





## **OWNERSHIP STATEMENT**

This document, the data contained in it and copyright therein are owned by Bayer CropScience. No part of the document or any information contained therein may be disclosed to any third party without the prior written authorisation of Bayer CropScience

The summaries and evaluations contained in this document are based on unpublished proprietary data submitted for the purpose of the assessment undertaken by the regulatory authority. Other registration authorities should not grant, amend, or renew a registration on the from Bayer CropScience; or
 from other applicants once the period of data protection has espired.
 from other applicants once the period of data protection has espired.
 from other applicants once the period of data protection has espired.
 from other applicants once the period of data protection has espired. basis of the summaries and evaluation of inpublished proprietary data contained in this document unless they have received the data on which the summaries and evaluation are based, either:

Date (yyyy-mm-dd)	Data points containing amendments or additions <sup>1</sup> and brief description	Document identifier and version number
<mark>2015-10-05</mark>	Original Document MCP – Section 10 of Supplementary Dossier	M-534861-0227 💍
2016-07-20	<ul> <li>Dossier update according to "Request for additional information of the supplementary dossier submitted by Bayer CropScience for the approval renewal of the active substance Fosetyl (2015-5865)" by RMS France on 2016-04-04 and its follow up on 2016-06-02?</li> <li>BCS responses to RMS requests have been added throughout Section 10.</li> <li>All relevant BBCH stages for the Ter 1 risk assessments for birds have been added to Table 10 1.1-4 and Table 10 1.2-6.</li> <li>The LC<sub>50</sub> value for the formulation FEA + FLC WG 2.111 for <i>Daphnia magna</i> has been corrected in Table 10.2-1 From <a href="https://www.sci.ic.ac.eou/">&gt; 25 mg product/L to &gt; 100 mg product/L</a></li> <li>Endpoints from study and the stages of the Ter 1.2. The stages for the formulation of the stages for the Ter 1.2. The stages for the ter 1.2. The stages for the formulation of the stages for the ter 1.2. The stages for the formulation of the stages for the ter 1.2. The stages for the formulation of the stages for the ter 1.2. The stages for the formulation of the stages for the ter 1.2. The stages for the formulation of the stages for the ter 1.2. The stages for the formulation of the stages for the terms is the stages for the term is the stage stage of the stage stag</li></ul>	$ \begin{array}{c} M-534861-03-1 \\ & & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & $
2016-09-01	Dossier update according to "Request for additional information on the supplementary dossier submitted by Bayer CropScience for the approval renewal of the active substance Fosetyl (2015-5855)" by RMS France on 2016-07-27:	<b>M-534801-04-5</b> <b>D</b> <b>D</b> <b>D</b> <b>D</b> <b>D</b> <b>D</b> <b>D</b> <b>D</b>
2016-11-14	Dossier update according to "Request for additional information on the supplementary dossier submitted by Bayer CropScience for the approval renewal of the active substance Fosebyl (2015-5865)" by RMSFrance on 2016/11-14: - Addition of new earth worm reproduction study 2016 M-566355-01. I (formulation mixed into soil) to chapter CPN0.4.1 Las well as to Table 10.4.1 - 1 and Table 10.4.7 -2.	Mc534861-05-1

## Version history

<sup>1</sup> It is suggested that applicants adopt a fimilar approach to showing revisions and version history as outlined in



<b>GD</b> 4.0		Page	ð
CP 10	ECOTOXICOLOGICAL STUDIES ON THE PLANT PROTECTION	\$ * 4	Š
<b>CD</b> 10.1	PRODUCT	»ٌ5°	0.
CP 10.1	Effects on birds and other terrestrial vertebrates		
CP 10.1.1	Effects on birds		~
CP 10.1.1.1	Acute oral toxicity	§120	2
CP 10.1.1.2	Higher tier data on birds		
CP 10.1.2	Effects on terrestrial vertebrates other than birds		Ľ
CP 10.1.2.1	Acute oral toxicity to mammals	ş17	0″
CP 10.1.2.2	Higher tier data on mammals	? <u>1</u> J	¥
CP 10.1.3	Effects on other terrestrial vertebrate wildlife (reptiles and amphibians)		
CP 10.2	Effects on aquatic organisms	<u>م</u> ې.17	
CP 10.2.1	Acute toxicity to fish, aquatic mvertelepates, or effects on aquatic algae and	Q.	
	macrophytes	26	
CP 10.2.2	Additional long-term and chronic exicity studies on fish, aquatic invertebrates	Ĩ	
	and sediment dwelling of ganisms	S33	
CP 10.2.3	Further testing on aquatic organisms		
CP 10.3	Effects on arthropod	34	
CP 10.3.1	Effects on bees.	34	
CP 10.3.1.1	Acute toxicity to bees 2	41	
CP 10.3.1.1.1	Acute oral toxicity to bees	41	
CP 10.3.1.1.2	Acute contact toxicity to bees	45	
CP 10.3.1.2	Chronic togicity to bees 2	46	
CP 10.3.1.3	Effects on honey bee development and other honey bee life stages	46	
CP 10.3.1.4	Sub-lethal effects	46	
CP 10.3.1.5	Cage and tunnel tests	46	
CP 10.3.1.6	Field tests with honeybees	47	
CP 10.3.2	Effects on non-target arthropods other than bees	47	
CP 10.3.2.1 🗞	Standard laboratory Gesting for non-targed arthrepods ,,	48	
CP 10.3.2.2	Extended laboratory testing, aged residue studies with non-target arthropods	52	
CP 10.3.2	Semi-field studies withonon-target arthropods	52	
CP 10.22.4	Field gudies with non-target arthropods &	52	
CP 10.3.2.5	Other routes of exposure or non-target arthropods	52	
CP 10.4	Effects on non-target soft mes@ and macrofauna	53	
CP 10.4.1	Earthworms &	53	
CP 10.4.1.1	Earth Worms Gub-lethal effects	54	
CP 10.4.1.2	Earthworms field studies	58	
CP 10.4.2	Effects on non-barget stil meso- and macrofauna (other than earthworms)	58	
CP 10.4 .1	Species level testing.	59	
CP 10.4.2.2	Higher tier testing	63	
CP 10.5	Effects on soil nitrogen transformation	64	
CP 10.6	Effects on terrestrial fon-target higher plants	66	
CP 10.6.1	Summary of screening data	67	
CP 10.6.2	Testing opnon-target plants	68	
CP 10.6.3	Extended laboratory studies on non-target plants	70	
CP 10.6%	Semi-field and field tests on non-target plants	70	
CP 107	<sup>8</sup> Effects on other terrestrial organisms (flora and fauna)		
CPATO 8	Monitoring data	70	
õ			

#### **CP 10** ECOTOXICOLOGICAL STUDIES ON THE PLANT PROTECTION PRODUCT

Fosetyl was included in Annex I to Directive 91/414/EEC in 2006 (Directive 2006/64/CE of 18 July 2006, Entry into Force on 1 May 2007). This Supplementary Dossier contains only data which were not submitted at the time of the Annex I inclusion of fosetyl under Directive 91/414/EEC and which were therefore not evaluated during the first EU review. All data which were already submitted by Bayer CropScience (BCS) for the Annex I inclusion under Directive 91/444/EEC are contained in the DAR, its Addenda and are included in the Baseline Dossier provided by BCS. These data are only mentioned in the Supplementary Dossier for the sake of completeness and only general information (e.g. author, reference etc.) is available for these data. In order to facilitate discrimination between new O data and data submitted during the Annex I inclusion process under Directive 91/414/EEC, the obj data are written in grey typeface. For all new studies, detailed sumparies are provided within this Supplementary Dossier. However, for a better understanding of the ecotoxicological kohaviour of Fosetyl-aluminium + Fluopicolide WG 71.11 (FEA + FLC WG 71.11), short summaries including the results of all studies are given at the beginning of the relevant sections. Additional information requested by the RMS France on 2016-04,04 and its follow up on 2016-06-02 during the excluation of the Supplementary Dossier is highlighted in vellow Additional information requested by the RMS France on 2016-07-27 as follow up of the requests of 2016-04-04 and 2016-06-02 dufing the evaluation of the Supplementary Dossier is highlighted in green Additional information requested by the RMS France on 2016-11-14 doring the evaluation of the Supplementary Dossier is highlighted in grey.

Fosetyl is the ISO common name for ethyl hydrogen phosphonate (HJPAC) but the aluminium salt fosetyl-aluminium (fosetyl Al), a variant of fosetyl, is used in the formulated product.

Ø

Ő In original reports study authors may have used different names of codes for metabolites of fosetyl-Al. In this summary, a single name of a single code is used for each metabolite. A full list containing structural formula various names, show forms, codes and occurrences of metabolites is provided as Document N3.

As some pragmatic approach "phosphonic acid" formed as a major metabolite is reported in this Supplementary Dossier as the free and for the sake of clarity and unequivocal handling. After application, aluminium tris determined the open the contract of the contract o phosphonate and duminium ions. Ano phosphonate formed from O-ethyl phosphonate in the following would pever be present in the form of the free acid (i.e. phosphonic acid) under the conditions of the environment pH 4 ( 9). This conclusion is supported by the molecular structure and by the dissociation constant observed clissociation constant for the first step of deprotonation: pKa = 2.0). Consequently phosphonates in their ally protonated form are strong acids that spontaneously form salts in contact with soil or natural water with any suitable counter ion present (i.e. sodium, potassium, magnesium, calcium), With the ability to readily form salts in the environment phosphonates are, in terms of the acidic or alkaline character, similar to the salts of phosphoric acid (i.e. phosphates) in their onvironmental behayour.

The formulation FEAL+ FLE WGGT1.11 is a water dispersible granule (WG) formulation containing 666.7 g/kg of fosetyl-Al and 44.4 g/kg of fluopicolide. This formulation is registered throughout Europe under trade names such as Profiler. FEA + FLC WG 71.11 was not a representative formulation for the Annex Kinclusion of fosetyl under Directive 91/414/EEC but has been evaluated as the representative formulation for the Annex I inclusion of fluopicolide under Directive 91/414/EEC. ASCEA + LC WG 71. A is a representative formulation for the approval renewal of fosetyl, only the ecotoxic@logical risk assessment for this active substance will be described.

## Use pattern considered in this risk assessment

Table 10- 1	:	Intend	ed application	pattern			e° s	
Сгор	Tim appl	ing of ication	Number of applications	Application interval	Maximum label rate	Maximum ap individual trea	plication rate,	
	(12	inge)		[days]	[kg prod./ha]	Fluopicolide	Fosety Al	
Grapes	BBCI	H 15-81	1-3	10-14	3.0	0.133		
<b>Definition</b> Justification	<b>of the</b>	residue	for risk asses	sment	assessment is a	grovided in Do	ocument MCA,	
Section 7.4 Table 10- 2:	.1.	Definit	tion of the resid	ر Iue for riskas	sessment $Q$			
Compartn	nent	Residue	Definition				N 48	
Soil		Fosetyl-	Al, phosphonic	acia 🗸				
Surface wa	ter	Fosetyl-	Al, phosphonic	açcid 🖉				
Sediment		Phospho	oniç âpîd 🔊 🕅	29 d.			× ·	
Groundwat	er	Fosetyl-	osetyl-AD, phosphonic aeid					
Air		Fosetyl-	Al Strange					

#### **CP 10.1** Effects on birds and other terrestrial vertebrates

The risk assessment has been performed according to "European Food Safety Authority; Guidance Document on Risk Assessment for Birds & Mammals on request from EFSA GD 2009" 7(12):1438. doi:10.2903/j.efsa.2009.1438), referred to in the following as "EFS GD 2009". S

#### **CP 10.1.1 Effects on birds**

CP 10.1.1	Effects	on birds		Ča	A.		Ì
Table 10.1.1-	1: Endpoints	used in risk as	sessment	1.			o de la companya de l
Test substance	Test design	Test species	A	Endpo	ing on	Referênce Q	1
	acute toxicity	Bobwhite quail			ng û s./kg by <sup>a)</sup>	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	
	acute toxicity	Japan gy quQ Q	, 7 , 7 , 7 , 7 , 7 , 7 , 7 , 7 , 7 , 7		ng S./kg Sw	, D. B.; 9. M.; 1977; M-158803-01-1 K& 8.1.1,9/02	>
	acute toxicity	Bobwłkute 9 quał 2	LD <sub>50</sub>	= 3228  r	mg a.s./kg bw <sup>b)</sup>	, T. L.; M. T.; 2012; M- 444760-01-1 KCA 8.1.1.1/04	
	geomean LD50	ABobwhite Quail	8000 mg 45w 3228 mg bw 4997 mg	a.s./kg	5082 5082 50 mg ass-/kg bw	5039 mg a.s./kg bw	
Fosetvl-Al			bw 2		ung a.s. kg bw	, N. L.;	p
	dietary toxicity (short-terior)	Cobwhite quato	PDD <sub>50</sub>	5 > 20000 > 3€22 r	mg≰s./kg diet ng gs./kg bw/d	C. N. K.; , R. H.; 1982; M-159687- 01-1 KCA 8.1.1.2/01	
	die ary to Arity (short Orm) &	Malbad , dock	LDIO	>0000 %4616 r	mg a.s./kg diet ng a.s./kg bw/d	, N. L.; , C. N. K.; , R. H.; 1981; M- 159685-01-1	
	6-weeks feding characteristics	Japanese ( Juail @	NOF NOF	1500 n 216 mg	ng a.s./kg diet g a.s./kg bw/d	KCA 8.1.1.2/02 ; S. P.; , M.; J. B.; 1999; M-189216-01-1 KCA 8.1.1.3/01	. ,
ļ.	7-weeks feeding . chronic, reproduction	Japanese ( quail	NOEC NOEL	≥ 3000 ± ≥ 331 m	mg a.s./kg diet g a.s./kg bw/d	, R.; 2008; M-298080-01-1 KCA 8.1.1.3/02	
	$\widetilde{\mathcal{D}}_{50}/\widetilde{f0}$	S geomean LD <sub>50</sub>		5039 / 10 = mg a.s./k	= 503.9 g bw		
							_

## **Bayer – Crop Science Division**

## Document MCP - Section 10: Ecotoxicological studies Fosetyl-aluminium + Fluopicolide WG 71.11

Test substance	Test design	Test species		Endpoint	Reference
	acute toxicity	Bobwhite quail	LD <sub>50</sub> LD <sub>50</sub>	> 2250 mg test item/kg bw > 675 mg pm/kg bw	, S. H.; , J. B.; 1495; M-200039-01 KCA 8.1.1.1003
acid	dietary toxicity (short-term)	Bobwhite quail	LC <sub>50</sub> LC <sub>50</sub> LDD <sub>50</sub>	<ul> <li>&gt; 5620 mg test item/kg diet</li> <li>&gt; 1692 mg pm/kgctiet <sup>c)</sup></li> <li>&gt; 508 mg pm/kgcbw/d</li> </ul>	, S. <b>5</b> ; , S. <b>5</b> ; B.; 1995; M-2 0041 01- K@A 8.1 2/03
Bold: endpoi	nts used in risk as	sessment			

## pm = pure metabolite

3 mortalities from 10 birds tested at 8000 mg/kg/bw, therefore extraporation actors (EFSA, GD 2009; Table 1) not applicable. Included as  $LD_{50} = 8000 \text{ mg/kg}$  by into the calculation of geomean  $LD_{50}$  values

- b) no mortalities among the 5 birds tested at 2000 mg/kg Jw, therefore extrapolation factor of 1.614 (EFSA GD 2009; Table 1) applicable:  $2000 \times 1.614 = 3228 \text{ mg/kg, bw}$ Ô Ô
- Values were corrected for a purity of 41% phosphonic and weight by volume which is equal to 361% c) weight by weight. Test substance potassium salts of phosphonic acid has a density of \$36. Therefore one L of test substance weight 1360 g and contains 410 g physphonic acid (410/560 = 0.301) with a weight/weight purity of 30.1%.

## **Request from the RMS:**

Request from the RMS: The calculation of an extrapolate LD<sub>50</sub> value and the calculation of an 2D<sub>50</sub> based on the geometrical mean of the endpoints from several species are two methods indicated in the gaidance document EFSA/2009/1438 for the determination of the relevant toxicity value for the acute TER estimation. However, the guidance document does not indicate if both methods could be combined. It is the RMS opinion that both methods should not be combined as the combination of these extrapolations would induce too much uncertainty in the obtained endpoint. The reliable toxicity value for acute is the  $LD_{50}$ of 4997 mg a.s./kg b.w.

## **Response from BCS:**

According to the EFSA Guidance document the geometric mean  $LD_{50}$  is a fully valid approach to assess the acute toxicity energoint, appropriately maintaining the level of protection. In order to correctly calculate statistics like the geometric mean, unbound values should be avoided. Therefore it is necessary and appropriate to apply the very conservative extrapolation factor recommended in the EFSA GD to "LD<sub>50</sub> > \* values before inclusion into the geomean. In the view of the notifier, it is therefore not to be expected that the combination of the two methods would unduly increase the uncertainty of the acute rist assessment estimate

## **Request from the RMS:**

2

In accordance with the guidance socument EFSA (2009), a justification that no mortality or no clinical signs were observed during the test should be provided to exclude the dietary endpoint from the acute TER calculations. Please provide such justification.

# Response from BCS:

In the Mallard wick short teen dietary study with fosetyl-aluminium (fosetyl-Al), no mortalities or clinical signs were reported for the birds treated with fosetyl-Al. In the Bobwhite quail short term dietaby study, no Omical Mans were observed and a single mortality was observed among the birds receiving 20000 ppm of fosetyl-Al. However, this single mortality occurred on day 6 of testing, and at the same time one mortality also occurred in the untreated controls. Therefore it is questionable whether this single mortality at 20000 ppm is actually a treatment related effect, and with regard to the time course certainly not appropriate for the use in an acute risk assessment which addresses a single

## day of exposure.

## **Request from the RMS:**

A justification that no risk assessment is required for the metabolite phosphonic acid would be suitable.

## **Response from BCS:**

The toxicity of phosphonic acid, the major metabolite of fosetyl-Al, has been evaluated in birds. Due to the absence of notable toxicity of phosphonic acid (no mortalities or treatment related effects have been found up to the highest doses tested), a quantitative risk assessment is not considered news Ò

Table 10.1.1- 2:	Toxicity o	lata of th	e formulated p	roduct FEA	$+ FL \mathbf{O} \mathbf{W}$	G 71.11 🦼	V Z		ر پ
Test species	Test design		Endp	ðint	Q° o	Reference	e 🎸	Č "	,©
Bobwhite quail	acute, oral	LD <sub>50</sub>	> 2000	mg produc	kg bay	2014; M&	E; 79470-01 .1.1/01	-1 «) <sup>×</sup>	,
Table 10.1.1- 3:	Relevant FFSA CI	generic a	vian focal spec	cies for rist	assessme	nt of Tier	1 level ac	ç » cordurg	/ to

			K A O	- S	0
Crop scenario	Most critical window of relevance for generic focal species scenario	Generfte focal species	Representative (	Short co For repr R %base	nt values oductive A d on
				RUD <sub>90</sub>	RUD <sub>m</sub>
	BBCH 30-19	Sitial insectivorous species	Black Redstart &	27.4	11.5
	BBCH ≥20 2	Small@nsectrorous.species	Black Redstart	25.7	9.9
	5 BBCH 10-19	Small granivorous bird	Linner	14.8	6.9
Grapes	© ppCH 20-39	Small grantvorous bird	Linnet	12.4	5.7
3 × 2.0 kg/ba BBCH 15-%1	BBCH≥40¢	Small granivorous bird "fineh"	Linnet	7.4	3.4
10d interval	Ripening	Frugiv@ous bird	Song Thrush	28.9	14.4
	6 BBCH 10-16	Small@mnivofeous bird	Wood Lark	14.4	6.5
Â	BBCH 29-39	Spall omplyorous bird	Wood Lark	12	5.4
	BBCH≥40° ∅	Small Omnivorous bird	Wood Lark	7.2	3.3
Bold values: w	orst cases shortcut values us	ed invrisk sissessment			

## ACUTE DIETARY RISK ASSESSMENT

## **Request from the RMS:**

All the relevant scenario covering all the application period (BBCH 15-81) should be presented for the Tier 1 risk assessment.

## Table 10.1.1- 4: Tier 1 acute risk assessment for birds

	fiel f acute fisk asses	sinche for birds	4	<u>.</u>		Ò
		DDD	Z.	LD <sub>50</sub>		
<mark>Crop scenario</mark>	Generic focal species	Appl. rate [kg a.s./ha]	MAF90	[mg a.s./kg bw]©		ěr ©
Fosetyl-Al		JO <sup>Y</sup>				,Ø
Grapes	Small insectivorous	27.4 ×	× . <b>8</b> 2.2	\$, ô		Y
BBCH 10-19	species "redstart"			() () () () () () () () () () () () () (		
$\frac{\text{Orapes}}{\text{BBCH} \ge 20}$	species "redstart"	0 <sup>™</sup> 0 <sup>™</sup> 25.7		4	<mark>ُ 65</mark>	. •
Grapes	Small granivorous bird			S O		1
BBCH 10-19	"finch"		$\mathcal{O}$			
Grapes	Small granivorous bird				<sup>3</sup> 136 <sup>3</sup>	
BBCH 20-39	"finch"				, <mark>150</mark>	
Grapes	Small granivorous bird	$\frac{20}{20}$ $\approx$ 74	<b>1</b> 22.2	0 5039 V	». <sup>3</sup> 27 10	
$BBCH \ge 40$	"finch" *					
Grapes	Frugivorous@ird	28.0	× × × × × ×		, <b>∀ <mark>58</mark></b>	
<b>Ripening</b>	"thrush/starting"				<mark></mark>	
Grapes	Small omnivorous bird		13 1 13 1		117	
BBCH 10-19	s <mark>zlark"</mark> (				11/	
<b>Grapes</b>	Small omnivorous bird			. 6	140	
BBCH 20-39	a <mark>"lank?"</mark> v			<sup>3</sup>	140	
Grapes	Snull ompivorous bird			~~	222	
$BBCH \ge 40$	Nark" 🔊 🤸	y 🔨 🖓 <mark>7.2</mark>			<u>223</u>	

The TER<sub>A</sub> values calculated in the acate risk assessment on Tier 1 level exceed the a-prioriacceptability trigger of 10 for all evaluated scenarios. Thus, the acute risk to birds can be considered as low and acceptable without need for further, more realistic tisk assessment.

\$1

 $\bigcirc$ 

Acute risk assessment for birds drinking contaminated water from pools in leaf whorls In the EFSA GD (2009), section 56, step 1 the following guidance is given on the selection of relevant scenarios for assessing the risk of pesticides via drinking water to birds and mammals:

- Leaf scenario: Birds taking water that is collected in leaf whorls after application of a persicide to a crop and subsequent rainfall or irrigation.
- Ruddle scenario. Birds and mammals taking water from puddles formed on the soil surface of

a field when a (heavy) rainfall event follows the application of a pesticide to a crop or bare soil.

For the crops onder assessment in this evaluation (grapes) the leaf scenario is not considered relevant. The risk for birds from drinking water in puddles is addressed in Table 10.1.1-5.

The risk for birds from drinking water in puddles is addressed in Table 10.1.1-5.

## Acute risk assessment for birds drinking contaminated water in puddles

Table 10.1.1- 5	5: Evalu	ation of pote	ntial conc	ern for ex	xposure o	of birds	drinkir	ng water		° è
Сгор	Koc [L/kg]	Application × MAF [g a.s./h	n rate <sup>'m</sup> [1 a] k	LD50 mg a.s./ g bw/d]	l (Applic MA	Ratio ation ra F) / LD50	te ×	"Escape clause" Concern if ratio	- Çenc	lusion
Fosetyl-Al		_					J.	0	O' È	y Q
Grapes	0.1	2000 × 1. 2000	0 =	5039	Or.	0.4	Ű	≤ 50 × √		oncern
LONG-TERN Request from	M REPRO 1 the RMS	DUCTIVE A	ASSESSN	MENA			ŷ ŷ Ţ			
All the relevan	nt scenario	covering all	the appli	cation	riod (BI	3CH∲∕15-	-81) sh	ould be pro	esented	for the
Tier 1 risk ass	essment.		2		Ň	Â,	O"	r ô	J.	a s
Table 10.1.1- 6	5: Tier 1	reproductiv	e pisk ass	essment	or birds					¢
<mark>Crop</mark>	<mark>Gene</mark> sp	ric focal ecies	) Appl. Tato [kg@a.s./ha	e SVm	MAE	frw.o	PDDDC	SOAE [mg as./ kg bw/d]	TKRLT	Trigger
Fosetyl-Al		~~~ ·	ÌN <sub>N</sub>	<u> </u>	L.	, O <sup>g</sup>	Ô	Ň Š	/	
Grapes BBCH 10-19	Small in species	sectivorous "redstart"		0 <sup>7</sup> 11.5	ð A		21°9		<u>≥ 15.1</u>	
$\frac{\text{Grapes}}{\text{BBCH} \ge 20}$	Small in species	séctivo <del>ro</del> us "redstart"		\$ 6 <mark>9.9</mark>			<mark>18.9</mark>		<mark>≥17.5</mark>	
BBCH 10-19	Small g	"finch"	× ×	, <mark>.</mark>	r o	L.	<mark>13,2</mark>	r	<mark>≥25.1</mark>	
Grapes BBCH 20-39	C <mark>Small g</mark>	ranivorøus "fin <b>ch</b> " ()		× 5.7			≪ <mark>10.9</mark>		<mark>≥ 30.4</mark>	
Grapes BBCH ≥40	Small g bird	ranivorous "finch"		<u>3</u>	1.8	0.53	<mark>6.5</mark>	<mark>≥ 331</mark>	<u>≥ 51.0</u>	<mark>5</mark>
Grapes Ripering	Frugiy "thrush	orous bird	, 6 <sup>4</sup> ,				<mark>27.5</mark>		<u>≥12.0</u>	
BBCH 10-19		"lark"		0 <mark>594</mark>			<mark>12.4</mark>		<u>≥ 26.7</u>	
Grapes BBCH 20-39	Small &	mniverous <u>"lask"</u>		0 <sup>°</sup> 6.5	* *		<mark>10.3</mark>		<u>≥ 32.1</u>	
BBCH 240	Small o bigg	"lark"	d >	<u>ڳ</u>			<mark>6.3</mark>		<u>≥ 52.6</u>	

Table 10.1.1- 5:	Evaluation of p	otential concern f	or exposure of	f birds drinking water

 6.3
 ≥ 52.6

 The TERLT values calculated in the reproductive risk assessment on Tier 1 level exceed the a-priori-acceptability trigger of 8 for all evaluated scenarios. Thus, the reproductive risk to birds can be considered as low and acceptable without need for further, more realistic risk assessment.



## Long-term risk assessment for birds drinking contaminated water in puddles

Table 10.1.1- 7	: Evalu	ation of potential c	oncern for e	Å S		
Сгор	K <sub>oc</sub> [L/kg]	Application rate × MAF <sub>m</sub> [g a.s./ha]	NO(A)EL [mg a.s./ kg bw/d]	Ratio (Application rate > MAF) / NO(A)EL	<ul> <li>"Escape clause"</li> <li>No concern if ratio</li> </ul>	<b>Cenclusion</b>
Fosetyl-Al				~	A . C	
Grapes	0.1	2000 × 1.0 = 2000	≥ 331	$\leq 6.0$	≤ 50 ×	No concern
			Â			

## **RISK ASSESSMENT OF SECONDARY POISONING**

Substances with a high bioaccumulation potential could theoretically bear or risk of secondary poisoning for birds if feeding on contaminated prev like fish or eachworns. For organic chemicals, an octanol-water partition coefficient (log  $P_{ov}$ ) > F is used to trygger an indepth evaluation of the potential for bioaccumulation.

Table 10.1.1-8: Log Pow values of Osetyl-AA and its metabolic	le 10.1.1- 8:	Log Pow values	of @setyl-M	and its	metaboli
---	---------------	----------------	-------------	---------	----------

cute oral toxici

	- 7	
Substance	Nog Polo	Reference
Fosetyl-Al	\$ - 2.1 (PH 6)	EFSA Scientify Reports4 (2005)
Phosphonic acid - H <sub>3</sub> PO <sub>3</sub>	6 - 40% (pH3)	Document MCA, Section 2 7

The log Pow values of tosety Al and phosphonic acid are below the trigger value of 3, indicating a very low risk of secondary poisoning

## **CP 10.1.1.1**

Title:

2014; M-479470-01-1 10.4.1.1/0/ **Report:** oxicity of flappicolide + fosetyl-Ak WG 2.22+33.34 percent w/w during an acute oral 1/D50 with the porthern boby hite quait (Colinus virginianus) SRI S1

Report No .: Document No .: Guideline(s):

Regulation (EC) No. 110 000CD 223 OCS₽₽

EU Directive 91/414 EEC

Guideline deviation(s): GLP/GEP:

### L ı **Objective:**

An acute oral toxicity test was conducted to estimate the LD<sub>50</sub> of Fosetyl-aluminium + Fluopicolide FLC WG 71.11) to the Northern Bobwhite Quail (Colinus w/w@FEA& WG 33.34 <del>1</del>52. virginianus Ò

## Material and Methods:

Test item: FRA + ECC WC 71.11 (Fosetyl-aluminium + Fluopicolide WG 33.34 + 2.22% w/w; Batch ID EV36002902; Sample Description: TOX09816-00; Material No.: 79975694; Purity: 67.7% w/w (fosety], (fosety], (fluopicolide); Specification No.: 102000024700 - 01).

Northern Bobwhite quail (22-week-old adults) were orally dosed with FEA + FLC WG 71.11 based on body weight at a limit dose level of 2000 mg product/kg body weight. A control group was run in parallel. Five birds per treatment level (two males and three females) were randomized by body weight into the treatment level and control group on experimental Day -1. Birds were dosed with gelatin capsules on Day 0 following 15 hours of starvation and monitored for 14 days post-dosing. All feed and water was provided ad libitum. Adult body weights were taken on experimental Day -1, Day 3, Day 7, and Day 14. Individual feed consumption was recorded for the first three days of the study and then for the Day 7 to 14 interval. Clinical observations occurred at least daily. Post-mortem examinations were conducted on all birds sacrificed at study termination.

## Dates of experimental work: February 04, 2014 – February 18, 201

## **Results:**

## Mortality & Clinical Observations

No mortality occurred in the control or the 2000 mg product/kg body weight treatment group. There were no observed effects in the control or 2000 mg product/kg body weight treatment groups during the study.

## Body Weight & Feed Consumption

Body weight measurements (Day 0, Day 3, Day 7, and Day 14) and changes in body were evaluated for each treatment group. Body weight measurements and changes in body weight between the time points listed above for the 2000 mg/kg treatment group was not significantly different from the control group.

No significant differences were observed in daily food consumption between the control and 2000 mg/kg treatment groups.

## **Conclusion:**

The acute oral  $LD_{50}$  for FIA + FLC WG 71 T in the northern bobwhite quail was >2000 mg product/kg body weight. The Lovest Lethal Dose was 2000 ang product/kg body weight.

## CP 10.1.1.2 Digherctier data on birds

In view of the results presented in Section CP 50.1.1. In o further studies were necessary.

## CP 10.1.2 Effects on terrestrial vertebrates other than birds

Table 10.1.2- 10 Epop

Export List assessment

Test substance	Expositre ~	Species		Endpoint	Reference
	Acute rist assessment	Rat		>7080 mg a.s./kg bw	, I.; 1997; M-179086-01-1
			O O O O O O O O O O O O O O O O O O O	6000 ppm ≡ 439 mg a.s./kg bw/d	A.K.;
Fosetyl-Al C	Jong-term	, Rato	NOAEL	$6000 \text{ ppm} = 720 \text{ mg/kg bw/d}^{a}$	, R.; J.M.; A.J.; R.N.; A.E.; 1981;
a) please referro Do	ocument MCA, Se	ction 8.1.2	2.2		M-203019-01-1 KCA 5.6.1/01

## **Request from the RMS:**

A justification that no risk assessment is required for the metabolite phosphonic acid would be suitable. S.

## **Response from BCS:**

Table 10.1.2- 2:

Phosphonic acid is a confirmed mammalian metabolite of fosetyl-alumining (fosetyl-Al and as toxicity was accounted for in the acute and long term studies with fosetyl-A@in mammals. Therefore, the mammalian risk assessment for fosetyl-Al also adequately addresses the risk for the phosphonic K j acid.

Relevant generic focal species for risk assessment on Tier 1 lever acc. TEFSA GD

	(2009)	AUX AND			°,
Crop scenario	Most critical window of relevance for generic	Generic focal species	Representative species	Short cu for repro RA bas	t values ductive sed on
	BBCH 10-19	Large herbitorous frammal	Arown hare	<b>RUD</b> <sub>90</sub>	RUD <sub>m</sub>
	BBCH 20-39	Carge horbivorous mammal	Brown hare	\$ <del>3</del> .6	5.5 S
	BBCH≥40 Q	Large herbivorous mammat	Brown bare	\$ 8.7	3.3
	BBCH 1000	Small insectivorous	Common shrew	<sup>%</sup> 7.6	4.2
Grapes	BBCI45≥ 20 °	Small insectivorous	Common Shrew,	<sup>»</sup> 5.4	1.9
3 × 2.0 kg/ha BBCH 15-81	Application crop directed	Small Grerbiverous monimale	Common vole	81.9	43.4
10d interval	Application crop directed	Section 1	Common vole	68.2	36.1
â	BBCH 040	Small herbivorous mammal	Common vole	40.9	21.7
	BBCH 10-19	Small omulvorous mammal	Wood mouse	10.3	4.7
	BBCH 29-39		Wood mouse	8.6	3.9
_	Application crop directed $BBCH \ge 46$	winall orginivoroes mammal	Wood mouse	5.2	2.3

## ACUTE DIETARY RISK ASSESSMENT

		Ι	DDD			LD 50	67 O
Сгор	Generic focal species	Appl. rate [kg a.s./ha]	SV90	MAF90	DDD	[mg a.s./kg [ww]	TER C Trigger
Fosetyl-Al						A	
Grapes BBCH 10-19	Large herbivorous mammal "lagomorph"		16.3	<b>V</b>	48.9		
Grapes BBCH 10-19	Small insectivorous mammal "shrew"	2.04	7.6 20	1 67	22.8 ×		
Grapes crop directed BBCH 10-19	Small herbivorous mammal "vole"		8199 8		2015.7 <i>i</i>		> 2463
Grapes crop directed BBCH 10-19	Small omnivorous mammal "mouse"		10.8		K K K K K K K K K K K K K K K K K K K		** 31

The TERA values calculated in the acute risk assessment on Ter 1 level for wild mammans exceed the a-priori-acceptability trigger of 0 for all evaluated scenarios. This, the acute sk to wild mammals can be considered as low and acceptable without need for further more realistic risk assessment. Ø

Ñ

Acute risk assessment for manmals drinking contaminated water The puddle scenario is relevant for the acute risk assessment.  $\bigcirc$ 

	\$ . Ő.	N N	/~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~		
Table 10.1.2-4:	Evaluation	n at potential	concern for expo	osure of mamn	als drinking water

Crop	Koc [L/kg] [g a.s.na] Koc [L/kg] [g a.s.na] Kg bw/0] Kg bw/0] Kg bw/0]	"Escape clause" No concern if ratio	Conclusion
Fosetyl-Al			
Grapes	$\begin{array}{c} 2000 \times 10^{-1} \\ 2000 \times 10^{-1} \\ 2000 \end{array} \qquad \begin{array}{c} 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{array} \qquad \begin{array}{c} 0 \\ 0 \end{array} \qquad \begin{array}{c} 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{array} \qquad \begin{array}{c} 0 \\ 0 \end{array} \qquad \begin{array}{c} 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{array} \qquad \begin{array}{c} 0 \end{array} \qquad \begin{array}{c} 0 \\ 0 \end{array} \qquad \begin{array}{c} 0 \\ 0 \end{array} \qquad \begin{array}{c} 0 \\ 0 \end{array} \qquad \begin{array}{c} 0 \end{array} \qquad \begin{array}{c} 0 \\ 0 \end{array} \end{array} \qquad \begin{array}{c} 0 \\ 0 \end{array} \qquad \begin{array}{c} 0 \end{array} \end{array} \qquad \begin{array}{c} 0 \end{array} \qquad \begin{array}{c} 0 \\ 0 \end{array} \qquad \begin{array}{c} 0 \end{array} \end{array} \qquad \begin{array}{c} 0 \end{array} \qquad \begin{array}{c} 0 \end{array} \end{array} \end{array} \qquad \begin{array}{c} 0 \end{array} \end{array} \qquad \begin{array}{c} 0 \end{array} \end{array} \end{array} \end{array} \qquad \begin{array}{c} 0 \end{array} \end{array} \end{array} \end{array} \end{array} \end{array} $ \qquad \begin{array}{c} 0 \end{array} \end{array} \end{array} \end{array} \end{array} \end{array} \end{array}  \qquad \begin{array}{c} 0 \end{array}  \end{array} \end{array}  \end{array} \end{array} \end{array} \end{array} \end{array} \end{array} \end{array} \end{array} \end{array} \end{array} \end{array} \end{array} \end{array} \end{array} \end{array}  \end{array} \end{array} \end{array} \end{array} \end{array} \end{array} \end{array} \end{array} \end{array} \end{array} \end{array} \end{array} \\ \end{array} \end{array} \end{array} \end{array}	<b>≤ 50</b>	No concern

Table 10 1 2-5.

(N 1

## LONG-TERM REPRODUCTIVE ASSESSMENT

			DDI	)			NOAEL	6	Ĩ
Crop	Generic focal species	Appl. rate [kg a.s./ha]	SVm	MAF <sub>m</sub>	ftwa	DDD	[mg a.s./kg bw/d]	TERIT	T <b>rigger</b>
Fosetyl-Al						A	, Ć	§ \$	
Grapes BBCH 10-19	Large herbivorous mammal "lagomorph"		6.7	¢\$ ₽	6	Ø12.8		~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~	<u></u>
Grapes BBCH 10-19	Small insectivorous mammal "shrew"		4.2		Ő	8.0		900	
Grapes crop directed BBCH 10-19	Small herbivorous mammal "vole"	2.0 &	Q <b>4</b> 3.4	1.8	0.53	82.8 82.8	9 720 ×	x 8.7	\$ <sup>75</sup>
Grapes crop directed BBCH 10-19	Small omnivorous mammal "mouse"					9.0 9.0		80	
		and in	, <sup>y</sup> O		Ő	N.Y	Q X		»"

Tier 1 reproductive risk assessment for wild memmals

The TER<sub>LT</sub> values calculated in the peroductive risk assessment on Ter 1 level for wild mammals exceed the a-priori-acceptability trigger of 5 for all evaluated scenarios. Thus, the long-term risk to wild mammals can be considered as loss and acceptable without need for ourther more realistic risk assessment.

Long-term risk assessment for mammals drinking contaminated water.

Table 10.1.2- 6: Avaluation of potential concern for exposure of mammals drinking water

Crop	Koc     Application     NO(A)I/L     Ratio       IL/kgi     rate * MAF     [mga.s./     Application fore *       Iga.s./hai     kg.bw/d]     MAF) / NO(A)EL	"Escape clause" No concern if ratio	Conclusion
Fosetyk			
Grapes	$\begin{array}{c} \begin{array}{c} \begin{array}{c} \begin{array}{c} \end{array} \\ \end{array} \\ \end{array} \\ \begin{array}{c} \end{array} \\ \end{array} \\ \end{array} \\ \begin{array}{c} \end{array} \\ \end{array} \\ \begin{array}{c} \end{array} \\ \end{array} \\ \begin{array}{c} \end{array} \\ \end{array} \\ \end{array} \\ \begin{array}{c} \end{array} \\ \end{array} \\ \end{array} \\ \begin{array}{c} \end{array} \\ \end{array} \\ \end{array} \\ \begin{array}{c} \end{array} \\ \end{array} \\ \begin{array}{c} \end{array} \\ \end{array} \\ \begin{array}{c} \end{array} \\ \end{array} \\ \end{array} \\ \begin{array}{c} \end{array} \\ \end{array} \\ \end{array} \\ \begin{array}{c} \end{array} \\ \end{array} \\ \end{array} \\ \end{array} \\ \begin{array}{c} \end{array} \\ \begin{array}{c} \end{array} \\ \end{array} $	≤ 50	No concern

## RISK ASSESSMENT OF SECONDARY POPSONING

Substances with a high bioaccumulation potential could theoretically bear a risk of secondary poisoning for mammals if feeding on contaminated prey like fish or earthworms. For organic chemicals, a log  $P_{ow} > 3$  is used to trigger an indepth evaluation of the potential for bioaccumulation.

As presented for Table 10.1 (5, log Pow values are below the trigger value indicating a very low risk of secondary poisoning.

Document MCP – Section 10: Ecotoxicological studies Fosetyl-aluminium + Fluopicolide WG 71.11

## **CP 10.1.2.1** Acute oral toxicity to mammals

Reference is made to the respective Document MCP, Section 7.1.1.

Table 10.1.2.1- 1:	Mammalian toxicity data of the f	formulated product FEA + FLC WG 71.11
	in a second seco	

Test species	Test design		Endpoi	nt	Reference	~~ <u>~</u> ~~
Rat	acute, oral	LD <sub>50</sub> > 2	000 m	ng product/kg bw	P; 20 220866-01-1	\$3; M. (7) (7) (7) (7) (7) (7) (7) (7)

Ò

## CP 10.1.2.2 Higher tier data on mammals

In view of the results presented above, no further studies were necessary

# CP 10.1.3 Effects on other textestrial vertebrate vildlife (reptiles and amphibians)

Information on effects of fosetyl-Al on reptiles of amplifians is not available. No guidelines for studies with terrestrial amphibian life stages and ceptiles are available and no fisk assessment schemes are established so far. Therefore no further studies can be suggested for these groups of organisms.

## CP 10.2 Effects on adjuatic organisms 🔗

The risk assessment is based on the ourrent guidance: EFSA POR Papel (EESA Panel on Plant Protection Products and their Residues), 2015. Guidance on tiered risk assessment for plant protection products for aquatic organisms in edge of field surface waters. EESA Journal 2013;11(7):3290, 268 pp.

## Risk assessment for aquatic organisms

Ecotoxicological endpoints used in risk assessment

 Table 10.2-1:
 Endpoints used in risk assessment and additional studies for fosetyl-Al, its metabolite and FEA+ FLOWG PL11

		. 0		
Test	Test species		Endpoint	Reference
substance 🦉		)″ <u></u> , O″		
	Fish, acuo, Oncorhynchusenykiss		8.54 mg product/L (nom)	; 2003; M- 225113-01-1 KCP 10.2.1/04
FEA + FLC	Invertebrate acute		> 100 mg product/L (nom)	; 2003; M- 227282-01-1 KCP 10.2.1/01
WG 71.11	VAlgae, growth inhibition Pseudokirchnerielta subcapitati	$E_bC_{50}$ $E_rC_{50}$	3.9 mg product/L (nom) 12.5 mg product/L (nom)	Z; 2003; M- 227291-01-1 KCP 10.2.1/03
	Wavicula pellieutosa (diatom), growth inhibition test	$\begin{array}{c} E_b C_{50} \\ E_r C_{50} \end{array}$	0.58 mg product/L (nom) 0.91 mg product/L (nom)	; 2003; M- 227285-01-1, KCP 10.2.1/02

Test	Test species		Endpoint	Reference
substance				P M · o °
	Fish, acute	LC		1997; M-184487-
	Lepomis macrochirus	LC50	> 60 mg a.s./L (mm)	01-1
			<u> </u>	KCA 8.2.0702
	Fish, acute	I.C.	> 122 mg a s /L (mm)	, G., ¥999; @-
	Oncorhynchus mykiss	LC 50	2 122 mg a.s./L (mm)	KC 98.2.10
	Fiel ante		Ò Á	E. 2013
	Cyprinus carnio	LC <sub>50</sub>	100 mg a.s. (nom)	M-449083-01-1
		- A		KCA58.2.1/05
	Fish chronic	A	Q' 6° Å	, CM.; C
	Oncorhynchus mykiss	NOR	$\geq 100$ hyg a.s./ $\mathcal{Q}(\text{nom})^{\sim}$	01-1 4 40
		× Q		KCA8.2.2.2701
				, D , °
	Fish, chronic	NOEC	0.213 mg a.s.AL (nom)	K.; 2015, M-
	Pimephales prometas			$V = \frac{1}{2} = $
				L G.; 1996;
	Invertebrate, acute	EC <sub>50</sub>	>100 mg a.set (nors)	<b>51</b> -17 <b>021</b> 4-01-1
				KCA 8.2.4.1/01
	Invertebrate, Qoonic 😽			, I. G.; 1996;
	Daphnia magha 🔬 🖉			KCA 8.2.5.1/01
	Algae 💊 🖉	~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~		G · 1000· M
Fosetvl-Al	Desmodesmus subspicatus	6 <sup>6</sup> C <sub>50</sub>	50 mg o.s./L (mm)	189220-01-1
	(Scepedesmu subspicitus,	ErC50	y 16 m@a.s./Lymm) y	KCA 8.2.6.1/01
		~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~		LS.
				1989; M-163526-
ð	Pseudokirghneriella	070-EaC 50	4.99 mga.s./L (mm)	01-1
Ô,	subcapitata	N Ô		KCA 8.2.6.1/03
	(Selenæstrum			M·
<i>K</i> <sup>™</sup>	capricornutum		recalculation	2005; M-253825-
		/ <b>2</b> ft-ErC50%	∮ 9.54⊶ang a.s./L (mm)	01-1
				KCA 8.2.6.1/04
	Algao V C C		$\sqrt[n]{24.9}$ mg a s /L (nom)	, M.;
	(Scenedeshus subspicatus	Ebuse Eduso	$\frac{24.9 \text{ mg a.s./L}}{43.3 \text{ mg a.s./L}}$ (nom)	2007, M-289324- 01-1
Jan Harrison (1997)	green algae)		()	KCA 8.2.6.1/05
	Alog	7d-E.O <sub>50</sub>	8.93 mg a.s./L (mm)	IS · 1988·
L.	Navicula pelliculosa Q,		recoloulation	M-163531-01-1
, i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i	diatom)	$\frac{0}{2h-E_{r}C_{50}}$	$\frac{1811}{18}$ mg a s/L (mm)	KCA 8.2.6.2/01
Ś		×		, J. S.; 1989;
, and a second s		14d-E <sub>y</sub> C <sub>50</sub>	79.67 mg a.s./L (mm)	M-163537-02-1
Ű,	Aquatic plant			KCA 8.2.7/01
	Lemha gibba		recalculation.	$C \cdot 2015$
		7d-ErC50	166.6 mg a.s./L (mm)	M-525565-01-1
4° 29	- B		3 \ /	KCA 8.2.7/02
ċ				

Test substance	Test species	Endpoint	Reference
	Fish, acute, Oncorhynchus mykiss	$LC_{50}$ > 28.6 mg pm/L (mm) <sup>a)</sup>	, J. W.; H. J.; 1994; MS 179069-01 KCA 8.2,003
	Fish, acute, Oncorhynchus mykiss	کې LC50 > 400 mg pm/L (mem)	, MA 2008 M-31409-6- 01-1
	Fish, acute <i>Lepomis macrochirus</i>	$LC_{50}$ > 35.7 mg ph/L (nom) <sup>b)</sup>	2 M-1 840-651 M-1 840-651 KCA 8.2. 004
Phosphonic acid	Invertebrate, acute Daphnia magna	$E_{C_{50}}^{\circ}$ $\sim 25^{\circ}$ mg rm <sup>7</sup> /L (pf $\theta$ ) <sup>a)</sup>	H. J.; 4994; 50 179078-01-1 KAÇA 8.2 <b>A</b> 1/02
	Invertebrate, acute Daphnia magna	EC3 > 400 mg pm/L (mem)	, M.: 2008; M-310078- 01- K©A 8.2, 4.1/03
	Sediment dweller	NGEC $> 199.2 \text{ m}(\text{pm/I}\text{-}\text{shom})^{\text{b}}$	1999; M-171912- 01, 1 100 A 8.2.5.4/01
	Algae Pseudokitchneriella subcapitata (Schmastrum capricornuturi, greeo	$\mathbb{Q}_{\mathbf{rC50}} \xrightarrow{\mathbf{rC50}} \mathbb{Q}_{\mathbf{rC50}} \xrightarrow{\mathbf{rC50}} \xrightarrow{\mathbf{rC50}} \mathbb{Q}_{\mathbf{rC50}} \xrightarrow{\mathbf{rC50}} \xrightarrow{\mathbf{rC50}} \mathbb{Q}_{\mathbf{rC50}} \xrightarrow{\mathbf{rC50}} \xrightarrow{\mathbf{rC50}} \mathbb{Q}_{\mathbf{rC50}} \xrightarrow{\mathbf{rC50}} $	, M. J.; , M.; , D.; 1999; M- 171844-01-1
<b>Bold</b> : onderints 1	algur)		KCA 8.2.6.1/02

Bold: endpoints used in risk assessment a.s. = active substance, pm = pure metabolite mm = mean measured; mm = mominal

- <sup>a)</sup> Values were corrected for a purity of 41% phosphonic acid weight by volume which is equal to 29.7% weight by weight. Test substance potastium salts of phosphonic acid bas a density of 1.38. Therefore, one L of test substance weight by 80 g and contains 410 g phosphonic acid (410/1380 = 0.297) with a weight/weight purity of 29.7%.
   <sup>b)</sup> Values were corrected for a purity of 40.9% phosphonic acid weight by volume which is equal to 29.7%
- b) Values were conserved for a purpy of 40.9% phosphonic acid weight by volume which is equal to 29.7% weight by weight. Test substance potassium sails of phosphonic acid has a density of 1.376. Therefore, one L of test substance weights 1576 g and contains 409 g phosphonic acid (409/1376 = 0.297) with a weight/weight purity of 29.5%.

# Selection of algae and macrophytes endpoints for risk assessment

Processes in ecosystems are dominantly rate driven and therefore, the unit development per time (growth rate) is more suitable to measure effects in algae and macrophytes. Also, growth rates and their inhibition can easily be compared between species, test durations and test conditions, which is not the case for yield or biomass based endpoints. Following current state of science, the test guidelines OECD TG 20F and 221, the EU-Method C3, the EC regulation for Classification and Labeling (EC regulation 1272/2008), the PPR Opinion (EFSA Journal 461, 1-44; 2007) and also the EFSA Aquatic Guidance Document (AGD, 2013, noted by SCFCAH on July 10-11th, 2014), list growth rate as the following to the algae and the *Lemna* growth inhibition test. The previous Guidance Document on Aquatic Toxicology (SANCO/3268/2001 rev. 4) still stated that "As there is no clear ovidence available to indicate which is the most relevant endpoint for the field situation, the lower figure should be used in the risk assessment". As this statement is clearly superseded by recent scientific and regulatory developments toxicity-exposure-ratios in this assessment were based on the  $E_rC_{50}$ , when available.

## **Request from the RMS:**

The preparation seems to be more toxic than fosetyl-Al. The toxicity data of the preparation should also be used in the risk assessment (TER estimation for the preparation based on PECsw estimated for the drift of a single application is required).

## **Response from BCS:**

The RMS is right: the formulated product is more toxic than fosetyl-alumanium (fosetyl-Ål) substance. However, this is not due to the intrinsic toxicity of fosetyl-Al but to that of floopicouse, Ø shown in the table below. L,

Ø,

Ľ

Comparative acute toxicity of fosetyl-Al (a.s.), fluopicolide (a.s.) and the formulation F6sety Fluopicolide WG 71.11 Ì, S 0

Test species	<mark>Endpoint</mark>	Fosetyl-Al (FEA: a.s.)	Fluopicolitie (FLC; a.s.)*	FEA 666.7 + FLC 44.4 WG 71 M	
Fish, acute Oncorhynchus mykiss	LC <sub>50</sub>	> 122 mg a.s./L	0.36 meta.s./L	8.54 mig prof/L (5694 mg0 EA a.s./L; 0 279 mg FLC a.s./L) Categorian Control C	
Invertebrate, acute Daphnia magna	EC <sub>50</sub>	> 100 mg a.s./L	> <u>k</u> 8 mg a.Ω/L	100 mg prod./L (> 60.67 mg FEA a.s./L > 4.44 mg FLC a.s./L)	to"
Non-green algae growth inhibition test <i>Navicula</i> <i>pelliculosa</i> (diatom)	ErC50	18.11 mg a.s./L**	0.069 mg a.s./L	0.91 mg fyod./L (0.607 mg FE4Ca.s./L 4.04400° mg FLC a.s./L 4.04400° mg FLC a.s./L	<mark>.47</mark>

\*Endpoints taken from the EFSA Conclusion on the peer review of the pesticide risk assessment of the active substance fluopicovide (EASA Scientific Beport, 2009; 299, 1-158).

, J.S.; 1988, M-16531-07 (please refer to Document \*\*Recalculated over the first 72 hours from MCA, Section CA & 6.2, KCA 8.26.2/0 dising FoxRat Pro Version 2.10@. Å

When comparisons can be made, it clearl appears that flug colide drives the toxicity of the formulation, and this is supported by Finney's calculations. Therefore, the use of the toxicity data for the formulation is not relevant for the risk assessment of fosetyl-Al, and there is no need to estimate



## Predicted environmental concentrations used in risk assessment

Table 10.2- 2:	Initial max PEC	Csw values – FOCUS Ste	ps 1 and 2	e° o
Compound	FOCUS Scenario	Grapes <sup>B</sup> 3 × 2.0 kg a.s./ha, 10 d int., BBCH 15-81	new PEC <sub>sw</sub> according to RMS request:	
Compound		PECsw, max [µg/L] #	int., BBCH 15481 PECsw.fmx	
Fosetyl-Al	STEP 1 STEP 2 – North <sup>A</sup>	720.1 53.52	720.1 \$3.52	
Phosphonic acid	STEP 2 - South <sup>A</sup> STEP 1 STEP 2 - North <sup>A</sup>	53.52 5 1472.00 12// 12// 12// 12// 12// 12// 12// 12//	296 <u>7</u> .8 7 296 <u>7</u> .8 7 2967.8	
<sup>A</sup> Worst case valu	STEP 2 - South <sup>A</sup> es for single or mult	1902		
<sup>B</sup> Worst case value	es for early applicat	ion in the second		
Table 10.2- 3:	Initial max PEC	sw values - FOCLS Ste		
Compound	FOCUS Scenario	Grapes A 3 × 2.0 kg a s, ha, 10 d iat., BBCH 15-87 Phose sw, max B		
Fosetyl-Al	D6 (ditch, 1st) R1 (pend, 1st) R1 (stream, 1st) R2 (stream, 1st) R3 (stream, 1st) R4 (stream, 1st)	34.280 34.280 34.280 35.100 35.490 228180 228180 35.490 35.490 35.490 35.490 35.490 35.490 35.490 35.490 35.490 35.490 35.490 35.490 35.490 35.490 35.490 35.490 35.490 35.490 35.490 35.490 35.490 35.490 35.490 35.490 35.490 35.490 35.490 35.490 35.490 35.490 35.490 35.490 35.490 35.490 35.490 35.490 35.490 35.490 35.490 35.490 35.490 35.490 35.490 35.490 35.490 35.490 35.490 35.490 35.490 35.490 35.490 35.490 35.490 35.490 35.490 35.490 35.490 35.490 35.490 35.490 35.490 35.490 35.490 35.490 35.490 35.490 35.490 35.490 35.490 35.490 35.490 35.490 35.490 35.490 35.490 35.490 35.490 35.490 35.490 35.490 35.490 35.490 35.490 35.490 35.490 35.490 35.490 35.490 35.490 35.490 35.490 35.490 35.490 35.490 35.490 35.490 35.490 35.490 35.490 35.490 35.490 35.490 35.490 35.490 35.490 35.490 35.490 35.490 35.490 35.490 35.490 35.490 35.490 35.490 35.490 35.490 35.490 35.490 35.490 35.490 35.490 35.490 35.490 35.490 35.490 35.490 35.490 35.490 35.490 35.490 35.490 35.490 35.490 35.490 35.490 35.490 35.490 35.490 35.490 35.490 35.490 35.490 35.490 35.490 35.490 35.490 35.490 35.490 35.490 35.490 35.490 35.490 35.490 35.490 35.490 35.490 35.490 35.490 35.490 35.490 35.490 35.490 35.490 35.490 35.490 35.490 35.490 35.490 35.490 35.490 35.490 35.490 35.490 35.490 35.490 35.490 35.490 35.490 35.490 35.490 35.490 35.490 35.490 35.490 35.490 35.490 35.490 35.490 35.490 35.490 35.490 35.490 35.490 35.490 35.490 35.490 35.490 35.490 35.490 35.490 35.490 35.490 35.490 35.490 35.490 35.490 35.490 35.490 35.490 35.490 35.490 35.490 35.490 35.490 35.490 35.490 35.490 35.490 35.490 35.490 35.490 35.490 35.490 35.490 35.490 35.490 35.490 35.490 35.490 35.490 35.490 35.490 35.490 35.490 35.490 35.490 35.490 35.490 35.490 35.490 35.490 35.490 35.490 35.490 35.490 35.490 35.490 35.490 35.490		
A Worst case for	ate application		, õ "l	
	es for single or mult	iple application ""		
			F	

	Fosetyl-Al PEC <sub>sw</sub> [µg/L]									
Buffer	iffer Nozzle Reduction									
Width & Type	Scenario		0%		50%		75%	~	»90%	ĥ
	D6 (Ditch)	S	20.760 *	S	10.380 *	S	<b>≪5</b> .1890 *	°\$	2,0760 *	3
	R1 (Pond)	S	1.4190 *	S	Ø.7095 *	S	0.3730	₿ŚŚ	<b>20</b> .1742	<i>(</i> )
5m	R1 (Stream)	S	18.290 *	S	<sup>*%9</sup> .1450 *	S	4.5720 *	S.	\$1.82 <b>90</b> *	S
Spray	R2 (Stream)	S	24.590 *	S	12.290 * "	OS	6.1470	SÔ	2.4590 * 🖗	
drift	R3 (Stream)	S	25.860 *	Š	12.930 *Q	S,	∘ 6.46 <b>40</b> *	<sup>S</sup>	2 <b>(5</b> 860 * 🖉	2
	R4 (Stream)	S	18.340 *	ŏŠ	9.1710 * *	S	4.5% 0 * (	ĵУ́S	1.8340	
	D6 (Ditch)	S	7.5180 *	S	。3.7599*	`* <b>\$</b>	1,8800	S s	€ 0.75¶\$*	
10m	R1 (Pond)	S	0.7813	SQ	0.3906 *	₩S	<b>40</b> .1976	S	0.0891	
Spray	R1 (Stream)	S	6.6250*	Š	2.3120	S 🎓	<sup>0</sup> 1.65 <b>60</b> *	~S	<b>0</b> 6625 * •	
drift &	R2 (Stream)	S	8,940,60 *	۶S ر	<b>4</b> .4530	Ş	2.2370 *	Ф́S	<b>Ø</b> .8906 *	
Runoff	R3 (Stream)	S	9.3660	S≈	¥4.6889 *	ŚŚ	<u>,</u> 2Ø¥10 ₹	S.	0.9366 *	
	R4 (Stream)	S	0.6440*	SU	3,3220 * 0	∋ <sup>≫</sup> S	,¥.661 <b>0</b>	Ś	0.6644 *	
	D6 (Ditch)	Sé	4.0850 *	Š	~20420	S_	1.0200*	ĊŠ	0.4085 *	
15m	R1 (Pond)	S,	0.5291 * `^	∕ <sup>∞</sup> S	×0.2646	S S	0,4985	S	0.0654	
Spray	R1 (Stream)	~Sj	a.5990	S	1.8000 *	ŐŠ	<b>6 8998</b>	R°∕≈	0.3854	
drift &	R2 (Stream)	S .	≪J4.839@*	S	2.@200 *	S 🕈	Ô1.21 <b>0</b> ₽	۷S	0.4839 *	
Runoff	R3 (Stream)	S	× 5.0890 *	"S	2/5440	Sò	1.2720 *	ŎŠ	0.5089 *	
	R4 (Stream)	S.	3.6100 *	S	₯1.8050 <sup>**</sup>	Ś	0.9024 *	S	0.3610 *	
	D6 (Ditch)	$\mathbb{O}_{S}$	2.6370	S	1.3180 * *	Š	~@.6593	S	0.2637 *	
20m	R1 (Pond)	S,	0.393	Ś	0.1965 *	S	∞0.09 <b>\$</b> \$	S	0.0444	
Spray	R1 (Stream)	S S	2.3230 *	ÕŠ	°√.1620	S	0.5808 *	S	0.2323 *	
drift &	R2 (Stream)	~	3@240*	Š.	1.5620*	©"	0.5/809 *	S	0.3124 *	
Runoff	R3 (Stream)	Ø\$	₹.2850 *	.80	1.6.20 *	S S	@.8212 *	S	0.3285 *	
	R4 (Stream)	S	2.3300*	٦¥ ٌ	137650 × @	S,	<b>∲</b> 0.5825 *	S	0.2330 *	

Table 10.2- 4:	Summary of FOCUS Step 4 PEC <sub>sw</sub> values of fosetyl-Al (3×2.0 kg fosetyl-Al/ha, 10d
	int.). Entries marked with * result from single applications.



## **Risk assessment for aquatic organisms**

## ACUTE RISK ASSESSMENT FOR AQUATIC ORGANISMS

ACUTE RISK A	ASSESSMENT FOR A	QUATIC ORGANISMS			
Table 10.2- 5:	TER <sub>A</sub> calculations base	ed on FOCUS Step 2	ŝ	~	
Compound	Species	Endpoint [µg/L]	PECsw,max [µg/L]	TERA	Trigger
Grapes			No.		Q° 45°
Easatul Al	Fish, acute	LC <sub>50</sub> (360000)	den 50	> 1,12/1	, <sup>A</sup>
rosetyi-Ai	Invertebrate, acute	$EC_{50}$ $> 100000$	93.32	>@/869	
Dhamhania aaid	Fish, acute	$LC_{50}$ $> 400000$	107.2	© 2028♀	
Phosphonic acid	Invertebrate, acute	$EC_{50}$ > 400000		> 2028	

## As requested by the RMS France, new PECsw calculations were performed using the the parameters as provided by ANSES. As the PEC<sub>sw</sub> values for forsety, AI did not change due to the new calculations the risk assessment for fosetyl-Al remains unchanged in the following an updated risk assessment is presented for the metabolite phosphonic acid, based on new maximum FOCU Step 2 PEC values for grapes.

Table 10.2- 5a:	TERA calculations based on FOCUS Step 2	
Compound	Species Species Trigger	
Grapes		
Phosphonic acid	Fish, acute $\checkmark$ $\bigcirc$ $\bigcirc$ $\checkmark$ $\sim$ $\sim$ $\sim$ $\sim$ $\sim$ $\sim$ </th <th></th>	

And a contraction of the second of the secon

## CHRONIC RISK ASSESSMENT FOR AQUATIC ORGANISMS

		1			
Compound	Species	Endpoint [µg/L]	PEC <sub>sw.max</sub>	TER <sub>LT</sub>	<b>Brigger</b>
Grapes			Ĩ		
	Fish, chronic	NOEC 213	- A	4.0	
F	Invertebrate, chronic	NOEC 💦 17000		318	
rosetyi-Ai	Green algae, chronic	ErC <sub>50</sub> <b>%</b> 9540		Ĵ <b>9</b> 78 🌋	
	Aquatic plants, chronic	ErC <sub>50</sub> 166600		~3113.Q	
Dhaanhania aaid	Sediment dweller, chronic	NOEC > 100200		> 508	C Q
Phosphonic acid	Green algae, chronic	ErC 29400		×1 <sup>4</sup> 9 ×	
Bold values do not	pass the risk assessment	× 8 3			- K

#### Table 10.2- 6: **TERLT** calculations based on FOCUS Step 2

As requested by the RMS France, new PEC<sub>sw</sub> calculations were performed using the input parameters as provided by ANSES. As the PEC<sub>sw</sub> values for fosely I-Al did not change due to the new calcolations the risk assessment for fosetyl-Al remains unchanged. In the following an updated risk assessment is presented for the metabolite phosphonic acid, based on new maximum FOCUS Step 2 PECsw values for grapes. , , , (N n

			$\gamma$	"0"	<u> </u>		C		
Table 10.2- 6a:	TERLT C	afeŭlations	s based on	FQCUS	Step 2 🔏		Ô		
		<u> </u>			<u>0'</u>		- North	<u> </u>	
Compound	Species			С <mark>Ел</mark> ф С	point /LD <sup>&gt;</sup>	<mark>РЕС</mark> А́́РЕС		STERLT	Trigger
Grapes	<u>S</u>		W		Ú <sup>r</sup> C	)	4		
Phosphonic acid	Sedimen	t dweller, c	hronic N	<mark>OEC</mark> ~	> 10920			<u>&gt; 343</u>	10
	Green alg	gae, chron	c 🏻	rC50	ِ <sup>مَ</sup> 2ُ <mark>2940</mark>		7	<mark>101</mark>	10
ð		0 0	Ŵ.			, O			
Ô	·U ···	Ĩ, Ô	A. 2	I P	, 	s de la companya de l			

## Request from the RMS

The chronic risk assessmen for Chirononius riparius (phosphonic acid) should be done with the toxicity endpoint and the RECsed expressed in mg a.s.4kg sediment as phosphonic acid has a potential of accumulation in the sediment

## **Response from BCS**

The chronic toxicity endpoint of phosphonic acid to the sediment dweller Chironomus riparius is My1999, M-171912-01-1 (please refer to Document MCA, derived from the study by Section \$.2.5.4, KCÅ \$.2.5.4/01, which provided a NOEC > 100.2 mg/L. In this study, phosphonic acid concentrations were measured only in the overlying water after 1 hour, 7 days and 21 days. The analytical results (see Table 2 in the study report) show that the recovery of phosphonic acid was close to 100%, without decrease with time, for the three highest concentrations tested (*i.e.*, 25, 50.1 and 100.2 mg/L). This indicates that, over the experimental period, phosphonic acid remained in the water phase, and and not accumulate in the sediment. Results were therefore expressed with respect to the matrix where physphonic acid was present (*i.e.*, the overlying water), thus as mg/L.

.

## **Bayer – Crop Science Division**

## **Document MCP – Section 10: Ecotoxicological studies** Fosetyl-aluminium + Fluopicolide WG 71.11

All TER values for the uses in grapes meet the trigger value based on FOCUS Step 2 PEC<sub>sw</sub> values, except for the long-term exposure to fish. Therefore TER calculations for fish based on FOCUS Step 3 values are presented below.

Table 10.2- 7:	<b>Refined TER</b>	calculations f	for fosetyl-Al	based on	FOCUS Step.3	
	Iterinea I Lite	carculations	IOI IOSCUJI IM	basea on		

			-	- Oř	
Compound	Species	Endpoint [µg/L]	FOCUS scenario	PECsw,npx [µg/L]	TER Frigger
Grapes			۵.	No.	
			D6 (ditch)	<b>2</b> 4.33	<u>~6.2</u> ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~
			R1 (pond, 1st)	0 1.222	
Fosetvl-A1	Fish,	NOEC 213	R1 (stream, 1st)	25,10	
1 0500 91 711	chronic	1010 215	RD (stream, 1st)	33.75 °	06.3 0
			K3 (stream, 1st)	35,49	<u>6.9</u> ()
		(	C R4 (Stream, 1st)	<b>7 250</b> 18 rr	8.5
Rold values d	a not nass the i	rick accessment		<u> </u>	0 40 4

Bold values do not p

The FOCUS pond scenario meets the required rigge Nevertheless, further refusement using BCUS Step 4 values is necessary for all stream and ditch scenarios and is presented below. Å

Õ

Table 10.2- 8:	Refined TER ca	culations	forfosetxPA	l based	on FOC	CUSSStep A	Gincluding	mitigation
	measures 🤍		TO AT	s¢″	Q	or is		0

Species	Endpoint [µg/L]	Mitigation	FOCIS scepario	PEČ <sub>w,max</sub>	a contraction of the second se	Trigger
Grapes					<u>B</u>	
			D6 (ditch)	0 7.518 Å	₹ 28.3	
<b>D</b> ' 1		10Kun ~	R1 (stream)	6.625	32.2	
Fish, chronic	SOEC 213	vçgetated >>	R2 (stream)	8.906	23.9	10
emonie (		boffer strip	R& (stream)		22.7	
, Q		\$ A	R4 (stream)	0.644	32.1	
je G			D6 (Gitch)	10.38	20.5	
		5 m non-spray	R1 (stream)	9.145	23.3	
Fish, chronic	NOEC 213	40 after zone +	R2 (stream) 嶡	12.29	17.3	10
chronic		nozzles >>	R3 (stream)	12.93	16.5	
~			R4 (stream)	9.171	23.2	
	Ň Š		à ũ	•		•

According to the presented risk assessment based on FOCUS Step 4 calculations, the risk to aquatic organisms from the are of the product in grapes is unlikely if

- 5 m non-spray buffer zone and 50% draft reduction, or

- 5 m non-spray buffer zoge and 50% droft reduct - 10 m vegetated buffer strip are maintained during application of the product.

#### **CP 10.2.1** Acute toxicity to fish, aquatic invertebrates, or effects on aquatic algae and macrophytes

Formulation studies on aquatic organisms were carried out with Fosetyl-aluminium + Fluopicolide WG 71.11 (FEA + FLC WG 71.11 = EXP 11074B) and reviewed by the BMS for the manex I inclusion of fluopicolide under Directive 91/414/EEC. The studies have been considered acceptable (EFSA Scientific Report 299 (2009)). Summaries of these studies are given below.

		G	Å	s s	
Report:	KCP 10.2.1/01	,; 2003; M <b>-32</b> 7282	-01-1		~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~
Title:	AE F05361606 WG71	A1: Acute(immobili	sation dest with da	phoids (Daphni	a margna), O
	under static conditions	. AU			ê Î
Report No.:	C039855				
Document No.:	M-227282-01-1				~~~~
Guideline(s):	OECD: 202-1 (1984)	K O° Š			4 J <sup>e</sup>
Guideline deviation(s):	not specified	O' Q' X		8 s. 2	1
GLP/GEP:	yes	m . C	$Q^{\circ} \sim Q^{\circ} \sim$	Ŭ Û	
	- -		, A Ô'		A.

## **Objective:**

The purpose of this study was to estimate the acute toxicity (EC ) of the test nem to Daphnia magna under static test conditions. The test speces were exposed for 48 hours to a series of geometrical concentrations of the test item.

## **Material and Methods:**

The toxicity of FEA + FLC WG 71.11, AE F05361606 WG71 At batch OP230059, a light beige granular, containing 671 g fosetyl-Al/kg and 45.1 g AE C638206/kg)) to the water flea, Daphnia magna, was determined under static conditions over an exposure period of 48 hours.

Five daphnids per replicate, 4 replicates per concentrations, were exposed to a dilution water control and nominal test concentrations of FEA, FLC WG 2611 equal to 6.25, 12,5, 25, 50 and 100 mg/L for 48 hours.

The test solutions were sampled and analyzed at the beginning and the end of the test period. Immobilization and adverse reactions were recorded at 24 and 48 hours after the start of exposure.

## **Findings**

Test conditions during the exposure period were?

69 – 8.3/mg/I Dissolved oxygen:

pH:

Franged from 6.5 Temperature: 🕡 20-020.8 %

The recoveries of AE CO8206 concentrations' (conspared to nominal values) from the freshly prepared and aged test solutions ranged from 84,5% to 110%, except for the 100 mg FEA + FLC WG 71. LIL treatment level where 77.4% recovery was found at hour 0. The 48 hour analysis confirmed correct desage (110%), There was no AE C638206 residue found in the dilution water or control samples greater that the hinit of Quantification. Given that the toxicity of the product cannot be attributed to any one of the active ingredients but to the product formulation as a whole, EC<sub>50</sub> values and biological data are based on normal concentrations.

Undissolved product/was observed at the pest levels of 25, 50 and 100 mg/L.

At hour 48, improbilization 0, 5, 0, 20, 26 and 5% of the exposed daphnids was observed in the control and concentrations of 6.25, 12.5, 25, 50 and 100 mg product/L. Lethargic daphnids were observed at the 25,00 and 100 mg/L treatment levels.

O

## Document MCP - Section 10: Ecotoxicological studies Fosetyl-aluminium + Fluopicolide WG 71.11

Cumulative immobilization data are shown in the table below.

Nominal concentration	Definitive test Cumulative immobilized Daphnids (initial population = 40 per replicate*)									
(mg/L)	24 ho	ours	48 hours							
	Total	%	Total	%						
Control	0	0%	0	0%						
6.25	0	0%	1	5%						
12.5	0	0%	0	<b>1</b>						
25.0	0	0%	4	20%						
50*	0	0%	5	<b>26%</b>						
100	0	0%	1	5%						

\*only 19 daphnids were exposed to the level of 50 mgg

## **Conclusions:**

Under the conditions of the test and based on nominal concentrations, the acute toxicity of FEA WG 71.11 to daphnids (Daphnia magna) in a static test system is defined as follows;

 $\bigcirc$ 

	O in			<u> </u>		
48-hour	€C <sub>50</sub>		<b>&gt;t00</b> mg/	Ç Y	, S	Û.
NOEC448	hours)	N S	12.5 mg/l		S.	S L
Q	à à	ð	Š	0	۵Ő	Õ 🕅
	V V	Ş	, Ŭ	Ô	8	2 🔬

## **Report:**

E; 2003; M-227285-04-1 KCP 10.2.1/02 Ô Alga, growth inhibition test with Navicula pelliculosa AE \$05366606 WG71 A1 Title: Report No .: C039858 Document No .: M-2272-85-01-Guideline(s): )ECA) 201 🕊 Guideline deviation not specified **GLP/GEP:** 

## **Objective:**

The purpose of this study was to estimate the toxicity of the test item on the freshwater diatom Navicula pelliculosa. The test species was exposed to a series of concentrations in order to determine the NOEC as well as the EC<sub>5</sub> with corresponding 03% confidence intervals.

2

## Material and Methods:

The effect of FEA + DEC WG 71 DI (AE F0536)606 WG71 A1 (batch OP230059, a light beige granular, containing 971 g tosetyl Al/kg and 451 g fluopicolide/kg)) on growth of the freshwater diatom, Navicula pelliculosa, was determined under static conditions over an exposure period of 72 hours.

Cultures of alga were exposed to a dilution water control and to nominal test concentrations of the test formulated product (S replicates for the control, S replications of each product concentration) equal to 0.1, 0.32, 1.0, 3.2 and 10 mg/L

Measurements of culture density we wade at test initiation (0 hours), at 24 and 48 hours and at test termination (72/hours),

The test solutions were sampled and analyzed at the beginning and the end of the test period.

## Findings

Test conditions during the exposure period were:

pH <sub>2</sub> <sup>(2)</sup> (2)	102	<b>Z7</b> 3 – 8.45
Temperature:		21.3 − 24.0 °C
Light intensity		7700 – 8200 Lux

0

## Document MCP – Section 10: Ecotoxicological studies Fosetyl-aluminium + Fluopicolide WG 71.11

The analysis of the 0 hour test preparations for AE C638206 showed the measured concentrations to range between 84.6% and 103% of the nominal test concentrations. Analysis of the test solutions at 72 hours showed the measured concentrations of AE C638206 to range from 96.1 to 109% nominal. There was no AE C638206 residue found in the dilution water or control samples greater that the limit of quantification. Given that the toxicity of the product cannot be attributed to any one of the active ingredients but to the product formulation as a whole,  $EC_{50}$  values and biological data are based on nominal concentrations.

Within the 72 hour exposure period, the cell density in the control cultures increased by a factor of 91.9 and thus, more than the minimum factor of 16 as prescribed in the Guidelines (DECD 1984 CC 1992), which renders this test valid.

The mean algal cell densities over the exposure period were as follows:

			<u>v</u> Q	
Nominal		Definițive test		
concentrations	Mean c	ct) densities* (x 104c	ells/ml)	°∼y] ∜″
(mg/L)	24 hours 🚽	48 hours	0 72 <b>p</b> rour	sc A
0 (control)	346 °	€ 6.4°€	<u>91.9</u>	<u>o, °a, °</u> a
0.1	2.4	~~ <b>X</b>		
0.32	v <sup>™</sup> 2.3 <sub>6</sub> °∀´	Ø <u>\$</u> 8.2 0 <sup>°</sup>	15.5	
1.0		~~ 2.8	Ç 27.8	Q A
3.2	S 604 V	0,8%	<u>ک</u> ہ 0.8	ž d
10	🖗 🖓			Ĩ~≯
Ŵ				

The following table shows the inhibition of growth rate and biomass:

		à a			, ,
Nominal concentration	Area und	er čurve at 72	h % in this is high with the second	Growth rate	% inhibition
(mg/L) 🔬	¶10 <sup>4</sup> cel	s x days/mL)		(0 - 72∧b)	
0 (control)		53 L S		0 1.49	0
0.1		49,2 ~	<u></u>	<b>2</b> /46	2.1
0.320	l l l	,42.9* 🕎 💦	20.0	1.41	4.8
		10.8	<sup>7</sup> 79.8	0.95*	36.4
3.2 0		-0.8*	S 101.6 🔹	-0.08*	105.1
10				NA	NA

\* Statistically significant difference compared to the control

## **Conclusions:**

Under the conditions of the test and based on nominal concentrations, the toxicity of FEA + FLC WG 71.11 to the frestovater diatom (*Navicula pelleculosa*) is defined as follows:

		$\gamma$ $\rho$	Ó Ó	
A	Ex	posure interva		72 hours
		E <sub>b</sub> C <sub>50</sub> (mg/L)	0.58	(NOEC = 0.1)
KI Å	S'A	Er <b>Çş7 (mg/F</b> Y	0.91	(NOEC = 0.32)
s s	Â.	N Q	Å.	
$q_{1}$	4		/	
Å.	A` S			
	4' <u>j</u>			
	ð á	) Y Y		
19 D	1 ~			
4. 2 '0	ŝ			
× "Ô×				
$\cup$				

## **Request from the RMS:**

Further explanations are required to justify that the study of the effects of the preparation on *Navicula* pelliculosa ( ; 2003; M-227285-01-1) are still reliable for the risk assessment as the coefficient of variation is estimated to be 71% for the control.

## **Response from BCS:**

The study does not meet the validity criteria according to the OECD TG 201. BCS's calculations resulted in the value of 71.2% for the mean coefficient of variation for section-by-section specific growth rates of controls. However, this study was provided because Navicula pelliculosa has been identified as the prost sensitive taxa to the formulation. This is in line with the data requirement of EFSA Aquatic Guidance Document for formulated products (EFSA Journal 2013; 11(7):3290, p. 82). In Cact, this stud is not relevant for the risk assessment of fosetyl-Al, but allows comparison between the endpoints of each active substance (fosetyl-Al and fluopicolide) and hose of the formulated product.

Report:	KCP 10.2.1/03 ,; 2003; M-227291-69-1
Title:	AE F05361606 WO71 AA: Alga, growth phibitign test with Pseudokirchneriella
	subcapitata (syn Selen astrum capricom tum)
Report No.:	C039864 & & & & & & & & & & & & & & & & & & &
Document No.:	M-227291-00-1 & 'N W N A A A
Guideline(s):	OECD: 201% 1984) & & & & O & & &
Guideline deviation(s):	not specified with the second se
GLP/GEP:	yes $\mathcal{N}$ $\mathcal{N}$ $\mathcal{N}$ $\mathcal{N}$ $\mathcal{N}$ $\mathcal{N}$ $\mathcal{N}$ $\mathcal{N}$

×

## **Objective:**

The purpose of this study was to estimate the toxicity of the test item on the Freshwater green alga Pseudokirchneriella subcapitata, previously calles Selenastrum capricornutum. The test species was exposed to a series of concentrations in order to determine the NOEC as well as the  $EC_{50}$  with corresponding 95% confidence intervals.

Material and Methods: The effect of FEA + FLC WG 71.114 (AE 505361606 WG 71 AF (batch OP230059, a light beige granular, containing 67) g fosetyl-Alkg and 45.1 g fluoricolide/kg)) on growth of the freshwater green alsa, Pseudokir Chneric du subçapitata, (syn Selenastrum capricornutum), was determined under static conditions over an exposure period of 72 hours.

Cultures of alga were exposed to a dilution water control and to nominal test concentrations of the test formulated product (12 ceplicates for the control, 3 replications of each product concentration) equal to 1.0, 2.1, 4.7, 0, 22 and 50 mg/L

Measurements of culture density were pade at test institution (0 hours), at 24 and 48 hours and at test termination (72 hours).

The test solutions were sampled and analyzed at the beginning and the end of the test period. 21

## Findings:

i emperature: Light intensity  $25.7 - 25.2 \circ C \circ$ Test conditions during the exposure period overe:

The analysis of the 0 hour test preparations for AE C638206 showed the measured concentrations to range between 99.8% and 121% of the nominal test concentrations. Analysis of the test solutions at 72 hours showed the measured concentrations of AE C638206 to range from 98.1 to 123% nominal. There was no AE C638206 residue found in the dilution water or control samples greater that the finit of quantification. Given that the toxicity of the product cannot be attributed to any one of the active ingredients but to the product formulation as a whole,  $EC_{50}$  values and biological data are based on nominal concentrations.

Due to a high variability in the results of the growth of the control vessels, the controls of a second test, which had run in parallel to this test and under the same environmental conditions are included.

Within the 72 hour exposure period, the cell density in the control cultures increased by a factor of 95.6 and thus, more than the minimum factor of 16 as prescribed for the Guidelines (OECD 1984, EC 1992), which renders this test valid.

The mean algal cell densities over the exposure period were as follows

Nominal	Definitive test of of a A	
concentrations	Mean celladensities* (x 107 cells/ml) 🖉 🍳 🖉	Ő
(mg/L)	24 hours 🗸 48 hours 🖉 💭 72 hours 🗸 🕺 🕺	» 1
0 (control)	6 2 4 25.5 4 D 435.6 F	
1.0	€ 93.4 V 215 V 0 215 V 0 215 V 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	
2.1	×2.3 0° 17.2 × 67.3 5° 4	
4.7		
10		
22		
50	0.4 × 0.2 × 0.5	
0 N		

The following table shows the mhibition of growth rate and biomass:

Nominal concentration	Area under curve at 72 ha	% inhibition	Growth rate	% inhibition
(mg/L)	(10 <sup>4</sup> cells x days/mL)		( <b>0</b> - 72 h)	
0 (control)	79.8 0 5	> 0 5	≈ 1.48	0
1.0 8	K 71.8 S	\$ 10 J	Ø 1.50	-1.1
<u>_</u> 2.1 <u>k</u>		<sup>ଙ</sup> ୪4.୨ ଼ି	1.39	6.2
4.7 Q	\$7.4* \$	<b>40.6</b>	1.38	6.6
10 %	13.3 × ×	83.3	1.00*	32.7
22 🎲		96.7	0.59*	60.3
50 6 4	×44.7* ×	<b>Q12</b> .1	-0.26*	117.6

\*Statistically significant difference compared of the control

## Conclusions:

Under the conditions of the test and based on nominal concentrations, the toxicity of FEA+FLC WG 71.11 to the freshwater green alga (*Pseudokirchneriella subcapitata*) is defined as follows:



## **Request from the RMS:**

## **Response from BCS:**

The study failed to meet the criterion related to the coefficient of variation for section-by-section specific growth rates of controls. BCS's calculations result in a value of 59.7%. However, a close look to the data clearly shows that this is due to the outlier value of -0.405, resulting in a CV of H9.6% for the growth rate in one replicate between 24 and 48 hours. This replicate also had the highest growth of rate over the 0 to 24 hours period

the gr	owth	rate in	1 one 24 ho	replic	cate b	<mark>etwee</mark>	n 24	and 4	8 høu	r <mark>s. Thi</mark>	s reph	cate al	so had	the	highest	growth
rate o			<u>2 7 110</u>	uis pr					Ä	~	"Q"	<i>Q</i> °	Â,	. 6	, C	
	Growth	Rates		0-7	72h			- R	)_		y .		* >. *	Ň		~
0-24h	24-48h	48-72h	mean	SD	% CV	% CV		4.	Ĉ'n	~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~	í K		0° č	)°	°	K) <sup>V</sup>
1,872	1,255	1,466	1,531	0,313	20,5	20,5		õ	a,	K)	S	. 🕷	S	,	<i>"</i> 4	
1,841	1,737	1,210	1,596	0,338	21,2	21,2		0	K)	Ċ.	Ø	8	102	Å		¢ ď.°
1,758	1,943	1,076	1,592	0,457	28,7	28,7	A	. (	) )	Ø	R.	a	$\mathcal{O}$	O		a,
1,194	2,370	1,132	1,565	0,698	44,6	44,6	"S				, E	÷. (	0 4	2. 1		<i>A</i>
2,380	1,346	0,988	1,571	0,722	46,0	46,0	$\sim$	°≂,∛	@) <sup>¥</sup>	Ľ	<u> </u>	, °		ĩ	2	S.
1,988	1,773	1,134	1,632	0,444	27,2	21,2		, , <sup>1</sup>	K)	~	×,	N.	Ű	Å	Ç (	O
2,890	-0,405	1,702	1,396	1,669	119,6	LO <sup>Y</sup>	K K	, s	Q	Ş	1 m	~	, C	Ŵ	i Ča	
1,705	0,693	1,504	1,301	0,536	41,2	AT,2	- Or	~	1°		∀ "(	×	Ň	S	z,	
1,099	1,969	1,545	1,538	0,435	28,3	<u>28,3</u>	Ro.	Ĉo	ð	Ñ	ř.Č	) )			°~	
1,194	2,000	1,709	1,529	0,291	19,0	40.6		an a star	£		Å	ð	ÍÓ	» ()	, <sup>v</sup>	
1 030	2,000	2 019	1,449	0,580	400/6	30 8	-	0	O <sup>r</sup>	L	a St	~ <sup>©</sup>	Ŭ,	~	¥	
1,000	1,110	mean	1,500	0.587	19 7	1.32 4	\$\$	۶ ۱		V ,	£,	, Q	<i>R</i> o	Q		
		moun	1,007	0,001	00,1	<b>V</b> .,•		a	' C	ř	¥ ~	Y 7		Ô		
				S Q	(	)	$\sim$	<i>C</i>	ſ		4	<sup>×</sup> ~C	1 K	ĵ 🦷		
So, ol	ovious	ly, so	methi	ngW	ent_w	rong v	∛ith t	his ve	ryrep	licate.	When	this	utlicki	s ren	noved f	rom the
datase	et, the	mean	<mark>⊢C</mark> V <sup>≰</sup>	value	Grop	s to 3	32.4%	. In	Dis pa	rticula	r case.	(becau	ise the	nun	nber of	control
ronlia	otos is	high	(12)	wo h		Attact 1	allo	inco		for ron	lineta	Q <sup>e</sup> o ro	Anah	lo or	tion D	y doing

So, obviously, something went wrong with this very replicate. When this outlief is removed from the dataset, the mean CV value drops to 32.4%. In this particular case, because the number of control replicates is high (12), we believe that removing the outlier replicate is a reasonable option. By doing so, the study fulfills the validity criteria according to the OEC D TC 201 (CoxRat re-calculated control values for the biomass, increase, coefficient of variation of average specific growth rates in replicates over 72 hours, and coefficient of variation for section-to-section specific growth rates over 72 hours and 32.4%.

Endpoints were recalculated accordingly, delivering the following values: 72-h  $E_bC_{50} = 5.07 \text{ mg/L}$ ; 72-h  $E_bC_{50} = 1.36 \text{ mg/L}$ 72-h  $E_rC_{50} = 15.2 \text{ mg/L}$ ; 72-h  $E_bC_{10} = 5.91 \text{ mg/L}$ 

## **Bayer – Crop Science Division**

## Document MCP - Section 10: Ecotoxicological studies Fosetyl-aluminium + Fluopicolide WG 71.11

Report:	KCP 10.2.1/04 L; 2003; M-225113	8-01-1	Ora e a riberra e berra
l itie:	AE F05361606 WG/1 A1: Acute toxicity t mykiss) under static conditions	test with rainbow trout (	Oncornynchus
			n 🔊
Report No.:	C038495		
Document No.:	M-225113-01-1		N W
Guideline(s):	OECD: 203, (1992)	٦¢	
Guideline deviation(s):	not specified	Ş	
GLP/GEP:	ves	102	
	•		

## **Objective:**

The purpose of this study was to determine the acute to acity ( $LC_{50}$ ) of AE F0536 rainbow trout (Oncorhynchus mykiss) under static conditions.

## Material and Methods:

The toxicity of FEA + FLC WG 71.11 (AE F03361606 WG71 Af Abatch OP230059, Wight beige granular, containing 671 g fosetyl-Al/kg and 45,9 g DE C638206/kg)) to the rainbow trout, Oncorhynchus mykiss, was determined under static conditions over an exposure period of 96 hours. Juvenile trouts had a weight ranging from 077 to 2.12 g and a length ranging from 4.4 to 66 cm. 2 A total of 60 fish (10 fish per treatment, 5 concentrations 4 1 negative control), were exposed to FEA+FLC WG 71.11 nominal test concentrations of 0 (dilution water control) @.625, 9.25, 250, 5.0 and 10 mg/L. Test concentrations were not renewed and analytical verifications were performed at g the exposure period were? Test solutions were derated in order to avoid oxygen depletion below 80% of the an saturation value. 7.07 - 7.72 pH units 13.6 - 14.3 °C  $380 - 395 \mu$ 8/cm 152 - 156 mg CaCO<sub>3</sub>/L 0 and 96 hours for concentrations of AE C638206. No solvent was used and fish wore not ded during the test.

## Findings:

Test conditions during the exposure period were Dissolved oxygen:

pH:

Temperature: Conductivity: Hardness:

The recoveries of AFC638206 concentrations (compared to nominal values) from the freshly prepared and aged test solutions ranged from 293 to 109%, except for the 1.25 mg FEA + FLC WG 71.11/L treatment level where 72.2% recovery was found at hour 0. The 96 hour analysis verified correct dosage (108%), There was no AE (1038206 residue found in the dilution water or control samples greater that the limb of quantification Given that the toxicity of the product cannot be attributed to my one of the active ingredients but to the product formulation as a whole, LC50 values and biological data are based on nominal concentrations.

The quality criteria for the validity of the test were fulfilled since no mortality was observed in the control group, the oxygen concentration was higher than 60% of the air-saturation value and the pH

varied by not more than 1 wit. During the 96 hour observed among mortality nor sublethal effects were observed among trout exposed to the test solutions with 0.625, 1.25, 2.50 and 5.0 mg product/L. After 24, 48, 72 and 96 hours of posure 10, 40 and 70% mortality was observed in the test solution with 10 mg

FEA + FLCWG 7.11/L respectively.

## **Bayer – Crop Science Division**

## **Document MCP – Section 10: Ecotoxicological studies** Fosetyl-aluminium + Fluopicolide WG 71.11

	Definitive test – FEA + FLC WG 71.11				
Nominal	Cumulative mortality (%)				
Concentrations (mg/L)	0-6-hour	24-hour	48-hour	72-hour	96-hour
(Control) < LOQ	0	0	0	0	0 6 0
0.625	0	0	0	<u> </u>	<u>í í í í í í í í í í í í í í í í í í í </u>
1.25	0	0	0	- A	× 0
2.50	0	0	0	A 0	
5.0	0	0	0	× 0	
10.0	0	10	40	40	Y 30 0

LOQ= Limit of Quantification (0.006 mg AE

Ô

O

## **Conclusion:**

Under the conditions of the test and based on non-mal concentrations, the acute toxic Py of P = A + PLWG 71.11 to the rainbow trout, Oncorhynchus mykiss in a staffe test system Is defined as follows 96-hour LC<sub>50</sub>: 8.5 mg/L

NOEC (96 hour): 5.0 mg/L

## **Request from the RMS:**

For the study of the acute effects of the proparation to fish ( 2003; M-225 13-01, further explanations on the reliability of the estimated LC5 care considered necessary indeed, the LC50 is based on the 2 highest concentrations, the producing 0% mortality and the other 0%.

1 P

## **Response from BCS:**

The study did not provide an ideal concentration-response relationship. However, if the two highest concentrations revealed 0 and 70% lethality it is scientifically justified to assume that the  $LC_{50}$  lies between these two concentrations. Thus, based on the salculation method used in the study, an  $LC_{50}$ value of 8.5 mg/L was derived. NO NO

Another option would be to calculate the geometric noran concentration using the two highest concentrations On this case, the geomean LO50 value is 707 mg/ Ľ

## CP 10.20

## Additional long-term and thronic toxicity studies on fish, aquatic Invertebrates and sediment dwelling organisms

No new studies were necessar based on the current data requirements. Please refer to Document MCA, Section 8.2.

## Further tosting on aquatic organisms CP 10.2,3

Cr IV.2.6.\* Further testing on aquatic organisms No studies were necessary based on the current data requirements. Please refer to Document MCA, Section 8.2.

#### **CP 10.3** Effects on arthropods

#### **CP 10.3.1 Effects on bees**

The risk assessment has been performed according to the existing guidance in force at the time of the preparation and submission of this dossier namely the EU Guidance Document on Terrespan Ecotoxicology (SANCO/ 10329/2002 rev 2) and EPPO Standard PP 3/10<sup>(4)</sup>(3) Environmental Risk Assessment Scheme for Plant Protection Products - Chapter 10: honey bees

Commission Regulations (EU) 283/2013 and 284/2013 require, where bees are likely to be expose testing by both acute (oral and contact) and chronic toxicity, including sub-testial effects to be conducted. Consequently in addition to the standard oxicity studies performed with adult beec OE 213 and 214) the following additional studies are also provided:

- Chronic 10 day toxicity to adult bees under laboratory conditions,
- Acute contact toxicity to bumble bees under laboratory conditions,
- A colony feeding study following Oomen et al. 1992 (using a realistic worse case spray solution concentration and covering exposure for effects on broad (eggs, young and old larvae) and their development, nurse bee on-going behaviour in brood care and colony strengfu),
- Semi-field brood feeding studies following OECD Guidance Document No. 35 (using a more realistic spray scenario onto Rowering *Phacelia* at the maximum application rate for the approval renewal of fosetyl and covering exposure for effects on brood (eggs) and their development and colony parameters).

Details of the bee testing with fosetyl-Al and ecotoxic@logical endpoints are presented in Document MCA, Section 8.3.1, Document MCP, Section 10.3 t, as well as within the existing EFSA Scientific Report (2005) 54, 1-79, Õ

 $\bigcirc$ 

Test substance 🖉	Test species/study	Endpoint S	Reference
	🖉 design 🖉		
	Honey bee, 4867	LD <sub>20</sub> - oral > 169 rg prod./bee	;; 2002; M-213718-01- 1 KCP 10.3.1.1.1/01
FEA + FLC WG 71.11 @	Money bee, 4	$LD_{50}$ = contact $P$ > 70 μg prod./bee	,; 2002; M-213109-01- 1 KCP 10.3.1.1.2/01
L L L L L L L L L L L L L L L L L L L	CHoney Dee, 48 h	LD <sub>50</sub> – oral > 219 μg prod./bee LD <sub>50</sub> – contact > 200 μg prod./bee	KCP 10.3.1.1.1/02 E; 2015; M-528130-01-1 KCP 10.3.1.1.1/02 KCP 10.3.1.1.2/02
prod.: product		- A	
Bold: endpoint use	d for risk assessment	$Q_{j}^{*}$	

Honey bee toxicity data generated with FEAs+ FLC WG 71.11 Table 10.3.1-1:

Table 10.3.1- 2:	Honey bee toxicity data generated with fosetyl-Al, phosphonic acid and Fosetyl-Al
	WG 80

Test substance	Test species/ study type	Endpoint	References
	Honey bee, 48 h	LD <sub>50</sub> – oral > 140 $\mu$ g a.s./bee LD <sub>50</sub> – contact > 100 $\mu$ g a.s./bee	H.; 1997; M-184568 01-1 KCA8.3.1 4,1/01 KEA8.3 21,2/0107
Fosetyl-Al	Honey bee, 48 h	$LD_{50} - \text{ or } 462 \ \mu\text{g a.s. free}^{\text{a}}$ $LD_{50} - \text{ control} > 1000 \ \mu\text{g a.s./be}$	S S S S S S S S
	Honey bee, 48 h	AD <sub>50</sub> – oral ~ 108.5 µg a.s./bee LD <sub>50</sub> – contact ~ 100 µg a.s./bee	\$.; 2062; M-440802- 01-1 KCA & 3.1.1.1.104 KCA & 3.1.1.1.204
	Honey bee, 48 h	$\mathcal{O}$ LD <sub>50</sub> – oral $\sim$ 212 $\mathcal{O}$ p.m. $\mathcal{O}$ e	S.; 2000; -238701-01-1 KCA 8.3.1.1.1/03
Phosphonic acid	Honey bee,	$1 = \frac{1}{2} = $	, S. J.; , J. B.; 1995; M- 9/9067-01-1 KCA 8.3.1.1.2/03
	Horey bee	$\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{2} $	, T.; 2010; M-389965-01-1 KCA 8.3.1.1.1/05 KCA 8.3.1.1.2/05

Test substance	Test species/ study type	Endpoint	References
	Honey bee, 10 d chronic adult feeding study	NOEC 750 mg a.s./kg $LC_{50} > 750$ mg a.s./kg NOEDD 37.3 $\mu$ g a.s./bee/day $LDD_{50} > 37.3 \mu$ g a.s./bee/day	, A.; 2015; ° M-527665-01-4 KCA 8.3.1.2
	Honey bee brood feeding (Oomen <i>et al.</i> , 1992)	Slightly increased termination rate of eggs, young and old larvae; comparable brood nest development as in control; brood index and brood compensation index displayed continuous increase, indicating a successful development of the brood. No effects on the survival of adult bees and pupae, colony strength and overall colony conditions by feeding honey bee colonies sugar syrup at a foset Al concentration of 24 g a.s./L (2.97 g test item/L).	C.;, 4 2015; M2 508986- 01-2 KGA 8.3 A 3/01 C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C
Fosetyl-Al WG 80	Semi-field honey bee brood study (according to OECD 75; forced exposure conditions) in <i>Phacelia</i> ; application during full-bloom and bees actively foraging	No adverse effects on nortality, fight intensity brood development (brood termination rate, brood inde, compensation index) as well as on colony strength and brood and food abundance at 3600 g a.s./ha. No adverse effects or onortality, flight intensity, colony strength and brood and food abundance at 570 g a.s. tha.	B; 2005; M-526896-4 01-1 KCA & 3.1.3/02
	Semi-field honey bee brood study (according ( OFCD 75; forced conditions) in <i>Phacelia</i> application during full-bloom and beesactively	No adverse effects or mortality, flight intensity, behaviour, brood development (brood termination rate, brood index, compensation fodex) as well as on colony strength and brood and food abundance at 570 g a.s. ha.	, B.; 2015; M-528899- 01-1 KCA 8.3.1.3/03
Fosetyl	Bumble bee,	Application of 100 $\bigcirc$ product/ha at approx. 30% flopering of <i>Phagelia</i> , 28% before the introducion of $\bigcirc$ in the tents (7 d-exposure) ind not vause adverse effects to honeybees LD $\bigcirc$ contact > 250 µg a.s./bumble bee	A.; 2008; M- 238790-01-2 KCP 10.3.1.5/01 , S.; 2015; M-525339-01-1 KCA 8.3.1.1.2/06

- Studies written in grey typ face afe referring either to studies in the corresponding Baseline Dossier for the active substance or the old representative for all of Annex I inclusion of fosetyl under Directive 91/414/EEC (which is provided for approval renewal as well); whereas studies in black typeface are studies of the Supplementary Dossier for the active substance or the representative formulation Fosetyl-Al WG 80. ~ p.m. = pure pertabolite ő

<sup>a)</sup> 96h-endpoint

<sup>a)</sup> 96h-endpoint <sup>b)</sup> Values were corrected for opurity of 41% phosphonic acid weight by volume which is equal to 29.7% weight by weight. Lest substance potassium salts of phosphonic acid has a density of 1.38. Therefore, one L of test substance weights 1380 g and contains 410 g phosphonic acid (410/1380 = 0.297) with a weight/weight purity of 29.7%.

Bold: endpoint used for risk assessment

## **Risk assessment for bees**

The risk assessment for bees is based on the application rate of fosetyl-aluminium (fosetyl-Al) with 2000 g a.s./ha for applications in grapes using the endpoints (LD<sub>50</sub> values) for fosetyl-Al and its metabolite phosphonic acid.

## Hazard Quotients

The risk assessment is based on Hazard Quotient approach  $(Q_H)$  by calculating the ratio between the application rate (expressed in g a.s./ha or in g total substance/ha) and the aboratory contact and oral  $LD_{50}$  (expressed in µg a.s./bee or in µg total substance/bee).

 $Q_H$  values are calculated using data from the studies performed with the active substance and with the of formulation.  $Q_H$  values higher than 50 indicate the need of higher fiered activities to clarify the actual risk to honey bees.

Hazard Quotient, oral:

 $Q_{HO} = \frac{\text{maximum applicatio firster }}{\text{LD}_{50} \text{ orall }} \underbrace{[\text{g a state or getotal substance/baa}]}_{[\mu g q state or \mu g total substance/back]} \underbrace{[\mu g q state or getotal substance/back]}_{[\mu g q state or getotal substance/back]}$ 

Hazard Quotient, contact:

 $Q_{HC} = \frac{\text{maximum applicatio prate}}{LD} \frac{\langle [g a, g ha or g total substance/ha]}{\langle [\mu g, g s, bee or \mu g, total substance/ha]}$ 

Table 10.3.1-3:     Hazard quotients for bees – opal exposure ()	3: Hazard quotients for bees – or al exposure
------------------------------------------------------------------	-----------------------------------------------

				la
Compound	OraCLD50 >>	Max. application 4	Hazard Trigger	🖉 A-priori
	[µg a.s./bee]	rate 💦	quotient 🔪	acceptable risk
	ý O'		Qно, У 2	for adult bees
FEA + FLC WG 71.11	>219.0 Kug product/bay	\$3000 [product/ha]	\$ <sup>7</sup> < <b>1</b> 3,7 ↓ 5005	yes
Fosetyl-Al	>108.5	2000		yes
Phosphonic acid	>848.0	~1389	S <1 € 50	yes

\* assuming a quantitative conversion of the parent to the metabolite 2.0 kg fosetyl-Al corresponds to 1.389 kg H<sub>3</sub>PO<sub>3</sub>, based on a molar mass of 354, g/mol for fosetyl-Al and 82.6 g/mol for H<sub>3</sub>PO<sub>3</sub> and assuming that 1 mol fosetyl-Al degrades to 3 mol H<sub>3</sub>PO<sub>3</sub>

The hazard quotients for oral exposure at below the validated trigger value for higher tier testing (i.e.  $Q_{HO} < 50$ ).

## Table 10.3.1-4 Hazard quotients for bees - confact exposure

Compound	Contact D50 Max application	Hazard	Trigger	A-priori
	Ø [µg æst/bee] Ø vrate Ø [g æst/ha]	quotient Qнс		acceptable risk for adult bees
FEA FLC WG 71.11	[0g product/ha]	<15.0	50	yes
Fosetyl-Al	\$00.0 \$2000 \$2000	<20	50	yes
Phosphonic and	∑>105 <b>0</b> ∕0	<1.3	50	yes

assuming a quantitative conversion of the parent to the metabolite, 2.0 kg fosetyl-Al corresponds to 1.389 kg  $H_3PO_3$ , based on a molar mass of 354.1 g/mol for fosetyl-Al and 82.00 g/mol for  $H_3PO_3$  and assuming that 1 mol fosetyl-Al degrades to 3 mol  $H_3PO_3$ 

The hazard quotients for contact exposure are below the validated trigger value for higher tier testing (i.e.  $Q_{HC} < 50$ ).

## Further considerations for the risk assessment

In addition to acute laboratory studies with adult honey bees, fosetyl-aluminium (fosetyl-Al) was further subjected to topical acute bumble bee testing (KCA 8.3.1.1.2/06; 2015; M-52, 39-01-1). The study resulted in an LD<sub>50</sub> of  $> 250 \ \mu g$  a.s./bumbe bee and did not reveal sepsitivity differences between honey bee and bumble bee foragers.

Moreover, fosetyl-Al was further subjected to chronic laboratory testing with adult honey bees (KCA) 8.3.1.2/01; [10], A.; 2015; M-527665-01-1).

This chronic study was designed as a dose-response test by exposing adult honey bees for 10 consecutive days to nominal concentrations of 46.88, 93.75, 1875, 375 and 750 mg fosetyl-Al/kg of feeding solution. The actual test was conducted by using the formulated product Fosetyl-aluminium WG 80 (Fosetyl-Al WG 80). After exposing honey bees for ten consecutive days exclusively to sugar solution containing fosetyl-Al at the respective treatment levels, the 10 day LC<sub>50</sub> (Lethal Concentration) was determined to be > 750 mg fosetyl-Al/kg, which corresponds to a LDD<sub>50</sub> (Lethal Dietary Dose) of > 37.3 µg a.s./bee/day. The respective NOEC (No Observed Effect Dietary Dose) of > 37.3 µg a.s./bee/day.

In order to reveal whether fosetyl-O poses a risk to infiniture honey bee life stages, a bee brood feeding study (KCA 8.3.1.3/01; 2015; M-508986 01-2) has been conducted by following the provisions/method of Qomen P.A., de Ruijter, A. & van der Steen, J. (OEPP/EPPO Bulletin 22:613-616 (1992)), which require, amongst other parameters to "...use formulated products only... products are fed at a concentration recommended for high volume use...". The honey bee brood feeding test is a worst-case screeping test, by feeding the honey bees directly in the hive with a treated sugar solution which contains the test substance of a concentration topically present in the spray tank (and as such at a very high concentration) and by investigating the development of eggs, young and old larvag by employing digital photo imaging technology.

This particular study was conducted with Fosetyl Al WG 80 and the actual test concentration of fosetyl-Al was 24 g as L (2.97 g Fosetyl-Al/WG 80/L). The administration of Fosetyl-Al WG 80 at a concentration of ~2400 ppm fosetyl-Al to honeybee colonies via feeding of 1 litre spiked sucrose solution has neither resulted in adverse effects on worker or pupal mortality, nor in behavioural abnormalities as compared to the control. Regarding brood development, the Brood Termination Rates of the test item treatment were overall on a low to moderate level with 27.3, 11.3 and 11.0% for eggs, young larvae and ord larvae, respectively. Yet, as compared to the Brood Termination Rates in the control (13.3, 3.7 and 1.7% for eggs, young larvae and old larvae respectively), a slight but statistically significant increase was detected for the test item at the end of the brood observation period. However, neither Brood indices nor Brood Compensation Indices were significantly increased in the test item as compared to the control for any brood stage, indicating that these indices performed comparable to the control, including compensations of previous brood doses.

All in all, it can be concluded from the active and chronic laboratory studies in adult honey bees as well as from the bee brood beding study (Oomen *et al.*, 1992) investigating side-effects on immature honey bee life sages, that foseryl-Al s of moderate, general intrinsic toxicity to honey bees.

reaction of the set of

In order to clarify whether the moderate, general intrinsic bee toxicity of fosetyl-Al poses a risk to honey bee brood and colony development in particular as well as on honey bees in general under realistic worst-case conditions, a higher tier semi-field honey bee brood study (according to the provisions of the OECD Guidance Document 75) was conducted in 2014 under forced/confined exposure conditions, by application of 3600 g a.s./ha as well as a rate of 570 g a.s./ha (spray doit rate) under tunnel conditions to the full flowering and highly bee attractive surfogate crop *Phacetua tanacetifolia* (KCA 8.3.1.3/02; **Defense**, B.; 2015; M-526896-01-1). Considering that the higher test rate exceeds the envisaged application rate of 2000 g fosetyl-Al/ha in 3 L FEA + FFC WC 1.110 per hectare in grapes and that the application is performed in a crop not attractive to bees, this study is seen to present a worst case scenario.

The study included four treatment groups: Control (tap water), Test frem 1 (3600 ga.s./ha), Test item O 2 (570 g a.s./ha) and Reference item (300 g fenoxycarb/ha) with all applications being carried out with a spray volume of 400 L water/ha. For all treatment groups, four replicates (tunnels) were set up. The application of all treatments was conducted during daily bee flight activity at the time of full flowering of the crop. Thereafter, the bees were kept for days within the tunnels (confined exposure phase) and in the evening of the 7<sup>th</sup> day after application (after bee flight activity) the colorises were relocated out of the tunnels and transferred to a monitoring site without flowering crops and intensive agricultural area for further monitoring (day 8 to day 27 after treatment), Daily, throughout the confined exposure phase, mortality of worker bees, larval and pupae was assessed along with assessments of Graging activity and behaviour. Daily mortably assessments were continued along with behaviour around the hive during the post-exposure observation period (day 8 to day 27 ofter treatment). Colony assessments (food stores, brood areas, colony strength) were made before confinement, after confinement and at the end of the study. Detailed brood assessments (brood formination rate, brood index and brood compensation index) by employing digital photo imaging technology, investigating the fate of more than 2000 individually marked cells was performed on 5 occasions throughout the study, covering an entire brood cycle of honey bees study, covering an entire brood cycle of honey bees of the application of fosetyl-Al at the rate of 3600 g.s./ha under minek conditions to the full flowering

The application of fosetyl-Al at the rate of 3600 g.s./ha under tinnel conditions to the full flowering and highly bee attractive surrogate crop *Phacetra tanacetifolia* did not cause any adverse effects on mortality, flight intensity broad development (broad termination rate 236.5%, brood index: 3.2, compensation index: 3.8 in test item compared to the control with broad termination rate: 41.2%, brood index: 3.9, compensation index: 3.5), as well as on colony, strength and brood and food abundance. The application of fosetyl-Al at the rate of 570 g a.s./ka did not cause adverse effect on mortality, flight intensity, colory strength and brood and food abundance but resulted in unclear findings on brood development (brood termination rate: 79.9%, brood index: 1.0, compensation index: 2.1). Since effects were only seen at the lower test rate of 570 g a.s./ha tested in this study but not at all in the higher application rate of 3600 g as./ha, the investigation of the lower test rate was repeated in a second study conducted 2015.

The study conducted in 2015 (KCA 8.3.0.3/03, B.; 2015; M-528899-01-1) was performed following the same study design as in 2014. In the tepeat study for the lower rate of 570 g a.s./ha no adverse effects on mortality, flight intensity, behaviour, brood development (brood termination rate: 36.1%, brood index 3.2, compensation index 3.7 in test item compared to the control with brood termination rate: 29.6%, brood index: 3.9, compensation index: 4.1) as well as on colony strength and brood and food abundance were determined. Thus, this study confirms that fosetyl-Al has no overall adverse effect on brood development at the rate of 570 g a.s./ha.

adverse effect on brood development at the rate of 570 g a.s./ha.

## Synopsis

Fosetyl-Al and Fosetyl-aluminium + Fluopicolide WG 71.11 (FEA + FLC WG 71.11) are of low acute toxicity to honey bees, with LD50 (oral and contact) above the highest tested dose levels (oral:  $LD_{s0}$ 108.5  $\mu$ g a.s./bee and >219.0  $\mu$ g prod/bee, contact: LD<sub>50</sub>> 100  $\mu$ g a.s./bee and >200.0  $\mu$ g prod/bee). The calculated Hazard Quotients for fosetyl-Al are below the validated trigger value whick would indicate the need for a refined risk assessment; no adverse effects on honey be mortality at to be expected at the maximum envisaged fosetyl-Al application rate. This conclusion is confirmed by the results of the bee brood feeding study as well as by the results of the semi-field studies, which wered an application rate of 2000 g a.s./ha.

The acute laboratory study conducted with bumble been revealed no sensitivity differences between honey bee and bumble bee foragers.

It can be concluded from the acute and chronic laboratory studies for adult honey bees as well as from the bee brood feeding study (Oomen *et al.*, 1992) investigating side-offects on impature honey bee life stages that fosetyl-Al is of a moderate general intrinsic toxicity to boney bees. 1 Regarding potential side effects of fosetyl-Akon impature boney bee life stages the conducted bee brood feeding study (Oomen et al., 1992) found slightly in moderately but statistically significantly increased termination rates of eggs, young and old larvae Despite of this observation, the brood index and brood compensation indices displayed a continuous increase without any statistical significant difference to the control, indicating a successful development of the brood. Overall the study overled no ecologically adverse effects on the survival of adult bees and pupae, behaviour, colony strength and overall colony conditions. Thus, when considering the severity of the exposure situation in this worstcase screening test in combination with the absence of effects on both, polony bevel parameters and also on the overall development of bee brood, it carbe concluded, even on the basis of this worst-case screening study that the use of foretyl-Al does not pose an unacceptable risk for adult honey bees, immature honey bee life stages and honey bee colonies. Ľ,

In order to clarify whether the conclusions on the basis of fower tiered honey be studies are correct, fosetyl-Al was subjected to confined semi-field testing (according to the provisions of OECD Guidance Document No. 75), by applying the two rates of 3600 and 570 g a.s./ha for Fosetyl-Al WG 80 to full-flowering *Bhaceha* during honey bees actively foraging on the crop. This study design is from an apidological and apicultural point of view more fealing to than an in-hive feeding of the test compound view treated suger solution, which contains the test substance at a concentration typically present in the spray tank (and as such at a very high concentration). The results of this first higher tier semi-field study confirmed the conclusions made above on the basis of the outcome of the lowertiered studies, as no adverse direct of delayed effects on mortality of worker bees or pupae, foraging activity, behaviour, nectare and pollen storage, colory, strength, colony development as well as the development of bee brood were observed for the higher test rate of 3600 g a.s./ha, even under aggravated, forced exposure conditions and by digitally following-up in a very detailed manner the fate of individually marked brood cells (digital photographic assessment) from egg stage until emergence. In the same study the application of fose 1-Al at the rate of 570 g a.s./ha did not cause adverse effect on mortality, flight intensity, colony strength and brood and food abundance. However, unclear findings were determined on brood development. In a repeated test following the same study design the absence of adverse effects on these assessment parameters together with the absence of adverse effects on the development of brood for the rate of 570 g a.s./ha was confirmed. Thus, this study confirms that fosetyl-Akhas no overal adverse effect on brood development at the rate of 570 g a.s./ha. 

## Conclusion

The use of FEA+ FLC WG A.11 is intended on grapes at BBCH 15-81, that are not attractive to bees and at a rate of 3000 g product/ha, corresponding to 133 g fluopicolide/ha + 2000 g fosetyl-Al/ha. Overall, it can be concluded that fosetyl-Al, when applied at the maximum application rate of 2000 g  $a_{3}$  has does not pose an unacceptable risk to honey bees and honey bee colonies.

Ľ

a?

Document MCP - Section 10: Ecotoxicological studies Fosetyl-aluminium + Fluopicolide WG 71.11

#### **CP 10.3.1.1** Acute toxicity to bees

#### CP 10.3.1.1.1 Acute oral toxicity to bees

:

A formulation study on bees was carried out with Fosetyl-aluminium + Fluopicolide WG 71.1 k (FE + FLC WG 71.11 = EXP 11074B) and reviewed by the RMS for the Annex I inclusion of fluopicolide under Directive 91/414/EEC. The study has been considered acceptable (EFSA Scientific Report 299 (2009)). A summary of this study is given below.

A new study was conducted based on recent guidelines and is presented under ; 2015; M-528130-0 🖾.

	; ; 2015; M-528130-021.
Report:	KCP 10.3.1.1.1/01 D; 2002; KQ 213748-01-16 G
Title:	Oral toxicity (LD50) to hope bees (Apis mellifera $\mathbb{Z}$ ) Fosetyl-alumnium AE $\mathbb{Z}$
	C638206 water dispersible granule 667 + 44.4 g/kg Code AE F\$\$3616 66 WG
	A101
Report No.:	C027638 (CW02/071)
Document No.:	M-213718-01-1
Guideline(s):	EPPO 170 (1992) OECD 213 (1998) O A S
Guideline deviation(s):	not specified
CL D/CED.	
GLF/GEF:	yes O' the the term of
Objective:	

The objective of this study was to mvestigate the effects of Fosetyl-aluminium & Fluopicolide WG 71.11 (FEA + FLC WG 71,11) as a stomach poison (LD50) on adult honey bees by oral application of the test substance. 0

## Material and Methods:

AD, Bach No OP210313, a fungicide Test item: FEA + FEC WG71.1 AE 105361606 WG71 WG type product containing fosetyl-AL+ AE C638006 (measured concentrations 670 + 47.3 g/kg, respectively) as active ingredients. Ø L)

Groups of 50 90 x Seplicates) hove bes (Apts meltifera LS were exposed to 3 concentrations of the test substance, one control (food without any test substance) and 3 concentrations of a positive control (triazophos 40.9% w/w Kin a sucrose diet paste for 5 hours. The concentrations of the test substance in the diet were 0.0539, 0.439 and 1.39% w/w. Actual food consumption was measured after 5 hours and then, the numbers of dead bees in each cage were assessed after 24, 48 and 72 hours.

0,0012 and 0.0047% triazophos: (oral test); control: 50% Reference item (nominal dose) sucrose solution (oral dest).

2002 Dates of experimental

## **Results:**

Validity	aritaria	
valually	cinena.	

Validity Criteria     Validity     Recommended     Obtained       Control Morality     Otal Test     < 10%     0.0%			
Control Mossility $\sim 0$ fall Test $< 10\%$ 0.0%	Validity Critecia 🙏 🦉 炎	Recommended	Obtained
	Control Motality Oral Test	$\leq 10\%$	0.0%

The ora LD<sub>50</sub> for the reference substance was 0.196 µg product per bee after 72 hr, which is within the expected range and so it can be concluded that the test system fulfilled the necessary requirements and the values for the test substance should be considered as valid.

The results of mortality among treated and control groups are presented in the table below:

	Oral Toxicity To	est		ໄ 🧳 🗞					
Dose Level (µg product/bee)		Mortality (%)							
	24 hour	48 hour	🔊 72 hour	6					
0 (Control)	0	0	\$ 0 L						
	FEA+FLC WG 71	.11							
1.49	0	0	0.0						
10.64	0		Q ~ ~ ~						
168.76	0 📎								
	Toxic reference	e _6¥							
0.096	9 🖉	St .	_O 9 ~≶						
0.171	13	177 Q	0 <sup>×</sup> 13×						
0.823	50	50 %	NO X?						

## **Observations:**

Based on the quantity of food actually consumed thring the 5 hour feeding period, the mean measured dose rates to which the bees were exposed were equivalent to 0.49, 10.64 and 168.76 µg product bee. There were no mortality in the control and the test substance treatments over the 72 hour duration of the study.

## **Conclusion:**

Under the conditions of the test FEA+ FLC WG 7  $\overrightarrow{011}$  the acute of al LD<sub>50</sub> in honeybees is > 168.8 µg product/bee (72 hour).

## **Report:**

Title:

Fluopicolite fose l-alumnium WG 71.14 (4.44966.7) W: Effects (Acute contact and oral) W hone bees (Apis metificara L) in the laboratory

Report No.: 09571085 Document No.: 04528130-0154 Guideline(s): 0ECD 213 and 214 (1998) Guideline desiation(s): none 4 GLP/GEP ves

## **Objective:**

The purpose of this study was to determine the acute contact and oral toxicity of Fosetyl-aluminium + Fluopicolide WG 71.1466.75 4.44 to the proney bee (*A mellifera* L.).

Mortality of the beec was used as the toxic endpoint. Sublethal effects, such as changes in behaviour, were also assessed.

## Material and Methods:

Test nem: FEA + FLC WG 71/01 (Fesetyl-aluminium + Fluopicolide WG 71.14 (66.7 + 4.44)): fosetyl-aluminium (LS #4783) 67.2% w/w fluopicolide (AE C638206): 4.47% w/w (all values analysed); Specification No.: 02000024700, Batch No.: EV39000244; TOX10794-00.

Under laboratory conditions *Apis mellifera* 50 worker bees were exposed for 48 hours to a single dose of 200.0  $\mu$ g product per bee by topical application (contact limit test) and 30 worker bees per treatment level were exposed for 48 hours to doses of 219.0, 111.5, 55.5, 27.7 and 13.9  $\mu$ g product per bee by teeding (oral dose response test, value based on the actual intake of the test item). It was not necessary topicology the contact or the oral test, respectively.

## Document MCP - Section 10: Ecotoxicological studies Fosetyl-aluminium + Fluopicolide WG 71.11

Reference item (nominal dose): 0.30, 0.20, 0.15 and 0.10 µg dimethoate/bee (contact test); 0.30, 0.15, 0.08 and 0.05 µg dimethoate/bee (oral test); control: tap water with 0.5 % Adhäsit (contact test); 50% w/v sucrose solution (oral test).

## Dates of experimental work: April 13, 2015 – May 28, 2015

## **Results:**

**x** 7 1 1 1 4 • .

Validity criteria:	ča st	
Validity Criteria	Recommended	Obtained Q
Control Mortality - Contact Test	$\leq 10\%$ $\odot$	
Control Mortality - Oral Test	$\leq 10\%$	
$LD_{50}$ of Reference Item (24 hours) - Contact Test	0.10 – 0.30 ug a.s./bee	🤗 Q.Ø μg æs./bee Ø
LD <sub>50</sub> of Reference Item (24 hours) - Oral Test	0.10 - 0.2 µg as bee	) 0.10 µg a.s./bee

The contact and oral tests are considered valid as the control modality in each case was < 100 and the LD50 values obtained with the reference item (dimethoate), were within the required ranges. The contact and oral LD<sub>50</sub> (24 h) values of the reference iter (dimethoate) were calculated to be 0.23 and 0.10 µg a.s./bee, respectively. R ¢,

## Toxicity to Honey Bees; laboratory tests

Test Item	FEAGFLCANG 71.H
Test Species	Apis melliferd?
Exposure	(solution Adlastit (0,5%)/water)
Application rate µg product/begy	200.0 × 0 × 219.0, 111.5, 55.5, 27.7 and 13.9
LD <sub>50</sub> µg product/bee	> 2000 219.0
LD <sub>20</sub> µg product/bee	>200.0 5 0 >219.0
LD <sub>10</sub> µg productbee	>219.0
NOED µg product/be *	$\geq 2000 \qquad \qquad \geq 219.0$

\* The NOED was estimated using Fisher's Exact Test (pairwise comparison, one-sided greater,  $\alpha = 0.05$ ).  $\bigcirc$ Õ Ó 21

Ô

## Mortality and behavioural abnormalities of the bees in the contact toxicity test

Č.	Afte	per hours d	After	4 hours	After	48 hours
Dosage 👔	Mortality	Believioural abnormalities	Mortality	Behavioural abnormalities	Mortality	Behavioural abnormalities
productAsee]	Mean %	Nean %	Mean %	Mean %	Mean %	Mean %
Į.			Test item			
<b>≈2</b> 00.0			0.0	0.0	2.0	0.0
water	0.		0.0	0.0	6.0	0.0
	.1 ° .0	× × QR	eference item			
0.30	~ 22.0	6.0	74.0	2.0	78.0	2.0
0.20	~ 6 <sub>6</sub> 0°	S 0.0	36.0	4.0	48.0	6.0
Q45 2	2.0	0.0	20.0	2.0	30.0	6.0
0.10	\$V0.0 \$V	0.0	8.0	0.0	8.0	0.0

results are averages from three replicates (ten bees each) per dosage / control

water =  $CO_2$ /water-treated control

Afte		r 4 hours	After	24 hours	After 48 hours	
Ingested [µg	Mortality	behavioural abnormalities	Mortality	Behavioural abnormalities	Mortality	Behavioural abnormalities
product/bee]	Mean %	Mean %	Mean %	Mean %	Mean %	Mean %
			Test item		Ø	
219.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	3.3	OF COT S
111.5	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	6.7	× 10.0 S
55.5	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
27.7	0.0	0.0	0.0	0,00*	3	Q 99 4
13.9	0.0	0.0	40	QÓ os°	\$3.3 <u>(</u>	Q.0 L
water	0.0	0.0	Q 0.0	~~ 0.0 °		
		R	eferencejitem			N W
0.31	16.7	83.3 C	100.0	<u> 0</u> .0 S	100.0	<u> </u>
0.16	0.0	93.3	∞_96.7~	3.3	\$96.7	0.0
0.08	0.0	23.3	133	3.3 ×	265	K 0.0 ×
0.05	0.0		. 0 <u>.0</u>	y 100 C	Ø.0	0.0

## Mortality and behavioural abnormalities of the bees in the oral toxicity test

results are averages from three replicates (ten bees each) per dosage / eont water = water/sugar treated control  $\mathbb{Q}$ 

 $\bigcirc$ 

## **Observations:**

## Contact Test:

At the end of the contact toxicity test (48 hours after application), there was 2.0% mortality at 200.0 µg product/bee. There was 6.0% mortality to the control group (water + 0.5% Adhäsit). There were no behavioural abnormalities of the bees during the entire trial a 200.0 mg product/bee.

## Oral Test:

Actual oral does of 299.0, 15, 55.5, 27, and 13.9 µp product per bee resulted in mortality ranging from 6.7 to 3.3% at the end of the test (after 48 pours). No mortality occurred in the 55.5 µg product/bec treatment as welkas in the water control group. No test item induced behavioural abnormalities occurred after 48 hours C 0

A

Conclusions: The toxicity of FEA + FLC VG 71/d was tested in both an acute contact (limit test) and an acute oral (dose response test) toxicity test on honey bes. The contact  $LD_{50}$  (48 h) was > 2000 µg productoree. The oral  $LD_{50}$  (48 h) was > 219.0 µg product/bes.

Ô

#### CP 10.3.1.1.2 Acute contact toxicity to bees

Please refer also to Sec	tion CP 10.3.1.1.1.		° r
<b>Report:</b> Title:	KCP 10.3.1.1.2/01 Contact toxicity (LD50) to he C638206 water dispersible gr	; 2002; M-21310 oney bees (Apis mellifera ranule 667 + 44.4 g/kg Co	9-01-1 L.) Fosetyl-aluminium + AE de: ØE F053616 06 WG71
Report No.: Document No.: Guideline(s): Guideline deviation(s): GLP/GEP:	A101 C027326 M-213109-01-1 EPPO: 170; OECD: 214 not specified <b>yes</b>		
<b>Objective:</b> The objective of this s 71.11 (FEA + FLC We of the test substance	tudy was to investigate the G 71.11) as a contact poiso	e effects of Fosetyl-alu	minium + Fluopicolide WG y bees by topical application
Material and Method Test item: FEA + FLC	s: C WG 71.11 (AE F053616)	06 WG71 AL, Bately	No.50P210313, #fungicide
WG type product com respectively) as active i Groups of 50 (10 x 5 re substance groups and 3	taining fosepyl-AI + AE CO ingredients. cplicates) honey bees ( <i>Apis</i> toxic reference groups.	melliferar L.) were teste	d in one control group, 5 test
Exposure of the bees v to the ventral thorax. 139.5 µg product/bee The numbers of death	vas conducted by Topical ap The five dose rates of the Seforcapplication, the bees	plication of a single do Vest sobstance were were slightly anaesthet sed after 24-48 and 72	se of 1.6 $\mu$ l of the substance 13.9, 64.9, 69.7, 104.6 and ized with CO <sub>2</sub> .
The positive control (t and 0.4 $\mu$ g product/bee	piazophos 40.9% w/w) prep	ared in water was teste	d in 3 dose rates of 0.2, 0.3
Dates of experimental Results:	Work July & 2002 – Ju		
Validity Criteria		Recommended	Obtained
Control Mortality - Cont	act fest of the set	<u></u> <u></u> <u></u> <u></u> ≤ 10%	0.0%
The contact LD <sub>50</sub> for	the reference substance w	s 0.254 µg product pe	r bee after 24; 48 and 72h.
which is within the e	xpected range and so the	an be concluded that	the test system fulfilled the



The results of mortality among treated and control groups are presented in the table below:

	Contact Toxicity	Test						
Dose rate (µg product/bee)	Tot	Total number of dead bees						
	24 hour	48 hour	states 72 hour	<i>б</i>				
0 (Control)	0	0	\$ 0 £					
	FEA+FLC WG 71	.11	10° ~ `					
13.9	1	1 2	1.0					
34.9	2	2	2, 2, 2	Y Q				
69.7	0 📎							
104.6*	0	0.0%						
139.5*	™0	Ø /						
	Toxic reference			<u>s</u>				
0.2	60	6 %						
0.3	& 45 Ø	_∿ 45° ፈ	° 45 °∽	w v				
0.4	0 44	e the S	8 44 j					

\*Application was not insured side there was sedimentation during the application

## **Observations:**

The application of the two highest rates of the test substance was not insured where was sedimentation during the application.

## **Conclusion:**

Under the conditions of the test, FEA + FLC WG 71.11 the acute contact  $LD_{50}$  on honeybees is > 69.7 µg product/bee (72 hour).

## CP 10.3.1.2 Chronic toxicity to bees

A 10 day chronic oral toxicity study was conducted with Eosety Aluminium WG 80; the corresponding summary is provided in Document MCA, Section 8.3.1.2 (KCA 8.3.1.2/01, \_\_\_\_\_, A.; 2015; M-527663-01-19.

## CP 10.3.1.3 Effects on honey bee development and other honey bee life stages

A honey bee brood feeding study according to the method of Oomen *et al.* 1998 (KCA 8.3.1.3/01, **1998**); 2005; M-508986(91-2), has been conducted with Fosetyl-Aluminium WG 80 (Fosetyl-Al WG 80) and is included in Socument MCA, Section 8, \$1.3.

Two semi-field hone bee brood studies (according to OECD 75) (KCA 8.3.1.3/02, 2015; M-526896-01-1, and KCA 8.3.0.3/03, 2015; M-528899-01-1) have been conducted with the Fosetyl-Al WG 80 and are included in the Document MCA, Section 8.3.1.3.

## CP40.3.1.4 Subsethal offecto

There is no particular, study design // test guideline to assess "sub-lethal effects" in honey bees. However, in each laboratory study as well as in any higher-tier study, sub-lethal effects, if occurring, are described and reported

## CP 10.3.1.5 Cage and tunnel tests

Additional testing was not necessary when considering the outcome of the risk assessment and the results of the lower-tier studies.

#### **CP 10.3.1.6 Field tests with honeybees**

Not necessary when considering the outcome of the risk assessment and the results of the lower-tiered studies.

#### Effects on non-target arthropods other than bees **CP 10.3.2**

The risk assessment was performed according to Guidance Document on Terrestrial Deotoxicology (SANCO/10329/2002) and to the Guidance Document on regulatory testing and trisk assessment procedures for plant protection products with non-target arthropods (ESCORT 2) Cancelli at  $2000^{1}$ ).

FEA + FLC WG 71.11: Ecoto acological endpoints for arthropods other than Table 10.3.2-1: (current representative formulation) Ŵ (Nor

Test species,	Tested Formulation, Study 2 Ecotoxicological Endpoint
Dossier-file-No.,	type, exposure 1 2 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1
reference	
Aphidius rhopalosiphi	FEA + FLC WG 71.11 2 0 4 6 2 2 2
,; 2003;	Laboratory, glass plates 🖉 Corr. Mortality [%] Effect on Reproduction [%]
M-230334-01-1	$200  \bigcirc \qquad g_{\mu\nu} \text{od/ha}  \bigcirc \qquad \swarrow  \bigcirc \qquad \bigcirc$
Rep.No: CW02/077	400 Q g prod/ha $3 - 1.8 $ $0 $ $3 + 1.69$
KCP 10.3.2.1/03	
Aphidius rhopalosiphi	FEA FLC WG 71.11 C LRG 8.23 g prod/ha; ERG > 4 Kg prod/ha
,; 2003; M-	Laboratory, glass plates & Corr. Mertality [%] Effect on Reproduction [%]
218198-01-1	© ©04 kg prod/ka 0.0 ~
Rep.No: C035091	$\sim$ 3.07 kg program $\sim$ 3.3 $\sim$ -4.2 <sup>B</sup>
КСР 10.3.2.1/01 🔬	$\sqrt{2}$ 4.6 $\%$ kg pt d/ha $\sqrt{2}$ $\sqrt{2}$ $\sqrt{2}$ $\sqrt{2}$ 44.1
	6.4 kg/prod/ha
	1035 Ng prod/ha 2 2 100 n.a.
Typhlodromus pyrj 🔊 🛝	PEA + PLC WG 71.11 $N = N = 1.13$ kg procedua; ER <sub>50</sub> : > 6.9 kg prod/ha
,; 2005; M-	Laboratory, glass plates. Corr. Mortality [%] Effect on Reproduction [%]
218199-01-1	$\sim$ 2.04 kg prod/kg $\sim$ 3 $\sim$ -4.4 <sup>B</sup>
Rep.No: C035092	$3 \sim 2.4$
KCP 10.3,2 1/02	4.6 % kg prod/ha $14$ 23.9
	$1  \text{(b)}  6.9^{\text{(c)}}  \text{(c)}  19.9^{\text{(c)}}  19.9^{\text{(c)}}$
	<u> </u>

A negative value odicates a higher mortality rate in the Control than in the treatment.

<sup>B</sup>: A negative value indicates a higher reproduction rate in the treatment than in the control.



Candob *et al.*: Guidance document on regulatory testing and risk assessment procedures for plant protection products with Gion-target arthropods; ESCORT 2 workshop (European Standard Characteristics Of Non-Target Arthropod Regulatory Testing), Wageningen, NL, March 21-23, 2000, SETAC Europe; SETAC publication August 2001

## Document MCP – Section 10: Ecotoxicological studies Fosetyl-aluminium + Fluopicolide WG 71.11

## Tier 1 in-field risk assessment for other non-target arthropods

Table 10.3.2- 2:	Tier 1 in-field ri	e d				
Сгор	Species	Appl. rate [kg prod./ha]	MAF	LR50 [kg prod./ha] 🏷	HQ	Trigger
Cronos	T. pyri	3.0	2.3	7.13	0.97	~ 2 ~
Grapes	A. rhopalosiphi	3.0	2.3	8.23	0.84	

## Tier 1 off-field risk assessment for other non-target arthropods

Table 10.3.2- 3:	Tier 1 off-field risk assessment	for non-target	arthrop
			the second open

								a C	<i>¶</i> 1
Crop	Species	Appl. rate	MAF	Drift	VDF	Correction	$\mathbb{A}$ R <sub>50</sub>	HQ	Figger
•	•	[kg		<b>[%6]</b>	Ô,	<sup>S</sup> factor	Kg prod	~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~	\$, 88
		prod./ha]					hap	4 4	
Cronas	T. pyri	3.0	2.3	€.90	10	×10 1	<b>A</b> M3	0.06	Ĩ
Grapes	A. rhopalosiphi	3.0	2.3C	<u>6</u> ?99	10	Ö 10	× 8.23 ×	0,058	\$ <sup>°</sup> 2
			Øĵ		Ø 9.	Y O.			

## Conclusions

The calculated HQ values for the in-field and off-field scenario are below the frigger of concern indicating acceptable risk for non-target arthropods.

## CP 10.3.2.1 Standard laboratory testing for non-target arthropods

Formulation studies on non-target arthropods were carried out with Fosetyl-aluptinium + Fluopicolide WG 71.11 (FEA + FLC WG 71.11  $\neq$  EXP 11074B) and reviewed by the RMS during the European review of fluopicolide and the studies have been constilered acceptable (EFSA Scientific Report 299 (2009)). Summaries of these studies are given below

KCP 10.32.1/01 ;; 2003; M-218198-01-1 **Report:** Title: Acute dose-response toxicity (LR50) of AE F053616 06 WG71 A1 to the cereal aphid parastorid Aphidius the palos phi (Destefani Derez) under laboratory conditions Report No.: C033091 Ŵ A Document No.: M-218198-01-1 Guideline(s): DBC: Mead Brigg Guideline deviation(s): not specified GLP/GEP:  $\sim$ 

## Objective.

The purpose of this study was to determine the acute dose-response toxicity for the cereal aphid parasitoid *Aphidius thop dosiphi* (DESTEFANT-PEREZ) exposed to different application rates of the test item and the fecundity of the surviving wasps affected by the test item in a laboratory test after residual contact exposure to fresh spray deposits on glass plates. Survival and fecundity of the parasitoid wasps were used as the test endpoints.

## Material and Methods:

Test item: FEA + FLC WG 71.11 (AE F053616 06 WG71 A1), batch OP230059, a fungicide WG type product containing fosetyl-Al + fluopicolide (measured concentrations 671 + 45.1 g/kg, respectively) as active ingredients.

The fungicide product was tested under laboratory conditions after residual contact exposure of adults of the cereal parasitoid *Aphidius rhopalosiphi* to spray residues with rates of 2.04, 3.07, 4.6, 6.9 and 10.35 kg product/ha in 200 L deionized water/ha applied onto glass plates. The control was treated with deionized water (200 L/ha). Dimethoate EC 400 (0.3 mL product/ha in 200 L/ha of water) was used as a toxic reference treatment.

Adults of *Aphidius rhopalosiphi* were exposed in 3 repricates of 7 females and 3 males wasps (Fer treatment group) to the residues of the test item, reference item (only 1 replicate) and control, respectively. During the mortality test, the wasps were fed with agreous fructos solution (25% w/v). The number of surviving wasps and the number of parasitised aphids (nummics) were recorded over a period of 2 days (mortality) + 12 days (reproduction). From these data the endpoints mortality and fecundity were calculated.

## **Findings:**

Validity criteria were met during the test, only  $\sqrt{13\%}$  mortality in the control (actual 0%), 50 to 00% corrected mortality with the reference substance (actual 100%) mean reproduction of  $\geq D$  in the negative control (actual 14.3).

Only in the 10.35 kg product/ha test/item group, 100% corrected mortality was observed, i.e. there was significant difference in mortality compared to the control group. No significant mortality was observed among parasitoids exposed to any other fates of FEA. FLC WG 97.11 compared to the control group.

•									
Test item	YA YAYAY	EA FLC WG 7	หม้า 🔊						
Test object 🔬	S Aphidus rhopalosiphi (Descefanto Perez)								
Exposure	Dried spi	ray deposits onto	glass plates						
Treatment			Reproduction						
Č "N	Mortality after 48 hours	mean number	Selative to	Reduction					
		of mutimies/	control	relative to					
		female, 🖉	[%]	control [%]					
Control 📈		@ 14.30	-	-					
Application rate	Corrected mortality								
[kg/product/ha]	~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~								
2.04		15.7	109.8	0 (+ 9.8)					
3.07	3.3 4	<u></u> 14.9	104.2	0 (+ 4.2)					
4.6		8.0	55.9	44.1					
6:Q Û		4.8*	33.6	66.4					
10.35	Ŏ <sup>™</sup> • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	not assessed	-	-					
ÆR50 Ø	2 8.23 kg/ha								
[EL 95 %]	(7.81 – <b>8.6</b> 7)								
Reference item									
✓ Dimethoate	7 67 <b>10</b> 0 6	not assessed	-	-					
0.12 g a.i.									

\*statistic difference from the control

The LR<sub>50</sub> of was calculated as 8.23 kg product/ha with 95% confidence limits ranging from 7.81 to 8.67 kg/sa.

A statistically significant difference in reproduction (mean number of mummies/female) was observed in the highest dosed test item group (6.9 kg/ha) which was tested, where 66.4% reduction in reproduction was calculated when compared to the control group.

## **Conclusion:**

The LR<sub>50</sub> (median lethal rate) of FEA + FLC WG 71.11 to the cereal aphid parasitoid Aphidius rhopalosiphi was 8.23 kg product/ha. Less than 50% effects on reproduction were observed up to the rate of 4.6 kg product/ha (ER<sub>50</sub> > 4.6 kg product/ha).

**Report:** 

Report No.: Document No .: Guideline(s):

Title:

KCP 10.3.2.1/02 X; 2003; M-218199-01-1 Guideline deviation(s):

**GLP/GEP:** 

**Objective:** The purpose of this study was to determine the acute dose-response toxicity for the predatory mite Typhlodromus pyri (SCHEUTEN) exposed to different application rates of the test item and the fecundity of the surviving mites in a laboratory test after residual contact exposure to fresh spray deposits on glass plates. Mortality and the effect on toproduction were used as the test endpoints.

m

## Material and Methods:

Test item: FEA + FLC WG 71.11 (AE40536 16 06 WG71 AP), batch OP20059 a fungicide WG type product containing fosetyl-AT fluopicolide (measured concentrations, 671 + 45.1 g/bg, respectively) as active ingredients. 4 1 al

FEA + FLC WG 71.11 was tested under laboratory conditions on protony physics the predatory mite *T. pyri* (SCHEUTEN) with rates of 2.04, 3.05, 4.6 6.9 and 10.35 kg product/ba in 200 L deionized water/ha applied onto glass plates. The control was treated with deionized water (200 L/ha). Dimethoate EC 400 (10 mL product/ha in 200 L/ha of water) was used as a toxic reference treatment.

Protonymphs of *L pyri* were exposed in 5 replicates of 20 mites (per treatment group) to the spray residues of the test item, reference item and control, respectively. During the assessments the predatory mites were fed with pollen (*Pinus negra* and *Betura pendula*). The number of surviving, dead and escaped predatory mites and the number of eggs laid per viable female per evaluation period were recorded over a period of 14 days. From these data the endpoints mortality and effect on reproduction were calculated

The dose-response @lationship in regard % mortality ( $\[\] R_{50}\]$ ) was determined.

The toxic reference treatment resulted in 100% corrected mortality within 7 days.

## Findings: 🔊

7 days after testing was charted 0 out of 100 predatory mites were recorded as dead in the control replicates  $\sqrt[3]{2}$  0%). By the end of the focundity phase (day 14) the mean oviposition in the control was 5.93 eggs/female. After 7 days of exposure, 100% of the mites were dead in the reference group (corrected mortality compared to control group. 100 %). Thus, the test accomplished the validity criteria (control group: @20% mortality, >@ eggs/female; reference group: 50 to 100% corrected mortality).

à

There were statistically significant differences in mortality in the 4.6, 6.9 and 10.35 kg product/ha test item treatment groups compared to compol group.

With regard to reproduction statistically significant differences compared to control group were found in the test item treatment goups of 4.6 and 6.9 kg/ha, compared to the control group although less than 59% reduction of reproduction relative to the control was observed.

The LR<sub>50</sub> (predian lethal close) of FEA + FLC WG 71.11 to Typhlodromus pyri was 7.13 kg product/ha with 95% confidence limits ranging from 6.62 to 7.67 kg product/ha.

## **Bayer – Crop Science Division**

## **Document MCP – Section 10: Ecotoxicological studies** Fosetyl-aluminium + Fluopicolide WG 71.11

Test item	FEA + FLC WG 71.11								
Test object	Typhlodromus pyri (SCHEUTEN)								
Exposure	Dried spray deposits on glass plates								
Treatment			Reproduction						
	Mortality after 7 days	mean number	<b>Relative to</b>	Reduction					
	rortanty after 7 days	of eggs/female	control	<i>relative</i> to					
	[%0]		<b>آ%</b> ]	<sup>™</sup> control					
			4						
Control	0	5.93	- ~	<u></u>					
Application rate	Corrected mortality	Č Â	x						
[kg product/ha]	[%]	V D		N & A					
2.04	3	6.19 °	104:4	0,64.4) (					
3.07	3	5.72	° 97.6	° گ 2.4 گ					
4.6	14*	4.51*	Q6.1	23.8					
6.9	32*	<b>4</b> 075* ~~	80.4	K 1909					
10.35	97* 🖉 🦃	not assessed **		× <u>×</u>					
LR <sub>50</sub>	7.13 kg product/ha 🔊	0 0 0							
[CL 95 %]	(lower CL: 6.62)		<u> 0</u>						
	(upper 💭 7.67)								
Reference item									
Dimethoate EC 400	6×100 × × ×	not assessed	P_&	-					
10 ml product/ha		<u>r y 8</u>		K <sup>Y</sup>					

statistically significantly different from the control (p < 0.05)

\*\* Reproduction was not assessed because the corrected martality was not

## **Conclusion:**

The LR<sub>50</sub> (median lethal dose) of FEA+FLC NG 71, 11 to Typhlodromus pyri was 7.13 kg product/ha with 95% confidence limits ranging from 6.62 to 67 kg product/ha. Effects of reproduction were at all test rates less than \$0% (ER50 > 0.35 log product/ha)

#### 2003; x<sup>2</sup>230334-01-1 KCP-10.3.2.+703 **Report:** Toxicity to the parasitoid wasp Appidius roopalosphi (DeStephani-Perez) Title: (Hymenoptera: Braconidae) in the laboratory Foretyl-aluminium + AE C638206 water dispersible granule 667 44.4 garg Code. AE F053616 06 WG71 A101 Report No. C031825 M-Q30334-01-1 Document No .: ESCOR 2000 JOB Guideline(s): Guideline deviation(s): Onot specified **GLP/GEP:** ~0

## Objective

**Objective** The objective of this aboratory study was to investigate the lethal and sublethal effects of Fosetylaluminium + Fluopeolide WG 71.11 (BEA + FLC WG 71.11) on the parasitoid wasp Aphidius rhopalosiphi when exposed on a glass surface

## Ø1 Material and Methods:

Test item: REA + FLC WG 71,11 (AE #053616 06 WG71 A101), batch OP210313, a fungicide WG type product containing fose 1-A1 + fluopicolide (measured concentrations 670 + 47.3 g/kg, respectively) as active ingredients.

In this aboratory study the toxicity of freshly dried residues of the product FEA + FLC WG 71.11 applied onto glass plates to the parasitoid wasp Aphidius rhopalosiphi was examined in compliance with the Brinciples of Good Laboratory Practice.

The test substance was applied at rates of 200 and 400 g product/ha and the effects were compared to a toxic reference (a.i.: dimethoate) applied at 0.12 g a.i./ha, and a water treated control.

Mortality of the adults was assessed 24 and 48 hours after exposure. From the water control and both test rates of FEA + FLC WG 71.11 impartially chosen females per treatment were each transferred to a cylinder containing untreated cereal plants infested with *Rhopalosiphum padi* for a period of 24 hours. This parasitation period provided a measure of reproductive success. The number of mummies was assessed 14 days later.

## Findings:

Validity criteria were met during the test: only <13% mortality in the control (actual 3% 50 to 100%) corrected mortality with the reference substance (actual 100%), mean reproduction of  $\geq$ 5 in the negative control (actual 26.8).

Mortality in the toxic reference substance was 100% at 0.12 g a.i. dimethoate/ha.

		A C		
	Control	FEA + FI	C WG 71.10	Reference
		ger of the second se	odûxet/ha 🤝 🔊	<b>substance</b>
		200	400 🛇	(Dimethoate)
Correct, mortality (%)	-1	~ .9 Q	- 1.8 0	
48 hrs after application			A. Ô L	
Reproduction (after 1 day; average	26.8¢~	21.5	ب <sup>2</sup> ر 22.3 م	n Lange
no. of mummies/ female)	Ó L	\$ \$ \$		r O
% Reduction of reproduction	- 2	19.7	JG.9 5	مگر n.d.
(relative to the control)				<i>"</i> "
				¥

## **Conclusion:**

In both dose rates there was no treatment related mortality. The reduction in reproductive success relative to the control was <20 % % % % %

# CP 10.3.2.2 Extended laboratory testing, aged desidue studies with non-target

In view of the results presented in CP 10.3.2.1 no extended aboratory or aged residue studies were deemed necessary.

## CP 10.3.2.3 Semi-field studies with non-target afthropods

In view of the results presented in Sections OP 10.3.2.1 and CP 10.3.2.2, no semi-field studies were deemed necessary.

## CP 10.3 2.4 Field studies with non-target arthropods

In view of the results presented in Sections CP 10.3.2.3, no additional field studies were deemed necessary.

## CP 10.3.2.5 Other routes of exposure for non-target arthropods

No relevant exposure of pon-target arthropods is expected by other routes of exposure.

#### **CP 10.4** Effects on non-target soil meso- and macrofauna

The risk assessment procedure follows the requirements as given in the Council Directive 91/414/ZEC (Annex III), Council Directive 97/57/EC (Annex VI) and the Guidance Document on Terrestrial Ecotoxicology.

## Predicted environmental concentrations used in risk assessment The PEC<sub>soil</sub> values below are taken from Document MCP, Section 9.1.3

Table 10.4-1:         Initial max PEC <sub>soil</sub> values (bold values were used in the tier 1 risk ass
------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------

Compound	Grapes
	PEC <sub>soil, max</sub>
FEA + FLC WG 71.11 <sup>a)</sup>	4.8 mg prod./kg dw🕵 🧳
Fosetyl-Al	1.067 mg a.s./kg dws
Phosphonic acid	2.167 mg pm/kg dws , 🖉 🔍 🦉

calculated for a soil depth of 5 cm, a sont density of A g/mb and the use pattern for vines product/ha 60% interception for all applications

Table 10.4- 2:	PEC <sub>soil accu</sub> values	symixing dept	h of 5 cr	n for pla	teau cal	ulation;	bołd va	lues were	used
	in the tier 1 risk	assessment)	) 🏷	R	,Õ	~ 0´´	õ	°∼y	

Compound	Strapes & A A A
	PEC soil, plateau REC soil Apecu a 2
Phosphonic acid	1.396 mg pm kg dw 3.563 mg pm/kg dws
<sup>a</sup> PEC <sub>soil, accu</sub> means the	sum of PECoil max and PECsil platear and a superior

## **CP 10.4.1**

					, A
CP 10.4.1	S Earth	worms		~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~	シ
Table 10.4.1-	Epdpoin	ts used in ris	k assessment		

Test item	Køst species, Frest design	Eco	to cological endpoint	Reference
FEA + FLC WG 71	Eisenta fetida reproduction So d, sprayed onto soil	NOECO	$2 \ge 40300$ g prod./ha ( $\ge 53.7$ mg prod./kg dws)	;; 2003; M-219213-02-1 KCP 10.4.1.1/01
FEA + FLC W 71.11	Eisema fetida reproduction 56 d, mised into sol	<b>NOEC</b>	$^{\odot}$ 178 mg prod./kg dws	,; 2016; M-566355-01-1 KCP 10.4.1.1/02
Fosetyl-Al WG 80	Diseni Fetida C reproduction 56 mixed	NOEC	316 mg prod./kg dws 254.4 mg a.s./kg dws	, S.; 2015; M-531997-01-1 KCA 8.4.1/02
Phoenhonic co	Evenia Gida reproduction 56 denixed	NOEC	≥498.79 mg pm/kg dws <sup>a)</sup>	, U.; 1999; M-189218-01-1 KCA 8.4.1/01
	Eisenia ferida Oproduction, 56 d. Orxed	NOEC	<693 mg pm/kg dws	, T.; 2009; M-327177-01-1 KCA 8.4.1/03

dws = dry weight soil; a.s. = torive substance; prod. = product; pm = pure metabolite grey ppeface studios part of the Baseline Dossier

Bold values: endpoints used for risk assessment

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>a)</sup> Values were corrected for a purity of 41.8% phosphonic acid weight by volume which is equal to 29.9% weight by weight. Test substance potassium salts of phosphonic acid has a density of 1.397. Therefore, one L of test substance weighs 1397 g and contains 418 g phosphonic acid (418/1397 = 0.299) with a weight/weight purity of 29.9%.

## **Risk assessment for earthworms**

Compound	Species, study type	]	Endpoint	worst case PECsoikax	TER <sub>LT</sub> Trigger
FEA + FLC WG 71.11	Earthworm, reproduction	NOEC	≥153.7 mg prod./kg dws <sup>b)</sup>	4.8 mg prod./kg	32.0 5 5 J
FEA + FLC WG 71.11	Earthworm, reproduction	NOEC	178 mg prod./kg dws	4 mg prod./kg	
Fosetyl-Al WG 80	Earthworm, reproduction	NOEC	2,94.4 mg a.s./ kg dws	✓ 1.067 mg a S. Akg dwg ✓	238.40 5
Phosphonic acid	Earthworm, reproduction	NOEC	≪≥498.79 mg m/ , \$kg dws	kg dws	≥140.0 €5

a) calculated for a soil depth of 5 cm, a soil density of 1.5 cmL and the free pattern for vines: 3 3.0 kg product/ha 60% interception for all applications

<sup>b)</sup> NOER of  $\geq$  40.5 kg prod./ha from the strayed study was recalculated to a NOEC  $\geq$ 153.7 mg prod./kg/dws, based on a surface of the test vessel of 189.75 cm<sup>2</sup> and 500 g dws per lost vessel  $\sqrt{2}$ 

All TER values calculated with the worst case  $PEC_{soil, max}$  values clearly exceed the frigger value of 5 indicating that no unacceptable adverse effects on earthworps are to be expected from the intended uses of Fosetyl-aluminium + Elepticalide WG 71.14 (FEA,  $\Psi$  FLGWG 71.11).

## CP 10.4.1.1 Earthworms sub-lethal effects

A formulation study on earth forms was carried out with Fosety-aluminium Fluopicolide (FEA + FLC WG 71.11 = FXP 11074B0 and was reviewed by the RMS for the Annex I inclusion of fluopicolide under Directive 91/414/EFC. The study has been considered acceptable (EFSA Scientific Report 299 (2009)). A summary of this study is given below.

2003; M-@19213@2-1

## Report: Title:

tle: Effects of AE \$053616.06 W\$71 Af on reproduction and growth of earhworms Eisona fetida in artfricial soil with 5% pear in the test substrate

Report No.: C035801 Document No.: Al-21925-02-1 Guideline(s): BBA: 1 2-2, 1994; ISO: 11268 part 2 (1998) Guideline deviation(s) not precificit GLP/GEP: yes

CP 10441 1/04

## Objective:

The purpose of this study was to assess the sublethal effects of Fosetyl-aluminium + Fluopicolide WG 71.11 (FEA + FLC  $\sqrt[3]{6}$  72.11) on reproduction, mortality and growth of the earthworm *Eisenia fetida* during apexposure in as artifical soft with 5 different test concentrations.

## Material and Methods:

Test item FEA FLC WG 71 T (AE F053616 06 WG71 A1), batch OP230059, a fungicide WG type product containing fosetyl-AL+ fluopicolide (measured concentrations 671 + 45.1 g/kg, respectively) as active ingredients.

240 adult (arthworms *Eisenia foetida* (approximately 11 to 12 months old, 4 x 10 animals per test group) were exposed in an artificial soil to the spraying rates of 2430, 8100, 12150, 16200 and 40500 g product/ha.

After 28 days, the number of surviving animals and their weight change were determined. They were then removed from the artificial soil.

After further 28 days, the number of offspring was determined.

The most recent reference test with Carbendazim (360 g a.s./L; trade name "Derosal SC360") was performed from August to October 2002. The test ensured that the laboratory test conditions were adequate and verified that the response of the test organisms did not change significantly over time.

## **Findings:**

During the 4 weeks of exposure, one adult worm died at the treatment groups of 8106 and 16200 mg/ha and two worms died in the control group and in the 250 mg/ha group. No dead adult earthworms were observed in any other test groups.

The body weights of adult worms in the treatment groups increased by 32.9 to 410% compare to 28.5% in the control. None of the weight changes was significantly different compared to the control of group (Dunnett-test,  $\alpha = 0.05$ ).

The reproduction ranged from 292 to 377 juvenile worms in the groups treated with test item. The reproduction was not significantly different compared to the control group, where 291 juvenile worms were found (Dunnett-test test,  $\alpha = 0.05$ ).

The quantity of food added (which roughly reflects the amount of food caten) was 25.0 g in all the control and treatment groups.

## **Observations:**

Effects on mortality and changes in body weight of the adults after an exposure period of 28 days and the number of offspring after 56 days.

	a. L			<u>N Ö</u>	<u> </u>	
	~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~	Eiseniaf	betida 🎺 👸		O X	
Test substance	Čontgol	a s	FEA	+ FLC WGØ	1.11	
Application rates		∑ 243€	8100	<b>\$2150</b>	16200	40500
(g product/ha) 🦄	1		ý <sub>S</sub> ì		20	
Mortality of adults 🔊	\$ 5.0		°27.5 Å	v 6.0 v	2.5	0
after 28 days (%)			Å,	O <sup>Y</sup> 4		
Mean change of adult body	+ 280,5	+ 36.3	→ + 32, <b>9</b>	+ 37.7	+ 36.7	+ 41.9
weights (%)			Y 5 .			
Standard deviation	<u> </u>	<u>8.3</u>	<u>م 14.4</u>	<u>+</u> 8.0	<u>+</u> 4.8	<u>+</u> 1.9
Statistical comparison to the	v	n.ş. 🖗	,	Ch.s.	n.s.	n.s.
çootrol*		$\wedge \sim$	O'a,			
Number of offspring per	~291	363 🔍	<u> </u>	y 292	311	345
🖧 group						
(56 days) 🔊 🖌			& A'			
Standard deviation	<u>_</u> 46 <sub>≪</sub>			+ 69	+ 45	+ 38
Statistical comparison to the	Ş Ş	°∼pa.s.	19.5.	n.s.	n.s.	n.s.
control*			<i>"0"</i>			

\* Result of a Dunnett's multiple t-test, one soled smaller,  $\alpha \otimes 0.05$ 

n.s.: mean value not statistically significantly different compared to the control  $(p \ge 0.05)$ 

		¥ 🔈		
Validity Criteria			Recommended	Obtained
Adultmortality	, Or		≶ _0≚10%	5%
Number of juven de	s per replicat		$\gtrsim 230$	mean of four replicates was 291 worms
Coefficient of oria	tion of repro	luction	$\Im$ $\leq 30\%$	15.9%
Coefficient of oria	tion of repro-	luction	$\leq 30\%$	15.9%

All validity criteria for the study were met.

Results of the most recent test with the reference substance (Carbendazim 360 g a.s./L): The  $EC_{50}$  for reproduction was calculated as 1.9 mg carbendazim/kg dry soil. The reproduction rate was significantly reduced at the application rates of 1.6 mg a.s./kg dry substrate.

## **Conclusion:**

Under the conditions of the test, the chronic toxicity of FEA + FLC WG 71.11 to the earthworm Eisenia foetida, is defined as follows: 28 day NOEL related to growth of adults: 40500 g/ha 56 day NOEL related to parent mortality and reproduction: 40500 g/ha

 $EC_{10}$  cannot be calculated, since the exposure to test item did not result @ an adverse effect on reproduction. The data meet the guideline requirements (coefficient of variation of the control reproduction < 30%). The NOEC is therefore considered reliable.

		,°
Report:	KCP 10.4.1.1/02	×
Title:	Fluopicolide + fosetyl-Al WG 1.1 (4.44+66.66) W: Ffects on survival, growth and	
	reproduction of the earthwoon Eisenia and it tested in artificial soil	
Report No.:	16 10 48 204 S	
Document No.:	M-566355-01-1	
Guideline(s):	EU Directive 91/414/EEC	
	Regulation (EC) No. 1107/2009 (2009)	
	US EPA OCSPP Not Applicable	
Guideline deviation(s):	none of the the the of	
GLP/GEP:	yes OV Y Y Y W Y K &	
<b>Objective:</b>		

The purpose of this study was to determine the sublethan effects of the test Hem of reproduction, mortality and growth of the earthworm *Clsenial andrej* by dermal and alignentary uptake using an artificial soil in a laborator test. The test was performed according to the recommendations of the OECD Guideline 222 (2004) and the International Standard \$0 1/268-2(1998)

## Materials and Methods

Test item: Flugpicolide + Fosetyl-ALWG 71.1 (444+66.06) W Short Jame: FLC + FEA WG 71.1 (4.44 + 66.66) W, Supplier batch NO.: EV39000244, Sample description: TOX10794-00, Specification No.: 102000024700, active ingredients (analysed confent): 4.47% w/w fluopicolide (AE C638206), 67.2% w/w/fosetyl-aluminium/JES 74783), water solubility dispersible.

Ŕ Adult earthworms (Eisenia and rei about months old were exposed to 18, 32, 56, 100, 178, 316, 562 and 1000 mg test, item/kg dry weight (dw) mixed into artificial soil containing 69.5% quartz sand, 20% kaolin clay, 10% sphaguum peat and 0.5% SaCO3 at 18,15 to 21.9 °C and a photoperiod: light : dark = 16 h : 8 h (58 flux) and were fed with horse manure. Mortality and biomass change were determined after 4 weeks and reproduction was determined after 8 weeks.

Toxic standard: 5 and 10 mg Maypon Flow Rg soil dw; control: untreated, solvent control: none.

# Dates of experimental work: June 02, 2016 July 28, 2016 Findings:

Ø

Ô

Validity Criteria (OECD 222)	Recommended	Obtained
Adult mortality after 4 weeks	$\leq 10\%$	$\leq 1.3\%$
Number of juveniles per replicate	$\geq$ 30	143, 161, 129, 187, 104, 165, 113 and 146
Coefficient of variation of reproduction:	$\leq 30\%$	19.3%

Test item		Flue	opicolido	e + Fosetyl-Al	WG 71.1	(4.44+66.66	)		0	
Test object				Eisenia a	ndrei					ð
Exposure		Mort	ality	Artificia Biomoss ob	ango	Doproduct	ion &		Å (	de la compañía de la comp
		NIUL	anty			Reproduct		C	5	
				[mg test iter	n/kg dw]		Ĩ	~~	s s	
NOEC LOEC		≥ 10 > 10	00	≥ 1000 > 1000	N.	178 346	<i>y</i>			ĵ,
$EC_{10}^{(1)}$				- "	v ,	Ô 133	, Q	) <sub>S</sub>	S I	, Ó <sup>y</sup>
(95% confiden	ce limits)			Ű,	<i>,</i>	<b>999 - 179</b>	```0 (	~~ (	°, °,	Ý
$EC_{20}^{(1)}$		-		a the second sec		200	Q,	à à	Å	
(95% confiden	ce limits)			- Ro		(182 - 27)	Ð ×		Ś	
<sup>1)</sup> based on Logi	t analysis			× 9				°	4, C	
Observations	:		~	Ą., Į			ST .			
		Fluopi	icolide	Fosetyl-Al X	G 71,1 (4.	44 <b>±6</b> 6.66)°		×,	A.	
			[	mg test item/k	g d ŵ.]	<u>~~~~~</u>	Ű	<u> </u>	0	
	Control	18	<sup>0</sup> 32	~ <b>5</b> 9	<b>√100</b> √	<b>178</b>	٢٩٢ 🕺	562	1000	
		4	Aortality	of adult work	s after Pw	reeko				
Mortality (%)	1.3	2.5	\$ 2.5	0.0	s <b>2</b> .5	Q 5.0 °	25	\$5.0	2.5	
Bi	omass char	ige (change	z in fresh	Weight after 4	weeks red	tive to Phitic	al fresh w	eight)		
Mean (mg)	102.9	Ø05.8	96:0	¥00.3 _	103.5	\$99.4 a	7104,7	110.0	102.4	
Mean (%)	31.3	32.4	.29.4	30.67	<i>3</i> ¥.3	30.0	324	32.5	31.9	
	Ŵ	Number of	juveniles	per survering	actult wor	after & wee	eks y			
Mean	14	c 14 8 Å	1205	~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~	110	126	10.2	7.64	3 72	

## Effects on mortality, growth and reproduction of the earthworms

% to control10010062.296.096.5983.369.950.525.6No statistically significant differences between control and test item were calculated for mortality (Multiple<br/>Sequentially-rejective fisher Test After Bonferoni-Horm,  $\alpha = 0.05$ , one-sided greater and for biomass Williams-<br/>t-test,  $\alpha = 0.05$ , two sided)69.950.525.6

Number of Juvenilys per replicates after & weeks

Reproduction compared to control (%)  $\mathcal{Q}$ 

1327.8

132.20

Ľ

m

138.5.

119.5

100 3\*

72.5\*

36.8\*

\* statistically significant different compared to control (Williams-t-test for reproduction,  $\alpha = 0.05$ , one-sided smaller)

In a reference test, the number of juvenites was reduced by 39 and 96% by the toxic standard Maypon Flow (Carbendazim, SØ 500) at concentrations of 5 and 10 mg product/kg dw in comparison to the control. Therefore, the observed effects assure a high sensitivity of the test system.

## مي Conclusion:

Mean

Fluopicolide + Fosetyl-Al WG 71, K4.44+66.66) showed no statistically significantly adverse effects on survival and growth of the earthworn *Eisenia andrei* in artificial soil up to and including 1000 mg test item/kg soil dw, i.e. the highest concentration tested. The test item showed statistically significant adverse effects on reproduction at 316, 562 and 1000 mg test item/kg dw. Therefore, the No Observed Effect Concentration (NOEC) for reproduction was determined to be 178 mg test item/kg dw, and the Lowest Observed Effect Concentration (LOEC) for reproduction was determined to be 316 mg test item/kg dw.

Ĉ

#### **CP 10.4.1.2 Earthworms field studies**

In view of the results presented in Section CP 10.4.1.1, no additional field studies were deemed necessary. CP 10.4.2 Effects on non-target soil meso- and macrofauna other than earthworms) Table 10.4.2- 1: Endpoints used in risk assessment

# 

Table 10.4.2-1. En	apoints used in risk as		
Test item	Test species, test design	Ecotoxicological entipoint.	Reference
Collembola, reproducti	on		
FEA + FLC WG 71.11	Folsomia candida reproduction 28 d, mixed	$\overrightarrow{NOEC_{L}} \ge 1000 \text{ mg/prod./kg/dws/}$	,; 2015; M-530597-0141 KCI <sup>Q1</sup> 0.4,2 <sup>Q0</sup> /01
Fosetyl-Al WG 80	Folsomia candida reproduction	NOEC 562 mg prod kg dws 452 4 mg x.s./kg dws	, S.; 2005; M-529932-01⊕ KCA& 4.2.1/01
Phosphonic acid	Folsomia candida reproduction 28 d, mixed	NØÆC ⊘≥ 1006 mg pm/kg dvO	,≪, 2015; №529267-01-1 RCA&A.2.1/03
Soil mites, reproduction	n 🖏 🖓 🍃		° 0'
FEA + FLC WG 71.11	Hyppaspis @culeife reproduction (14 d, noved 9	NOEC $\geq 1000$ mg prod./kg dws	T; 2015; M- 533325-01-1 KCP 10.4.2.1/02
Fosetyl-Al WG 80	Hypodspis actileifer reptoduction 19d, mixed	NOEC $\geq$ 805 mg a s/kg dws	, L.; 2015; M- 531417-01-1 KCA 8.4.2.1/02
Phosphonic acid	Hypoospis a deleifer reproduction 14. d, mixed	NOFC 2 1000 mg provkg dws	, M. I.; 2015; M- 532897-01-1 KCA 8.4.2.1/04
dws = dry weight soil; *	s. = active substance:	pm = pure metabolite; prod.: product	
Bold values: endpoine i	ised for risk essessmen		

Table 10.4.2- 1:	Endpoints used in risk assessment
------------------	-----------------------------------

## Risk assessment for other non-target soil meso- and macrofauna (other than earthworms)

		_		<u> </u>
Compound	Species	Endpoint	PECsoil,max	TERLT
FEA + FLC WG 71.11	Folsomia candida	$NOEC  \begin{array}{c} \geq 1000 \text{ mg prod.}/\\ \text{kg dws} \end{array}$	4.8 mg prod./	≥ 208.3
	Hypoaspis aculeifer	NOEC $\geq 1000 \text{ mg prod./}$	kødws	
Fosetyl-Al WG 80	Folsomia candida	NOEC 452.4 mg a.s./	0 1.067 mg a./	420.0
	Hypoaspis aculeifer	NOEC 2805 mg a.s./	kg dros	O≥ 75403
Phosphonic acid	Folsomia candida	NOEC 21000 tag pm	3.363 mg pm/	£,280.7.4 °
	Hypoaspis aculeifer	NOEC ≥1000 mgpm/ kg.dws	kgðws (	≥280.7

## Table 10.4.2-2: TER calculations for other non-target soil meso- and macrofauna

All TER values calculated with the worst case  $\overrightarrow{PEC}_{soit, max}$  values clearly exceed the trigger value of 5 indicating that no unacceptable adverse effects on sol macro-organisms are to be expected from the intended use of Fosetyl-aluminum + Tuopicolide WG 71.4.1 (FEA+ FLC WG 91.11)

## CP 10.4.2.1 Species level testing

## Folsomia candida

 Report:
 KCP 10.4.2.1/01

 Title:
 Fluopicolide fosety1-Al WG 71.10 (4.44 66.7) W - Effects on the reproduction of the collembolan *Folsomity candido* 

 Report No
 S 10.4 144 S

 Document No.:
 M-530597-01 S

 Guideline(s):
 OECD 232/(2009) SECD Guideline for testing of chemicals No. 232 (adopted 7

OECD 232 (2009) DECD Quideline for testing of chemicals No. 232 (adopted 7 September 2009) Collembolan Oproduction test in soil ISO 11267 (1999): Soil quality, Inhibition of reproduction of *Collembola (Folsomia candida)* by soil pollutants

Guideline deviation(s). not pecified

## Objective

The purpose of this study is to determine potential effects of different concentrations of the test item on the reproductive output of the collembolan *Folsomia candida* as a representative of soil microarthropods during a test period of 28 days. After 4 weeks the number of offspring (juveniles) and surviving parental collembolans were counted.

## Material and methods.

Test item: Fosefyl-AF + Floopicolide WG 71.14 (66.7 + 4.44), Short name: FEA + FEA WG 71.14 (66.7 + 4.44), Supplier batch No.: EV39000244, Sample description: TOX10794-00, Specification No. 102000024700, active ingredients (analysed content): 67.2% w/w fosetyl-aluminium (LS 74783), 4.47% w fluopicolide (AE C638206), water solubility: dispersible.

10 Collembola (9-12 days old) were exposed to 100, 178, 316, 562 and 1000 mg test item/kg dry weight of soil containing 74.7% quartz sand, 20% kaolin clay, 5% sphagnum peat and 0.3% CaCO<sub>3</sub>, at 19.8 - 21.7 °C and a photoperiod: light : dark = 16 h : 8 h (540 lux) and were fed weekly with granulated dry yeast. Mortality and reproduction were determined after 28 days.

Toxic standard: 44, 67, 100, 150 and 225 mg boric acid/kg soil d.w.; convol: untreated sol control: none.

## **Findings:**

## Mortality:

Mortality rates of 0 to 2.5% were recorded in the test item treatment groups. 2.5% parental mortality was observed in the control. No statistically significant effect (Multiple Sequentially rejective Fisher Test After Bonferroni-Holm,  $\alpha = 0.05$ , one-side greater) on parental mortality was found for any concentration tested. No effects on behaviour of the collembolins were observed doring the test

## Reproduction:

The mean number of juvenile collembodans counted four weeks after infoduction of the parental collembolans into the test vessels was 797 in the control and 778, 799, 707, 805 and \$88 at concentrations of 100, 178, 316, 562 and 1000 mg test item/kg soil d.wcrespectively No statistically significant effects (Williams-t-test  $\alpha = 0005$ , one-sided smaller) on the number of juveniles were found for any concentration tested.  $\mathcal{A}$ 

		×1			/
Test item		″ Fosetyl-AL	+ Fluopicolide W	G 71214 (66.7 + 4.44)	
Test object		N O	Følsomia can	dida 💊 🖉	
Exposure		<u>Ý s</u>	🔏 Artificial s	64 <b>7</b> ~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~	
mg test item/kg	Actualt @	Meannum	ber of juveniles	Dannadustion	Significance
soil dry weight	mortality	perct	est vessel O	(Reproduction	Significance
nominal concentration	n (%)	_ ∧ ± standa	ard deviation		(1)
Control 🔊	<u></u> 2 5 1	≪″ 7 <b>9</b> %y	~¥ 204	× ~ -	
100	~ 0.0 K	, 178 ,	√± <sup>™</sup> 74 <sup>™</sup>	≪J <sup>™</sup> 98	-
178	Q2.5 O	\$799 8	±0 53	<i>Q</i> 100	-
316	2.50	A 772 Y	76	لا € 97	-
562		\$05 <sup>°</sup> 805 <sup>°</sup>	± 115~	101	-
<b>1000</b>	. Ø . Ø 5 <sup>~ ©</sup>	788 (	)) <sup>*</sup> ± √ ¥40°	99	-
				Reproduction	
NOEC <sub>reproduction</sub> (mg.pr	re substance/kg soi	l dry weight)	Ő 🔌	$\geq 1000$	
LOEC <sub>reproduction</sub> (mg put	re substance kg soil	dry weight)		> 1000	

The calculation gwere performed with untounded values Ŵ

(\*) = (Williams-t-test one-sided-smaller,  $\alpha = 0.05$ ,  $\beta =$  significant, - = not significant) Percent reproduction: (R<sub>t</sub>/R) \* 100% R<sub>t</sub> = mean number of juveniles observed in the treated groups

 $R_t$  = mean flumber of juvepiles observed in the treated groups  $R_c$  = mean number of juvepiles observed in the control group

## Validity of the study:

Validity Criteria for the untreated control of the study according to OECD 232 from September 07, 2009.  $Q_{\mu}^{\circ}$ 

Validity criteria	Recommended by the guideline	Obtained in this study $\Psi$
Mean adult mortality	$\leq$ 20 %	2.5 %
Mean number of juveniles per replicate (with 10 collembolans introduced)	$\geq 100$	797 S
Coefficient of variation calculated for the number of juveniles per replicate		
	× .0.	

All validity criteria were met. Therefore this study is valid.

 $\bigcirc$ 

m

In a separate study (BioChem project No.  $R \sqrt{4}$  10 48 003 S, dated July 30 2014) the  $R C_{50}$  (reproduction) of the reference item boric acid was calculated to be 104 mg/kg coil dry weight. The results of the reference test demonstrate the solution of the test system  $\sqrt{2}$ 

m

## **Conclusion:**

The test item Fosetyl-Al + Fluopicolide WG 74.14 (66.7 + 4.44) bowed no statistically significantly adverse effects on adult mortality and reproduction of the collembolan *Polsonia cardida* in artificial soil up to and including 1000 mg test item/kg d.w.

Therefore, the overall No-Observed-Effect-Concentration (NOEC) was determined to  $ba \ge 1000 \text{ mg}$  test item/kg d.w., and the Lowest-Observed-Effect-Concentration (LOEC) was determined to be > 1000 mg test item/kg d.w.

EC<sub>10</sub> cannot be calculated, since the exposure to test item did not result in an adverse effect on reproduction. The maximum deviation from the control was 10%. The data meet the guideline requirements (coefficient of variation of the control reproduction 30%). The NOEC is therefore considered reliables

## Hypoaspis aculeifer

 Report:
 KCP (9,4.2.162)
 2015; N=533332-01-1

 Title:
 Fluepicolide + fose (1-Al WG 71,110 (44,440+666.660) W: Effects on the reproduction of the predatory mite/Hypoaspis aculeifer

 Report No.:
 A5 10 48,145 S

 Document No.:
 M-533225-01 fl

 Guideline(s):
 OECP 226 (2008)

 Guideline deviation(s):
 nor specified

 yes
 yes

## Objective

The purpose of this study was to determine potential effects of Fosetyl-aluminium + Fluopicolide WG 71.11 (FEA + FLC WG 71.11) on the mortality and the reproductive output of the soil mite species *Hypologies aculeifee* (Canestrini) as a representative of soil micro-arthropods during a test period of 14 clays.

## Material and prethods:

Test item: Fosetyl- $A^{+}$  Fluopicolide WG 71.110 (666.660 + 44.440) [short name: FEA + FLC WG 71.410 (666.660 + 44.440)], Supplier batch No.: EV39000244, Sample description: TOX10794-00, Specification No.: 102000024700, analytical findings: 67.2% w/w fosetyl-aluminium (LS 74783); 4.47% w/w fluopicolide (AE C638206).

Ten adult, female *Hypoaspis aculeifer* per replicate (8 control replicates and 4 replicates for each test item concentration) were exposed to control (water treated) and treatments. Concentrations of 100, 178, 316, 562 and 1000 mg test item/kg dry weight soil were tested. In each test vessel 20 g dry weight artificial soil were weighed in. The Hypoaspis aculeifer were of a uniform age not differing more than two days (35 days after start of egg laying). During the test, they were fed every 2 at days with Tyrophagus putrescentiae (Schrank).

During the study a temperature of 19.7 to 21.9 °C and light regime of 509 Paix, 16 h light 18 h dark was applied. The artificial soil was prepared according to the guideline with the following constituents (percentage distribution on dry weight basis): 74.8% fine quartz sand, 5% sphagnum peat, 0.2 CaCO<sub>3</sub> and 20% kaolin clay.

After a period of 14 days, the surviving adults and the living juventies were extracted by applying a temperature gradient using a MacFadyen-apparatus, Extracted mites were collected in a fixing liqui All Hypoaspis aculeifer were counted.

Ľ ′kg **sø**ĭl control: untreated, Reference item (Dimethoate): 1.00, 1.60, 2.56, 4.10 6255 and solvent control: none.

Findings

Tindings.	a y	,°~*0			S &
Validity Criteria	R &		Re	commended	Obtained
Mean mortality of adult females	5 V	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		$\leq 20\%$	S \$0% ~
Mean number of juveniles per replicat	te jõj		× 6	900	_©255.5 <sup>™</sup>
Coefficient of variation (mean number	of juvenile	es per replic	ate)	Q 30% O	13.4%
4	~C.	¥	. *	Ø Ö	, O

All validity criteria for the study were met

Effects on mortality and reproduction of Hypoaspis aculeifer

Test item		AFEA + FLC WG 71.1	10 (666.660 + 44.440)
Test object		🔊 🖓 🖓 🖽 Hypoaspis	aculeifer
Exposure		🍇 🍣 🖧 🎽 Artific	iaksõil
	ð <u>s</u>	O K Adult mortality	<b>Reproduction</b>
Ĉo	<u> </u>	mg test item) کې کې د	/kg soil d.w.)
NOEC		$\geq 1000$ $\sim$	$\geq 1000$
LOEC	. Ű. Š		> 1000
EC <sub>10</sub>		لا م <sup>2</sup> × 1000 م	> 1000
EC <sub>20</sub>	<u> </u>	$\sim$	> 1000
Reference tes	ta 04 A		

Reference test

In a separate study (BigChem project No. 14 10 48 001 S, dated June 10, 2014), the EC<sub>50</sub> (reproduction) of the reference item Dimethoate was calculated to be 6.2 mg/kg soil d.w.



Fndpoint		Treatment group (mg test item/kg soil d.w.)					
Enupoint	Control	100	178	316	562	<b>1000</b>	
Mortality of soil mites after 14 days (%)	5.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	2.5 0		
Mean number of juveniles after 14 days	255.5	253.8	252.5	248	269.5	253 0 C	
CV (%)	13.4	19.7	<b>LO</b> .7	Ø.7 °	Q.3	6 <sup>9.9</sup>	
Reproduction (% of control)	100	<b>99</b>	<b>\$</b> 99	~~ 97 ~~ 97			

Not statistically significantly different compared to the control (Chi<sup>2</sup> 2x2 Lable Test with Bonferroni Correction for mortality,  $\alpha = 0.05$ , one-sided greater; Welch-t-test for Inhoppogeneous Variances with Bonterroni Folm  $\mathcal{L}$ 

Adjustment for reproduction,  $\alpha = 0.05$ , one-sided smaller)

Calculations were done using unrounded values Percent reproduction:  $(R_t/R_c) * 100 \%$ 

 $R_t$  = mean number of juvenile mites in the treated group(s)

Ż

 $R_c$  = mean number of juvenile mites in the control group CV(%) = Coefficient of variation

Mortality: In the control group a parental mortality of 5 % treatment groups ranged between 0.0 and 2.5% The mortality in the test item could be observed. K)

## Reproduction:

Fourteen days after introduction of the parental mittes into the test vessels, the mean number of juveniles was 255.5 in the control and 253.8, 252.2, 248.5, 269.5 and 253.8 at concentrations of 100, 178, 316, 562 and 1000 mg test item kg soil d.w. stespectively.

Ľ m

Ø

## Conclusion:

The test frem FEA + FLC we 71. If showed no statistically significantly adverse effects on adult mortality and reproduction of the predatory mite Hypoaspis aculeifer in artificial soil at all tested concentrations. Ø

the No-Observed-Effect-Concentration (NOEC) and Therefore, Lowest-Observed-Effect-Concentration (LOEO) for mortality and for production were determined to be  $\geq 1000$  and > 1000 mg test item/kg sould.w., respectively. 2

å EC<sub>10</sub> cannot be calculated, shace the exposure to test item did not result in an adverse effect on reproduction. The maximum deviation from the control was < 10%. The data meet the guideline requirements (coefficient of variation of the control reproduction < 30%). The NOEC is therefore considered reliable

## Higher tier testing

soults presented in Section CP 10.4.2.1, no further testing is necessary.

#### **CP 10.5** Effects on soil nitrogen transformation

Table 10.5-1: End	dpoints used in risk as	ssessment				
Test item	Test design	Endpoint	Reference			
N-transformation		"Ø"				
FEA + FLC WG 71.11	Study duration 28 d	no (30.6 kg prod for unacceptable 40.8 mg prod./kg dws effects	,; <b>2</b> 903; M⊋18266 ∰-1 ≪CP 10,5/01 ↔			
Fosetyl-Al	Study duration 28 d	no unace orable effects 20.0 kg x.s./ha 26.6 mg a.s./g dws	, J.; 1098; 0 M6 84325 01-1 MCA 84001			
Fosetyl-Al WG 80	Study duration 42 d	nov 978 kg prod./ha unaccentable 0304 mg prod./g dws effecto <b>1067 mg a.s./kg dws</b>	M-307736-01-1 KCA 8.542			
Phosphonic acid	Study duration 422d	no unacceptable effects (55.31 mg pm/kg dws	M-528580-061 KQ& 8.5/03			
Bold values are used in the risk assessment O Y Y Y Y Y						
dws = dry weight soil; a.s. = active substance; pm pure petabolite; prod. product 🖉 🖉						
Risk assessment for S	Soil Nitrogen Transf	formation	L.			

#### T 11 10 7 1 F 1 · 4 1· · 1

1

## Risk assessment for Soil Nitrogen Transformation

Table 10.5- 2:	Risk Assessment for soil micro-organisms	je se
Compound	Species Species The second sec	PEC <sub>soil,max</sub> Refinement [mg/kg] required
FEA + FLC W	91.11 Soil moro-organisms 40.80 kg@rod./ha 4.8 k	g prod./ha No
Fosetyl-Al	Soil micro-erganisens 26.60 org a.s./kg dws 1.06	7 mg a.s./kg dws No
Fosetyl-AdWG 8	0 Soil migo-organisms 1067 mg a.s. kg dws 1.067	7 mg a.s./kg dws No
Phosphonic acid	Soil micro-organism 263.31 mg pm/kå dws 3.562	3 mg pm/kg dws No

. Ŵ

j.

According to regulator requirements the risk is acceptable, if the effect on nitrogen transformation at the maximum  $PEC_{so}$  values is <25% after 100 days. In no case, deviations from the control exceeded



A formulation study on soil nitrogen transformation was carried out with Fosetyl-aluminium + Fluopicolide WG 71.11 (FEA + FLC WG 71.11 = EXP 11074B) and reviewed by the RMS for the Annex I inclusion of fluopicolide under Directive 91/414/EEC. The study has been considered acceptable (EFSA Scientific Report 299 (2009)). A summary of this study is given below.

.; 2003; M-218266-01-1 🖉

**Report:** Title:

Report No.: C035159 Document No.: Guideline(s): Guideline deviation(s): **GLP/GEP:** yes

AE C638206 & fosethyl Al WG71: Determination of effects on nitrogen transformation in soil M-218266-01-1 OECD: 216 not specified

KCP 10.5/01

## **Objective:**

The objective of the experiment was to determine the influence .080 nd 40.80 mg of FEA FLC soil? WG 71.11/kg dry weight soil on nitrogen transformation in an agricultural 

## **Material and Methods:**

0236, a fungicid@WG type batch OP Test item: FEA + FLC WG 71.11 (AE F053616 06 WG2) product containing fosetyl-Al + floopicolide (measured concentrations 687 43.5 g/kg, respectively) as active ingredients). N

A silty sand soil was exposed for 28 days to concentrations of 4.08 and 40.8 mg FEA + FLC WG 71.11/kg dry weight soil (application rates were equivalent to 3.06 and 30.60 kg FEA + FLC WG 71.11/ha, which is equivalent to 1x and 10x maximum field rate, respectively,

Lucerne-grass-green meal (5 gkg dry weight soil) was added to soil samples to stimulate nitrogen transformation.

## Findings:

## Validity Criteria of the

In this study, the highest coefficient of variation (CV) between nitrate-N concentration in replicate control samples was 6% (7 and 14 days after treatment) and thus did not exceed the required limit <15%.

Effects on non-target soil micro	rganisina 🖉 🔘 嶡				
Test substance 🕺 炎	TEAST FLC	WG 71.11			
Test object of the test object of test object object of test object of test object of test object object of test object object of test object object of test object obj	Sold sold micro-	organisms			
	Jiroger transformat	tion (silty sand soil)			
Exposure	28 d	ays			
mg kg dry weight soil Q	4.08	40.80			
kg/ha (equivatent)	× × × × 206	30.60			
	(recommended field rate)	(10x recommended field rate)			
Final result after 28 days	Difference to control: 0%	Difference to control: +11%			
	~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~	(<25%)			
Conclusion: 🖉 🔿 🗸	~Q				

## Conclusion

During the 28-day experiment, the maximum field rate of FEA + FLC WG 71.11 (3 kg/ha) and 10-folg this field rate of FEA + FLC WG 71.11 had no influence on the microbial mineralization of nitrogen to desilty and amended with lucerne-grass-green meal.

## **CP 10.6** Effects on terrestrial non-target higher plants

The risk assessment is based on the "Guidance Document on Terrestrial Ecotoxicology", (SANCO/10329/2002 rev2 final, 2002). It is restricted to off-field situations, as non-target plants are greater off-field as non-crop plants located outside the treated area. Spray drift from the treated areas may produce residues of a product in adjacent off-crop areas.

Tier 1 limit tests have been conducted with the formulation Fosetyl-aluminium +OFluopicolide WG 71.11 (FEA + FLC WG 71.11) according to OECD testing guideline 208A and 208B. These studies have been reviewed by the RMS for the Annex I inclusion of fluopicolide under Directive 91/414/EEC. The studies have been considered acceptable (EFSA Scientific Report 299, 2009). The findings of these studies are provided in the following table and summaries can be found in Section CP 10.6.2.

Table 10.6- 1:	Endpoints used in ri	sk assessment (FEA +	FLC WG 71.11	Â.
Test organism	Study type	Max, effects	Test species	References
Maximum applic	ation rate: 3.0 kg prod	uct/ha		
Terrestrial non- target plants; 6 species	Vegetative vigour; Tier vigour; Tier vigour; Tier vigour; Tier vigour;	no adverse effect 5% reduction of shoot fresh weight no adverse effect no adverse effect no adverse effect	Qilseed rape Qilseed rape Soybean Soybean Gats J	2003; M-235777-01-1 KCP 10.6.2/01
	ation pare: Savkg plan	all of the second second		
Q.		ng adverse effect	ے "Lettuce Oilseed rape	
Terrestrial fon-	Seedling emergence;	Q16% reduction of germination	Cucumber	A; · 2003· M-115892-01-1
6 species	21 days	de adverse effect	Soybean	KCP 10.6.2/02
() J		no figverse effect	Oats	
		Ano adverse effect	Onion	

In the case of EA  $\pm$  FLC WG 71.11, neither the tier 1 seedling emergence nor the vegetative vigour study showed phytotoxic effects >50% at the tested rate of 3.0 kg prod./ha.

## **Risk assessment for Terrestrial Non-Target Higher Plants**

Effects on non-target plants are of concern in the off-field environment, where they may be exposed to spray drift. To demonstrate the low risk of the formulation to terrestrial non-target plants, TER calculations have been performed for the representative use in grapes. The test rate of 3.0 kg prof./ha was used as a most conservative endpoint estimate (i.e.,  $ER_{50} > 3.0$  kg prod./ha). For three applications to grapes up to 6.9% of the full application rate of 3.0 kg prod./ha are assumed to reach areas at som from the edge of the crop. The amount of spray drift from three applications reaching off-crop habitats is calculated using the 77th percentile estimates derived by the BBA (2000)<sup>2</sup> from spray-drift predictions of Ganzelmeier & Rautmann (2000)3. According to Table 13 in the Guidance document for Risk Assessment on Birds and Mammals (EFSA, 2009<sup>4</sup>) multiple application factor of 1.8 (MAF, Rean) has to be applied for three applications in grapes with a minimum interval of 10 days It should be 0 pointed out that the use of MAFmean for residues on plant surfaces is also supported by the new ESCORT 3 document (cf. , 2012<sup>5</sup>).

A deterministic risk assessment is provided in the following. Q. ×,

Table 10.6- 2:	Deterministic risk assessm	ent based	on the ER51	3.0 kg prod./ha	L
	A	~~~	a		<b>~</b> "

Сгор	Use pattern	Distance from Drift MAF	PER     TER       [kg procha]     (Trigger = 5)
Grapes	3 x 3.0 kg prod./ha (10 days interval)		0.3735 - 8.1
	(		

From the calculation above, it is concluded that effects of the product on non-target errestrial plants are not to be expected.

## Summary of screening data



BBA (2000) Sendesanzeige g. 52 (Official Gazette), Nr 100, S. 9879-9880 (25.05.2000) Bekanntmachung 2 über die Abrifteckwerte, de bei der Prüfung und Zulassung von Pflanzenschutzmitteln herangezogen werden. Poblic domain. ~Ç

5 , P. (2012): Rationale for harmonization of the multiple application factor (MAF) approach in ecotoxicological risk assessment. In: Alix, A., Bakker, F., Barrett, K., Brühl, C.A., Coulson, M., Hoy, S., Jansen, J.-P., Jepson, P., Lewis, G., P., Süßenbach, D., van Vliet, P. (eds.); ESCORT 3; Linking Non-Target Arthropod Testing and Risk Assessment with Protection Goals. pp. 90-94. SETAC Press

<sup>3</sup> Ganzelmeier H Rautmann D 2000) Drift, drift-reducing sprayers and sprayer testing. Aspects of Applied Biology 57, 2900, Pesticide Application. Public domain.

<sup>4</sup> European Food Safety Authority; Guidance Document on Risk Assessment for Birds & Mammals on request from EFSA. EFSA Journal 2009; 7(12):1438. [139 pp.].

## CP 10.6.2 Testing on non-target plants

## **Vegetative vigour**

Report:

Title:

Report No.: Document No.: Guideline(s): Guideline deviation(s): GLP/GEP:

KCP 10.6.2/01 力; 2003; M-23577分01-1 Non-target terrestrial plants: An evaluation of the effects of AE F053616.06 WCM A1 in the vegetative vigour test (Tier 1) C034924 M-235777-01-1 OECD 208 B (July 2000, draft) not specified no

## **Objective:**

The purpose of the study was to evaluate the phytofoxic effects of Fosetyl-aluminium + Fluopicolide WG 71.11 (FEA + FLC WG 71.11) on six species representing non-target terrestrial plant species during the seedling emergence and growth following a pre-emergence application of the product.

## Material and methods:

Test item was FEA + FLC WG 7511 (AE F053616 06 WG71 A1; other Code Nos EXP41074); Lot No.: OP230059; active ingredient: fosetal-Al (AE F053616) 671 g/kg and Puopie bide (AE C638206) 45.1 g/kg.

45.1 g/kg. Six species of terrestrial non-target plants (2 monocots and 4 dicots) were treated with the highest nominal product application rate (0 3 kg/ba.

The species tested were chcumber (*Cucumis sativus*) lettuce (*Lactuca sativa*), bat (*Avena sativa*), oil seed rape (*Brassica nopus*) orbon (*Alfum cepa*) and soybean (*Gocine max*). Plants were treated at the 2-4-leaf stage with form spray appreation

Spray treatments were applied once, at test initiation with a sprayer set at the nominal spray volume of 400 L/ha. Control pots were sprayed with deionzed water. Four replicates with five seeds per pot for each species were lested All poss were individually contained in saucers and retained on benches within a greenhouse Plants were assessed for emergence, survival and rated for phytotoxicity on days 7, 14 and 21 days. At study termination, biomass endpoint determinations were performed for plant fresh weights.

## **Findings:**

A summary of all the assessments for the day 21 vesetative vigor test (Tier 1) for the effects of FEA + FLC WG 71. Ware shown in the table below:

O

$\sqrt{2}$				
Dilse	ed rape Cucumber	Soybean	Oats	Onion
Mortality * * * * * *	0	0	0	0
Phytotoxicity (% of copyrol)	0 0	0	0	0
Fresh Weight (% growth inhibition)	-5 +9	+1	+1	+25

"+" means an increase of the evaluated endpoint; "-" means a decrease

\* Mortaby is a Greasure of the Ourvival of those plants that germinated and effect of the treatment is presented as a percentage of the survival in the control.

There was no effect of FEA + FLC WG 71.11 on the emergence and mortality of the six species tested.

No phytotoxicity was observed in the six species tested.

The only adverse effect on biomass was a 5% reduction of fresh weight for oilseed rape. No statistically significant effect for any measurement parameter was detected for any species tosted.

## **Conclusion:**

This study shows that the highest nominal product application rate for FEA+FLC &G 3 kg/ha shows no adverse effect >50% to representative non-target crops in the vegetative vigor tes

## **Seedling emergence**

This study shows that	the highest nominal product application rate for FEA+FLC & G 7221 of
3 kg/ha shows no adver	se effect >50% to representative non-target crops in the vegetative vigor test.
-	
Seedling emergence	
Report:	KCP 10.6.2/02
Title:	Non-target terrestrial plants: An gyaluation of the effects of AE \$053616 WG71 A1 in
	the seedling emergence and growth test (Tier 1) and a seedling emergence and growth test (Tier 1)
Report No.:	SE03/07 $\Delta$ $\partial$ $Q$ $Q$ $\partial$ $\partial$ $\partial$ $\partial$
Document No.:	M-115892-01-1 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2
Guideline(s):	OECD: 208 A
Guideline deviation(s):	not specified Q V V V V V V V
GLP/GEP:	$\mathbf{no} \qquad \qquad$
	A B B B B B O S D Y

## **Objective:**

The purpose of the study was to evaluate the phytotoxic effects of Fosetyl-aluminium + Fluopicolide WG 71.11 (FEA + FLC WG 71 1) on Six species representing non-targe terrestrial plant species during the seedling emergence and growth following a pre-emergence apploation of the product.

Ŵ

## Material and methods:

Test item was FEA FLG WG TI1 (AE F053616 06 WG7) A1; other Code No.: EXP11074); Lot No.: OP230059; aotive ingredient: fosetyl-Ar AE F053616,671 g/kg and fluopicolide (AE C638206) 45.1 g/kg.

Six species obterrestrial non-targer plants (2 monocots and & dicots) were treated with the highest nominal product application rate for EEA + FLC WG 71,11 of Kg/ha. The species tested were cucumber (Cucumis sativus), dettuce (Lactuca sativa), out (Avena sativa), oil seed rape (Brassica napus) onion (Allium Sepa) and soybean (Glycine max).

All seeds were planted on the day of application and test duration was 21 days following application Ľ) of the test item.

Spray treatments were applied once at test initiation, with a sprayer set at the nominal spray volume of 400 liters that. Control pors were sprayed with deionized water. Four replicates with five seeds per pot for each species were tested. All pots were individually contained in saucers and retained on benches within a greenbouse. Ø

Plants were assessed for emergence, survival and cated for phytotoxicity on days 7, 14 and 21 days. At

Plants were assessed for emergence, survival and dated for phytotoxicity on days 7, 14 and 2 study termination, biomass endpoint determinations were performed for plant fresh weights.

## **Findings:**

A summary of all the assessments for the day 21 seedling emergence and growth test (Tier 1) for the effects of FEA + FLC WG 71.11 are shown in the table below:  $Q_{\mu}^{\circ}$ 

	Lettuce	Oilseed rape	Cucumber	Soybean	Oats Onion
Germination (% inhibition)	-21	0	-16	+29	
Mortality * (% of control)	+7	0	<u></u> -6	+18	
Phytotoxicity (% of control)	0	0	۰ ۵ ۵		
Fresh Weight ** (% growth inhibition)	-10	+	+4 ~~		

"+" means an increase of the evaluated endpoint; "-" means a decrease in the e

\* Mortality is a measure of the survival of those plants that germinated and effect of the treatment is presented as a percentage of the survival in the control.

\*\* on a per plant basis, and statistical analysis using the Williams Test revealed no significant differences between control and treatment for any species at the 5% level.

Reductions in germination of lettuce and eucumber were observed 21 days after application. There were no major adverse effects on biomass. Only lettuce showed a 10% reduction in biomass, but this was not statistically significant. No statistically significant effects of any species tested.

## **Conclusion:**

**CP 10.** 

This study shows that the highest nominal product application rate for FEA. FLC WG 71.11 of 3 kg/ha shows no adverse effect 50% to representative non-target Gops in the seedling emergence and growth test.

## CP 10.6.3 Extended laboratory studies on non-target plants

In view of the results presented in Section CP 10.6.2, no further studies are deemed necessary.

## CP 10.6.4 Semi-field and field tests on non-target plants

In view of the results presented in Sections CP 15.6.2 and CP 10.6.3, no further studies are deemed necessary.

## **Effects on other tervestrial organisms (flora and fauna)**

No further tests on other terrestrial organism teem to be necessary due to the low to moderate acute and chronic ecotoxicity of Fosetyl-atuministin + Fluopiclide WG 71.11 as presented in Sections CP 10.1 to CP 10.6. Additionally, no public literature reference as evaluated in Document MCA, Section 9, reported on an adverse effect @

## CP 198 5 Monitoring data

No monitoring data have been collected by the applicant nor have they been reported in any of the public literature references as evaluated in Document MCA, Section 9. Due to the low to moderate acute and chronic ecotoxicity of Fosetyl-aluminium + Fluopicolide WG 71.11 as presented in Sections CP 10.1 to CP 10.7, no monitoring of non-target organism is deemed to be necessary.