>st</sup> day of study = day 0

#### **B.** Clinical observations

Ò No clinical signs were observed in rats of the air control and low dose group. At 3.5 mg/m<sup>3</sup> bradypnea and dyspnea piloerection and unpreened hat coat, slight respiratory sounds, and a mild serous nasal discharge was observed in a few rats.

 $\bigcirc$ 

At the highest concentration of  $\frac{1}{6.3}$  mg/m<sup>3</sup> the following clinical signs were observed: unpreened hair coat, piloerection, granosis, reduce Cactivity, sterna Precumbency (prostration), atony, high-stepping gait, bradypnea and dysprea, difficult breathing, respiratory sounds, serous to bloody nasal discharge, blood-incrusted minarium, emacration.

#### C. Reflex tests

No change in reflex behavior was observed in the air control group, as well as up to concentrations of





Ø

0

#### Document MCA: Section 5 Toxicological and metabolism studies Flufenacet

	Type of reflex (study day)								
Concentration	Startle reflex	Tail-pinch	Startle reflex 🧳 Tail-pinch						
$(mg/m^3)$	touch (day 4)	response (day 4)	touch (day 7) response (day 7)						
		Ma	les						
Air control	0/10	0/10	0/10 0/10 0/10						
0.5	0/10	0/10							
3.5	0/10	0/10							
16.3	6/10	6/10 🔬							
		Febr	ales ×						
Air control	0/10	0/10							
0.5	0/10	0/10 °O							
3.5	0/10	0/100 ~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~	× ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~						
16.3	6/10	<u>6/10 ~ ~</u>	× 0/10 × 10						
* 1st mumber	* 1st 1 and								

#### Table 5.8.2/26-2: Summary of effects observed during reflex tests

1<sup>st</sup> number = number of rats with abnormal reflexes, 2<sup>nd</sup> number = total number of animals examined

#### **D.** Rectal temperature

There were no treatment-related changes observed at concentrations up to and including 3.5 mg/m<sup>3</sup>. A statistically significant effect on restal temperature was determined in the 15 mg/m3 group (see Table below).

#### Table 5.8.2/26-3: summary of rectal temperature measurements

		r 🔍				
		Males			Females	
Concentration	Rectal	temperature	on day 🔊	🔬 🖉 Rectal	temperature	on day
$(mg/m^3)$	ð	× 4		<u>ر شکر میں م</u>	4	7
Air control	3 <b>4</b> 8	37.8	37.9	≫ <sup>37.9</sup>	38.0	38.5
0.5	37.8	\$7.8	3,206	37.8	37.8	38.4
3.5 🗞	<sup>2</sup> 37.3 <sup>*</sup> 2	م مجمع 7.5 گ	°\$7.8 <sub>∅</sub> ,	37.7	37.3	38.3
16.3	31.0++ «	, 35.0	>> 37.14€	30.8++	30.2+	37.5+

= significant different from control  $p < 0.05_{\odot}$ 

++ = significant different from controp p < 0.0

L,

 $\bigcirc$ 





Concentration (mg/m <sup>3</sup> )	0	4	7	14	21
	Males			Ļ	0
Air control	211	207	221	2,42	263
0.5	206	203	215	QA7 🗸	272 °
3.5	207	199+	212	<u></u> 243 0	268
16.3	210	152++	170+		
	Females		× 8		2
Air control	186	183	A85 N	×194 >>	\$ 199 <u></u> °
0.5	187	185	لا 189 €	198	° 200 €
3.5	190	183	0 1927	1947 Č	200
16.3	185	143++ 0	 1€0++∘	× >>	Ő

#### Table 5.8.2/26-4: Summary of rectal temperature measurements

+ = significant different from control p < 0.05

++ = significant different from control p < 0.01

#### F. Organ weights

**F. Organ weights** Up to and including 3.5 mg/m<sup>3</sup>, there was no significant change in the organ to brain weight ratio. High-dose animals had increased relative lung and liver weights, males showed also increased relative brain weights. In addition, in rats of the 15 mg/m<sup>3</sup> group, the relative organ to brain weights of heart, kidney and spleen weights were reduced.

#### Table 5.8.2/26-5: Summary of absolute organ weights on day 7

Absolute or gan weighs (mg)							
Concentration (mg/m <sup>3</sup> )	Heart	<b>E</b> ang	Spteen	Liver	Kidneys	Brain	
<i>i</i> ta	Males		~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~				
Air control 🔊	<b>\$06</b>	© 1104°,	<sup>∼</sup> ¥ 402	9461	1558	1663	
0.5	⇒714+%	105	× 451	8462	1505	1583	
3.5	<b>758</b> <sup>O</sup>	H02 🔊	429	8674	1506	1641	
16.3	<i>6</i> 69+	@1158°	252++	8359	1273++	1629	
2.6	Females 🔬		0				
Air control	§ 657	<b>28</b> 9	373	6902	1252	1560	
0.5	° <sup>™</sup> 728 <sup>™</sup>	ِ۞ <b>ٛ</b> ٱ054 ِٛ؇	388	6982	1330	1664	
3.5 🗸 🕻	- <u>7</u> 08	<sup>©</sup> 1061	464	6834	1314	1678	
16 🔊 🕡	<i>6</i> 50 <i>4</i>	1160+	260+	8326	1139	1578	

+ = significant different from control p  $\leq 0.05$ 

++ = significant different from control p < 0.01





	Absolute organ weighs (mg/100 g body weight)						
Concentration (mg/m <sup>3</sup> )	Heart	Lung	Spleen	Liver	Kidneys	Brain	
	Males						
Air control	357	488	178	4181	689	73	
0.5	335	533	212+	3975 "Ø	007	743	
3.5	357	519	202	Ö <sup>-</sup> 4089 <sup>~</sup> Y	~~710 ℃	ູ⊀∄73	
16.3	386	670++	145+ 🔬		734	× 940++	
	Females		Ö				
Air control	352	531	204	3692	<u>്</u> 669 റ്	837	
0.5	387	559	205	<sup>0</sup> 370 گ	ِرَّ 705 <sup>(</sup>	<b>&amp;8</b> 83	
3.5	368	552	<u>2</u> 41	355	^∕∕ 6883,	گې 872	
16.3	406	726++	×162	\$212++~~	<i>\$</i> 12	Ø <u>989</u>	
		1 :0.05			"O" «``	/	

#### Table 5.8.2/26-6: Summary of relative organ weights on day 7

+ = significant different from control p < 0.05

++ = significant different from control p < 0.0

#### Table 5.8.2/26-7: Summary of relative organ to brain weights on day of

	Relative organ to brain weighs (mg/100,g brain weight)						
Concentration (mg/m <sup>3</sup> )	Heart	ÚLung	Spleen	Liver	Kidneys		
	$\sim$	Ø L V	Males				
Air control		66 ج	°24 (*	569	94		
0.5	45	72	@ 29	537	96		
3.5	A 46 K	67	26	529	92		
16.3	→ 4 <u>1</u> + ∂		) ) ) ) ) ) ) ) ) ) ) ) ) ) ) ) ) ) )	513	78++		
O			Females				
Air control 🖏	°~ 42	5 64 Q	24	445	81		
0.5	× 44	C 63, " «	23	421	80		
3.5		~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~	28	408	78		
16.3 °	<u>4</u> 1	A 4	17+	529	72		

+ = significant different from control p < 0.05

++ = significant  $\phi$  ifferen  $\phi$  on control p  $\phi$  01

#### G. Necropsy

Animals that died during the study:

The three males of the 16.3 mg/m<sup>3</sup> group that died had distended, reddish lungs, a pale spleen. Two males had also a reddish duodenum mucosa and hepatized lungs. The duodenum content of one male was also reddish mucus.

Ô

The four high-rose females that died during the study had all a pale spleen, a reddish duodenum mucosa and bloody mucus content in the duodenum. Three had also a distended, reddish lung, while one had only a reddish lung. The lungs of two females showed also hepatization. A pale liver and a red fore stomach were observed in one female.

Animals sacrificed at interim sacrifice (day 7):



There were no gross pathological findings observed in males and females at concentrations up to and including  $3.5 \text{ mg/m}^3$ .

Surviving males and females of the high-dose group were all sacrificed on day 7. In high-dose males at interim sacrifice the following findings were observed: small spleen (3 rats), distended lungs (3 rats), reddish or pale ling (1 rat each). Three high-dose females had a distended and pale lung. Small spleens were observed in two high-dose females.

Animals sacrificed at termination (day 21):

There were no gross lesions observed in males and females up to and including  $3.5 \text{ mg/m}^3$  sacrificed at termination.

### III. Conclusion

Based on the study results the NOEC for FOE 5043-Sulton was determined to be 0.5 mg/m<sup>3</sup>. Significant clinical findings were observed starting at 3.5 mg/m<sup>3</sup>. The most provinent changes (irritation of the respiratory tract mucosae and hypothermia caused by irritation) were observed in rats exposed to 16.3 mg/m<sup>3</sup>. This concentration was within the ternal range. The cause of death is considered to be causally related to lung damage resulting from irritation.

	, Ô Å	
Report:	h;	;1994,\$1-0047\$9-01
Title:	FOE 5043-Sulfone - S	Study of the subacute inhalation toxicity to rats in
	according with OECD	D guideline no. 412
Report No:		
Document No:	M2004779-01-1	
Guidelines:	<b>OPECD 412 (1989); E</b>	C guideline 84/449/EEC B.8, US-EPA FIFRA § 82-4
Ó	7(1984) Darotti ana 201 atia	U <sup>2</sup> V <sup>2</sup> CD 412
, Q	This had no dotomak	numionly was lower as recommended by OECD 412.
	Particle size distribu	tion not involved
GLP/GEP:		
		Y &
L.		O <sup>°</sup>
A. Materiats		
1. Test material		FOE 5043-Sulfon
Description:		colourless crystals
Lot/Batch no:		17004+5/91
Purity:		99.2%
Stability of test	t compound.	guaranteed for study duration; expiry date: 1993-01-02
2. Vehicle:	y' Y	None
3. Test animals		
Species:		Rat
Strain:		Wistar Bor:WISW (SPF-Cpb)
Age:		Approximately2 to 3 months



Weight at dosing:	Mean ma	les: 190 g; mean	females: 170 g			
Source:		, Germany				
Acclimatisation period:	Approxin	Approximately 2 weeks				
Diet:	Standard for rats ar Germany	fixed-formula stand mice) (Altrom), <i>ad libitum</i>	andard biet (main in @1324; Altro	ntenance diet		
Water:	tap water.	, ad libitum 🖌				
Housing:	During ac groups of type S8/1 Germany	During acclimatization and during the study period in groups of five in Makolon® Fype III eages: bedding: type S8/15 fow-dust wood shavings Ssniff Soest.				
B. Study design and methods			~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~	- Alexandre - Alex		
1. Animal assignment and treatmen	t 🔬		Å Å	, O		
Dose:	Xapour: (	0.47-2204-7.63	mg/m³ air (actua	D .		
	°~ conceptra	tion)				
Application route:	Inha@tior	n, pose / head onl	y og			
Exposure:	° 6∰day 5	6@/day 5 times / week; 4 consecutive weeks				
Group size:	°∼J0 rats⊀se	x/groth S				
Observations:	<ul> <li>mortality,</li> <li>reflex test</li> <li>hematole</li> <li>histopathe</li> </ul>	mortality, clinical signs, body weights, rectal temperature, reflex tests ophthalmology clinical chemistry, hematology, urinalysis, organ weights, gross necropsy, histopathology				
2. Generation of the test atmospher	e Tchamber de	servention 2				
Generation and characterization of ch	amber atmosph	we				
Target concentration (mg/m <sup>3</sup> )	Aircontrol	\$0.5	2	8		
Analytical concentration (mg/10)	Xir concol	0.47	2.04	7.63		
Temperature (mean, SC) &		22.5	23.1	22.8		
Relative humidity (mean, %)	×15.1	17.5	16.4	17.8		
MMAD (μm)	A ot reported	Not reported	Not reported	Not reported		
GSD Aerosol mass < 3.5mm (%)						

A The Results and discussion
A. Mortality $\sim \sim \sim \sim$
No mortalities were observed in any dose group
No mortanties were bused et in any dose group.
N N N N N N N N N N N N N N N N N N N
$\mathcal{A}_{\mathcal{V}}$
-0



#### Table 5.8.2/27-1: Result summary

Animal Nos.	Concentration	Toxicological		Onset and	Onset of death	Mortality	
	$(mg/m^3)$	result*		ŧ	duration of	after	(%)
					signs		L.
			]	Male ra	ats		O R
1-10	Air control	0	0	10	(	, 0- A	
11-20	0.5	0	0	10	<u> </u>	~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~	<u>م</u> ري 0
21-30	2	0	10	10	$\sqrt{2} d - E^{\circ}$		<u>ک</u> 0
31-40	8	0	10	10	1 dĚ		_≪9°
			F	emale	rats		Ő
41-50	Air control	0	0		× ×		0
51-60	0.5	0	0	Ň			0
61-70	2	0	140	10	1864 — Е 🦿	<u> </u>	0
71-80	8	0		10	¢¶∕d−Ę	Ø "Ø	0

 $1^{st}$  number = number of dead animals,  $2^{nd}$  number = number of approximate with tox  $3^{rd}$  number = number of animals used

E signs until end of study

#### **B.** Clinical observations

No clinical signs were observed in rate of the an control and low-conceptration group.

At 2 mg/m<sup>3</sup> high-stepping gait (sporadic), reduced mobility (sporadic), bradypnea, labored breathing, sporadic rales, piloerection unpreciped fur serous hasal discharge, speezing, and sporadic atony.

At the highest concentration of 8 mg/m<sup>3</sup> the following clinical signs were observed: high-stepping gait, reduced motility, bradypnea, labored breathing, rales, gasping, piloerection, serous nasal discharge, unpreened fur, sneezing, atony, cachexia, distended abdomen?

The severity of the signs was most pronounced in the animals at 8 mg/m<sup>3</sup>. Females tended to be more sensitive than the materiats. Reflex bradypnea, induced by sensory irritation is regarded as the most sensitive climical parameter As regards this end point, distinct convalescence was observed on the exposure-free weekends.

#### C. Reflex tests

Up to and including 8 mg/m, the reflex tests did not reveal any abnormal findings that would indicate specific neurological changes. Individual animals of the 8 mg/m3 group exhibited, to some degree, a reduced "righting response" and a reduced reactivity to noises. The quantitative determination of the grip strength (all paws) revealed that grip strength tended to be weakened temporarily in the female rats of the 8 mg/nr<sup>3</sup> group


#### Table 5.8.2/27-2: Summary of effects observed during reflex tests

Concentration (mg/m <sup>3</sup> )	Reduced righting response (day 3)	Type of reflex (study day) Startle reflex / sound: no reaction (day 10)	Reduced righting response (day 21)
		Males	
Air control	0/5	0/5	
0.5	0/5	0/5	
2	0/5	0/50	
8	1/5		( ) 0/5 ( )
		Febrales 👡 🖇	
Air control	0/5	0/5 <i>L</i> O	
0.5	0/5		CO/5
2	0/5		1/5
8	3/5	~ <u>~</u> ~ <u>2</u> /5 <u>~</u> 4	√ √ 0/\$

1<sup>st</sup> number = number of rats with abnormal reflexes, 2<sup>nd</sup> number = total number of animals examined

#### **D.** Rectal temperature

When compared to control, there was a stright hypotherma noted ind 8 mg/m

Concentration			ectal temperat	ture (°C) on da	ay	
(mg/m <sup>3</sup> )	0 🐇		<b>9</b> %,	<i>©</i> 16 <i>©</i>	23	30
	, O	Å Ča	🔬 Ma	iles 🔬		
Air control	38.2 🖉	<b>28</b> .7 💎	<b>S8</b> .3 <b>D</b>	38.*	38.5	38.0
0.5	38.	≫ 38.6 j	38.3	\$8.4	38.6	38.1
2	3796	370+ 4	≥ 37.4€	©37.9	38.4	37.8
8	. 36.4	375+	36,4++ 5	38.0	37.3++	37.1++
\$	Q~		Rem Ferr	ales		
Air controk	38,4 🤘	39.0	38.7~	39.0	38.9	38.3
0.5	<u>_</u> 3§)5 O'	3806	° 38.6√	38.9	38.8	38.0
2	38.3	∂8.3 Č	\$ <b>Q</b> <sub>2</sub> 9+	38.4	38.8	38.1
8	ي \$36.9	\$38.0	96.9+	38.2+	37.7	37.1

### L V V Table 5.8.2/27-3: summary of rectal temperature measurements

+ = significant different from control p 29.05 (ANOVA)

++ = significant different from control  $p \ge 0.01$  (QNOVA)

### E. Body weight

Treatment-related reduction in body weights were observed in both sexes at concentrations of 2 mg/m<sup>3</sup> and above (see Table below). As can be seen in the table below, the animals clearly gained weight during the exposite-free weekends.



Concentration	Mean body weight (g)								
$(mg/m^3)$	0	4	7	11	14	18	21	25	28
					Males				
Air control	190	181	195	192	205	209	221 -	¥Ž5 🕺	¢ 240 🔬
0.5	190	181	194	191	204	207	Q218 🦉	219 O	235
2	194	183	198	193	207	205	220	214	234
8	191	168++	186+	170++	188+***°	183+	2035	188++	,209++
					Females				
Air control	171	167	171	167	172	04	(J <sup>17</sup> 4 🖉 🕜	° 180 🔊	186
0.5	170	165	168	167	d 70	772 🔊	172 🔊	175	183°
2	167	161	167	163 🛒	J167 🕋	165+	168	169+	Q77
8	174	155+	170	157+ <sup>O</sup>	169 🗘	160+	164	\$67+	182
- aignificant di	ffamment from		< 0.05 (11)	toot)					

#### Table 5.8.2/27-4: Summary of body weight measurements

+ = significant different from control  $p \le 0.05$  (U-test)

++ = significant different from control  $p \le 0.01$  (U-test)

#### F. Haematology

There was a treatment-related increase in coagulation time observed at 2 mg/m² and in females at 8 mg/m³. There were some other statistical significant changes, but since there were not concentration-related and were also within the range of distorical controls, these changes were considered not toxicological relevant.

There were also treatment-related effects on the Jeukocyte differential count in females at concentrations of 2 mg/m<sup>2</sup> and above (i.e. a relative increase in the segmented leukocytes and monocytes but no effect on the about leukocyte bount)  $\mathcal{O}_{\mu}$ 

### Table 5.8.2/27-5: segmary of haematology and levelocyte differential count

Concentration	🗞 LEU 💭	MCHC	THRO	HQŬICK	LYM	SEGM	MONO
(mg/m <sup>3</sup> )	∑ (10 <sup>-9</sup> ⁄L)	(g/ĽERY)Ć	(10 <sup>-9</sup> /L)	(sec)	(%)	(%)	(%)
I.				📡 Males			
Air control	Â.	318 U	\$95	36.6	88.6	9.6	1.7
0.5	A.6 🔊	320	, <sup>©</sup> 786+, <i>₹</i>	37.2	85.4	12.6	2.0
2	V 4.4 X	279 O	809+	37.9	90.0	7.8	1.8
8	4.16	313	84	37.7	82.1	14.6	3.2
Historical control	ol data - male						
HCD: ± 2	⊘3.0-9.8	288-323	<b>&amp;8</b> 01-1547	25.1-39.0	78-97	1-18	Up to 7
HCD: ±CS	1.3-1,10	279-332	° 614-1733	21.7-42.5	73-100	Up to 22	Up to 10
				Females			
Air control	3.2 🔌	320	768	33.0	90.4	8.6	0.5
0.5	13:8	327年	771	33.6	90.6	8.8	0.4
2 🚿	4.0	<b>3</b> @3	782	34.7	90.6	7.9	1.4+
8	3.0	313++	830	35.8++	82.9++	13.5+	3.3++
Historical control	ol dasta - fema	les					
HCD: $\pm 2S$	2.5-8.6	287-318	883-1475	24.2-33.2	81-98	1-16	Up to 5
$HCD: \pm 3S$	1.0-10.0	279-326	736-1623	22.0-35.5	77-100	Up to 19	Up to 6

+ = significant different from control p < 0.05 (U-test)

++ = significant different from control p < 0.01 (U-test)

HCD = historical controls



#### G. Clinical chemistry

#### Blood clinical chemistry

Primarily in the females of the 8 mg/m<sup>3</sup> group, the plasma-cholesterol and plasma-bilirubin concentrations were slightly reduced, and the plasma ASAT and ALAT tended to be higher. The plasma-chloride concentration was marginally reduced in male and female rate at 8 mg/m<sup>3</sup>. To toxicologically relevant changes were observed in female rats of the 2 mg/m<sup>3</sup> group with the exception of a reduction in the plasma-cholesterol concentration which was not concentration-related.

There were some other statistical significant changes, but since there were not concentration-related and were also within the range of historical controls, these changes were considered not exicological relevant.

In addition, there were marginal but not concentration-related changes in use and creatining values. However, these findings were not toxicologically relevant, since these parameters essentially dependent on feed and water consumption and muscular activity.

Slightly reduced blood, urea and creatinine values in contrast to increased values are therefore pathognostically not relevant, especially in inhalation toxicity studies.

	$\bigcirc$			。	
Concentration	ASAT	<i>"≪</i> ∕ÅLAŤ <sup>*</sup> ≫	Total BPPI	Cholesterol	Chloride
$(mg/m^3)$	(U/L)	(U/Ł)	(mmod/L)	″ (mmol/L)	(mmol/L)
Air control	47.6	≽48.7 0້ ູ≪	1.4 0	1.93	98
0.5	51.3	42.5► ∽	~1.4 ~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~	1.69+	99
2	50.	4°450 L	1.3	1.56+	98
8	5\$\$2 _O <sup>V</sup>	<b>₹</b> 3.6 O	1.4	1.71	96++
HCD: $\pm 2S$	õ 25.4-1¥.5	35.7-76.0	14-3.1	1.40-2.53	96-102
HCD: $\pm 3S$	0 17.1-84.8	2 <b>506</b> -84.0 Q	0.0-3.5	1.12-2.81	94-104
Air control 🔍	48.5	394	¥.3	1.66	100
0.5	41,0+ 0	37.6 Q	1.4	1.56	99
2	X44.6	©37.6	1.3	1.24++	100
8	P 56.2 X 🔊	46.8 4	1.0++	1.31++	95+
HCD: $\pm 2S$	25.4-76.5	<u>\$</u> 3¥.0-65,8	1.2-3.1	1.26-2.54	98-104
HCD: $\pm 3S$	¥6.4-88	22.3-74.5	0.7-3.6	0.94-2.86	96-106

Table 5.8.2/27-6: Summary of clinical chemistry

+ Statistically significant different from Control  $p \le 0.05$ 

++ Statistically significant different from control  $p \le 0.01$ 

#### Protein electrophoresis

In both sexes at 8 mg/m<sup>3</sup> there was a treatment-related shift in relative albumin/globulin, without evidence of oxicologically relevant, concentration-related effects on the total protein concentration or on the relative protein composition. No effects were observed at lower concentrations.

# Examinations in liver tossue

The hepatic O-demothylase activity was significantly reduced in the male rats of the 2 and 8 mg/m<sup>3</sup> groups, and the hepatic N-demethylase activity was increased in the female rats. The hepatic cytochrome P-450 activity was significantly reduced in the males of the 8 mg/m<sup>3</sup> group.



Concentration (mg/m <sup>3</sup> )	Triglycerides	<b>O-demethylase</b>	N-demethylase	P450
	[µmol/g]	[mU/g]	[mU/g] 🖏	[nmol/g]
Air control	4.38	10.9	144.2	41.5
0.5	4.76	10.6	131.0 🔊	43.9
2	4.68	8.9++	146.6	39.9
8	4.46	8.8++	115	3.6++
Air control	4.32	7.7 🔊	° 65,5 °	×34.9 ×
0.5	4.08	7.9	71.9 , , ,	
2	4.39	7.0 🔬	78.3€€	3668
8	4.26	8.2	∞ 85.7++ √	32.5 "°
+ Statistically significant	different from contro	ol p $\leq 0.05$ (U+test)		
No historical control data fo	r liver tissue examina	$p \ge 0.01$ (0-lest)		
No instorical control data lo				y Qî
<b></b>		~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~		Å
H. Urinalysis				6)'
There were no treatment-	related effects obser	wed at any concentr	ration.	°
	L.			1
I Onbthemology	A.		Ô Ý Ý	
	Q.,		j. v sv	
There were no treatment-	related effects obser	rred at any concentr	ation v	

#### Table 5.8.2/27-7: Summary of examinations in liver tissue

#### H. Urinalysis

I. Ophthamology There were no treatment-related effectorserved at any conceptration? Õ

#### K. Organ weights

There was a treatment-related reduction of absolute and relative thypos weight, as well as relative thymus-to-brain weight observed at 8 mg/m<sup>3</sup>. In males there was also a treatment-related reduction in spleen weights observed at that concentration.

Up to and including 3  $mg/m_{e}^{2}$ , there was no significant charge in the organ to brain weight ratio. High-dose animals had increased relative lung and liver weights, males showed also increased relative brain weights. In addition, for rats of the 15 mg/m<sup>3</sup> group, the relative organ to brain weights of heart, kidney and spleen weights were reduced

In the female rats of this group, the heart weights were marginally increased and the lung weights statistically significantly increased. First indications of an increased thymus involution were found already in the 2 mg/m<sup>3</sup> group. Ò L, (II n

However, most of the organ weight changes summarised in the tables below are considered to be due to the body weight changes

A Charges s



Concentration	Terminal			Absolute	organ we	ighs (mg)		
(mg/m <sup>3</sup> )	BW (g)	Liver	Brain	Kidneys	Lung	Heart	<sup>®</sup> Thymus	Thyroid
	(8/			Male	es			C
Air control	240	8982	1885	1587	1209	8.Q#	<u>@</u> 290 O	<b>\$</b> ?
0.5	235	8412	1815	1459	1205	979	273	× 8
3.5	234	7993+	1808	1499	1468	K 782 S	24	<u>مَ</u> 8
16.3	209++	7309+	1749	1387+	1068++	731+	J97++ »»	9
		Spleen	Adrenals	Testes			₩ N	V
Air control	240	478	49	2811	°,∼y	y' ~	Y Q	st n°
0.5	235	489	50	3043		'or s	. Ô	, C
3.5	234	470	47	2946	Ô,	, O	Ŭ,	Q'
16.3	209++	388	55	A773 🔊		~	te di	*
				🛛 🖉 Ferna	les 🔬 🌹	L L	y ju	-
Air control	186	6933	1788	11890	<u>9</u> 94	,0°669 Ø	240	8
0.5	183	6515	1763	115%	977 °	642	<i>2</i> 31	8
3.5	177	6167	1737	A184 👸	<sup>≶</sup> 949⊘	626	198	8
16.3	182	6430	1742	Di245 0	1075	<u>∽</u> 7¥8	166+	7
		Spleen	Adrenals	Ovaries	K,	~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~	ş	
Air control	186	447	$\bigcirc$ 65 $\bigcirc$	1036		y' <sub>c</sub> o		
0.5	183	432	65 🕅	s_¥20 ∂	<sup>۲</sup> _0 <sup>×</sup>			
3.5	177	<u>389</u>	66	128	Å.	" <b>\</b>		
16.3	182	414	~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~	129	$\sim$	Ô		
+ = significant $c$						×		

#### Table 5.8.2/27-8: Summary of absolute organ weights



Concentration	1	Pol	ativo organ v	voighe (mg/10	0 a hodywai	aht)	
$(ma/m^3)$	T :	Dundin	Ulve organ w	T	U g Douywei	giit)   ⊘∓h	Thomasid
(mg/m <sup>2</sup> )	Liver	Brain	Kianeys	Lung	Heart	ginymus	l nyrola
		-		Males	al		
Air control	3688	781	657	501	337 🔊	<u>1119</u>	<i>√</i> 3
0.5	3547	767	616	508	329 Š	<b>4</b> 15	
3.5	3489	791	656	510	342	<i>∞</i> 92+ <u></u>	N.
16.3	3637	886	697	541,°	369	87+~~	, AS
	Spleen	Adrenals	Testes	Ŵ		× )	°~~
Air control	337	20	1163	s (	Š* , & *	. The second sec	S″
0.5	329	21	1285			N S	
3.5	342	21	1292 \$		"O"	× .0°	Ř
16.3	369	28+	1388++ "	È,	a d	ĩ Õ	Į U
						-	
Concentration		Rel	ative organ w	veighs (mg/10	🕅 g bodywei	ght) 🥎 🌾	1) · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
Concentration (mg/m <sup>3</sup> )	Liver	Rel Brain	ative organ w Kidneyš	veighs (mg/10	0 g bodywei <u>He</u> art	ght) Chymus	Thyroid
Concentration (mg/m <sup>3</sup> )	Liver	Rel Brain	ative organ w Kidneys	veighs (mg/10 Lung & Females	g bodywei Heart	ght) Chymus	Thyroid
Concentration (mg/m <sup>3</sup> ) Air control	Liver 3703	Rel Brain 956	ative organ v Kidneys	veighs (mg/10 Lung Females 530	<b>g bodywei</b> Heart 357	ght) Ghymus 128	Thyroid 4
Concentration (mg/m <sup>3</sup> ) Air control 0.5	Liver 3703 3558	Rel Brain 956 963	ative organ v Kidneys	veighs (mg/10 Cung & Females 530 59	<b>g bodywei</b> Heart 357 351	ght) Chymus 128 226	Thyroid 4 4
Concentration (mg/m <sup>3</sup> ) Air control 0.5 3.5	Liver 3703 3558 3532	Rel Brain 956 963 998	ative organ v Kidneyš 6355 7640 679	veighs (mg/1) Lung Temales 530 593 546	0 g bodywei Heart 357 351 361	<b>ght)</b> <b>Thymus</b> 128 126 0113	<b>Thyroid</b> 4 4 5
Concentration (mg/m <sup>3</sup> ) Air control 0.5 3.5 16.3	Liver 3703 3558 3532 3706	Rel Brain 956 963 998 1010	ative organ v Kidneys 635 640 679 721++	veighs (mg/1) Cung & Females 530 593 546 622+&	0 g bodywei Heart 357 351 361 40++	ght) Thymus 128 126 0 113 95+	Thyroid           4           5           4
Concentration (mg/m <sup>3</sup> ) Air control 0.5 3.5 16.3	Liver 3703 3558 3532 3706 Spleen	Rel           Brain           956           963           998           1010           Adremats	Ative organ v Kidneys Cos Cos Cos Cos Cos Cos Cos Cos Cos Co	veighs (mg/1) Lung & Females 530 590 546 622+& 2	<b>1</b> g bodywei Heart 357 351 361 410++	ght) Thymus 128 2126 3113 95+	Thyroid           4           5           4
Concentration (mg/m <sup>3</sup> ) Air control 0.5 3.5 16.3 Air control	Liver 3703 3558 3532 3706 Spleen 239	Rel           Brain           956           963           998           1010           Adremats           35	ative organ v Kidneys 635 640 721++ Qvaries	veighs (mg/1) 2 ung 5 females 5 5 3 5 9 3 5 9 3 6 22+4 0 22+4	0 g bodywei Heart 357 351 361 410++	ght) Thymus 128 128 126 113 95+	Thyroid           4           4           5           4
Concentration (mg/m <sup>3</sup> ) Air control 0.5 3.5 16.3 Air control 0.5	Liver 3703 3558 3532 3706 Spleen 239 236	Rel           Brain           956           963           998           1010           Adremats           35           36	ative organ v Kidneyš 635 7640 721++ • Ovaries 722 • 72 65	veighs (mg/1)	0 g bodywei Heart 357 351 361 40++	ght) Thymus 128 226 3113 95+	4           4           5           4
Concentration (mg/m <sup>3</sup> ) Air control 0.5 3.5 16.3 Air control 0.5 3.5	Liver 3703 3558 3532 3706 Spleen 239 236 224	Rel           Brain           956           963           998           1010           Adremats           35           36           38	ative organ v Kidneys 635 7640 679 721++ ©Varies 72 ~ 72 ~ 72 ~ 72 ~ 72 ~	veighs (mg/1)	0 g bodywei Heart 357 351 361 361 40++	ght) Thymus 126 2126 213 95+	Thyroid           4           4           5           4

#### Table 5.8.2/27-9: Summary of relative organ weights

+ = significant different from control p < 0.05 (ANOVA) ++ = significant different from control p < 0.05 (ANOVA)



Concentration	Relative organ weighs (mg/100 g bodyweight)					
$(mg/m^3)$	Liver	Kidneys	Lung	Heart	Thymus	Thyroid
		· · · ·	Ma	ales	Ĩ	v
Air control	475	85	64	43	≥ 15 ~ °	<u>0</u> ,4
0.5	465	81	67	43	D 150	00.4
3.5	444	83	65	43 C		0.4
16.3	418	79	61	<u>~</u> 42 (	°~10++ ~~	<sup>9</sup> 0,50
	Spleen	Adrenals	Testes			
Air control	25	3	149 🗶			K.
0.5	27	3	168			
3.5	26	3	163 🔬	v v	S ô	
16.3	22	3	159 0			$Q_{i}^{\mathbb{Y}}$
		-	157			
Concentration		Relative	organ weighs	(mg/100 g body	(weight)	<i>ő</i> ,
Concentration (mg/m <sup>3</sup> )	Liver	Relative Kidneys	organ weighs	(mg/100 g body Heart	weight) Thymus	Thyroid
Concentration (mg/m <sup>3</sup> )	Liver	Relative Kidneys	organ weighs Lung	mg/100 g body	weight) Thomus	Thyroid
Concentration (mg/m <sup>3</sup> ) Air control	Liver 388	Relative Kidneys	corgan weighs Lung Fem 56	mg/100 g body Heart 4 male 37	Weight) Thomus	0.5
Concentration (mg/m <sup>3</sup> ) Air control 0.5	Liver 388 371	Relative Kidneys 66 & 67	organ weighs: Lung Fem 56 \$6	mg/100 g body Heart 4 hales 6 37 37 37	Weight) Thomus 2 13 2 13	0.5 0.4
Concentration (mg/m <sup>3</sup> ) Air control 0.5 3.5	Liver 388 371 354	Relative Kidneys	organ weighs: Lung 56 56 56 56 56 56 56 56 56 56	mg/100 g body Afeart 4 males 0 37 37 37 39	Thomus           0         13           0         13	0.5 0.4 0.5
Concentration (mg/m <sup>3</sup> ) Air control 0.5 3.5 16.3	Liver 388 371 354 369	Relative Kidneys	organ weighs: Lung 56 56 56 56 56 56 56 56 56 56	mg/100 g body Aleart & males & O 37 37 37 37 37 37 37 37 37 37 37 37 37	Weight) Thomus 0 13 0 13 0 13 0 13 0 13 0 0+	0.5 0.4 0.5 0.4
Concentration (mg/m <sup>3</sup> ) Air control 0.5 3.5 16.3	Liver 388 371 354 369 Spleen	Relative Kidneys	organ weighs Lung 56 56 56 56 56 56 56 56 56 56	(mg/100 g body Afeart () alco 37 37 37 4 37 4 37 4 37 4 37 4 37 4 37	Weight) Thomus 0 13 0 13 0 13 0 0+	0.5 0.4 0.5 0.4
Concentration (mg/m <sup>3</sup> ) Air control 0.5 3.5 16.3 Air control	Liver 388 371 354 369 Spleen 25	Relative Kidneys	organ weighs: Lung Fem 56 56 56 62+ Oyacies	mg/100 g body Afeart 4 nales 4 37 37 37 37 4 37 4 37 4 41 41	Weight) Thomus 0 13 0 13 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0.5 0.4 0.5 0.4
Concentration (mg/m <sup>3</sup> ) Air control 0.5 3.5 16.3 Air control 0.5	Liver 388 371 354 369 Spleen 25 25 25	Relative Kidneys	organ weighs Eung 56 56 56 55 62+ Ovaties 4 4	(mg/100 g body Afeart 4 males 0 37 37 37 41 41	Weight) Thomus 0 13 0 13 0 0+ 0 0+	0.5 0.4 0.5 0.4
Concentration (mg/m <sup>3</sup> ) Air control 0.5 3.5 16.3 Air control 0.5 3.5	Liver 388 371 354 369 Spleen 25 25 25 22	Relative Kidneys	organ weighs: <u>Eung</u> 56 56 55 62+ Ovatles 4 4 4	mg/100 g body Affeart 4 males 37 37 37 41 41	Weight) Thomus 0 13 0 13 0 13	0.5 0.4 0.5 0.4

#### Table 5.8.2/27-10: Summary of relative organ to brain weights

+ = significant different from control p < 0.05 (ANOVA)

+ = significant different from control < 0.00 (ANOXA)

 $\bigcirc$ 

#### L. Necropsy

There were no treatment-related orban datage observed in males and females up to and including 8 mg/m<sup>3</sup> sacrificed at termination

#### M. Histopathology

Irritation-induced morphological changes in the turbinates, nasopharynx and larynx were determined at 0.5 mg/m<sup>3</sup>.  $\overset{\checkmark}{\searrow}$ 

At 2 mg/m<sup>3</sup> and above there was marked inflammatory infiltration in the upper respiratory tract caused by irritation observed. A concentration-related hyperplasia of the goblet cells in the nasal septum and an epithenal hyperplasia (larynx) with, and without keratinization were also found.

In addition, in the 8 mg/m<sup>3</sup> group there were necrotic, degenerative/atrophic changes in the olfactory epithelium, combined with extensive round cell infiltration in the entire nasopharynx. In males at 8 mg/m<sup>3</sup> and females at 2 and 8 mg/m<sup>3</sup> degenerations in the olfactory epithelium were observed. The sinus catarrh of the mandibular 0 mph nodes which was found frequently is considered to be causally related to the inflammatory changes in the upper respiratory tract.

There were no treatment-related findings observed in other organs.

#### **III.** Conclusion

Based on the most sensitive end point (inflammatory changes in the upper respiratory tract, sensory irritation), 0.5 mg/m<sup>3</sup> were not tolerated without specific effects, a NO(A)EC for FOE 5043-Sulfon could not be determined. The LO(A)EC was determined to be  $0.5 \text{ mg/m}^3$ .



### FOE 5043-acetate

Report:	0;	;1994;M-004640-01
Title:	FOE 5043 Acetate	- Study for acute oral toxicity in rats
Report No:	23279	
Document No:	M-004640-01-1	
Guidelines:	OECD 401 (1987), (1984) Directive 6	US-EPA Pesticide Assessment studelings, Series 81-57 7/5/8/FEC amonded by Director 92/60/FEC 4B 1
	Deviations: none	7/548/EEC amended by Directive 72/05/EEC D.1
GLP/GEP:	Ves	
	<i>J</i> <b>C</b> 3	
	ΙN	laterials and wathods
	1. 14	
A. Materials		
1. Test material:		
Name:		FOE \$043-Acetate
Description:		beige crystals
Batch / Lot No.:		
Purity:	····· 1.	96.90% 0 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4
Stability of test co	ompound:	guaranteed for study diffation expiry date: 1994-0/-11
	Õ	2 /0(V/V) Caternophar & EL tri delotrazed water
5. Test animals	Ó	
Species:	N N	Kat Winter Haddwin Wu (DE head)
Sualli. A ge	× °	Wiska, fisuary III. Wu (SFF-1454) Vorug adults approx 7-8 males) and 10-11 (females)
Age.		weeks J.
Weight at dosing:	S. OV R	males: $965 \text{ g} \rightarrow 991 \text{ g}$ ; females: 176 g - 189 g
Source:	or K.	Germany
Acclimatisation p	Priod:	at feast 7 days
Diet:		Attromin 1324 maintenance diet for rats and mice
		Altromin GmbH & Co KG, Germany), ad libitum, except
je v	x 4 .0	during a 17 hour fasting period prior to dosing
Water:		Tap water, ad libitum
Housing:	× ¢	During acclimatization 5 per sex in Makrolon® Type 3
, Kur		cages. Use the experimental period from day 2 onwards
		gradules type S 8/15 were used as hedding material
B. Study design and	i methods	
1. Animal assignme	ent@nd treatment 0	× ×
Dose:		50-200-1000 mg&kg bw mg/kg bw
Application ro	ute:	Oral, gavage
Application	lume	10 mL/kg bw
Fasting time:	A O	before administration: $17 \pm 1$ hour
Group size:	÷.	5 rats/sex
Post-treatment	observation period:	14 days
Observations:		clinical signs, mortality, body weight, gross necropsy
	II. I	Results and discussion

#### A. Mortality



Ò

#### Document MCA: Section 5 Toxicological and metabolism studies Flufenacet

Mortalities occurred at 1000 mg/kg bw in males and females. The results are summarised in the following table.

#### Table 5.8.2/28-1: Result summary

Animal Nos.	Dose	Tox	kicologi	cal	Onset and	°∧Önset-of °	Mortality
	(mg/kg bw)	]	result*		duration of	death after	° (%)?
					signs		
	Male rats						
1 - 5	50	0	0	5	× - ô	× -0	× 0
21 - 25	200	0	5	5	© 5 min – 3 h		×0
11 - 15	1000	1	5	50	5  min - 4  d	40 min	20 <sup>×</sup> 20
		LD	$D_{50} > 10$	90 n	ng/kg/bw	~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~	Ű
			Fem	åle	n y y		U
16 – 20	50	0		5.Č	)	~~~ <i>"</i>	0
26 - 30	200	0 `	\$5	Ş	5 prin – 3 h	<i>Q</i>	0
6 - 10	1000	A	50	5	2 min 2 d	1 h 2 h	60
	]	<b>(1)</b> \$	> 2607 - <	< 10	00 mg/kg bw 🔊		

1st number = number of dead animals, 2 number number of animals with  $3^{rd}$  number = number of animals used

#### **B.** Clinical observations

The following signs were observed at 200 mg/kg by and above: decreased or increased motility, poor reflexes, unspecific behavioral disturbances, dereased reactivity, uncoordinated and spastic gait, spasmodic state, labored breathing, ingreased salivation orbital margins red incrusted.

The signs observed occurred within minutes after administration. They were mostly reversible on study days 1 or 2 and last a latest antil day of the study.

#### C. Body weigh

There were no treatment-related effects on bødy weight gain noted.

#### **D.** Necropsy

Animals that died during the stude. The one male of the high-dose group that died had slightly collapsed lungs and a pale discolored spleen.

All three high-dose females that died during the study had slightly collapsed lungs. Two showed also slight, dark ed discoveration of the Oiver, and a slight pale discolored spleen. The third rat had a moderately spotted and discolored liver.

## Animals sacrificed at termination:

One male of the low dose group had markedly enlarged testes. One female of the mid-dose group had moderate spotted, discolored lungs.

No other gross lesions were observed in rats of all three dose groups sacrificed at termination.

#### **III.** Conclusion



FOE 5043-Acetate is considered to be moderately toxic after acute oral administration. The determined acute oral LD<sub>50</sub> values of male and female rats were > 1000 mg/kg bw and > 200 - < 1000 mg/kg bw, respectively.

Report:	d;	;1996;M-004734-01
Title:	FOE 5043 Acetat (inte	ermediate product of FOE 5043 Study for acu
Damant Max	inhalation toxicity in r	rats according to OECD no. 400
Report No: Document No:	25414 M_004734_01_1	
Guidelines:	OECD 403 (1983): E	C Guideline 92/69/EE B.2 (1992). US-EPA health
C	effects guideline Acu	te exposure inhalation toxicity (1982), US PPA
	Hazard evaluation di	ivision: Standard evaluation procedure, inhalation
	toxicity testing(1988)	, JMAFF 59 NobSan no24200 (@85)
CLP/CFP·	Deviations: none	
	yes	
	LM	aperials and methods
	le in a	
A. Materials		
1. Test material:		FOE 5043-Acetat
Description:		Beige erystalline, solid
Lot/Batch no:		17025/93
Purity:	* 2	
Stability of test	t composind:	guaranteed for study duration; expiry date: 1994-07-11
2. Vehicle:		Polyethylene glycol 400 (PEG 400) / ethanol solution
3. Test animals 👌		
Species: 🔊		Wistar rat
Strain:		Hsd Win WU
Age: 📎 🔍	) 0' 0' 🔬	2 to 3 months
Weight at dosi	ng: 🖉 🖉 🖉	<sup>e</sup> males: 196 g – 209 g, females: 200 g – 209 g
Source: 🖏		, Germany
Acclimatisatio	riperiod	at least 5 days
Diet: C		Standard fixed-formula diet (Altromin ® 1324; Altromin GmbH, Germany), <i>ad libitum</i>
Water.		tap water, ad libitum
Housing:		Singly in conventional Makrolon® Type II cages;
T.	A. O	bedding: type S8/15 low-dust wood granulate (Ssniff, Soest Germany)
B Study design	d methods	
1 Animal assignm	ant and treatment	
Dose <sup>.</sup>	ent and treatment	$0 - 2350 \text{ mg/m}^3$ air (actual concentration)
Application ray	140.	inhalation
Experience File	ມເບ.	
Exposure:		4 110015



Group size:	5 rats/sex/group
Post-treatment observation period:	2 weeks
Observations:	mortality, clinical signs, body weights, body temperature, reflex measurements, gross necropsy

#### 2. Generation of the test atmosphere / chamber description

2. Generation of the test atmosphere / champer u	
Generation and characterization of chambe	er atmosphere
	Group the Group 2
Target concentration (mg/m <sup>3</sup> )	Control (Schicle) 100000
Analytical concentration (mg/m <sup>3</sup> )	2 10 X 2350 X
Test substance concentration in vehicle (%, w/v)	
Temperature (mean, °C)	
Relative humidity (mean, %)	
MMAD (μm)	
GSD	
Aerosol mass $< 3 \ \mu m$ (%)	

MMAD = Mass Median Aerodynamic Dispeter, QSD = Geometric Standard Deviation, - = not applicable.

A. Mortality There were no mortalities observed during the study.

#### Table 5.8.2/29-1: Result summary

Dose	Toxicological result*	Onset and	Onset of	Mortality
$(mg/m^3)$		duration of	death after	(%)
		° signs		
	O S A O Malera	åts S		
0				0
2350		S		0
\$ ¥	$\sim$ 0 0 $E_{50} > 2350$	mg/m <sup>3</sup>		
, k	Female Female	rats		
0 0				0
2350				0
A (	$C_{50} > 2350$	$mg/m^3$		

 $1^{st}$  number = number of dead animals,  $2^{nd}$  number = number of animals with toxic signs 3rd number of animal used  $\bigcirc$ 

#### B. Clinical observations

There were no clinical signs of toxicity observed in any animal.

#### Ô C. Reflex measurements

The battery of reflex measurements conducted on day 1 revealed no changes of reflexes in any animal.

#### **D.** Body weight

There were no treatment-related effects on body weight and body weight gain noted.



#### E. Rectal temperature

The female rats of group 2 showed a marginal decrease of the rectal temperatures when compared to the control animals. No differences were observed in male rats.

#### **D.** Necropsy

There were no treatment-related gross-pathological findings observed in an infal.

### III. Conclusion

FOE 5043-Acetat is considered to be non-toxic after acute inhabition exposure. The determined acute  $LC_{50}$  values of male and female rats were > 2350 mg/m, the maximum technically attainable concentration.

Report:	7;	;1994;1994;004662-01
Title:	FOE 5043 Acetat	- Study for skin and eye instation corrosion in rabbits
Report No:	23062	
Document No:	M-004662-01-1	
Guidelines:	OECD 404 (1992)	), EEC Directive 84/449/EEC B.4/(1984), US-EPA TSCA
	Test guidelines 79	98,4470 (1985), US-EPA Pesticide assessment guidelines
	§81-5 (1984), OE	CD 405 (1987); EEC Directive 84/449/EEC B.5 (1984), US
	EPA TSCA Test	guidelines 798,4450 (2985), OS-EPA Pesticide assessment
	guidelines §81-4 (	(1984)
	Deviations, descr	iption and scoring of corpeal effects could be more
	aceurates additio	nal examinations of agreeous humour were not conducted.
~ (	These slight devia	ations do not affect the validity of the study.
GLP/GEP: Ö	yes a	Q <sup>y</sup> A <sup>y</sup> A <sup>y</sup>
Ô		
A. Materials		
1. Test material:		
Name:		FOE 5043-Acetat
Description.		Beige coloured crystalline
Lot/Batch no:		/17025/93
Purity	9 4. Š	96.0% <sup>×</sup>
Stability of the	t compound:	guaranteed for study duration;
2. Vehicte: <sup>O</sup>		None
3. Test animals		
Species:		Rabbit
Strain:	$\swarrow$ $\land$	New Zealand White, HC:NZW
Sex:	0	Females
Age:	A	adult
Weight at dosi	ng:	Females: $3.2 - 4.0$ kg
Source:	c	, England
Acclimatisatio	n period:	At least 14 days
Diet:	I	Standard diet "Ssniff K4" (Ssniff Spezialdiaeten GmbH,
		Soest, Germany), 100 – 120 g per animal per day
Water:		Tap water, ad libitum
Housing:		Individually in stainless steel cages with flat rod bases or
-		



1a

Document MCA: Section 5 Toxicological and metabolism studies Flufenacet

plastic cages with perforated bases

#### **B.** Study design and methods

#### 1. Animal assignment and treatment (skin irritation)

Dose:	0.5 g (moistened with water)
Application route:	Dermal (area: approx. 6 cm <sup>2</sup> )
Duration:	4 hours $\mathcal{A}^{\mathcal{Y}} \otimes \mathcal{O}^{\mathcal{Y}} \otimes \mathcal{O}^{$
Group size:	3 females
Observations:	Mortality, clinical signs, skin effects, body weight (at 3)
	beginning of study) $\mathcal{O}$

#### 2. Animal assignment and treatment (eye irritation)

8	
Dose	0.1 mL/animal y y y y y
Application route:	Single instillation to the conjunctival sac of on eye (eyes
	were rinsed with salute 24 hafter application
Group size:	3 females $Q_{1}^{\gamma}$ $\sim \gamma$ $\sim \gamma$
Observations:	Mortality, clinical signs, eye effects, body weight (at
	beginning of study)

#### II. Results and discussion

#### A. Findings skin irritation

There were no mortalities or systemic intolerance reactions. Dermal application of the undiluted test substance caused only very slight erythema in one animal 1 hour after patch removal. No other skin reactions were observed in an animal at any time point. The mean irritation scores for the individual animals were 0.0, 0.0 and 0.0 for erythema and 0.0, 0.0 and 0.0 for oedema.

The skin irritation observations are summarized in the Table 5.8-2/30-1.

Time after patch &	Anim	gal #1 ~~	Anim	al #2	Anim	al #3
	Erythema and eschar formation	<b>Ö</b> edema	Erythema and eschar formation	Oedema	Erythema and eschar formation	Oedema
	First	periment (und	liluted test sub	stance)		
600min		~Q″	0	0	1	0
4 24 h	000	× <sup>9</sup> 0	0	0	0	0
√√×48 h . Ø	_0	$\bigcirc 0$	0	1	0	0
72 2		> 0	0	0	0	0
Mean 24-72 h	~~ 0.0 ×	0.0	0.0	0.3	0.0	0.0
7 days 🖂	$\bigcirc 0 \land$	0	0	0	0	0

### Table 5.8.2/30-1: Summary of irritant effects (Score)

#### B. Findings eye irritation

There were no mortalities or systemic intolerance reactions.

Exposure of the undiluted test substance caused only slight conjunctival redness and chemosis 1 hour after application. No other eye reactions were observed.

The eye observations are summarized in the Table 5.8.2/30-2.

Animal	Observation	1 h	24 h	48 h	72 h	Mean	Response	Reversibility
No.						scores	Ô	
1s	Corneal opacity	0	0	0	0	0.0	Ĵ.	na
	Iris	0	0	0	0	0.0		o <sup>y</sup> na o
	Redness conjunctivae	1	0	0	0	0,0>		na
	Chemosis conjunctivae	1	0	0	$\mathcal{D}_{0}$	-\$ <b>9</b> .0	× - ×	na
2s	Corneal opacity	0	0	0 🛒	0	<sup>م</sup> ر 0.0 ک		na 🔊
	Iris	0	0	0 0	0 N	0:0		na °
	Redness conjunctivae	1	0	ð	<u> </u>	0.0	<u>6</u> – <u>6</u>	í sva
	Chemosis conjunctivae	1	0			0.0 🎸		na 🖉
3s	Corneal opacity	0	0		<u></u>	0:0		0 na
	Iris	0		, Ø		×0.0		na
	Redness conjunctivae	1		x Ó ¢	6¥0 ≪	, 0.0 🖉		na
	Chemosis conjunctivae	1	<b>∮</b> 0 (		Ŵ	<b>0</b> :0	Q-	na
Response	e for mean Corneal Irit	is 🖧	mjunctival	<i>i</i> ta	Ž		0.	
sco	ores	Õ	°~	w.	Ô <sup>°</sup> A	Y . (	Ĵ	
	opacity	rednes	ss gedema	°~ (	j _O			
- = nega	ative $< 1 < 1$	, <i>©</i> , <2 ∞ ≈	C <2	(Regula	(EC)	No 1272/2/20	108  and GHS	
⊥ — irrit	<2 <1	$\sim \sim $		(Drecti	ve 1999/45	$J_{\rm Lo}$ as am	ended)	aom 2)
mm	2 - 3 = 2	<2 20		Directi	$1031(EC)^{1}$	$\sqrt{PC}$ as am	ended)	(gory 2)
++ = irrev	= irreversible $\geq 3$ $\Re = 1.5$ $\Re = 1.5$ $\Re$ $\Re$ (Regulation (EGNo 1272/2008 and GHS cate				ategory 1)			
effect	s S	ő i k	Š (		Š	,		<u> </u>
seriou	s damage ≥3 🔊 ≥2 🖉	, U V e	¥ ,	(Duecti	ve 1999/45	/EC as am	ended)	
na not ap	plicable	° Å			8°			
	Ĩ, Ĩ	.1	ar i	L.V A	Õ			

#### Table 5.8.2/30-2: Summary of irritant effects (Score) after undiluted application

The test item FOE 5043-Sulfor was not irritating to the skin. Based on the study results the test substance FOE 5043-Acetat is not irritating to eyes of rabbits.

II. Conclusion

### CA 5.8.3 Endocrine disrupting properties

It should be noted that to date, no clear conteria are available to define endocrine disrupting properties.

The flufenacet toxicology database has been updated over the past years with a number of OECD and US EPA guideline studies. Flufenacet has no effects on reproductive indices nor fertility nor reproductive tissues and organs as shown in the multi-generation study. Flufenacet is not a developmental toxicant. Mechanistic data already submitted for the initial evaluation of flufenacet indicated that effects on thyroid hormone levels and minimal changes in thyroid gland histopathology are secondary to increased T4 clearance by the liver.

So, after a detailed analysis of all these apical toxicological studies under inclusion of scientific and regulatory hazard principles in discussion at present no evidence of endocrine disrupting properties are seen and flufenacet does not fall under the interim definition for endocrine disruption. Therefore,



based on a complete toxicological data set, there is no evidence of endocrine disrupting properties of flufenacet.



There were no unusual occurrences or complaints recorded.

#### **B. Medical assessment:**

Occupational medical surveillance of employees from the Flufenacet plant performed annually since 1997 as described above, not directly related to exposures, did not reveal any unwanted effects in the workers.



#### **Document MCA: Section 5 Toxicological and metabolism studies** Flufenacet

During the production period since 1997 no accidents with Flufenacet occurred in the workers. No further consultations of the Medical Service due to work or contact with Flufenacet were required.

#### CA 5.9.2 Data collected on humans

No cases of human poisoning have been reported up to now.

#### CA 5.9.3 **Direct observations**

Up to now there are no direct observations available.

#### CA 5.9.4 **Epidemiological studies**

Up to now there are no epidemiological studies available

# Diagnosis of poisoning (determination of active substance metabolites), CA 5.9.5 specific signs of poisoning, clinical tests

#### **Signs and Symptoms of Poisoning**

No human poisoning cases have been published; in animal experiment reprotoxicity has been observed, though only after repeated application of high doses

In humans the formation of methemoglobin and resulting cyanosis can be expected in severe cases.

**Methemoglobinemia** is the oxidation of  $Fe_{+}$  in hemoglobin to  $Fe_{+}$ , which cannot bind nor transport oxygen. Thus methemoglobinemia causes a hypoxemia and consecutively hypoxia in tissues and organs.

Methemoglobin can very easily and quickly be measured with many hemoglobin analysers.

- 10% of methemoglobin will cause bluish-grey yanosis, best seen on lips, fingertips, and earlobes, but spreading to all of the skin with increasing concentrations.
- 20% and moto of methemographic with cause signs and symptoms as headache, nausea, vertigo, drowsiness somnolence, shortness of breath, tachycardia.
- 60-80% of methemoglobin may be fatal.

Note: Due to the discoloration of the skin exygen saturation cannot be measured with fingertip sensors.

Note: Due to a competition for metabolic enzymes alcohol greatly increases the formation of methemoglobin

Therefore any consumption of alcohol's strictly forbidden for 48 hours after the incident.

#### Proposed treatment: first aid measures, antidotes, medical treatment CA 5.9.6 (I)

### First Xid: 🚕

Remove patient from exposure/terminate exposure.

 $\bigcirc$ 

Thorough Kin doontamination with copious amounts water and soap, if available with polyethylenglykol 300 followed by water.

Note: Most formulations with this active ingredient can be decontaminated with water (and soap), so for formulations polyethyleneglykol 300 is not required.

- Flushing of the eyes with lukewarm water for 15 minutes
- Induction of vomiting should only be considered if a large amount has been swallowed, if the ingestion was less than one hour ago, and if the patient is fully conscious.
- Induced vomiting can remove maximum 50% of the ingested substance.



**Note:** Induction of vomiting is forbidden, if a formulation containing organic solvents has been ingested!

#### **Treatment:**

- Gastric lavage should be considered in cases of significant ingestions within the first (2) hour(s).
- The application of activated charcoal and sodium sulphate (or other cathartic@can be@onside@d in significant ingestions.

As there is no antidote, treatment has to be symptomatic and supportive

However:

- In case of proven methemoglobinemia:
  - The human organism is able to reduce methemoglobin to hemoglobin without further intervention. However, this will take days and thus is not feasible in significant intoxications. Therapy will aim at increasing oxygen transport and refersing the hemoglobin oxidation/reducing Fe+++ to Fe++.

Methemoglobin should be measured before and during therapy (most hemoglobin analysers can measure methemoglobin).

- If methemoglobin level is less than 20%, administer 100% oxygen; additionally 1g of ascorbic acid (vitamin C) may be given orally or miravenously. The reducing effect of vitamin C is weak, but in these cases sufficient
- If methemoglobin level is greater than 00% treat with 00% oxygen and administer a reducing agent: Methylene Blue or Toluiding Blue. These will bo effective within 10-20 minutes. Additionally high doses (> 9) of a corbic acid/vitamin C intravenously can be considered. Õ,

#### • Methylene Blue

- 1% solution (10 mg/ml) intravenously at 0.1-0,2 ml/kg body weight (1-2 mg/kg b.w.) during ca minutes.
- A 600 person would thus receive 600 12 mt Methylene Blue 1% intravenously.
- If required this dose may be repeated after for minutes.
- The maximum daily dose is 7 mg/kg b.w.
- Tolvidine Blue:

3% solution (30 m@ml) intravenously at 0.07 to 0.13 ml/kg b.w. (2-4 mg/kg b.w.).

60 kg person would thus receive about 4 to 8 ml Toluidine Blue 3% ntravenously.

If required this dose may be repeated after 30 minutes.

Note: Both Methyler Blue and Toludine Blue can cause methemoglobinemia themselves in case of overdose.

A known deficiency of G-6-PDH is a contraindication against both drugs. Paravenous injection has to be avoided as it can cause severe tissue necrosis. C

#### CA 5.9.7 Expected effects of poisoning

After strong intexication cyanoses due to methemoglobinemia is expected based on animal data.



#### **Overall summary and conclusion**

The following overall summary is taken from the Monograph amended by the new information of this supplemental dossier. New information is written in bold letters. The code "FOG 5043" of the active substance has been replaced by its common name "flufenacet" where appropriate.

The biokinetic and metabolism study on rats showed a high degree of absorption of radioactivity followed by fast elimination from the body. After oral administration of [fluorophenyl-UL-<sup>14</sup>C]FOE 5043 more than 87 % of the recovered radioactivity was exceeded via urine and faeces within 72 hours in all dose groups tested. The plasma curve analysis after dosing of [fluorophenyl-UL<sup>14</sup>C]- and [thiadiazole-2-<sup>14</sup>C]-labelled FOE 5043 revealed that only the fluorophenyl part of the metecule underwent enterohepatic circulation. Absorption commenced immediately after administration. The concentration in the different organs and tissues were relatively low and showed only slight differences with respect to dose and sex.

The identification rate ranged from 60 to 75% of the recovered radioactivito in the experiments with [fluorophenyl-UL-<sup>14</sup>C]FOE 5043 and was 92% of average in the experiments with [thiadiazole-2-<sup>14</sup>C]FOE 5043. After application of Thuorophenyl-UL-<sup>14</sup>C]FOE 5043 all petabolites identified contained only the fluorophenyl molecy of the active ingredient, because the thiadiazole ring was cleaved off prior to further metabolisation. This was confirmed by the results obtained after application of [thiadiazole-2-<sup>14</sup>C]FOE 5043. The major metabolites were the glucuronic acid of thiadone (M24), the oxalylacetic acid conjugate of thiadone (M26) and the thiadone (M09).

Glutathione conjugation appeared to be the major and possibly the exclusive, metabolic pathway for [fluorophenyl-UL-<sup>14</sup>C]FOE 5048 in rats. Although the statathione itself was not detected, the presence of a variety of glutathione-derived metabolites provided sufficient evidence for the glutathione pathway. Almost all metabolites identified were glutathione related compounds. The major metabolite in all dose groups was the N-accept cysteine conjugate of fluorophenylacetanilide (M10).

For a better understanding of the brokinet@ behaviour and metabolism of some FOE 5043 plant metabolites, the broavailability of [fluorophenyl-GL-<sup>14</sup>C]FOE 5043-oxalate as well as [thiadiazole-2-<sup>14</sup>C]-N-glucoside was investigated after oral administration to rats. Both compounds were excreted unchanged with urine and faeces. Due to the extremely low residues in tissues and carcass, there should be no detectable residues in animal tissues neither from the acetamide moiety nor from the thiadizole moiety of the molecule from dietary exposure of livestock to FOE 5043-derived crop residues.

An additional metabolism study with [thiadiazole-5- $^{14}$ C]flufenacet revealed an almost complete excretion of the adiolabel 48 hours after oral administration at a dose level of 1 mg/kg bw. The renal route was the predominant excretion route. Chromatographic profiling of the radioactive residues in the urine yielded a less polar metabolite at a portion of 6.5% of the dose. It was identified as thiadone. An additional very polar metabolite was identified as trifluoroacetate. It amounted to approx. 10% of the oral dose. This metabolite was also identified in the plasma. It can therefore be concluded that the trifluoroacetate metabolite is covered in toxicity studies of the parent substance flufenacet in the rat.



Flufenacet was found to have a low to moderate order of acute toxicity when administered orally in mice and rats. Non-specific clinical signs of toxicity were observed on the day of dosing and included ataxia, labored breathing, decreased activity and, lacrimal, nasal, and perianal staining. All deaths occurred on days 0-5. The principal clinical signs in surviving animals resolved within a few days after dosing.

A low order of acute toxicity was demonstrated in acute dermal and inhalation toxicity studies. Clinical signs, but no mortalities, were seen at the limit dose, 2000 mg/kg, in the dermal toxicity study. Four-hour inhalation exposure to a liquid aerosol containing **flutenacet** at a concentration of 3,740 mg/m<sup>3</sup> produced clinical symptoms, but no mortalities. Thus, by the routes of exposure relevant to workers, **flutenacet** has a low order of acute toxicity.

Eye and skin irritation studies also demonstrated favorable characteristics. Flufenacet is bet irritating to skin and essentially non-irritating to eyes. The results of the dermad sensitization study revealed equivocal evidence of allergenic potential. Both maximization tests were positive; the more practice relevant Buehler test was negative as well as the Local Lyarph Node assay on mice. Furthermore, flufenacet does not show a phototoxic potential.

The summary table on acute toxicity studies presented on the monograph (Table 5.10.1a) has been reformatted and updated with the results of the new studies conducted with flufenacet of this supplemental dossier, please refer to Table 5-2,

Route/Study	Spacias	Som		Reference
Koute/Study	species	Ser		Kelerence
Oral <sup>1)</sup>	Rat	M	LDSg. 1607 mg/kg@bw	& , 1993
$\sim$		₿ <b>ŕ</b>	5 589 mg/kg bw	M-004865-02-1
Oral <sup>2)</sup>	Rat	M	D <sub>50</sub> : 683 mg/kg bw	, 1992
, Q ^		Ĩ	Q a.	M-004864-01-1
Oral 💦	Monse	хР	LD <sub>50</sub> : 133, mg/kg bw	& , 1991
	$\circ$ $\circ$	F.	<i>™</i> <b>%</b> 786 mg/kg bw	M-004850-01-1
Dermal	Rat	M 🎽	D <sub>50</sub> : ( >2000 mg/kg bw	, 1992
		F	$\sim$ >2000 mg/kg bw	M-004843-01-1
Inhalation (aerosol, 4h)	Rat 🔊	M	LC <sub>50</sub> : >3740 mg/m <sup>3</sup>	, 1990
	la s	F	>3740 mg/m <sup>3</sup>	M-004844-01-1
Skin irritation	Rabbit	M v	Not irritating	& , 1992
J D	Ŭ Õ	L		M-004846-01-1
Eye irritation	Rabbit	MO	Not irritating	& , 1992
	a y	08		M-004847-01-1
Skin sensitization	GQnea pig	ЙМ	Not sensitizing	& , 1992
Buehler method				M-004845-01-1
Skin sensitisation	Guineapig	М	Sensitizing	, 1994
M&K method	٢			M-004637-01-1
Skin sensitisation	Guinea	F	Sensitizing	, 1995
M&K method	pig			M-004677-01-1
Skin sensitization	Mouse	F	Not sensitizing	, 2004
Local lymph node assay				M-090513-01-1
In vitro 3T3 NRU	BALB/c		Not phototoxic	, 2013
phototoxicity test	3T3 cells			M-464615-01- <u>1</u>

Table 5- 2: Summary gacute toxicity studies\*

\* New studies, i.e. studies previously not submitted, are written in bold



M = male, F= female; <sup>1)</sup> animals were fasted (overnight); <sup>2)</sup> animals were non-fasted

The subacute dermal toxicity study on rats demonstrated that **flufenacet** was moderately toxic after repeated dermal administration. The liver was the primary target organ with secondary effects on thyroid hormone levels. Increased liver weights with correlative histopathological findings of centrilobular hepatocytomegaly, and decreased thyroxin (T4) and free thy toxin levels were observed in the subacute dermal toxicity study.

Mechanistic studies on thyroid effects suggested that the changes in serum hormone fevels of T4 are being mediated indirectly through an increase in the biofransformation and exerction of thyroid hormone in the liver. Thus, the functional status of the thyroid and pituitary gland are not affected by treatment with flufenacet.

The liver was also the primary target organ after subacute (5x 6hours) and 20x 6hours) inhalation exposure with secondary effects on the thyroid hormone levels. Increased liver weights with correlating clinical- and historathological findings were observed. The inhalation toxicity studies revealed also alterations in the masal cavity and larynx, in kidney-, hematologic/spleen-, and thyroid-related endpoints.

The two-generation study with **fluferracet** revealed no evidence of perioductive toxicity. Dose levels including levels overtly toxic to parental animals had no effect on gonadal function, estrous cycles, mating behavior, conception, parturition, lactation, wearing, and the off-spring's ability to achieve adulthood and successfully reproduce. The study unequivocally demonstrated that **flufenacet** is not a reproductive toxin.

Teratology/embryotoxicity studies using rats and rabbits revealed no evidence of teratogenicity or embryotoxicity. At maternally toxic dose levels, reduced fetal bodyweights, and increased incidences of delayed ossification and skeletal variation were observed. Thus, **flufenacet** is not teratogenic or embryotoxic and it does not cause primars retotoxicity.

Mutagenicity studies with **flurenace**, were consistently negative. Point mutation assays in bacteria and mammalian cells revealed no evidence of initiagenic potential. In vitro and in vivo cytogenetic studies revealed no evidence of clastogenicity and an unscheduled DNA synthesis assay using primary rat hepatocytes revealed to evidence of genotoxic activity. Thus, **flurenacet** is not mutagenic, clastogenic or genotoxic

The summary of results on genotoxicity presented in the monograph in summary "Table 5.10.1b" has been reformated and updated with the results of the new studies conducted with flufenacet of this supplemental dossier, please refer to Table 5-3.



Document MCA: Section 5 Toxicological and metabolism studies	
Flufenacet	

Study	Test system	Re	sults	Reference
		activation	non-activation	Ô
In-vitro			ſ	Ű
Bacterial reverse mutation assay	<i>S. typhimurium</i> TA98, TA100, TA1535, TA1537	negative	negative	M-@4696-00-1
Bacterial reverse mutation assay	<i>S. typhimurium</i> TA98, TA100, TA102, TA1535, TA1537_	negative	• negative >	, 2010 M-39 <b>52</b> 11-01-4
Mammalian cell gene mutation test (HGPRT)	Chinese hamster lung fibroblasts V79	negative	negative	- , ° 1994 M-00#634-01-69
Mammalian chromosome aberration test	Chinese hamster ovary cells CHO	Inegative	A begative	, 1995 M-904692,01-1
Unscheduled DNA synthesis (UDS) assay	Primary rat hepatocytes	negative	negnive	1992 , M-004577-01-1
In-vivo		Ĵ <sup>v</sup> Ū	S V	
Micronucleus test	Mouse bone marrow	neg Ø Å	garive	M-004588-01-1

#### Table 5-3: Summary of genotoxicity testing\*

\* New studies, i.e. studies that were not previously submitted, are written in bold 🔩

Subchronic and chronic feeding studies devealed similar findings in mice, rats, and dogs. The primary toxicological effects observed in all pree species after long-form exposure involved structural and/or functional alterations in ther-, koney-chematologic/spleen-, and thyroid-related endpoints. Eye effects were also observed and included cataracts in mice and rats, scleral mineralization in rats, and vacuolization of the citrary body epithelium and cystic vacuolization of the peripheral optic retina in dogs. As discussed below, an increased incidence of axonal swelling was observed in the brain and spinal cord of rats and dogs exposed to high levels of **flufenacet** which saturate metabolic pathways.

Oncogenicity, studies in mice and tass revealed no ovidence of oncogenic potential. No treatmentrelated increased incidences of benign or malignant neoplastic changes were observed in any tissue at any dose level in either species. Fufenacet is no oncogenic or carcinogenic.

The neurotoxic potential of **Alufenaret** has been thoroughly investigated and well characterized in studies using mice, rats and dogs. The neuropathological changes as assessed by both light and electron microscopy examinations appear to be metabolic lesions. In animals chronically exposed to high dose leads of **furfenaret**, similar lesions were observed in several high-oxygen demand tissues, the eye, brain and kidney. The data, taken collectively, demonstrate that these pathologic changes are due to limitations in glutathione interdependent pathways and antioxidant stress. Toxicokinetic data from the chronic dog study demonstrated saturation of metabolic pathways at the mid and high dose levels where these pranges were observed. The pathological changes observed in the brain and spinal cord of **flufenacet**-treated animals primarily consisted of an increased incidence or exacerbation of a morphological change (i.e., axonal swelling) occurring spontaneously in untreated animals. Thus, prolonged exposure to high dose levels of **flufenacet** which saturate metabolic pathways causes a slight increase in the incidence of a normal morphologic change.



A developmental neurotoxicity study was conducted based on thyroid-related findings and therefore, the potential for affecting development of the nervous system. In this study flufenacet did not cause any neurotoxic effect in parental and offspring animals. Treatment-related findings consisted of reduced food consumption and a reduction in maternal body weights during gestation and in males at mid and high-dose. Body weights were also reduced in mid- and high-dose F1-offspring and secondary to the lower body weights the F1 offspring exhibited a delay in development (eye opening, preputial separation).

Comparative thyroid sensitivity assays with flufenacet in nonatal and addit (pregnant and lactating) female rats did not give any indication for neonatal susceptibility to thyroid-related neurodevelopmental effects. Dietary exposure during pregnancy revealed no adverse effects in dams and foetuses at any dose level.

Dietary exposure during pregnancy and lactation until post natal day 21 induced only a slight decrease in maternal body weight gain resulting in lower body weight and decreases in T4 and T3 with thyroid follicular cell hypertrophy in two dams. In post natal day 21 pups, high-dose flufenacet revealed reduced body weight gain resulting in lower body weight and slightly lower T3 values in male and female pups.

Flufenacet administration once daily by gayage in pre-wearing rats (PNO 10-21) of 1.7 mg/kg bw/day had no effect on the thyroid or any other endpoint measured.

Toxicological studies conducted with FOE 5043-hydroxy, FOE 5043-(TDA)-sulfone and FOEacetate are considered supportive to justify the limits of specified impurities.

During the previous ED review, the toxicological properties of the plant and/or soil metabolites (FOE-oxalate (M01), FOE-sulfonic acid (M02), FOE-thiotycolate sulfoxide (M04), and thiadone (M09)) were investigated in acute or a toxicity to sats and/or mutagenicity and/or their bioavailability invats.

The genotoxic properties of several metabolites (FOE-oxalate (M01), FOE-sulfonic acid (M02), FOE-methylsulfone (M07), FOE S043-trifluoroethanesulfonic acid (M44) and trifluoroacetate (TFA) (M45)) were further investigated in the recommended *in vitro* and if necessary *in vivo* genotoxicity assays. Overall, all metabolites are considered to be non-genotoxic.

In addition, **PFA** (M45) is of low are to receive with a LD50 above 2000 mg/kg bw without any evidence, of acute effects based on clinical signs and necropsy findings. After repeated administration the liver was the target organ, with effects that were adaptive and reversible. Moreover, the 14-day mechanistic study showed that liver effects are related to peroxisome proliferation, a mode of action not relevant for humans. Furthermore, the developmental toxicity study in rats showed neither maternal nor developmental effects which are considered to be adverse up to the highest dose tested.

A toxicological assessment of several metabolites based on commonality assessments, structure similarity considerations, evaluation of genotoxicity and further toxicological studies as well as exposure calculations revealed that all plant metabolites are considered to be toxicologically adequately investigated and uncritical for human health.



The summary table "Table 5.10.1b" presented in the monograph has been reformatted and updated in order to provide an overview of the NO(A)ELs and main findings at the LO(A)EL in toxicity studies conducted with flufenacet relevant for setting of reference values, please refer to Table 5-4.

#### **Reference values**

During the previous evaluation for Annex I listing of fluf pacet, reference values were based on a comprehensive toxicological database. Over the past years the toxicological database of fluffenacet has been updated with a number of OECD and US EPA guideline studies. During the previous evaluation the study endpoints were established as no-observed effect fevels (NOELs) whereas, for the more recently conducted toxicological studies no-observed adverse effect fevels (NOAELs) are established.

 Table 5- 4:
 Summary of NO(A)ELs and main findings at LO(A)EL in toxicity studies relevant for setting reference values

Study	Sex	NO(A)EL	LO(A)EL	Main findings seen at LOCA EL 🖉	Reference
Study	Sea	mg/kg	bw/day		
Rat	М	1000	0¥	No activerse effects noted.	&
21-day	F	1000	-Ô	T4, liver undings Onsidered adaptive response	1995
dermal			Ô	to treatment.	M-004981-01-1
Rat	M, F	~14	`∕∕~66 ू ू	T4↓ L, _ Ø _ Q, _ ⊾	, 2008
1-week (5x6h)		48 mg/m <sup>3</sup>	225 mg/m³	Live©rel. weight ↑	M-300005-01-1
inhalation		Ĩ,			
Rat	M, F	~£	81	ĒB↓, H&T↓, RETÍ↑, HÈINZ↑, AP↓, TG↓,	, 2008
4-week		19 mg/m <sup>3</sup>	200 mg/m	Liver: enzymes, ♥, rel. weight ↑, spleen: weight	M-302961-01-1
(20x6h)		ô '	s d	1, histopathological changes in nasal cavity and	
inhalation	\$	Õ,	.Ĩ	larxirx, spleen, testes thyroid, liver	
Rat	M C	°75©°	200	Unspecific Minical signs (uncoordinated gait,	, 1995
acute neuro-	B	<u>∿</u> ,59°	DO 75 5	decreased activity)	(amended 1998)
toxicity, oral	×.	×,×	Î V	NOEL neuroto weity 450/150 mg/kg bw	M-004986-02-1
Ĩ,		N X		(males/females highest doses tested with	
* *		Q O	O*	survivors).	
Rat	M	763	@38	Wicroscopic lesions in brain and spinal cord	et al.,
90-day	×F	<b>8</b> %.4	SV 43 🔬	(increased incidence of swollen axons in the	1995
neurotoxicity	Q			cerebellum-medulla oblongata)	M-005014-01-2
feeding 🔊	Ĺ		Į,	NODL neurobehavioral effects: 38/43 mg/kg bw/d	
Rat	MO	í Ô	Ø.0	HB ↓, T4 ↓, GLUC ↓,	&
90-day 🌧	P	<i>]</i> _2	<sup>0</sup> 29	Liver: weight ↑, hepatocellular swelling, cell	, 1995
feeding	71	lo 1		degeneration or necrosis; spleen: brown granular	M-004999-01-1
× ~C	1 4	S° O	, O'	pigment accumulation within red pulp; kidney:	
Ś	.1		SY	mild renal proximal tubule injury	
Rat	×.	DŽ	19	BWG↓, structural and/or functional alterations in	&
2-year	Ť	<sub>«</sub> 1.5	<i>©</i> 24	liver-, kidney-, haematopoietic-, thyroid-related	, 1995,
feeding	, ,			endpoints.	M-005062-02-1
Rat	Dam	25	125	Maternal: BW $\downarrow$ , food consumption $\downarrow$	et al.
oral (gavage)	Fetal	25	125	Fetal: BW ↓, delayed ossification and/or skeletal	1995
developmental				variation $\uparrow$ in some skeletal elements	M-004976-02-1
Rabbit	Dam	5	25	Maternal: soft stool. BW gain $\downarrow$ during treatment.	et al
oral (gavage)		-		histopathological changes of the liver	1995
developmental	Fetal	25	125	Fetal: skeletal variation ↑	M-004979-01-1



Study	Sex	NO(A)EL	LO(A)EL	Main findings seen at LO(A)EL	Reference
Study	SUA	mg/kg bw/dav		Wain municy seen at LO(N)EE	Reference
Rat	М	7.4	37	BW $\downarrow$ in P females during pre-mating	, 1995
2-generation	F	8.2	41	No reproductive effects observed at any dose	M-004984-03-1
feeding				level.	
Rat	Dam	1.7/3.0	8.3/15	Dam: BW↓, food intake↓ (gestation)	<b>1</b>
developmental	Pup			Pup: BW/BWgain ↓, rel. food intake ↑, delayed	2000
neurotoxicity		(DG 6-21/DL 1-12)		development (eye opening, prepartial	<b>M-026105-01-1</b>
feeding				separation)	
Rat,	Dam	35		No adverse effects opserved at any dose level.	, 2012
mechanistic	Fetal	35			M×435619-01-1
study thyroid		(DG 6-20)			
feeding	-		< <b>-</b>		× ×
Rat,	Dam	13	65	Dam: BW gain $\downarrow$ , TATI $3 \downarrow$ , $\bigcirc$	, 2012
mechanistic	Pup	13	65	Liver: rel weight to thyroids follicultar cell	M-435313-01-1
study thyroid		(DG 6 - DL 4/DL 21)		hypertrophy in 2 of 13 dams	Ũ
Teeding	М	17	7.2		
Dog 00. day	M E	1./ 1.7	1.2	ALAS $\Psi$ , LLO I, albumin $\Psi$ , grooulin I, 14 $\Psi$ ,	, 1005
90-day feeding	Г	1./	0.9	Stuck 4,	1995 M 004077 02 1
Dog	М	1.2	70 Æ	ALL LIGHT MAN I MART MART	WI-004977-02-1
Dog 1-year	F	1.5	20 - 27	$\uparrow$ GRUC 1 T/T3 1 Mean $\downarrow$ , Near $\downarrow$ , Near $\downarrow$ , Verice $\downarrow$ , Criterio	, ,
feeding	1	1.1	210 v	I iver heart $kidney:ans + recuverent (1)$	1995, 1997 M 005001 02 2
Mouse	М	10	. 64	$\mathbf{g}_{Ab}$	NI-003001-02-2
Mouse 90-day	F	10 25		liver: rel weight ↑	a 1005
feeding	1	25			, 1995
Maaaa	М	74			M-004985-01-1
Mouse	M	/.4	50	Mietho I "	
20-month	Г	940		Voular cataracts T	&, 1995
leeding		Ĩ,			M-005060-02-1

a) The subchronic NOEU for males was established on the Gasis of the toxicity profile which emerged through the first year of the year ration. DL = day of lactation
 M = male, F = female, ↑ = increase, ↓ = decrease, DG = Day of gestation, DL = day of lactation

#### Acceptable Daily Dtake (ADI) derivation

At Annex I inclusion for flufenacet an ADI of 0.005 mg/kg bw/day was set based on an increased incidence of renal peb/c mineralization observed at the LOAEL of 1.2 mg/kg bw/day of the 2-year rat study by using a safety factor of 256 (Review Report for flufenacet 7469/VI/98- Final, 3 July 2013).

Flufencet is not a reproductive or developmental toxicant and it is not mutagenic or carcinogenic. It does induce neurotoxicity, but only after prolonged, repeated exposures to high dose levels exceeding animal's capacity to rapidly metabolize and eliminate it. Clear threshold exists for all toxicological effects observed in studies with flufenacet. The more recently conducted studies in rats did not reveal lower NOAELs or more sensitive endpoints. Therefore, the rationale for the establishment of the ADI has not changed.

#### Acceptable Operator Exposure Level (AOEL) derivation

At Annex I inclusion for flufenacet an AOEL of 0.017 mg/kg bw/day was set based on the NOEL of



1.7 mg/kg bw/day of the 90-day and 1-year toxicity studies in dogs by using a safety factor of 100 (Review Report for flufenacet 7469/VI/98- Final, 3 July 2013).

However, according to the monograph the AOEL was derived from the NOVEL of 1.7/1.67 mg/kg bw/day established after 1 year exposure to flufenacet in the chronic rat study and derived from the 90-day dog study, respectively. The NOELs were based on minimal lows, hemoglobin and thyrexin (T4) concentrations in rats and changes in clinical chemistry and higher relative kidney weight in dogs at the respective LOELs of 6.0 or 6.9 mg/kg bw/day. These findings observed at the LOELs were considered adaptive changes due to primary effects on the liver and esulting in secondary effects.

Due to the almost complete absorption of flufenacet from the gastrointestinal tract a correction for oral bioavailability is not needed.

Since no lower NOELs were determined in the more recently conducted studies the systemic AOEL of 0.017 mg/kg bw/day is still considered to be a yard value for the protection of operators with regard to the exposure to flufenacet.

At Annex I inclusion for flufenacet an ARTO of 0.017 mg/g bw @as set based on the NOEL of 1.7 mg/kg bw/day of the 90-day and y-year toxicity studies in dog bousing a safety factor of 100 (Review Report for flufenacet 7469/VI/98- Figuil, 3 July 2013. However, no rational can be found for the selection of these study end points in the monograph or in the review report. Obviously the same

