







## **OWNERSHIP STATEMENT**

This document, the data contained in it and copyright therein are owned by Bayer and/or affiliated entities. No part of the document or any information contained therein mark between to any third party without the prior writte

by By initial of the second se



# Ů Version history Document identifier and A second recent prime de la similar approach to speving revision Rando en sino Ibsory acultingen sino Ibsory ac Data points containing amendments or additions<sup>1</sup> and Date topper the particular of the p brief description Gersion number ñ







#### **CP 7** TOXICOLOGICAL STUDIES ON THE PLANT PROTECTION **PRODUCT**

Aclonifen was included in Annex I to Council Directive 91/414/EEC in 2008 Directive 2008 Entry into Force on 01 August 2009).

Diflufenican was included on Annex I of Directive 91/414/EEC on 1 January 2009 under Inclusion Directive 2008/66/EC and implemented under Regulation (EU) No 540/2011. The Annex Finclusion Directives for Diflufenican (2008/66/EC) provide specific provisions under Part B which need to be considered by the applicant in the preparation of the submission and by the MS prior to graphing and authorisation. For the implementation of the uniform principles of Angex VI, the conclusions of the review report on Diflufenican and in particular Appendices I and II thereof, as finalised in the Standing Committee on the Food Chain and Animal Health on 13/03/2008 and on 16/06/2009, respectively, shall be taken into account.

The formulation Aclonifen + diflufenicar SC 609 (500+100 g/L) (or ACL+OFF SC 600 (\$00 + 100) G), is a suspension concentrate formulation containing 500 g/L of actionifen and 100 g/L of diflutonican. This formulation is registered throughout Europe under trade names such as Materry Duo SC 600 (Product code specification #10200002999%). This formulation was not a representative product under the previous dossier submitted for Annex I inclusion.

This present dossier in support of approval renewabincludes all the data submoted at the time of the Annex I inclusion, in summaries apdated and re-evaluated as necessary to take account of current validity criteria and data requirements. 

#### **CP 7.1**

Aclonifen + diminican SC 600 (500+100 g/L) s a Suspension Concentrate (SC) formulation containing 500 g/L of a clorifien and 100 g/L of diffufencean. The in vivo studies submitted have been evaluated for registered products throughout Europe. A summary of these the acute toxicity studies including iritancy and skin sensitisation car be found for Table below and the individual study summaries are provided in the subsections CP 7.1 or 7.6.

Endpoint	Species (Sex)	Results of	Acceptability	Classification (acc. to the criteria in Reg. 1272/2008)	Reference
Acute oral toxicity	Rat (M & F)	LD <sub>50</sub> > 2000 mg kg	Yes	None	KCP 7.1.1/01 M-557590-01-1 , 2016
Acute derma	Ray (M & F)	LB30 > 2000 mg/kg	Yes	None	KCP 7.1.2/01 M-555502-01-1 Tarcai, 2016
Acute	Ration & E	LC <sub>50</sub> > 2.44 mg/L air	Yes	None	KCP 7.1.3/01 M-563930-01-1 2016
Acute skin irritation	<i>In vitro</i> assay- human skin	Not irritant	Yes	None	KCP 7.1.4/01 M-556102-01-1 , 2016

Summary of Acute toxicity studies with Aclonifen + difforenican SC 600 (500+100 g/L).

cute toxicit



Acute eye irritation	In vitro assay- chicken eyes	Not irritant	Yes	None	KCP 7.1.5/01 M-554098-01-1 , 2016	
Skin sensitization	Mouse (F) Local Lymph Node Assay	Not sensitising	No	Skin Sens. 1A, H317 O	KCP 7.1.6/01 M-558669-05 2016 2016	ð.

Overall, Aclonifen + Diflufenican SC 600 (500+100 g/L) was tested in standard *in vivo* studies for oral, dermal and inhalation toxicity. The LD<sub>50</sub> was greater than 2000 mg/kg by for oral and dermal toxicity. For inhalation toxicity the LC<sub>50</sub> was greater than 2.44 mg/L. (The target concentration of S mg/L was not tested in the acute inhalation toxicity study.) The product is not classified based on the result from the acute inhalation toxicity study.) The product is not classified based on the result from the acute inhalation toxicity study. Skin and eye irritation were tested in *in vivo* assays. The assays can provide evidence on no classification and as they were negative for skin and eye irritation, respectively, the product is not considered to have irritant properties. Skin densitieation was tested in a LNA. Due to the limited reliability of the skin sensitisation study an alternative approach under Regulation (EC) No 1272/2008 is applied. The product contains as a close in information of a mixture as skin sensitiser.

sensitiser. The active substance aclonifen has a harmoorised classified with Skin Sens. 1A H317 and Car 2., H351. This classification is transferred to the product of the

CP 7.1.1 Oral	toxicity, and a contract of the second s
Data Point:	KCBV11/01
Report Author:	
Report Voor:	
Report Title:	$\sqrt{2010}$ $\sqrt{20}$ $\sqrt{20}$ $\sqrt{20}$ $\sqrt{20}$
	study in male and female rats (up and down procedure)
Report No:	160056-00P & A A A
Document No:	M-557590-01-1 ~ ~ ~ ~
Guideline(s) followed in x	OECD Guidelines for testing of cherencals (16.: 425, adopted October 2008)
study:	Commission Regulation (EC) no 440/2008 of 30 May 2008, B.1. TRIS
	EVA Health Effects Test Guidelines (OPPTS 870.1100), United States, EPA 712-
	C-02-190, December 2002)
Deviations from corrent	Current Guideline: @ECD 425, 2008
test guideline:	No rignificant deviations
Previous evalQation: O	Bo, not previously submitted
GLP/Officially	Yes conducted under GLP/Officially recognised testing facilities
recognised testing	
facilities:	
Acceptability/Reliability:	Yes or or
Q <sup>°</sup> , s	
	Fi e q
Ĵ ÂS Ĉ	
JA D A	
Executive Summary	$\mathcal{A}_{\mathcal{Y}}^{v}$

Ar acute oral toxicity study was conducted on rats using the Up and down Procedure. The product ACL+DFF SC 600,000 g/L was administered as aqueous suspension by oral gavage to CRL: (WI) rats. Doses were calculated according to the OECD Test Guideline 425. A preliminary dose started at 550 mg/kg bw (one animal per sex) and as both animals survived, a limit dose of 2000 mg/kg bw was then performed (3 animals/sex). All doses were followed by a 14-day observation period.



All animals were observed individually after dosing at 30 min, 1, 2, 3, 4 and 6 hours post-treatment and once daily for 14 days thereafter. Body weight was measured on Day-1 (prior removal of the food), Day 0 (prior administration) and weekly thereafter. All animals were examined Ņ macroscopically at the end of the observation period.

No mortality was observed at any dose levels, up to and including 2000 mg/g bw. No effects were observed on body weights or body weights gains in any animal during the set of t observed on body weights or body weights gains in any animal during the study. S

A. MATERIALS

A.

1.	Test materials:	CL+DFF SC2600,060 g/L
		Spectrication 10200022998 5 5 5
	Description:	Q Yellow suspension S Q S S S
	Lot/Batch:	Batch No. 2006-000027
	Purity:	Actionifep (AE F068300) 40.787 w/w 2
	×*	Diflutenican (AE F088657) 8.59% w/w S
	Stability of test co	mpound Shown to be stable (see MCP2)
	St O	
2.	Vehicleo	Distanted water a gr w
3.	Test animals	
	Species:	Rat S S
	Strain:	Westar (CRL:WI)
	Age	Young adult rats, 8-D weeks old
	Weight actosin	بوت <u>198</u> 344 ° <sup>©</sup>
	Source:	
	Acclimatisation	n period: One Steek (at least)
A	$\frac{\sqrt{2}}{\sqrt{2}}$ Diet: $\sqrt{2}$	Diet for faits and mice, ssniff <sup>®</sup> , SM R/M, ad libitum
	Water:	Tap water, ad libitum
	Housing.	Individual caging, material type II.
		sawdust bedding to allow digging and other normal rodent
		activities
4	Environmental con	ditions:
	CO* Temperature	$19.0^{\circ}C \pm 22.4^{\circ}C$
	Humidity:	30-66 % relative humidity
	Air changes:	12-15 times/hour



Photoperiod: Day-night rhythm 12 hours (7 a.m.- 7 p.m.)

#### STUDY DESIGN AND METHODS В.

1. In life dates:

16 March 2016 to 21 June 2016

#### 2. Animal assignment and treatment

A single oral (gavage) administration was followed by a 14-day observation perfod. The animals were fasted overnight prior to treatment. Water was still available ad libbum overnigh Animals were weighed before dosing and food was returned 3 hours after treatment.

Initially one male animal was dosed at the study limit dose. Single animals were dosed sequentially . following a surviving interval of at least approxinately 48 hours? When the oncome for each animal was established, then the next individual animal was treated at the next appropriate dose, higher or lower than the previous dose depending on outcome; or at the limit dose of 2000 mg/kg bw.

Initially dose level of females was the limit dose of the study. All anitals were observed for clinical signs of toxicity immediately after treatment and once daily at the carly morning ap to the end of the 2 weeks observation period.

All animals were observed individually after dosing at 30 minutes, then 1, 2, 3, 4, and 6 hours and once daily for 14 days thereafter individual observations were performed on the skin and fur, eyes and mucous membranes and also respiratory, circolatory autonomic and central nervous system, somatomotor activity and behavior pattern.  $\bigcirc$ 

Particular attention was directed by observation of tremors, convulsions, salivation, diarrhea, lethargy, sleep and coma The body weights of the animals were recorded on Day -1 and Days 0 (before treatment), 7 and 14,

#### 3. Statistics

Group means, and standa calculated. body

> Į, ISCUSSION

# A. MORTALITY >

No mortality occurred as give

Table 7.1.	∋r ~∵> Agge+D	PFP SC 600,000 g/⊥	L - Acute oral tox	icity study in rats –	- mor
and clinical	signs 🕺 🕺	~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~			
	Bose (mg/kg by)	Number animals*	Duration of signals	LD50 (mg/kg bw) (14 days)	
& Males	5502	0/1/1	N/A	> 500	
Females	550	0/1/1	N/A		l
Males	2000	0/3/3	N/A	> 2000	
Females	2000		N/A		1

tality



					1				l	
			0/3/	3						0
* Number of animals which died/number of animals with clinical signs/number of animals used.										
R CLIN		REERVATIO	NS				S.			Ŝ)
D. CLIN		ini col torri oite		a a mara d			<i>"0"</i>	2		Ĩa
no overt :	signs of ci		y were ob:	served.	Ĉa		S.	۰ م ب		
					A.	, C	Ŭ <sup>¥</sup>	Ď.		
C. BODY	WEIGH	Т			a s	20°	Ć	Ŷ Â		K <sup>O</sup>
Body wei	ght gain w	vas unaffected	d by treatr	nent (se	atable bel	ow):&		, de la	Ŭ	Ş
				, Q°	~ °	J. J		» ```	S , Ş	/
Table 7.1	<b>.1-2</b>	ACL+DFF S	C 600,000	) 🔊 – A	oute oral	toxicity s	study in pa	ts – þod	y weight	0
Дохаде			Body weig	ht (g) 🖉	, _,	Â,	Body Weig	ht Gain	Ê Î	1
la/ka	Sex		Days		ay . A	Þ Á	, ŠŠ (g)			
bw]		-1	<u>A</u>	چ 7 _ 4	14	A-0	0-7	7.94		
	М	337	Q. 317,	385	>430	Ĩ.20 Å	AS AS	A 45 %	پر 93	
550	F	211	1.28	236	\$241	-19	0 <sup>38</sup> °	<u>5</u> 4	30	
	М	352	&y321 _0	3814	420	≪-31 °∼	\$ 600	م 39	68	
	М	*368	344	395	×428	· -24	S1 .	33	60	
	М	☆ 352 🔊	<b>√</b> \$24	0363	397	×28 \$	39~	34	45	
	Mean±	357.3	329.7 ©	379. <b>3</b> ♥	415.0	 ℤ ⊑	50.0	35.3 +3.2	57.7 +11.7	
2000			″±1 <b>∦</b> ≯	$\xrightarrow{\pm 10.0}$	$\sim$ $24$	<u> </u>		12	±11.7	
				~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~	246		≈ <u>2</u> 4	15	25	
	<u>F</u>	× 229	215	<u>235</u> *	250		20	15	27	
Į S	∕ F Mean±	218 220.7	2009 211.0±	233 233.7±	245 247%0#	~0° -07 ±	24 22.7 ±	12 13.3±	27 26.3±	
**	SD SD	2(5)	<ul> <li>✓ 3.5 ○</li> </ul>	1,2,7	<u>%2.6</u>	<u>2.1</u>	2.3	1.5	1.2	
	n n n n n n n n n n n n n n n n n n n	AZ	× LY.	D'	, <sup>O'</sup> , <sub>Q</sub>					
D. NEC	ROPSY			) ) , 0	<sup>y</sup> O <sup>y</sup>					
No advers	e finding				ð					
Ĩ	,		Ũ,		KJ 1					
E, DEF		Ĕs A								
None.	<i>0</i> , ``	10° ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~	Q ,	~~` ~~						
Å				\$						
		\$~.2 <sup>°</sup>	III.	CONC	LUSION	S				

The oral  $1000 \text{ m}^{-1}$  of ACL+DFF SC 600,000 g/L was to be greater than 2000 mg/kg bw in male and female SRL: (WI) rats. ACL+DFF SC 6000,000 g/L is therefore not classified as harmful by ingestion according to Regulation (EC) 1272/2008.



Assessment and conclusion by applicant: All validity criteria were satisfied and therefore this study can be considered to be valid. The oral LD50 of ACL+DFF SC 6000,000 g/L was to be greater than 2000 mg/kg bw in male and female CRL: (WI) rats. Ĉŝ Assessment and conclusion by RMS: **CP 7.1.2 Dermal toxicity** Data Point: KCP 7.1.2/02 Report Author: Report Year: 2016  $\frac{2016}{\text{Aclonifen} + \text{diffutenican SC}} SC (90,0000500,000+100000 g/L)^2 \text{Acute dermal}$ Report Title: toxicity study in rats 1 Report No: 16¢056-002P M-555562-01-1\* Document No: Guideline(s) followed in OECD 402 (1987); EPA OPP 870 200 (1998); EC 440 2008 (2008) study: Deviations from current Current guideling; OECEC No devision 🔍 test guideline: No, not previously submitted Previous evaluation GLP/Official conducted under GP/Offically recognised testing facilities recognised testing n facilities: Acceptability/Reliabil 

# Executive Summary

In an acute dermal toxicity test, groups of 5 male and 5 female CRL:(WI) rats were exposed by the deranal route to CB+DFESC 600000 (\$00,000 100,000) g/L at a limit dose of 2000 mg/kg bw. The animals were systematically observed daily daring the 14 days observed period.

No mortality occurred. Overt clinical signs of deleterious effects related to treatment were not observed. Only the skin of the application area showed colourisation due to the colour of the test substance. No treatment-related changes were observed at autopsy and no effects were observed on body weights or body weight gains in any animal during the study.

Based on the above results, the median lethal dose (LD<sub>50</sub>) of CL+DFF SC 600,000 (500,000+100,000) g/L after a single derma application was > 2000 mg/kg bw in male and female rats. CL+DFF SC 600,000 (500,000+100,000) is therefore not classified for dermal toxicity under Regulation (EC) 1272/2008.



#### **I. MATERIALS AND METHODS**

#### MATERIALS A.

A.	MATERIALS	
1.	Test materials:	ACL+DFF SC 600,000 g/L
	Description:	Yellow suspension
	Lot/Batch:	Batch No. 2016-000027
	Purity:	Aclonifen (A&F068300) 4&7% w/w
		Diflufenican (AE F088657) $8.59\%$ w/w $30\%$
	Stability of test compound:	Shown to be stable (see MCP2)
2.	Vehicle:	None A A A A A A A
3.	Test animals:	
	Species:	Rat <sup>o</sup>
	Strain:	Wistar (CRL VI) C C C C
	Age:	Data not provided 4
	Weight at dosing:	221 g - Q 73 g - Q - Q - Q - Q - Q - Q - Q - Q - Q -
	Source: 😓 🖓 🖉	
	Acclinatisation period.	Ope week (at least)
	Diet K	Diet for rats and mice, ssniff <sup>®</sup> , SNPR/M, ad libitum
	Water: S &	Tap wate ad librum
	Housing:	Jurdividual casting, T material type II.
		Polypropyle re/pokyčarbo Date. Housed with deep wood
4.	Environmental conditions:	
	Temperature	$20^{\circ}C \pm 24.3^{\circ}C^{\circ}$
	Humiditte St	28-58% relative humidity
S	Air changes: A	1520 times/hour
A	Photoperiod.	Day-nght rhythm 12 hours (7 a.m 7 p.m.)
	A A Q Z	
B.	STUDY DESIGN AND ME	THODS
1. Ir	Hife dates: 5 16 March 20	16 to 13 May 2016
2. A	nimal assignment and treatme	nt



A single administration was performed by the dermal route and was followed by a 14-day observation period. The test item was applied as supplied. A limit dose of 2000 mg/kg bw was chosen by the Sponsor in consultation with the Study Director.

The back of the animals was shorn (approximately 10% area of the total body surface) approximately 24 hours prior to the treatment. Only animals without injury or irritation on the skin were used in the test.

On test day 0, the test item was applied as a single dose of 2000 mg/kg, bw, applied wifer my over the skin by use of a gauze pad (ca. 5 cm x 5 cm), and remained on the skin throughout 24-boar exposure period. Sterile gauze pads were placed on the skin of rat Oat the site of application. These & gauze pads were kept in contact with the skin by a patch with adhesive hypoallergenic plaster. The entire trunk of the animal was then wrapped with armi occlusive plastic wrap for 24 hours. At the end of the exposure period, residual test item was removed, using water, at body temperature.

Clinical examinations were performed on the day of treatment, at and hours after the application. of the test item, and once each day for 14 days thereafter

Observations included the skin and fur eyes and mucous membranes, and respiratory, circulatory, autonomic and central nervous system, and somatomotor activity and behavior pattern. Particular attention was directed to the observation of tremois, convalsion, salivation, charrhea lethargy, sleep and coma. °~

Adverse skin reactions at the site of application were reported daily following the removal of the dressing according to the scheme shown botow. The body weight of all animals was recorded on Day 0 (beginning of the experiment) and on Days 7 and 14. %

# Grading of Skin Reactions 2

3. Stati

to filly follow i. only follo ERYTHEMA AND ESCHAR F No erythema Very slight erythemia Well-defined erythema, Moderate to severe evithena Severe erythema (beef redness) or eschaft formation (injuries in depth preventing erythema) reading OEDEMA FORMACIO No oedema. Very slight oedema (barely perceptible Slight dedema (edges of area well-defined by definite raising)... < Moderate oedema (edges raised approximately 3 1 mmSevere oedema (raised more than I mm and 4 extending beyond the area of exposure.

Group means, and standard deviations of bodyweights were calculated.



#### **II. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION**

#### A. MORTALITY

No deaths occurred during the study.

#### **B. CLINICAL OBSERVATIONS**

Č V No clinical signs related to the treatment were observed. Only the first item coloured the animals which could be observed up to 3 days. The Colourisation of d not influence the observation 

Table 7.1.2-1	ACL+DFF SC 600,00	0/g/L - geut	te <b>der</b> mal	toxicity s	tudyon	rats <sup>®</sup>	nortality
and clinical signs			D D		D.	L.	A d

Dose (mg/kg bw)	Toxicological results * Duration of signs Time of death LD50 (mg/kg by)
	A Malerats A L L
2000	0/0/5 $N/A$ $N/$
	O T Demale sats O D D D A
2000	$\mathcal{O} = \mathcal{O} = $
* Number of anim	als which died number at animals with clinical signs/pumber of animals used

### C. BODYWEIG

Ò

The body weight gain has not been influenced by the treatmen the main study is given in the Table below The changes in the body weight in

Table 7.1.2-2	AGE+DFFSC 690,000 g/L – acute	dermal toxicity	in rats – body
Dosage [g/kg bw]	Second Se	ht after Two Weeks [g]	Weight change [g] over 14 days
Ma.	$M_{0} = 25^{9.6} \pm 10^{25} = 29^{5} \pm 12^{29}$	332.6 ± 15.6	$75.0 \pm 8.7$
2000	230.47±11.0 248*¥±7.9	262.4 ± 13.6	32.0 ± 6.0
expressed as	ncan ± standard deviation		
l l l l l l l l l l l l l l l l l l l			

# weight

iten, elated observations at a dose level of 2000 mg/kg bw were seen at necropsy. No test

DEFICIENCIES E. C

D. NECROPSY

None.



#### **III. CONCLUSIONS**

The acute dermal LD<sub>50</sub> of ACL+DFF SC 600,000 g/L was determined to be greater than 2000 mg/kg bw. ACL+DFF SC 600,00 is therefore not classified for dermal toxicity under Regulation XEC 1272/2008.

Assessment and conclusion by applicant:

All validity criteria were satisfied and therefore this study can be considered to be val The acute dermal LD50 of CL+DFF SC 600,000 g/L was determined to be greater than bw.

Assessment and conclusion by RMS:

#### Inhalation toxicity **CP 7.1.3**

Assessment and conclus	ion by RMS:
CP 7.1.3 Inhal	ation to provide the second
Data Point:	KQ 7.1,3/01 ~~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~
Report Author:	
Report Year: 2	$\sqrt{2016}$ $\sqrt{2}$ $\sqrt{2}$ $\sqrt{2}$ $\sqrt{2}$ $\sqrt{2}$ $\sqrt{2}$
Report Title:	Amended final report no. 4 Acloroden + diflufenican SC 690,000
<u> </u>	(500,000 ¥100,000 g/L) - Acute inhalation toxicity study (Nose-only) in the rat
Report No:	
Document No:	$\frac{\text{D}M-563930-01M}{\text{C}M} \xrightarrow{\text{V}} \xrightarrow{V} \xrightarrow{V} \xrightarrow{V} \xrightarrow{V} \xrightarrow{V} \xrightarrow{V} \xrightarrow{V} $
Guideline(s) followed m	DECD Test Guideline 40,42009)
study:	$= E^{2} A OP E^{2} S (0, 1300 (1998)) $
Deviation from current \$	$\mathbb{E} \subset 44\%_2000$ , Alliex Part B, $\mathbb{B}_2^{\mathbb{Z}}(2008)$
test quide ine	Noveviation
Previous evaluation	No notsoveviously submitted /
GLP/Officially	Yes conducted under GLP/Official recognised testing facilities
recognised testing	
facilities: $\sqrt[\infty]{0}$	
Acceptability/Reliability:	$\operatorname{PYes} \mathfrak{S}' \mathfrak{S}' \mathfrak{O}'$
Executive Summary	
en an	

In an addite inhalation toxicity study, Wistar Crl:WI rats were exposed to a test atmosphere of ACL DFF SC 600,000 (500,000+100,000) g/L at the maximum feasible concentration. The study was performed in two steps. A sighting exposure was performed first, where a test atmosphere at 2.53 mg/L concentration was tested on single animals of both sexes (Group 0.1). No lethality was observed at this Soncentration. Thereafter, the main study group of 5 male and 5 female CRL: (WI) Wistar strain rats were exposed to an aerosol atmosphere of ACL+DFF SC 600,000 (500,000+100,000) g/L at the maximum feasible concentration (2.44 mg/L). The MMAD was 3.94 and 50.4% particles were less than 4µm (inhalable fraction).



In all study phases, the animals were exposed to the test atmosphere for 4 hours using a nose-only exposure system. Aerosol concentration was measured gravimetrically 17 times during each 4-hour exposure in both parts of the study and the particle size distribution of the test aerosol was determined of 3 times. The day of exposure was designated as Day 0 followed by a 14-day observation period.

No mortality occurred in Group 1 (main study) when exposed to a test atmosphere concentration of 2.44 mg/L for 4 hours. The acute inhalation median lethal concentration (LC50) of ACL+DFF SC 600,000 (500,000+100,000) g/L in Wistar Crl:WI rats was therefore considered to be above 2.44 mg/L. The product is therefore not classified as harnful by inhalation according to Regulation (EC) (1272/2008.





Photoperiod:

Day-night rhythm 12 hours (7 a.m.- 7 p.m.)

#### B. **STUDY DESIGN AND METHODS**

1. In life dates:

04 May 2016 to 17 August 2016.4

#### 2. Animal assignment and treatment

or of the second Due to the viscosity of the test item as supplied, suitable aerosol amospheres of the undifuted est item could not be produced therefore the formulation was diluted in disalled water (39:30 test item:water).

Prior to atmosphere generation, the non-volatile component of the test material was determined by adding a known amount of the material to glass fiber filters (Type GF/C, Whatman™GE Healthcare UK Ltd., Cat No: 1822-025). The filters were then dried, at atmospheric pressure, in a dessicator at room temperature for approximately 24 hours and weighed again. The difference in the two weights was taken as the volatile content of the test material and the non-volatile component was calculated as a percentage. The mean non-volatile content of the batch used for the animals' exposure was found to be 62.42% (n = 10) with a standard deviation of 0.38 %.

The test material atmospheres were generated within the xpose chamber. During Wese technical trials, air-flow settings, test material input ates and test item formulation concentrations (50 and 70%) were varied to achieve the required aerosol concentration of particles with a mass median aerodynamic diameter (MMAD) between 1 to 4 uncand a geometric standard deviation (GSD) in the range of 1.5 to 3.0. Aleasurements of aerodynamic particle size were performed from the animal's breathing zone using a cascade impactor.

The animals were exposed, nose-only, to an atmosphere of the test dem using a TSE Rodent Exposure ach rat was individually held in a System ( tapered, polycarbonate restraining tube fitted onto a single ther of the exposure chamber. Only the nose of each animal was exposed to the test authosphere. Following an equilibration period of at least the theoretical chamber equilibration time (T99), each group of rats was exposed to an atmosphere of the test material for a period of 4 hours The flow of air was at least 0.5 L/min. This flow rate was considered adequate to minimize re-breathing of the test atmosphere as it is approximately twice the respiratory minute volume of a rat. No control animals were used in the study.

The particle size of the test atmosphere was determined three times during the exposure period using a 7-stage impactor of Mercer style ( Animals were checked hourly during exposure, 1 hour after exposure and twice daily (early and late in the working day) during the 14-day observation period for morbidity and/or mortality. All animals were observed for clinical signs at houry intervals during exposure whilst the animals were still restrained. Following exposure, cliffical observations were performed twice on the day of exposure (following removal from the restrainer and approximately one hour after completion of the exposure) and subsequentloonce daily for 14 days.

Observations included changes in the skin and fur, eyes and mucous membranes and respiratory, circulatory, autonomic and central nervous system, somato-motor activity and behaviour pattern. Particular attentions was directed to observation of tremors, convulsions, salivation, diarrhoea, lethargy, sleep and coma? Individual body weights were recorded prior to treatment on the day of exposure (on Day 0) and on Days 1, 3, 7 and 14. At the end of the 14-day observation period, the surviving animals were sacrificed.



#### **II. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION**

Table 7.1.3-01	ACL+DFF SC	600,000 g/L – acu	te inhalation stud	dy - æxposure o	onditions
Target conc. (mg/L air)	Nominal conc. (mg/L air)	Actual conc. (mg/L air)	MMAD * (μm)	GSD ** (μm)	
Maximum feasible	89.71	2.44	3.95 J	2.04	
* MMAD = Mas ** GSD = Geome	s Median Aerodynamic tric Standard Deviation	Diameter			
A. MORTALIT	Y				

No deaths occurred during the study.

# **B. CLINICAL OBSERVATIONS**

On Day 1, all males and females showed signs of slight tabour or respiration and sheezing was recorded in 2 males. No further chinical sons were recorded. Also, wet fur or far staining (as chromodacryorrhea) was commonly recorded on the day of the exposure and several days after exposure which were considered to be related to the restraint and exposure procedures but not to be toxicologically significant

Ô - acute inhalation study in rats – mortality and Č 690,000 gÅ Table 7.1.3-02 Ô clinical signs

	Of a			4 V	
Dose (mg/kg@w)		results *	Duration of ogns	Time of death	LD50 (mg/kg bw) (14 days)
		ž . Š	Malenats		
2000	0/0/2		N/AO C	, N/A	> 2000
			Female As a		
2000	~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~		N/A O	N/A	> 2000
* Number of animal	s which died/pin	ber o kanima	le with clinical signs/	number of animals us	ed

# C. BODYWEIGH

À

Slight bodyweight loss (2.6 and 1.4 %) was noted in one male and one female animal on Day 0-3, respectively and slight bodyweight loss (1.4%) in one female animal on Day 0-7. The bodyweight gain returbed to the notional range, thereafter. The changes in the body weight in the main study is given in the Table below. 

Table 7.13-03	ACL	∽ +DFF SC 600,0	000 g/L - acute inhalation s	tudy in rats - bo	ody weight
Dosage	Sex		Weight after		



[mg/L]		Initial weight [g]	One week [g]	Two weeks[g]	Weight gain[g] over 14 days	
	М	$341.0 \pm 11.4$	$362.0 \pm 11.2$	398.6 ± 13.9	57. <b>€</b> ≇ 7.2	
2.44	F	$211.8 \pm 5.0$	223.6 ± 9.1	$236.2 \pm 7.6$	<u>2</u> 4.4 ± 8.1	
Mean ± stand	lard deviation		4	V j		

#### **D. NECROPSY**

No adverse effects were seen at necropsy.

#### **E. DEFICIENCIES**

The temperature and the relative hum dity were out of the target range of 20 Åz 3Ű and 3070%. The actual ranges of humidity and temperature were between 19@-25.25C and \$4-76 %, respectively. The draft report was issued later than it was indicated in the study plan. The darget concentration of 5 mg/L was not tested in the acute inhalafron toxicity study. The maximal attainable concentration was 2,44 mg/L in the Acute inhaltion toxicity study. Those doviations had no effect on the purpose and

Under the experimental conditions, the inhabition PC50 of ACK+DFF SC 600,000 g/L is higher than 2.44 mg/L air in rats Based upon the minimal chaired spins at the mething lattainable concentration if 2.44 mg/L air in rats Based upon the minimal clinical signs at the makimal attainable concentration, it is considered that there is no data to support on MLC of less than 5 mg/L. Thus, no classification is required according to Regulation (EQ: No. 1272/2008.

Assessment and conclusion by applicant:

All validity offeria were satisfied and therefore this study can be considered to be valid. None of the active substances are classified for inhelation oxicit. A single co-formulant is classified H332 and another formulant is classified H330, both co-formulants are present in an amount that does not contribute to classification of the product No classification warranted.

Under the experimental conditions, the nhaladion LC50 of ACL+DFF SC 600,000 g/L is higher than 2.44 mg/L air in sats

Q,

Assessment and conclusion

Skin irritation



Data Point:	KCP 7.1.4/01
Report Author:	
Report Year:	2016
Report Title:	Aclonifen + diflufenican SC 600,000 (500,000 + 100,000 g/L) - In vitro skip $\sqrt[3]{2}$
	irritation test in the Episkin (SM) model
Report No:	16/056-043B
Document No:	M-556102-01-1
Guideline(s) followed in	OECD Guidelines for Testing of Chemicals No. 439 (28 July 2015)
study:	Commission Regulation (EC) No 261/2009, ANNEX III, B.46.
	EpiSkin SOP, Version 1.8 (February 2009)
Deviations from current	Current guideline: OECD 439 (2019 $\bigcirc$ $\checkmark$ $\checkmark$ $\bigcirc$ $\checkmark$
test guideline:	No deviation
Previous evaluation:	No, not previously submitted
GLP/Officially	Yes, conducted under GLP/Officially recognised testing facilities
recognised testing	
facilities:	
Acceptability/Reliability:	Yes y y y y y y

#### **Executive Summary**

An *in vitro* skin irritation test of Aclonifen + Diflutenican SC 600,000 (500,000 + 100,000 g/L) test item was performed in a reconstructed human epidermis model. EPISKINTM(SM) is designed to predict and classify the irritation potential of chemicals by measuring its cytotoxic effect as reflected in the MTT (3-(45-Dimethylthazol-2-yl)-2,5-diphenyltetratolium bromide) assay. 20  $\mu$ L of test item was applied event, to the epidermal surface. Exposure was terminated by rinsing with Phosphate Buffered Saline (PBS). The epidermis units were then incubated at 37 °C for 42 hours in an incubator with 5% CO2. The viability of each disk was assessed by incubating the tissues for 3 hours with MTT solution at 37 °C in an incubator with 5% CO2 protected from light. The precipitated formazan crystals were then extracted using ac diffied sopropanol and quantified spectrophotometrically. Negative and positive controls were also conducted.

Following exposure with Aclouiten \* Diflutenican SC 600,000 (500,000 + 100,000 g/L), the mean relative viability was 017.0% compared to the negative control value. This is above the threshold of 50%, therefore the test item was considered as being non-irritant to skin. The experiment met the validity criteria, therefore the study was considered to be valid.

In conclusion, in this in vide EPISKIN model test with Aclonifen + Diflufenican SC 600,000 (500,000 + 100,000 g/L), the results indicate that the test item is non-irritant to skin. Therefore, Actonifen + Diflufenicar SC 600,000 (500,000 + 100,000 g/L) is not classified as a skin irritant under Regulation (EC) 1272/2008.

# S. MATERIALS AND METHODS



Description:

ACL+DFF SC 600,000 g/L specification 102000029998 Yellow suspension



	Lot/Batch:	Batch No. 2016-000027
	Purity:	Considered as 100%
		aclonifen (AE F068300) 40.7 % w/w – 501.9 g/L
		diflufenican (AE F088657) 8.59 % w/🖓 105.8 g/L 🖑 🔬
	Stability of test compound:	Shown to be stable (see MCP2) $\checkmark$
2.	Vehicle:	Distilled water (for the preparation of the positive control)
3.	Test skin:	
	Test system:	EPISKIN™ (SM) – a three-dimensional human epidermis
		model derived from human epidermal keratinocytes
		The commercial kit contains.
		-12 reconstructed epideonis units, 12-well assay plate
		ERISKIN' (SM) brapsy punch for easy sampling of
	Å	opiderings, a flock of sterile Maintenance Stedium
	Model Test Species:	Human epidermis & & S S
	Source	
4.	Testing conditions:	
	Temperature	378 24 00 4 27 20 00
5.	Quality control	MFT cells ability assay conducted at SkinEthic laboratories
B.	STUDY DESIGN AND ME	THODS of a straight with
1 In	life datas: 230 March 20	6 to 31 May 2016
1, 111		
	\$°, ~ , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	
2. Te	st assignment and treatment	
Disks	s of EPISKINT (SM) (three un	its) were treated with the test item and incubated for 15 minutes

Di at room temperature. Exposure of the ost item was ferminated by rinsing with Phosphate Buffered Saline (PBS). The epidermis units were then incubated at 37°C for 42 hours in an incubator with 5% CO2. The viability of each disk was assessed by incubating the tissues for 3 hours with MTT solution at 37°C in an incubator with 5% CO2 protected from light. The precipitated formazan crystals were then extracted using acid field is propanol and quantified spectrophotometrically. PBS and 5% (w/v) Sodium Dodewi Sulphate (SDS) Colution treated epidermis were used as negative and positive controls, respectively (three units, control). Two additional disks were used to provide an estimate of colour contribution from the test item. For each treated tissue, the viability was expressed as a % relative to the negative control If the mean relative viability after 15 minutes exposure and 42 hours post inclubation is less or equal ( $\leq$ ) to 50% of the negative control, the test item is considered to be irritant to skin. Ô

The Opproptical density or absorbance) of the samples was measured using a plate reader at 570 nm. The mean of 6 wells of acidified isopropanol solution (200  $\mu$ L/well) was used as blank. The proper status of the instrument was verified by measuring a Verification plate (Manufacturer: Thermo Fisher Scientific, Catalogue Number: 240 72800, Serial Number: 0920-14, Date of calibration: 02



September 2014, calibration is valid until September 2016) at the required wavelength on each day before use.

#### Validity of the Test

The mean OD value of the three negative control tissues should be between 0.6 and 1.5standard deviation value (SD) of the % viability values should be  $\leq 18$ . standard 0-40% and the The acceptable mean percentage viability range for positive controls deviation value (SD) of the % viability values should be 18. The SD calculated from individual % tissue viability values of the spree-test item reated replicates should be <18.

#### Interpretation of results

The irritation potential of test substances can be classified according to the United Nations Globally Harmonized System of Classification and Labelling of Chemical and the similar CLP system. In the ° present study, the irritancy potential of the test substance is predicted by the mean tissue wability of tissues exposed to the test item. The test item is classed initiant to skin (Category 2), if the mean relative viability after 15 minutes exposure and 42 bours post incubation is less or equal equal ( $\leq$ ) to 50% of the negative control. m

The mean OD value of the blank samples (acidefied isoproperiol) should be

The prediction model (PM) is described below

	O A'	, di			
Criteria for in vitro inte	pretation		Ŝ <sup>°</sup> ⟨UN	GHS classifica	ation
% mean tissue Flability	$\leq 50\%$		$\circ$	Gat 2 or Cat 1	
% mean tissue viability	>50% ^		, O	Non- Irritant	

\*Note: If there is clear evidence that the test item is not corrosive, there is be determined as No Category according to the UN GUS. It is plausible that some weaker corrosives could be classified as non-irritant in this

no assay.	"0"	~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~		° An	N.	
Č	-		4	"S"	107	a. 🔊
° N	*		~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~	0		
sCr'	Q		Ĩ		S N	
K, V	~_O	~ O)	4	» . C		
v	$\square$	~~ · ·	4 Å	v j M	6	~~×
	×Q *	~~ £	y og y	×	×	
	N A	Ø	I. RESU	LTSAN	JD4DIS€	<b>USSION</b>
	6 2			. V		
	`Q	í N	p.O		9 S	/
Ŵ	~0×	Ĉ,		D' . O	0, 10,	
~0~	C C	õ 🔉	"Y ~			
FINDINGS	5	a in	Y <sub>D</sub> '	Q.	_Oř	
		V <sub>N</sub> O	A-SV	, Ø	Ŵ	
Cor V	Ĉo	Ň	$Q_{1}^{v}$		s s	

### A.

To test the validity of the test kit the two indicators of the delivered kit were checked. Based on the observed colours, the epidermis whits were in proper conditions. The mean OD value of the three negative control tissues was in the recommended range (0.750). Standard deviation of the viability results for negative control samples was 6.1

As no coloup change was beerved after 3 hours incubation in MTT the test material did not react with MTT. Therefore a estimation of viability was not required. As the test item is coloured two additional test term treated tissues were used for the non-specific OD evaluation. The OD at 570 nm was a will. An specific % colour was calculated as 1.5%. This value was below 5% therefore additional data carculation was not necessary.

The results of the optical density (OD) measured at 570 nm of each sample and the calculated relative viability % values are presented in table below. The mean OD values for the test item treated skin samples showed 117.0% relative viability.



The positive control showed 4.9% viability demonstrating proper performance of the assay. The standard deviation of the viability results for positive control samples was 0.9. The standard deviation of viability values of the three test item-treated tissue samples in the MTT assay was 17.8. The mean OD value of the blank samples (acidified isopropanol) was 0.048. All these parameters that the acceptability criteria, therefore the study was considered to be valid.

aens	sity (OD) and per	rcent relative viago	my		r Ø
Substance		Measured	Blankcorrected	Viability% RV	. Ó
	1	0.83	Q 0.786°	104.70	Ŭ
Negative control	2	Q747	> 0,899	<u>√° 93</u> 92 ~~	
saline)	3	<sup>(≪</sup> )0.815 <sup>(°)</sup> ×	<u>ک</u> 20.767	102.2 <sup>*</sup>	
	mean	A & O	Q 0.750	<u> </u>	0
Positive control	1	× 0.079	0.031 · 0 <sup>×</sup>	L 4.1 L	
(5% w/v SDS	2 2	0.085	0.035	¥ 6 4.7 0	
solution)	3	0.092 ×	2 00044 D	5 .5.9	
	n@an 🗸	v s e	0.0370 A	Q 4.9	
Test item	<sup>™</sup> 1 <sup>™</sup>	29 k021	L 0,293 0	<sup>©*</sup> 129.6	
(ACL + DFF SC	$2^{\circ}$	0.984	× \$.936~	S 124.7	
600,000)		0.73	<u>لام مرکع مرکع مرکع مرکع مرکع مرکع مرکع م</u>	96.6	
Į į	mean ~	ę <i>5 5</i>	0.878	117.0	
Notes:	ON M W	× ~ ~ ~ ~			

Table 7.1.4-01	ACL+DFF	SC 600,000 g/L – skin irri	itation as measu	red by optic
	density (OD) and	percent relative viability	á,	

1. Mean blank value was 0.048. L 1 2. Optical density means the mean voue of the dup heate webs for each sample (rounded to three decimal places). n

0 Due to the unscheduled delay of reporting the Draft Report was issued later than indicated in the Study Plan. However this fact was considered not to adversely affect the results or integrity of the study.



Following exposure with Actionifes + Diffufenican SC 600,000 (500,000 + 100,000 g/L), the mean relative viability was 1170% compared to the negative control value. This is above the threshold of 50%, therefore the test frem was considered as being non-irritant to skin. The experiment met the validity criter and therefore the study was considered to be valid. Therefore, Aclonifen + Diflutenican SC 600,000 (500,000 + 100,000 g/L) is not classified as a skin irritant under Regulation (EC) 1272 2008. 0 Å

Assessment and conclusion by applicant:



All validity criteria were satisfied and therefore this study can be considered to be valid. The study is appropriate to provide evidence of no classification. The viability was not diminished to less than 50% and as such the product is not a skin irritant. The product is outside category. No classification is warranted.

#### **CP 7.1.5** Eye irritation

Assessment and conclusion by RMS:

Data Point:	KCP 7.1.5/01 O <sup>*</sup> O <sup>*</sup> A <sup>*</sup> A <sup>*</sup> A <sup>*</sup> A <sup>*</sup> A <sup>*</sup> A
Report Author:	
Report Year:	
Report Title:	Aclonifen+dituffenican SC 600,000 (500,000 100,000 g/L) In vitro eye in thation
	test in isolated chicken eyes a v v v
Report No:	16/056-038CS & 'Y 'V' 'Y A'
Document No:	M-554098-01-1 6 6 8 6
Guideline(s) followed in	OECO Guidelines for esting of Chemicals 338 (26 July 203); EU Commission
study:	Regulation (EC) No 1272/2008 (16/Decer@ber 2008); EU Commission
	Regulation (EC) No 1152/2010/08 December 2010) arrending Regulation (EC)
	9 440/2008 Arethod 8 48
Deviations from current	Current guideline: GECD 265, 2018
test guideline:	Notaeviation
Previous evaluation:	No, not previously submitted
CL D/Officially	Var hand and and a CLD Ar and Ar and Ar a facilities
GLP/Officially	Yes, conducted under GLP Officiancy recognised testing facilities
facilities	
Acceptabil	Ves de A V V a
Acceptating/Renability	
k, . Č	
Q,	

#### Executive Symmary

The Enucleated Eye Test with solated eyes of chickens is a well validated and accepted in vitro test system It has been recognised as a valuable alternative to the Draize eye irritation test, because it represents a test system nearest to the invivo test, without the need to use live animals.

The test item Aclonifen+Diffurtenican SC 600,000 (500,000+100,000 g/L) was tested in isolated chicken's eyes. Three eyes were treated with 30 µL test item. The three positive control eyes were treated in a Omilar way with 30 µL benzalkonium chloride solution 5 % (w/v). The negative control eye was treated with 300L of physiological saline (0.9% NaCl solution). Corneal thickness, corneal opacity and floorescen retention were measured and any morphological effects (e.g. pitting or loosening of the epithelium evaluated.

No significant correat swelling was observed during the four-hour observation period on all test item treated wes. Very slight corneal opacity change (severity 0.5) was noted on all three eyes. No fluorescein retention change was noted on three eyes. No other corneal effect was observed.

Based on this in vitro eye irritation test in isolated chicken eyes, the test item Aclonifen+Diflufenican SC 600,000 (500,000+100,000 g/L) is non-irritant.







#### 1. In life dates:

07 March 2016 to 21 April 2016

#### 2. Animal assignment and treatment

#### Preparation of the eyes

The eyeball was carefully removed from the orbit avoiding pressure on the cyeball, in order to prevent distortion of the cornea and subsequent corneal opacity. The nictitating prembrane was cut away with other connective tissue. The prepared eyes were then placed in a steel clamp with the cornea positioned vertically and transferred to a chamber of the superfusion apparatus. The clamp holding the eye was positioned in such a way that the entire cornea was supplied with physiological saline solution dripping from a stainless steel tube, at a rate of approximately 3-4 drops/minute or 0.1-0.16 mL/minute. The door of the chamber was closed except for manipulations and examinations to maintain temperature and humidity. The eyes were examined to ensure the werein good condition.

Eyes with a high baseline fluorescein staining (i.e., > 0.2) or corneal opacity score (0.2, > 0.5) were rejected. The cornea thickness was also measured at the corneal apex using the depth measuring device on the slit-lamp microscope. Any eye with cornea thickness deviating more than 10% from the mean value for all eyes, or any eye that showed other signs of damage, were rejected. If the selected eyes were appropriate for the test, acclimatization started and conducted for approximately 45 to 60 minutes. The chambers of the superfusion apparatus, were a controlled temperature  $(32\pm1.5^{\circ}C)$  during the acclimatization and treatment periods.

At the end of the acclimatization period, a zero reference measurement was recorded for cornea thickness and opacity to serve as a base line (1=0) for each individual eve. The cornea thickness of the eyes should not change by more than 5% between the 45 min and the zero time. No changes in thickness (0.0%) were observed in the eyes. Following the equilibration period, the fluorescein retention was measured Base line values were required to evaluate any potential test item-related effect after treatment. All eyes were considered suitable for the asay.

Test procedure

After the zero reference measurements, the eye in its retainer was taken out of the chamber and placed on a layer of tissue with the cornea acting upwards. The eye was held in horizontal position, while the test item was applied onto the centre of the cornea. For treatment, 30  $\mu$ L of the test item was applied onto the entire surface of the cornea attempting to cover the cornea surface uniformly with the test item, taking cate not to damage or touch the cornea.

The positive control eyes were treated in a similar way with 30  $\mu$ L of benzalkonium chloride solution 5 % (w/v). The negative control eye was treated with 30  $\mu$ L of physiological saline.

Three test item treated eyes three positive control treated eyes and one negative control eye were examined during the study. The time of application was noted, then after an exposure period of 10 seconds the cornea surface was tinsed with 26 mL physiological saline solution. The control and test eyes were evaluated pre-treatment and at approximately 30, 75, 120, 180 and 240 minutes after the post-treatment rinse. Minor ariations within approximately  $\pm 5$  minutes were considered acceptable. Corneal thickness and corneal opacity were measured at all time points. Fluorescein retention was measured on two occasions, at base line (t=0) and approximately 30 minutes after the post-treatment rinse. Heag-Streit Bern 900 Out-lamp microscope was used for the measurements.

At the end of the procedures, the corneas were carefully removed from the eyes and placed individually into labelled containers of preservative fluid (10% neutral buffered formalin) for potentia histopathology.

Evaluation

Corneal swelling:







#### **II. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION**

#### A. FINDINGS

The mean values of the treated eyes for maximum corneal thickness change corneal opacity change and fluorescein retention change are given in the table below. The mean maximum corneal swelling up to 240 min, the mean maximum corneal opacity and the mean fluorescein retention ICE classes are used for EC and GHS classification.

The results from all eyes met the quality control standards? The experiment was considered to

#### ACL+DFF SC 600,000 g/L - eve irritation Table 7.1.5-01

				. 0	400	.(	Ĵ <sup>×</sup>	0		<i>a</i> "
				Obse	rvations	~				
		Corneal	swelling	L.	Comment		Floures	ein 🔪	\$. \$.	
	75 M	ins	240 N	lins	Corneal	Jpacny	Retenti	on 🔊		Overall
Treatment	Mean		Mean	O Y	Mean (		1 10.0	S	Óther	ICE
	Max.	ICE	Max.	₄ IČE	Max.	ICE	Flourean	ICE	∀Obse <b>rya</b> tions(	
	Swelling	Class	Swelling	Class_	Corneal	Class	Potontion	Class	E D	Class
	(%)		(%)	$\sim$	Opacity	,Or	Recention			
Negative			<u>v</u>	4 M			O' K	()	Õ Ž	
Control	0.0	Ι	66¢≶∕	KA .	\$0.0 ¢	r I 🍙		SI (	None	3 x I
(saline)			- Se	° '	y' v	$\searrow$				
Positive			Q' B	Ô	ð.	S		Õ	Loosening of	
Control (5%		Q		- Orian - Second - Se	S.	Ŭ ,	S O	$\sim$	epithelium in	1 x III
w/v	9.0	ЦŚ	26.6	III	4.0	∕ IV₂Ŵ	× 3.0	UN C	1/3 eyes at	
benzalkonium		Ŵ	& .			4	N. 9	Ro	240 mins post	2 x IV
chloride)		Ô	0' 2		e e	~		4	treatment	
	``````````````````````````````````````	×.	Q	4		Ç.	i di serie d		rinse	
Test Item	00×1	, C		$\tilde{\mathbb{O}}$		°,≰	(400 °A	Ø,	N	2 1
(ACL+DFF	0.0	$\mathcal{P}_{n}$			0.5	10	↓ .0 <i>↓</i>	1	None	3 X I
SC 600)	L		ligy ~	r 2	<u> </u>					L
		۵ <sup>۷</sup> ۷	J W	▲.	, ~Q	õ	L O			

Based on this in vitio eye irritation test in isolated chicken exes, the test item Aclonifen+Diflufenican SC , L 600,000 (509,000+200,000 g/L) is non-irritant.

is non-irritant positive control substance (benzalkonium chloride solution 5 % (w/v)) was Based on these observation th∕e classified as seve the EU regulations. GHS Classification: Category 1. cording to



Under the operimental conditions, ACL+DFF SC 600,000 g/L is not an eye irritant. Thus, no equired scording to Begulation (EC) No. 1272/2008. classifica

essment and conclusion by applicant:

All validity criteria were satisfied and therefore this study can be considered to be valid.





# Executive Sommacy

The potential of ACL+DFF SC 600,000 (500 000+100,000) g/L formulation to cause delayed contact hypersensitivity was investigated in female CBA (caOlaHsd mice (4 per group) according to the Local Lymph Node Assay

A preliminary irritation toxicity test was performed with CBA/CaOlaHsd mice using two doses (test item concentrations of 100% (unchluted) and 50% (w/v) in 1% Pluronic). The applicability and biocompatibility of the test item on the cars of animals at 50% (w/v) concentration of test item was considered to be acceptable.

In the main study, twenty female CBA/CaOlaHsd mice were allocated to five groups of four animals each i) three groups received the appropriate formulation at concentrations of 50%, 25% and 10% (WA) in 1% Pluronic; ii) the negative control received 1% Pluronic and iii) the positive control group received 25% (w/v)  $\alpha$ -Hexylcinnamaldehyde (HCA) in 1% Pluronic.

No clinical signs and no deaths related to treatment were observed throughout the main study. The body weight gain was not influenced by the treatment. Test item precipitate or minimal amount of



test item precipitate was observed on the ears of the animals in the 50 % (w/v) dose group on Days 1-4, in the 25 % (w/v) group on Days 2-3.

The calculated stimulation index values were 2.0, 0.9 and 0.7 at concentrations of 50%, 25% and % (w/v) ACL+DFF SC 600,000 (500,000+100,000) g/L, respectively.

It was concluded that ACL+DFF SC 600,000 (500,000+100,000) g/L formulation does not exhibit a skin sensitisation potential in the Level Le skin sensitisation potential in the Local Lymph Node Assay.





Photoperiod:

Day-night rhythm 12 hours (7 a.m.- 7 p.m.)

#### В. **STUDY DESIGN AND METHODS**

1. In life dates:

25 March 2016 to 05 July 2016

#### 2. Animal assignment and treatment

#### Preliminary irritation test

The Preliminary Irritation/Toxicity Test was started on CBA/CaOloHsd price using two doses (2 animals/dose) at test item concentrations of 100 % (andiluted) and 50% (w/v) in 1% Phironic. The preliminary experiment was conducted in a similar experimental manner to the main study, but was . terminated on Day 6 and the radioactive proliferation assay was not performed. The maximum concentration of test item in an acceptable solvent was established according to OECD guideline #29. Based on the observation of the solubility test, the maximum apprevable concentration was 000 % (undiluted). L. m

Each mouse was topically dosed on the dorsal surface of each each with 25 µL@f the appropriate formulation applied using a projecte. Bach animal was dosed once a day for three consecutive days (Days 1, 2 and 3). There was no treatment on Days 4, 5 and 6. All mice were observed daily for clinical signs of systemic toxicity or local irritation at the application site. Both ears of each mouse were observed for erythema and scheed using according to OECD Quidelines for Testing of Chemicals No. 404. Ear thickness was measured using a thickness gauge on Day 1 (pre-dose), Day 3 and Day 6. Additional quantification of the ear thickness was performed by ear punch weight determination after the eathanasta Individual body weights were recorded on Day 1 and on Day 6.

No mortality of signs of systemic toxicity were observed. Pest item precipitate or minimal amount of test item precipitate was observed on the ears of the animals for both animals of the 100 % (undiluted) and for one animal of the 50 % (w/v) dose groups on Days 1-6 and for one animal of the 50 % (w/v)dose group on Days 1.4. The car purch weights were within the acceptable range. The detected ear thickness values clearly indicated excessive local ritation for both animals of the 100% (undiluted) dose group on Day  $3^{\circ}$ . The ear thickness alues of 50% (w/v) dose group were within the acceptable range. Alopecia abound the ears was observed for both animals of the 100% (undiluted) dose group on Days 3-6 or Days 4.6? The draining auricular lymph notes of the animals were visually examined: they were normal in both dose groups (subjective judgement by analogy with observations of former experiments).

Based of the results of the proliminary irritation study, 50 % (w/v) dose was selected as top dose for the main test.

#### Main test

During the asay, each make was topically dosed on the dorsal surface of each ear with 25 µL of the appropriate for intration applied using a pipette. Each animal was dosed once a day for three consecutive days (Days 1, 2) and 3). There was no treatment on Days 4, 5 and 6. All mice were observed daily for clinical signs of systemic toxicity or local irritation at the application site. Individual Body weights were recorded on Day 1 and on Day 6.

The radioactive proliferation assay was conducted on Day 6. Each mouse was intravenously injected via the tail vein with 250  $\mu$ L of sterile PBS (phosphate buffered saline) containing approximately 20  $\mu$ Ci of 3HTdR, then left for 5 hours (± 30 minutes) before being euthanized. The auricular lymph nodes were excised.



For each treatment group a single cell suspension was prepared from pooled lymph nodes. DPM was measured for each pooled group of nodes. The measured DPM values were corrected with the background DPM value ("DPM"). The average of the two measured DPM values of 5 % (w/v) TCA of solutions was used as background DPM value.

The cell proliferation in the local lymph nodes was measured by incorporation of tritiated methyl thymidine (3HTdR) and the values obtained by Liquid Scintillation Analyzer were used to calculate stimulation indices (SI). The SI must be at least equal or greater than three for a test substance of classify as a potential skin sensitizer.

Treatments in the main assay were performed as follows:



$\sim$ $\sim$ Testitem $\sim$ $\sim$ No. o	fanimals
Groups Q Concentration	
Negative (vehicle) control (1% Quronic)	
Positive control (25% HCA is 1% Puronic)	
	æ
ACL+ DFF SC 600,000 (500,000+100,000 g/L 2 25	Lý <sup>*</sup> ÿ
The degree of dershal reaction to reatment was scored on a 4-point scale.	
Table: Erythema Seoring	
No response	0
Very slight erythema (barely perceptible)	1
Well-defined erythese 5 , C , S , S	2
Moderate to severe erythema	3
Severe erythema (beet redness) t slight eschar formation (injuries in depth)	4
Note: Excessive local kin irritation is indicated by an erythema score $\geq 3$ and/or an increases $\geq 25$ % on any day of measurement	ase in ear thickness of
ر المراجع الم المراجع المراجع ا	
A. MORTALITY	
No deaths occurred "	

**B. EPINICAL OBSERVATIONS** 

Ŝ



No signs of systemic toxicity were observed during the main test. Test item precipitate or minimal amount of test item precipitate was observed on the ears of the animals in the 50 % (w/v) dose group on Days 1-4, in the 25 % (w/v) group on Days 2-3.

#### C. BODY WEIGHT CHANGES

No treatment related effects were observed on the body weight changes of experimental minabs. The provide the provide the provided of the prov

#### Table 7.1.6-2: Aclonifen + Diflufenican SC 600,000 g/L – Local lymph node assay - b weights

weights	.01		O	
	Å	Initial	°Terminal	Change
Test Group	- Ro	<b>B</b> ody <b>O</b>	Bốdy V	
		Weight (g)	Weight (g)	
Negative control (1% Pluronic)	o v :	(j 19.8 j	⇒ 19 <b>.6</b>	, -1 <sub>«</sub> 1
Positive control (25% w/v in 1% Pluronic)		ي 19.8	\$9.5	ST.5 D
ACL+ DFF SC 600,000 (500,000+100,000)	g/L 50% (w/y)	19.8 <sup>°</sup>	× 19.7	-0.9 ×
ACL+ DFF SC 600,000 (500,000+100,600)	g/k 25% (w/v)	y 1988 - A	└ 19 <b>®</b>	S -1.0
ACL+ DFF SC 600,000 (500,000+100,000)	gL 10% w/v)	2 <b>0</b> .0 ~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~	20.1	<i>(</i> <b>()</b> .4
× (2)			. () ()	N N

#### **D. PROLIFERATION ASS**

The results of the proliferation as an are summarized in Table below. The appearance of the lymph nodes was normal in the negative control group and in the 50%, 25% and 10% (w/v) dose groups. Larger than normal lymph nodes were observed in the positive control group. The calculated stimulation index values were 2.0, 0.9 and 0.3 at concentrations of 50%, 25% and 10% (w/v) ACL+DFF SC 600,000 (\$00,000 100,000) g/L, respectively

# Table 7.1.6-9: Aclouding + Diflugenican SC 600,000 gdL – Local lymph node assay - DPM, DPN and Stimulation Index

		, n ( )		
Test Group 🔬 🔬	DPM/group	Number of	DPN	Stimulation
	(measured)	pooled		Index
	×, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	🖉 lymph		
		🖇 nodes		
Background 5% w/ TCA	231 s	-		
	2 <sup>32</sup>			
Negative control (1% Pluronic)	≥>> 2006	8	246.8	1.0
Positive control (25% w/v in 1% Phyronic)	<b>88</b> 31	8	1062.4	4.3
ACL+DFF SC 600,000 50% (w/w)	3908	8	484.6	2.0
ACL+DFF SC 600,000 (500,000+100,000)	1789 گ	8	219.7	0.9
g/L 25% (w/x) 3 2 2	R.			
ACL+DFFSC 600,000 (509,000+100,000)	1435	8	175.4	0.7
g/L 10% (w/v) ~ ~ ~ ~				

The test item was a suspension, used undiluted or formulated in 1% Pluronic. Since there were no confounding effects of irritation or systemic toxicity at the applied concentrations, the proliferation values obtained are considered to reflect the real potential of the test item to cause lymphoproliferation in the Local Lymph Node Assay. The resulted stimulation indices observed under these exaggerated test conditions was considered to be good evidence that ACL+DFF SC 600,000 (500,000+100,000) g/L is a non-sensitizer (Figure below).







## E. RELIABILITY OF THE THE

The positive control group animals were treated with 25% (w/v) HCA solution is a relevant vehicle (1% Pluronic) concurrent to the test item groups had a stimulation index value of 4.3. The results of the positive control group domonstrated the appropriate performance of the assay.

Ø

The observed mean DPN values for the negative and positive control overe within the historical control data. Historical control data for the positive and negative control substances.

### F. DEFICIENCIES

Due to echnical reasons, the actual relative hum only range was 24-79 % instead of 30-70 % and the actual maximum temperature was 25.9 0 instead of  $\textcircled{2}2 \pm 3 \textcircled{0}$  as it was indicated in the Study Plan. Due to a typing error incorrect purity data of the HCA was showed in the Study Plan. These deviations are considered not to adversel affect the results or integrity of the study.



Under current evaluation criteria, ACL DFF C 600,000 (500,000+100,000) g/L was considered not to be a skin sensitiser in the Local Symph Node Assay and therefore is not classified as a potential

dermal sensitiver according to Regulation (EC) 1272/2008.

Assessment and conclusion by applicant:

At the tested concentrations (10%, 25% and 50% w/v), the test substance was not found to be sensitised. However, it seems like a higher concentration should have been tested (about 75%) in order to get a reliable result as dose-related effect was noticed. The highest dose (50%) selected for



the main study was based on an increase in ear thickness of  $\geq 25\%$  for both animals of the 100% dose on Day 3 only during the Preliminary Test: neither mortality nor signs of systemic toxicity nor indications of erythema were observed and the ear punch weights were within the acceptable range. Overall, the validity criteria were not satisfied and therefore this study cannot be considered to be valid.

Based on the concentration of the active substance aclonifen the product Aclonifen+ diflutenican SC 600,000 (500,000+100,000 g/L) is classified as Skin Sens. 1A, H317 according to the criteria in Reg. 1272/2008.

Assessment and conclusion by RMS:

## CP 7.1.7 Supplementary studies on the plant protection product

No such studies are necessary since there are no concerns arising e.g., from potential synergistic or additive effects exerted by aclonifen of other components in ACL DFF \$2600(500+100) G that would require further investigations.

## CP 7.1.8 Supplementary studies for combinations of plant protection products

No such studies are necessary since ACL+DFF \$600 (500+100) G s not intended for use in combination with other plant protection products.

#### CP 7.2

Evaluations of the exposure of operators, bystanders, residents and re-entry workers to aclonifen when used in the ACE+DEE SC609 (500-100) & are provided in the following sections.

Acute non-detary risk assessment is not included in this submission because an AAOEL is not relevant for aclosure (CAS No. 9407046-5) of diflutenicar (CAS No. 83-64-33-4).

The Plant Protection Product ACE DFF SC600 (500+400) G Sontaining 500 g/L of aclonifen and 100 g/L of diflufenican and is interded to be used a foliar spray herbicide on cereals (winter and spring wheat, and spring barley) in Europe Usage information pertinent to the assessment of exposure is summarised below.

	<u>,                                    </u>		/		
Crop (indoor / field)	Applien (hýg as/ha per Aclourfen	tion are r application) Diflutenican	Spray dilution (L/ha)	Application equipment	Number applications
Cereals: Triticale/Wheat	0.358	م 0.070	100-300	Spraying (foliar)	1

Table 7.2-01 Summary of critical uses patterns (ke. worst case).

Data on exposure

These critical use patterns have been defined following the evaluation of the individual GAPs for the mentioned crop in each relevant Member State.

The estimations of human dermal penetration of aclonifen and diflufenican which are the active substances in the mixed formulation ACL+DFF SC 600 (500+100) G were obtained from two *in vitro* 



dermal absorption studies using human skin respectively. The proposed values including t	conducted by <b>2016</b> , 2016 and <b>2017</b> , 2017, the AOEL values are summarised below.
Table: 7.2-02Proposedvaluesforhuman risk assessment	or EU endpoints used on the non dietary
Aclonifen:	
Endpoints used in risk assessment	Co Result
Dermal penetration Concentrate (%)	
Spray dilution (%)	$\mathcal{Q}^{\mathcal{Q}'}$ $\mathcal{D}^{\mathcal{Q}'}$ $\mathcal{D}^{\mathcal{Q}'}$ $\mathcal{Q}^{\mathcal{Q}'}$ $\mathcal{O}^{\mathcal{Q}'}$ $\mathcal{Q}^{\mathcal{Q}'}$
AOEL (mg/kg body weight/day)	
*Pro-rata calculation for the highest in-use dilution	from avalue of .58 g/Q tested dilution)
Note Dermal penetration data derived from the results of for further detail. Pro-rata calculation for the behest we conducted. The AOEL value was derived from NOAEL studies in the rat and applying a safety factor of 100. Pla	15. (2017) M-566 / 6-01-1 Please refer to section MCP 7.3 By use dilution from a value of 1.5 P Acloffden/L (rested dilution) was from 2 year rat supported by the multigeneration study and sub-chronic ease refer to Doc N1 for furthe detail.
Diflufenican:	
Endpoints used in risk assessment	A TRESULT A A D
Dermal penetration Concentrate (%)	
AOEL (mg/kg bod veight/day)	
*Pro-rata calculation for the highest in-use dilution fro	privavalue at 0.12 g/L (tested allution)
Note Derma Denetration date derived from the fesults	of 0017), M583709-01-1. Please refer to section M-CP

7.3 for fullier detail. Prograd calculation for the highest in-usedilution-from a value of 1.5g Aclofinen/L (tested dilution) was conducted. The AOEL value was derived from NOAEL from rat study, 13 weeks, corrected for 58% oral absorption and applying a safety factor of 100 EFSA Corclusion (EFSA ccientific Report (2007), 122 1-84 and SANCO/3782/08).

# CP 7.2.1 Operator exposure

Operator exposure to ACL+DFF SC 600 (500+100) G was not evaluated as part of the EU review of the both active substance as actionifen and difference. Therefore, all relevant data and risk assessments are provided here and are considered adequate.

The current EFSA modelling tool on the assessment of exposure of operators, workers, residents, and bystanders, was used to estimate the respective exposures from the application of ACL+DFF SC 600 (500+100) G on cereals. The AGEM calculator released on 30 March 2015 supports the EFSA guidance document that was last updated on 24 April 2015.



<sup>1</sup> Guidance on the assessment of exposure of operators, workers, residents and bystanders in risk assessment for plant protection products. EFSA Journal 2014;12(10):3874)



#### **CP 7.2.1.1 Estimation of operator exposure**

Table 7.2.1-01: Input for cer	parameters considered for the estimation of operator experiences of the estimation of operator experiences of the set of
Product name and code	ACL+DFF SC 600 (500+100) G
Formulation type	SC soluble or suspension concentrate
Category	Herbicide
Crop type	Cereals
Application method	Downward spraying
Application equipment	Vehicle-mounted
Indoor/outdoor	Outdoor Of Of Ly of Of Ly a
Active substance(s) (incl. content)	Aclonifen A P P Diflufenican (ACL) A P P P P P P P P P P P P P P P P P P
AOEL systemic	0.07 mg/kg by d ~ 0.10 mg/kg bw/d & 0
AAOEL	noner of of the for the for the former of th
Inhalation absorption	
Oral absorption	100% 5 6 7 7 58% 59 10
Dermal absorption	Concentrate: 0.19% Oflution: 0.11% Dilution: 26% For more information please refer to
	chapter 7.5 y 5' 5' Chapter 7.5

The input parameter RVNAS" (Reference value non-acutely loxic active substance) is equivalent to the AOEL values (= 0.07 mg/kg body weight/day – aclonifen and 0.11 mg/kg body weight/day diflufenican). The "RYAAS" (Reference value actively toxic active substance) was not applied. The scenario of a tractor mounteer sprayer in low crops was assessed ad the defaults settings of the EFSA

AAOEL values have not been set for actionifer or diffufenican. Therefore, estimates of acute exposure to operators have not been conducted.


ble 7.2.1-02	Estimated longer-term operator exp	oosure, Aclonifen, C	ereals.
		Active acl	onifen
Model data	Level of PPE 诊	Total absorbed dose (ntg/kg bw/day)	% systemic AOEL
Spraying (downwar	d spraying), cereals		
Application rate: 1x	0.350 kg a.s. /ha, 100 L/ha		
Downward spray	Potential exposure	∑	0 <sup>°</sup> 24.993
(AOEM; 75 <sup>th</sup> percentile)	Work wear M/L and a go s	£ 0.01 H S	15.87
. ,			
Body weight: 60 kg	Work wear M/L and A + gloves M/L		
Body weight: 60 kg	Estimated longer-term operator exp	posarre, Diffufencean	, Cereals
Body weight: 60 kg	Estimated longer-term operator exp	osarre, Diffufentean	, Cereals
Body weight: 60 kg able 7.2.1-03 Model data	Estimated longer form operator exp	Active: diffu for a source for a source diffu for a source diffu dose (mg/kg bw/etay)	rfenican % systemic AOEL
Body weight: 60 kg able 7.2.1-03 Model data Spraying (downwar	Estimated longer form operator exp Level of PEE	Active: diffu Total absorbed dose (me/kg bw/day)	rfentican % systemic AOEL
Body weight: 60 kg able 7.2.1-03 Model data Spraying (downwa)	Estimated longer-term operator exp Level of PEE d spraying), cereals 0.070 kg a.s. /ha 100.L/ha	ostorre, Diffufentean Active: diffu Total absorbed dose (mg/kg bw/eay)	ifenican % systemic AOEL
Body weight: 60 kg able 7.2.1-03 Model data Spraying (downwa) Application rates 1x Downward soray	Estimated longer-term operator exp Level of PPE d spraying), cereals 0.070 kg.a.s. /ha 100.L/ha	Active: diffu Total absorbed dose (mg/kg bw/day) 0.0017	1.52
Body weight: 60 kg able 7.2.1-03 Model data Spraying (downwa) Application rates 1x Downward soray (AOEM; 75	Estimated longer-term operator exp Level of PPE	Active: diffu Active: diffu Total absorbed dose (me/kg bw/day)	1.52 1.21

#### Measurement of operator ex **CP 7.2.1.2**

Not required as assessments demonstrated safe ing the accepted models.

#### CP2,2.2 Bystander and resident exposure

The following definitions and assumptions for <u>bystanders</u> and <u>residents</u> may be applied.

Bystanders and residents are not involved in application or handling plant protection products or the professional hand by g of the ated grops. The question arises whether it is necessary to distinguish between bystander and esidents in terms of the potential for exposure and health risks. However, because the circumstances of this exposure could differ with respect to amount, frequency and duration, this seems to be reasonable. 

Bystanders may inadvertently be present within or directly adjacent to an area for a short period of time, typical *y* a matter of minutes, where application of a plant protection product is in progress or has recently taken place. They may be exposed to plant protection products mainly via the dermal route



Ô

from spray drift and by inhalation of drifting spray droplets. Hand-held application is considered to be worse case compared to field crop sprayer.

Residents may live or work near areas of the application of plant protection products (e.g. standing, working or sitting in a garden in the vicinity of the application). They may be exposed to plant projection products mainly via the dermal route from spray drift deposits and by inhalation of vapour drift (depending on the vapour pressure of the active substance). For infants and toddlers exposure might also occur orally (e.g. through hand-to-mouth transfer and/or object-to-mouth transfer). 

#### Estimation of bystander and resident expos **CP 7.2.2.1**

#### **Bystanders**

assumed by the protected by the Because no AAOEL value has been set and the resident risk assessment.

#### Residents

calcoator, The common The resident exposure assessment was completed following the EFSA parameters used for resident exposure risk assessment are presented in the Table below Ś

Ŵ

					-
Intended use(s)	Ç, Çer	eals withour	Drift percentage mean	4.10 (hyghest)	%
Application rate	0,390	kg a.s. ma	Transfer coefficient	7300	cm <sup>2</sup> /h (adult)
(AR)	66°CL) ≪) 0.070 (DFF)		surface oppositsQIC)	2600	cm <sup>2</sup> /h (child)
Minimum water	100		$\dot{\mathbf{D}}_{\mathbf{Y}}^{\mathbf{Y}}$ $\dot{\mathbf{U}}_{\mathbf{Y}}^{\mathbf{Y}}$ $\dot{\mathbf{D}}_{\mathbf{Y}}^{\mathbf{Y}}$ $\dot{\mathbf{D}}_{\mathbf{Y}}^{\mathbf{Y}}$ $\dot{\mathbf{U}}_{\mathbf{Y}}^{\mathbf{Y}}$ $\mathbf{$		
volume (V)			perc.		
Buffer strip	2-30		Drift on surface (D) -		
Number of same applications (NA)			Turf Tansferable Residues (TTR)		
Interval between apprications	2 <b>6</b> 3 7	days A	$\hat{E}$ posure duration dermal $(H_D)$		
The half-life of active substance	2	days Q	Exposure duration inhal. (H <sub>I</sub> )		
Multiple application factor (MAF)			Exposure duration entry into treated crops (H <sub>E</sub> )		
Body weight (BW)	<b>)6</b> 0	kg/person (adults)	Airborne Concentration		
	10	kg/person (children)	of vapour (VC)		
Dermal absorption (DA)	21 or 26	% ('worst case')	Dislodgeable foliar residue (DFR) from	1.05 (highest)	µg/cm <sup>2</sup> /kg a.s.

#### Ļ Ô Table 7.2.2.1-1 Default input parameters considered for the estimation of resident exposure.

Ĉ



			model		
Inhalation absorption (IA)	100	%	Light clothing adjustment factor (CF)	18	%
Oral absorption (OA)	100 (ACL) 58 (DFF)	%	Saliva Extraction Factor (SE)	50 D	
AOEL	0.07 (ACL) 0.11 (DFF)	mg/kg bw/d	Surface Area of Hands (SA)	20 5 5	
Spray drift dermal (SD) - 75 <sup>th</sup> perc.	0.47	mL spray dilution (adult)	Prequency of Hand to MouthofFreq)	9.5	events/h
	0.327	mL spray dilution (child)			
Spray drift inhal. (SI) - 75 <sup>th</sup> perc.	0.00010	mL spray diffution (adult)	Dislodgeable fesidues object to mouth (DR-M)		
	0.00022	mL spray dilution (child)			
Spray drift dermal (SD) - mean	0.22318	AL spray dilution	Ingestion Rate for 2 Mouthing of Grass (IgR)	25 Or	cm <sup>2</sup> /d
	0.18 S	mL spray doution (child)			
Spray drift inhal. (SD) - mean	050009	mL soray dilutron (adult)	$\mathbf{F}^{\mathbf{c}}$ entry into treated $\mathbf{O}^{\mathbf{c}}$ crops $\mathbf{O}^{5^{\text{th}}}$ pare.	7500	cm <sup>2</sup> /h (adult)
	0.00017	mL spray dilution (child)		2250	cm <sup>2</sup> /h (child)
Inhalation rate (IR)	0.23	m³/g <sup>2</sup> /kg (æult)	TC entry intogreated	5980	cm <sup>2</sup> /h (adult)
je g <sup>u</sup>	1.00	og /d / kg (child)∖	crows- means	1794	cm <sup>2</sup> /h (child)

# Based on the above parameters, the total systemic exposure for residents is shown in the Tables below. Table 7.2771-2 Estimation of cesident exposure from the use of ACL+DFF SC 600 (500+100) G in cereats (outdoor uses).

N O A		Active: a	clonifen	
Model data	Leven of PPE	Total absorbed dose (mg/kg bw/day)	% systemic AOEL	
Spraying (downward spraying), g	greals			
Drift reduction technology: No	2			
(defar values) T <sub>50</sub> =30 days and	l Initial DFR: 3 μg/cm²/kg a	.s./ha)		
Interval between treatment's 365	days			
Butfer strip: 2-3 meters				
Number of applications and appli	cation rate:	1 x 0.350 ł	<g a.s.="" ha<="" td=""></g>	
Resident child	Drift (75 <sup>th</sup> perc.)	0.0132	18.81	



	TT (75th)	0.0011	1.50
Body weight: 10 Kg	Vapour (75 <sup>th</sup> perc.)	0.0011	1.53
	Deposits (75 <sup>th</sup> perc.)	0.0006	0.79
	Re-entry (75 <sup>th</sup> perc.)	0.0124	17.72
	Sum (mean)	0.0187	26.68
	Drift (75 <sup>th</sup> perc.)	0.0024	<b>3</b> .42 S
Posident adult	Vapour (75 <sup>th</sup> perc.)	C 0.0002	0.23 5
Dodu unight: 60 kg	Deposits (75 <sup>th</sup> perc.)	0.0002	0 029 5
Body weight. oo kg	Re-entry (75 <sup>th</sup> perc.)		9.840
	Sum (mean)	<u>&gt; 0.0070 ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~</u>	
	& Q°		
		Active: dif	ufencian ô
Model data	Level of RPE	Total absorbed dose	% systemic AgeL
Spraving (downward spraving)	ereals &	(mg/kg bw/day)	
Drift reduction technology: No			5.5
(default values $DT_{50}$ = 30 days an	d Initial De R: 3 kg/cm <sup>2</sup> /kg/a	.s./ha	
Buffer strip: 2-3 meters			ÿ Ö <sup>v</sup>
Number of applications and appl	ication rate	1 x 40.970 k	Sea.s./ha
× × ×	Drift 75 <sup>th</sup> poc.)	0 × 0.0016 0	1.48
	Vapour (19th perc)	0.0091	0.97
Resident coold	Deposits (75 <sup>th</sup> perc.)	5° 6,0001~0°	0.06
Body weight: 10% g	Remitry ( Sth perce)	0.0031	2.79
	Sum (mean)	0,0045	4.06
	Drift 75 <sup>th</sup> perc.)	<u>ð</u> .0003	0.27
	Kapour (DSth perce)	۵.0002	0.21
Resident adult	Deposits (7,5th perc.)	0.0000	0.02
Body weight: 60 4 S	Re-entry (9th perc)	00017	1.55
	Sum (mean) &	0.0018	1.60

## CP 7.2.2.2 C Measurement of bystander and resident exposure

Since the exposure estimate carried out indicated that the acceptable operator exposure level (AOEL) will not be exceeded under practical conditions of use, a study to provide a measure of bystander exposure was not necessary and was therefore not carried out.

### CP. 2.3 Worker exposure

The worker re-entry exposure has been calculated for both active substances following application of ACL+DFF SC 600 (500+100) G formulation for the representative use on crop. The estimation is provided in the following sections.



Ŵ

#### **CP 7.2.3.1 Estimation of worker exposure**

For cereals, the main re-entry activity after treatment is related to maintenance (i.e. inspection and irrigation). The common parameters used for worker exposure risk assessment are presented in the Fable below. The indicative transfer coefficient values from EFSA calculator for dermal exposure, are presented in the table below and are considered for the worker exposure risk assessment (first per assessment) following the use of the product in cereal crops.

Table 7.2.3.1.1-01	<b>Common parameter</b>	considered for	the estimation	of worker?exj	postire
	from the use of ACL	+DFF <b>SC 600 (5</b> 0	0+ <b>\$00)</b> G	Ĩ, <sup>1</sup> , <sup>1</sup>	U <sup>y</sup>

		v		107	L A o
Intended use(s)	Cereal	s A O	Dislougeable Poliar residue	,3 <sup>(</sup>	ug/cm?kg
			(DKK) O	Ž	a.s./ha
Application rate (AR)	0.350	log a.s./ha	Dermal absorption (DA)	20 or	& (worst case)
	(ACL)			26	
	0.076° (DFF)			~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~	
Number of applications (NA)	F.		Inhalation absorption (IA)	100	9.
Interval between applications	365	days)	Work rate per day (WR)	8	h/d
Half-life of active substance	30	days	TC dermal (potential)	£\$500	cm <sup>2</sup> /h
Multiple application factor	n "		TC dormal (work wear)	1400	cm <sup>2</sup> /h
(MAF)	, Oř				
Body weight (BWO)	69 (	kg/person	TC dermal (wolk wear,	-	cm <sup>2</sup> /h
	) C		gloves)		
AOEL	0.00	mg kg bw	Task specific factor	n/a	ha/h x 10 <sup>-3</sup>
	(ACL)		inhalation (TSF)		
	(DFF)				

Aclonifen and dipufenican show a low vapour pressure of 1.5 x 10<sup>-4</sup> Pa. Therefore, contamination of workers through inhabition of both Gubstances in Open field activities was considered negligible and consequently not used in the calculations

An AAQEL was not allocated during the peer review for the renewal of approval of both active subtances. Therefore estimates of acute exposure to workers have not been conducted. Ś

#### Estimation of longer-term worker exposure from the use of ACL+DFF SC 600 Table 7.2.3.1.1 (500+100) G in cereals.

Model data Level of PPE Total absor dose (mg/			¥)	Active: a	nclonifen
bw/day)	A Mar	lêl datar . L	Level of PPE	Total absorbed dose (mg/kg bw/day)	% systemic AOEL



Task: reaching and picking, peas			
MAF: 1.0			
Work rate: 8 hours/day			
(default values $DT_{50}$ = 30 days ar	Initial DFR: $3 \mu g/cm^2/kg a.s./ha$		N N
Interval between treatments: 365	days	<u> </u>	
Number of applications and appl	ication rate:	1 x 0.350 Kg a.s	s./ha, 100 L/ha
	Workwear (arms, body, and leas	A	6 '2 '6
	covered)		
		0.9919	
Body weight: 60 kg	TC: 12500 cm <sup>2</sup> /person/h		
Body weight. oo kg	Workwear (hands, args, body,	A O	
	and legs covered)	<sup>6</sup> (m) 103 0 <sup>4</sup>	LA 70 LA
	$T_{\rm C}$ 1400 cm <sup>2</sup> /m cm <sup>2</sup> /m		
	1C: 1400 cm <sup>-</sup> /person/h		
		<u>a a a</u>	
		Active: dif	lufenican
Madal data	Lavatof PDF	Total abcorbad	Systemic
woder data		dose (mg/kg	AOFL
		alosog(ing/has	
Task: reaching and picking, peas			
MAF: 1.0			\$.
Work rate: 8 hours/day		jõr o	0 <sup>×</sup>
(default values $DT_{50}=30$ days ar	d Initial DFR. 3 μg/cm²/kg@s./ha) ^		à
Interval between treatments. 365	days of a		4
Number of applications and appl	trationate: 0 8	≤ 1 <sub>x</sub> 0.070 Kg a.s	s./ha, 100 L/ha
S. O	Warkwert arms Body and leas		
	a cavered a maximum and the	s a contra	20.00
		0.0228	20.68
De du maister (Plag	TC 12500 cm <sup>2</sup> /person/h		
	Workwear (hands, arms, Body,	, O	
	and legs covered)	© 0.0025	2 32
		0.0023	2.52
	$\frac{1000 \text{ cm}^{-1} \text{ person/n}}{\sqrt{2}}$	¥	
S 4			
6 A			
CB7222 North			
CP 7.2.3.2 Wieasarell	ient of worker exposure		
Not considered to be necessary	as a safe use was predicted in the	e previous section.	
		-	
CP 2,2.4 Combined	exposure ~		
The product is a mixture of t	Wo active substances. Therefore	e, a combined expo	sure assessment is
provided		. 1	

#### CP 7.2.4.1 Exposite Assessment of the actives sunstances (Aclonifen , Diflufenican) in ACL+DFF SC 600 (500+100) G

Ľ

Ľ

Note. The combined toxicological effect of these active substances has not been investigated with regard to repeated dose toxicity.

At the first tier, combined exposure is calculated as the sum of the component exposures without regard to the mode of action or mechanism/target of toxicity. Initially, the individual Hazard Quotients (HQ)



are calculated for all active substances in the PPP by assessing the exposure according to appropriate models and dividing the individual exposure levels by the respective systemic AOEL/RVNAS. This is equivalent to the predicted exposure as % of systemic AOEL/RVNAS to decimal. The Hazard and the exposure (HI) is the sum of the individual HQs.

#### Table 7.2.4.1-1Long-term risk assessment from combined exposure

	ČA – Š	
Scenario	Active Substance	Estimated exposite / AOELQRVNAS
Combined formulation: ACL+DFF SC 6	00 (500+10 <b>6</b> )G	<del>G O O O</del>
Crop: cereals		
Application rate: 0.350 Kg/ha ACL + 0.0	070kg/ha DFF (worst-case scenario)	
<b>Operators</b> , with PPE (gloves M&L).	Aclanifen & Q	0.1390
For details please refer to 7.2.1. Only the worst-case scenario <i>Cereals</i> is	Diflufeniean of the system	0,0099 🖉 🌋
presented	Cumulative isk Operators (HI)2	<b>0.148</b>
Workers, with Workwear	Aclonifan & A	0.1370
For details please refer to 7.2.3 Conly the worst-case scenario Cereals is	Diflufenican & C	0.0232
presented	Cumulative risk Workers (HD2)	0.1792
Resident – Adul	Acloniten of a a	19,1018
For details please refers to 7.22. Only	Diflufenication 2 0 4	0.0160
presented	Cumulative in Resident-Adult (HIF	0.1178
Resident – Child	Açlonifen 2 A	0.2668
For details please refer to \$2.2. Only the worst-case scenario <i>Cereals</i> is	Diflufentivan	0.0406
presented	Cumulative Fisk Resident Child (HI) <sup>2</sup>	0.3074

The higher exposure value either from the 75<sup>th</sup> percentile of each of the four pathways (spray drift, vapour, surface deposits, entry into treated crops) or the sum of the mean expositive values is taken into consideration

<sup>2</sup> HI = Hazard Index <sup>3</sup> HO = Hazard Outtiont

HQ = Hazard Quotient

K,

The Hazard Index is < 1. Therefore, the combined exposure to all active substances in ACL+DFF SC 600 (500+100) is not expected to present wrisk for operators, workers, bystanders and residents. No further refinement of the assessment is required.

# CP 7.3 Dermakabsorption

Two detrial absorption studies were available, comprising two *in vitro* dermal absorption study using human skin, each one conducted with aclonifen or diflufenican. The EFSA guidance on dermal absorption 2017 (section 3.11) allows for the provision to base the dermal absorption value on the results of one well conducted *in vitro* study through human skin; therefore both studies has been used to calculate the final dermal absorption values for the concentrate and the aqueous dilution of each active substance. Both studies were found to be well conducted, of enough quality and conforms to the requirements of the EFSA 2017 guidance.



Summary of dermal absorption values (accordin	ig to 2017 EFSA guidance)
-----------------------------------------------	---------------------------

Active	Study	Concentrate dermal absorption	Tested dilution dermal absorption	Pro-rata adjustment to in-use dilution dermal 4 absorption
Aclonifen	, 2016.	500g/L: 0.19%	1.5 g/L: 8.4%	0.58 g/L: 29%
	M-569676-01-1	- The second sec		
Diflufenican	2016.	100 g/L: 0211%	0.3 g/L: 10%	0.12 g/L: 20%
	M-583709-01-1	A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A		
	·	k, o° ×		

#### Aclonifen

The necessary adjustments have been made to the data evaluation in this summar to comply with the 2017 EFSA guidance. Overall, the estimated amount of aclonifen considered to be absorbed from the concentrate and aqueous spray dilution was 0.19 % and 8 1% of the total applied dose respectively.

#### **Pro-rata adjustment**

For spray dilutions lower than 5g/b/aclonifen a pro-rate adjustment should be made in accordance with the 2017 EFSA guidance on dermal absorption. m

The highest dilution rate for use in barles and re is all in 857 dilution (0,58g/L actonifen) (assuming a maximum application rate of 0.175 kg/ha aclonifen in a maximum/water volume of 300L/ha). The tested dilution was a 1 in 336 dilution (1.5 g/L aclonifen) O

#### Pro-rata adjustment calculation from a 1 to 333 dilution to a 10 857 dilution = 8.1 x 857/333 = 20.8% (rounded to 21%). M

The dermal absorption of actonifen in a 0.58g/ dilution is 21%. This value is used as the most conservative value for the operator exposure calculations for the spray dilutions.

#### Difufenican

The necessary adjustments have been made to the data evaluation in this summary to comply with the 2017 EFSA gudance Overall, the estimated amount of diflufenican considered to be absorbed from the concentrate and aqueous spray dilution was 0.11 found 10% of the total applied dose, respectively. ¢.

#### Pro-rata@djustment 0

For spray dilutions fower than 0.3g/L differences a pro-rate adjustment should be made in accordance with the 2017 EFSA guidance on derma absorption.

The highest dilution rate for use in barley and tye is a 1 in 857 dilution (0.12 g/L diflutenican) (assuming a maximum application rate of 0.035 kg/ha diflufenican in a maximum water volume of 300L/ha). The tested dilution was a 1 in 333 dilution (@3 g/L diflufenican).

#### Pro-rate adjustment calculation from a 1 to 333 dilution to a 1 in 857 dilution = 10 x 857/333 = 25.7% (rounded to 26%)

The derma absorption of diflufenican in a 0.12g/L dilution is 26%. This value is used as the most conservative value for the operator exposure calculations for the spray dilutions.



Data Point:	KCP 7.3/01
Report Author:	
Report Year:	2016
Report Title:	ACL + DFF SC 500+100: [14C]-aclonifen in vitro dermal absorption study using
_	human skin
Report No:	SA 16138
Document No:	M-569676-01-1
Guideline(s) followed in	OECD Guideline for the testing of Chemicals
study:	Skin Absorption In Vitro Method Quideline 428 (April 2004).
-	OECD Environmental Health and Safety Publications Series on testing and
	Assessment N° 28, Guidance Document for the Conduct of Skin Absorption
	Studies (March 2004). $\sqrt[6]{2}$
	EFSA Panel on Plant Protection Products and the PResidees (PPR): Guidance on
	Dermal Absorption, EFSA Journal 2012, 10(4): 2665
Deviations from current	Current guideline: OKCD 42802004 2 2004
test guideline:	No significant deviations. EKSA dermal absorption guideline 2017 - study
	evaluated to the 2012 EFSA guidonce on Germal absorption so needs to be re-
	evaluated to the surrent guidance.
Previous evaluation:	No, not previously submitted
GLP/Officially	Yes, conducted upper GLP/Officially recognised resting facilities
recognised testing	
facilities:	
Acceptability/Reliability:	$Y \oplus                                   $
\$/	
4	

#### Executive Summary,

This report describes the *ip ivo* defmal penetration of aclonifs by the use of [14C]-aclonifen in the ACL + DFF SC 500+100 formulation (specification number 102000029998) following single dermal application to excised human dermatomed skin mounted in thow-through diffusion cells. This application was performed at two concentrations corresponding to the neat product (500 g aclonifen/L) and one spray dilution (1.59 aclonifen/L) with six replicates per concentration.

Dermatomed skin membranes were maintained in flow-through cells. The integrity of the membranes was first tested by the Trans Epidermal Water Loss (TEWL) method. The two formulations were applied at a rate of 10 pt/cm<sup>2</sup> Receptor fluid samples were collected at hourly intervals for the duration of the study (24 hours). The solubility of the aclonifen in the receptor fluid was demonstrated to be sufficient.

Eight hours post-application, the material was washed off the skin. At the end of the study (24 hours) the skin samples were swabbed again and were tape-stripped to remove residual surface dose and the stratum cornear. The skin samples were removed from the diffusion cells and taken for analysis with liquid scintillation counting.

Good recovery data were obtained for the neat formulation and the spray dilutions, with mean total recoveries of radioactivity exceeding 95%.

For both dose levels tested, the majority of radioactivity was found to be non-absorbed with >99% for the neat formulation and 91% for the low dose formulation. Also, less than 75% of the radioactivity was considered to be directly absorbed during the first half of the study (12 hours).



Therefore, according to the EFSA guidance document (2017), the radioactivity found in the stratum corneum was included in the potentially absorbable fraction. For the dilution one of the replicates was excluded as an outlier from the dermal absorption calculations as the absorption profile was clearly different from the other cells.

The necessary adjustments have been made to the data evaluation in this summary to comply with the 2017 EFSA guidance. Overall, the estimated amount of aclonifen considered to be absorbed from the concentrate and aqueous spray dilution was 0.19 % and 8.1% of the total applied dose, as pectively.





Page 47 of 72 2020-01-13 **Document MCP – Section 7: Toxicological studies** ACL+DFF SC 600 (500 +100) G

Source:

#### В. **STUDY DESIGN AND METHODS**

#### 1. In life dates:

#### 01 July 2016 to 09 August 2016

#### 2. Animal assignment and treatment

Six human skin samples were studied simultaneousle for each dose level. The flow-through diffusion cell system (Franz's cell modified, Gallas, France, was used to study the absorption of the test items (exposure area of 1 cm<sup>2</sup> skin). The receptor fluid was Eagle's pedium supplemented with 3% box me serum albumin and gentamycin (50 mg/L) at a pH measured between 7.4k and 7,43.

The receptor fluid was pumped through the receptor shamber at a safe of P.5 mL/h and stirred ° continuously whilst in the receptor chamber by means of a magnetic bar. The dose preparation was applied to the split-thickness skin sample with a pipette at the rate of approximately 10 µL cm2 exposed skin.

The receptor fluid passing through the receptor chamber was collected in glass vials held in a fraction collector. The fraction collector was started after tose application for each group was complete. Receptor fluid samples collected hourly for the duration of the experiment (24 hours). At 8 hours post-application, the skin was swabbed with freshly prepared 1% v/v/Tween 80 in PBS (phosphate buffer saline) using a minimum of 35 tissue wipes (Kimtech Science's from Kimberly Clark professional), in order to remove and retain the non-absorbed dose, until no radio ctivity was detected with a Geiger-Müllecmonitor. O Ĺ

At the end of the study (24 hours after application), the freated skin and the skin adjacent to the treatment site (surrounding swabs) were swabbed. Each skin sample was tape-stripped to remove the stratum corneum. The tope-strips were collected into scintillation vials for analysis. The skin surrounding the application site (surrounding skin) was separated from the treated skin. Both surrounding skin and tape-strupped treated skin were retained for analysis.

#### 3. Radioassav

3. Radioassay The amounts of radioactive in the Vario is samples were determined by liquid scintillation counting (LSC). Samples were coupled for 10 minutes of for 2 grgma % in an appropriate scintillation cocktail using a Packard 1900 TR counter with on-line computing facilities. Quenching effects were determined using an external standard and spectral quench parameter (tSIE) method. The limit of detection was taken to be dyice the background alues for blank samples in appropriate scintillation FINDENGS cocktails.

#### II. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Aclonited was demonstrated to be soluble in the receptor fluid at the concentration of 1.9 mg/mL of receptor fluid and deemed to be sufficient to reduce any risk of back diffusion. During the study, the maximal concentration per hour of [ $^{14}C$ ]-aclonifen in the receptor fluid was 0.22 µg/mL. Good



Ŀ,

recovery data were obtained for the neat formulation and the spray dilution, with mean total recoveries of radioactivity in the range of 98.5% to 95.7% of the applied dose.

The following table presents the distribution of radioactivity for the human dermatomed skin following a single topical application of the high and low dose formulations of [<sup>14</sup>C]-aclonifen in the ACL DFF SC 500+100 formulation.

For the spray dilution one of the replicates was excluded from the calculations as the absorption profile was clearly different from the other cells and it was clearly an outlier. The surrounding skin was contamination and this is thought to be caused by the donor chamber not properly fixed on the skin

 Table 7.3-1:
 Dermal absorption of [14C]-aclonifen distribution of radioactivity 24 hours after dose application in an SC formulation at the rates of 500 gH and 1.5 g/L to human skin samples

Results expressed in terms of	» percentage	of applied r	adioactivit	y. 8
04	Distri	bution of rad	lioactivity (	Adose) L
	Neât for	mulation:		, 0
	High	n dose 炎	Dilution	: Low dose
Dose Levels Q	(500	)\$\$/L) 🖑	Č (1,	(L)
Species	Huma	n (n=0)	Hunna	in (n=5)
SURFACE T	COMPART	APAT 🗸		
	Mean	SB) ×	Mean	SID
Skin swabs (8h)	98.08	1.58	89.46	¥.9.05
Skin swabs (24h) <sup>a</sup>	Ø.10	<b>0.04</b>	0.59	0.36
Total in skip swabs	\$ 98.1 <b>8</b>	1937	90.05¢	2.94
Surface Dose ( two tap strips)	<b>10</b> 03	©0.07 L	0.69	0.49
Donor champer	× 0.02		\$0.29	0.51
Total % on absorbed	98.43	Q.57	V 91.03	2.26
	MPARTME	Ser ~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~		
Skin <sup>b</sup> Skin <sup>b</sup>	0 <sup>7</sup> 0.08	20.04	0.65	0.65
Stratum corneum	0.03	0.03	0.08	0.07
Total % at the site of the	Q0.11 S	0.06	0.73	0.71
RECEPTOR	© ©OMRART	MENT		
Receptor fluid (0-24h)	s 9.06	0.01	3.55	2.26
Receptor theid terminal	0.01	0.00	0.18	0.12
Receptor chamber	n.d.	n.a.	0.23	0.51
Total % directly absorbed d @	0.07	0.01	3.96	2.51
Study: A & V				
Total Poten ally Alsorbable	0.18	0.06	4.69	2.89
TOTAL % RECOVERY	98.52	1.54	95.72	1.11
J' Evaluation accord	ling to EFSA	A Guidance		
$\sqrt{2}$ absorption >75% within half of study				
SQ <sup>*</sup> duration	Ν	No		No
standard deviation >25%	Y	es	· · ·	Yes
recovery <95%	Ν	No		No





The overall amount of [14C]-aclonifen considered to be directly absorbed was represented by the radioactivity present in the receptor fluid, receptor fluid at termination time and receptor chamber. This accounted for means of  $(9.07\% \pm 0.01\%)$  of the dose applied for the near formulation and for mean of  $3.96\% (\pm 2.51\%)$  of the dose applied for the low dose formulation. The radioactivity found in the skin compartment (skin, surrounding skin and stratum corneum) could be considered as potentially absorbable fraction and the amount of radioactivity found in the stratum corneum was also included in the potentially absorbable fraction as described below.

# Table 7.3-2 Dermal absorption of [140]-actonifen - Distribution of radioactivity during the test

Ò		
	Distribution of radioactivity 📈	
	gan % dőse ± standar Deviativ	Ň, O´
Dose level	📣 Neat ormulation 🔬	Spray dilution
	SPER16 O	(DER1616)
Number of skin colls used for		Ø =5
calculation		y <u>n</u> -5
Receptor fluin		2 22
(0 - 12h)		2.22
Total % threetly absorbed	5 65 0.90° x	3.96
Receptor fluid at 12 hours/day		560%
directly absorbed ration (%)		3070
Total potentially absorbable		$4.69 \pm 2.89$
Ű,		
	΄ <sub>Δ</sub> γ <sup>γ</sup>	
B. RECALCULATIONS	Ŵ	

Originally, this study was not valuated according to the 2017 EFSA Guidance on dermal absorption (2017). The following table summarises the data as prepared in accordance with the EFSA guidance (2017) (using the accompanying spreadsheet). The calculations consider the main requirements of the guidance, including whether or not absorption is complete, tape stripping procedures and rounding of values. Please see Appendix 2 for the original calculations.



# Table 7.3-3Dermal absorption of [14C]-aclonifen - summary of total amount absorbed (%<br/>applied dose) from the concentrate and the aqueous spray dilution after 24<br/>hours- according to the BfR template.

	Concentrate	Dilution 1	Dilution 2*			
Dilution	N/A	(1, 333)	(1333)			
Number of replicates	6	× 6				
Target concentration [mg/mL]	50 <u>0</u>	1.5	1.58			
Target dose [µg/cm <sup>2</sup> ]	5000	Q 15 Q	3 X9 A			
Mean actual applied dose [µg/cm <sup>2</sup> ]	<b>\$</b> 320	لم 14.47	QQ4.47			
Recovery [%]	Mean SD	Mean Sp	Mean SD			
	Distodgeable dose					
	& 6° 2					
Skin wash (total after 8 hours, and 24 hours	O' Q' X					
combined)	<b>98.18</b> 0 1.57	89.15 7.50	<b>2.94</b> 2.94			
Donor chamber wash	0.03 0.02	0.58 0.53	0.73 0.66			
Ű <sup>V</sup>	Skin associated dose					
Tape strips 1-2	Ø.13 Ø.07	LA9 5 1.27	0.70 0.49			
Tape strips 3-x	×0.04 0.03	0.11 0.5A	0.07			
Skin preparation	0,08 0,04	1.00 9.15	<sup>™</sup> 0.65 0.65			
	Absorbed dose		\$ <u></u>			
Receptor fluid	£ 0.02 0.04	\$.42 \$ 4,65	3.73 2.36			
Receptor chamber wash $Q O' A$	N/A N/A	<u> </u>	1.15 N/A			
Total recovery	§ 28,45 §1.53	95:56 \$1.06	95.72 1.11			
LLC of t_0.5 absorption	0.00	\$5.01 5 8.72	51.51 11.05			
Absorption complet	NO a	<u>No</u> No	No			
Measured absorption, if LOC of t 9.5<=75%	~y 0,1 2 ,0 07	6.08	4.69 2.88			
Measured absorption, in LC of t 0.5 5%	N/A N/A	N/A <sup>™</sup> N/A	N/A N/A			
Measured absorption Sorrected	© 0.12 0&7	6.08	4.69 2.88			
Relevant absorption estimate	~~ 0°2r91	13.011	8.143			
Final estimate (rounded)	Q0.19	13	8.1			

HI. CONCLUSIONS

In this well-conducted GLP and guideline compliant *in vitro* study, using the formulation ACL + DFF SC 500+100, evaluated according to the 2007 EFSA guidance on dermal absorption, the dermal absorption of acloudfen through Bumap skin was 0.19 % in the concentrate (500g/L aclonifen) and 8.1 % in the low dilution spray dilution (1.56 L aclonifen).

Assessment and conclusion by applicant:

All validity criteria were satisfied and therefore this study can be considered to be valid. The necessary adjustments have been made to the data evaluation in this summary to comply with the 2017 EPSA guidance.





This report describes the *in vitro* dermal penetration of diflufenican by the use of [14C]-diflufenican in the ACL + DFF SC 500+100 formulation (specification number 102000029998) following single dermal application to excised human dermatomed skin mounted in flow-through diffusion cells. This



application was performed at two concentrations corresponding to the neat product (100 g diflufenican) and one spray dilution (0.3 g) with six replicates per concentration.

Dermatomed skin membranes were maintained in flow-through cells. The integrity of the membranes  $\hat{v}$  was first tested by the Trans Epidermal Water Loss (TEWL) method. The two formulations were applied at a rate of 10  $\mu$ L/cm<sup>2</sup>. Receptor fluid samples were collected at bourly intervals for the duration of the study (24 hours). The solubility of the diflufenican in the receptor fluid was demonstrated to be sufficient.

Eight hours post-application, the remaining dose material was washed off the skin At the end of the study (24 hours) the skin samples were swabbed again and were tape-stripped to remove readual surface dose and the stratum corneum. The skin samples were removed from the diffusion cells and taken for analysis with liquid scintillation counting.

Good recovery data were obtained for the neaf formulation with a mean total recovery of radioactivity exceeding 95%. For the low dose mean recovery was slightly below 05%. In addition, in the low of dose one replicate was considered to be an outlier due to recovery below 90% this replicate was excluded from the mean calculations.

In the current study, as less than 75% of the radioactivity considered to be directly absorbed was absorbed during the first half of the study (12 hours), the amount of radioactivity found in the stratum corneum has to be included in the potentially absorbable fraction for the sprao dilution (based on EFSA Guidance Document, 2017). For the neat formulation, diffugencian was not detected in the receptor compartment; therefore the amount of radioactivity found in the stratum corneum was included in the potentially absorbable fraction

The necessary adjustments have been made to the data evaluation in this summary to comply with the 2017 EFSA guidance. Overall, the estimated amount of a clonifen considered to be absorbed from the concentrate and aqueous spray dilution was 0.11% and 10% of the total applied dose, respectively.

- L MATERIALS AND METHODS
- A. MATERIALS
- 1. Test material nonradiolabelled: The neat product formulation contains aclonifen (ACL: 500 2. ) and diffuencen (DFF: 100 g/L) forumulated as ACL + DFF SC 500+100, specification number 102000029998. In the current study, all concentrations are referring to diffufenican only: Diffufenican (AE F088657).

Description: Lot/Batch Purity: Stability of test compound Stability of test compound April 21, 2024. Non-radiolabeled formulation shown to be stable (see MCP2)





Six human skin samples were studied simultaneously for each dose level. The flow-through diffusion cell system (Franz's cell modified, Gallas, France) was used to study the absorption of the test item (exposure area of 1 cm<sup>2</sup> skip). The eceptor fluid was Eagle's medium supplemented with 5% bovine serum albuman and gentany cin (50 mg/z) at a pH measured between 7.40 and 7.43.

The receptor fluid was purped through the receptor chamber at a rate of 1.5 mL/h and stirred continuously whilst in the receptor chamber by means of a magnetic bar. The dose preparation was applied to the sphr-thickness skin sample with a pipette at the rate of approximately 10  $\mu$ L/cm<sup>2</sup> exposed skin.

The receptor fluid passing through the receptor chamber was collected in glass vials held in a fraction collector. The fraction collector was started after dose application for each group was complete. Receptor fluid samples were collected hourly for the duration of the experiment (24 hours). At 8 hours



post-application, the skin was swabbed with freshly prepared 1% v/v Tween 80 in PBS (phosphate buffer saline) using a minimum of 15 tissue wipes (Kimtech Sciences from Kimberly Clark professional), in order to remove and retain the non-absorbed dose, until no radioactivity was detected with a Geiger-Müller monitor.

At the end of the study (24 hours after application), the treated skin and the skin adjacent to the treatment site (surrounding swabs) were swabbed. Each skin sample was tape-stripped to remove the stratum corneum. The tape-strips were collected into scintillation views for analysis. The skin, surrounding the application site (surrounding skin) was separated from the treated skin. Both surrounding skin and tape-stripped treated skin were retained for analysis.

#### 3. Radioassav

The amounts of radioactivity in the various samples were determined by liquid scintiblation counting (LSC). Samples were counted for 10 minutes or for 2 sigma % in an appropriate spintillation cocktail using a Packard 1900 TR counter with op-line computing facilities. Querching effects were determined using an external standard and spectral quench parameter (rSIE) method. The fimit of ° detection was taken to be twice the background values for blank samples in appropriate schitillation cocktails. 

Ø

#### A. FINDINGS

Diflufenican was demonstrated to be soluble in the receptor fluid at the concentration of 0.7 mg/mL of receptor tluid. During the study the maximal concentration per hour of [14C]diflufenican in the receptor fluid was 26 ng/mL. The achieved concentrations were at least 10 times lower than the determined solubility concernentiation, thus the solubility in the receptor fluid was deemed to be sufficient to reduce any risk of back diffusion. 1

Good recovery data was obtained for the neat formulation, with mean total recovery of radioactivity of 100% of the applied dose

For the spray dilution one of the replicates was excluded from the calculations as the recovery was below 90%. The absorption profile of this replicate indicates that the amount washed off the skin at 8 hours was considerably lower that the other replicates Suggesting the missing material may be in the non-absorbed dose because other compartments were within the range of other replicates with the exception that there was an abnormally the high level of radioactivity in the 1<sup>st</sup> tape strip.

The following table presents the distribution of radioactivity for the human dermatomed skin following a single tripical application of the high and low dose formulations of  $[^{14}C]$ -diflutenican in the ACL+DFF SC 600 (500+100): formulation.

Table 7.3-4: <sup>3</sup> Dermal absorption of [14C]-diflufenican - distribution of radioactivity at 24 hours after dose application of in an SC 600 formulation at the rates of 100 g/L and 0.3 g/L to human skin samples

	@ Results expressed in terms of p	percentage of applied radio	activity.
		Distribution of rad	ioactivity (% dose)
la l		Neat formulation: High dose	Dilution: Low dose (0.3 g/L)
	Dose Levels	(100 g/L)	(normalised values)
	Species	Human (n=6)	Human (n=5)



SURFACE C	OMPARTMI	ENT		
	Mean	SD	Mean	SD &
		·	92.34	2 Y
Skin swabs (8h)	99.76	4.24	(97.90)	1.52 (1.6.9)
Skin swabs (24h) <sup>a</sup>	0.28	0.29	0.45 (0.48)	0.57 (0.60) %
Total in skin swabs	100.04	4.10	92.80 (98.39)	364 (1.74)
Surface Dose (1 <sup>st</sup> two tape-strips)	0.36	0.24	0.63 (0.67)	0.81 9.81) *
Donor chamber	Q.04	6 <sup>0</sup> *	0.06 %) (0.06	0.13 (0.14)
Total % non-absorbed	2 100.4	× 4.13	93348 (99.11)	0 2.34 (2.48) ~
SKIN ÇON	<b>NPARTMEN</b>	B L	<u> </u>	<u>~~~~~</u> ~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~
Skin <sup>b</sup>	× 0.03	0.03	0.67 (0.71)	Ø.15 (0 to)
Stratum corneum c	× 0,04	0.04	(0.05) (0.05)	0,09 (0.10)
Total % at dose site 🔗 🥰	Q 0.04	.04 Å	0.72 (0. <b>26</b> )	Q.14 (1,21)
	ÔÓMPAŘTM	ENS S		<u> </u>
Receptor fluid (02/4h)	Jr.d.		0.11 0	Q.09 (0.10)
Receptor fluid terminal	n.d. 🚿	Onia.	n.d.	O n.a.
	<u>y 11.46</u>			11.a.
Total % directly absorbed d	<b>J</b> u.d. S	<u>n.a.</u>	(0.120)	0.09 (0.10)
Total % Potentiall@Absorbable °	<u>§ 0.04</u>	0 <sub>0.04</sub>	(0,88)	1.09 (1.16)
TOTAL ORECOVERY	<u>_</u>	<u> </u>	94.32 (100)	1.96 (2.08)
Evaluation accord	ing to EFSA (	Guidance	,°	
absorption >75% within half of study duration				No
standard eviation >25%		es N		Yes
iecovery 95% 2 5	<u>y k v</u>			Yes
A adjusted:		,		
1 ofal % Potentially Xbsorbatile '	$   \vec{O}^{\times}  \vec{O}^{\times}  \vec{O}^{\times} $ and $   \hat{O}^{\times}  \vec{O}^{\times} $	.I		2
<sup>b</sup> : support radioactivity found by skin after tape stript	ping procedure	and in surroun	ding skin.	
Sape-strips excluding numbers 1 & 2 which are co	widered to be	non-absorbed c	lose.	
": sum of radioaetivity ound in receptor uid (004)	h), receptor flu	id terminal and	receptor char	mber.
<sup>c</sup> : total % directly absorbed + total % at dose site	holly Abart	la accordina t	EESA and	hold Hali
SD: standard deviation	ally Absorbat	ble according to	DEFSA are in	vota Halics.
nce. not dejected (below the Dimit of detection)				
n.a. : nor applicative				
n: pumber of skin cells used for calculation				
a 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1		.1 0 1		1



The overall amount of [<sup>14</sup>C]-diflufenican considered to be directly absorbed was represented by the radioactivity present in the receptor fluid, receptor fluid at termination time and receptor chamber. No radioactivity was detected in the receptor compartment. This accounted for mean of 0.12% of the dose applied for the low dose formulation. According to the following results:

included in the potentially absorbable fraction for the spray dilution

- For the neat formulation, diflufenican was not detected in the receptor compartment, it was decided to include the amount of radioactivity found in the stratum corner in the potentially absorbable fraction as a conservative measure.

Table 7.3-5	Dermal absorption of	[14C]-dø	ufenica	ın "Di	stribut	ion ø	Pradio	ctivity	during
the test		6	<i>R</i> o <sup>°</sup>	, O'	$\langle \gamma \rangle$	Ø	· 8		Ŝ

Distribution & radioactivity of the mean % dose transford deviation	
mean % dose ± standard deviation	~~~
	¢'
(normalized values for low dose formulation)	1
Dose levels	
Number of skin cells used for $Q'$ $n=0$ , $T'$ $Q'$ $T'=5$ $T'$ $Q'$ $Q'$ $Q'$ $Q'$ $Q'$ $Q'$ $Q'$ $Q$	
$\begin{array}{c c} \text{Receptor fluid} \\ (0 - 12h) \end{array} \qquad $	
Total % directly absorbed	
Receptor fluid at 16 hours day & SNA & O & 36%	
Total % potentially $\sqrt{2}$ $\sqrt$	
absorbables (0.88±1.16)	
*: sum of radiactivity in Total % directly absorbed and Total % of does site of	

Originally, this study was not evaluated according to the 2017 EFSA Guidance on dermal absorption (2017). The following table summarises the data as prepared in accordance with the EFSA guidance (2017) (using the accompanying spreacheet). The calculations consider the main requirements of the guidance, including whether or not absorption is complete, tape stripping procedures and rounding of value? Please see Appendix 2 for the original calculations.

 Table 7.3-6
 Dermal absorption of [14C]-diflufenican - summary of the total amount of aclonifen absorbed (% applied dose) from the concentrate and the aqueous spray dilution after 24 hours- according to the BfR template.

	Concent	rate	Dilut	tion 1	Dilution 2*			
Dilution of Strange	N/A		(1:333)		N/A (1:33		(1:3	33)
Number of replicates	6		6		4	5		
Targetooncentration [mg/mL]	100		0.3		0.	.3		
Target dose [µg/cm <sup>2</sup> ]	1000		3		3 3			
Mean actual applied dose [µg/cm <sup>2</sup> ]	880		2.97		2.9	97		
Recovery [%]	Mean	SD	Mean	SD	Mean	SD		



Dislodgeable dose							
Skin wash (total after 8 hours, and 24							
hours combined)	100.04	4.10	91.24	4.09	92.80	×.64	
Donor chamber wash	0.07	0.02	0.26	0.0	0.29	No A	
	Skin associat	ed dose		Â	-	<u>~</u> ~~~	
Tape strips 1-2	0.36	0.24	1.26	1.70	0.63	~0,81	
Tape strips 3-x	0.02	0,01	0.06	× 0.09	0.96	<ul><li>∞.10 </li></ul>	
Skin preparation	0.08	<b>4</b> 94	0.58	1.05	ළ 0.67	× 1.1	
	Absorbed	doše				¥ ~~ ,	
Receptor fluid	0.00	0.00	040	0.10	0.14	Ø.10	
Receptor chamber wash	0,00	0.00	0.00	¢گُور کې	00.00	0.00	
Total recovery	100253	4.13	<b>~93.31</b>	3.03	<u> </u> \94.32 ⟨	2 1.97	
LLC of t_0.5 absorption	4,00.00	0.00	-4.63	<u>\$</u> 6.72	<u>-6.</u> 01	44.52	
Absorption complete?	Ves Ves	<u> </u>	N N	6 <sup>0</sup> 0	r Ly N	lo ~ ~ °	
Measured absorption, if LLC of		$\sim$	A A	S.	Ŭ é		
t_0.5<=75%	N/A	<u></u> М/А	O 0,73 ×	<u>\$</u> .00		1,08	
Measured absorption, if LLC of					NUM		
L_0.3/7376		0.04	$\sim$ 6 1	A A	N/RO	N/A 1 22	
Relevant absorption estimate		0.04			0 10 <sup>3</sup>	4.23	
Final actimate (rounded)		× ø	$\mathcal{Q}_{10.}$			0	
Final estimate (rounded)		~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~			0″ 1	.0	
Remarks		Ø			ÇÎ,		
* Dilution 2 is dilution 1 xcluding outlier		, 1 _Q		S 4	*)		
N/A = not applicable	õ õ	°~°	× 4		)		
SD = standard devinion	0 \$	L'	Ő	× 4			
	II. CÔNCLÍ		S NO				
	S'N	·~	X ۲				

In this well-conducted GLP and guideline compliant *in vitro* study, using the formulation ACL + DFF SC 500+100, evaluated according to the 2017 EFSA guidance on dermal absorption, the dermal absorption of diflutence in through Human Skin vas 0.11% in the concentrate (100g/L diflutence) and 10% in the low dilution spray dilution (0.2 g/L diflutence).



All validite criteria were satisfied and therefore this study can be considered to be valid. The necessary adjustments have been made to the data evaluation in this summary to comply with the 2017 ECSA guidance.

Overall, the estimated amount of diflufenican considered to be absorbed from the concentrate (containing 100g/L diffufenican) and aqueous spray dilution (containing 0.3 g/L diflufenican) was 0.11 % and 10% of the total applied dose, respectively.



The highest dilution rate for use in barley and rye is a 1 in 857 dilution (0.12g/L diflufenican) 1 S (assuming a maximum application rate of 0.035 kg/ha diflufenican in a maximum water volume of 300L/ha). The dermal absorption of diflufenican in a 0.12 g/L dilution is 26%. This value is used as the most conservative value for the operator exposure calculations for the spray dilutions. S S A comparing of the providence CP.1 Available toxicological orar opting to construct the senseties (for the senseties (f Assessment and conclusion by RMS: the periodicity of the and the state of the









#### Diflufenican













#### Page 63 of 72 2020-01-13 Document MCP – Section 7: Toxicological studies ACL+DFF SC 600 (500 +100) G

 $\sim$ 

#### Appendix 1.3

# Resident exposure for ACL+DFF SC 600 (500+100) G outdoor spray application in cereal crops.

	Aclonifen		<b>*</b>	
			- Q	
Resident exposure for		Carrala	- Cri	
Application method	Dourouro	cerears	A Contraction of the second se	ST ST B
	DOWING		V or	
Application equipment	venscie contratos, emulsifiable conce	e-mounted	×,	
Ruffer strin		5 m	Č.	
Application rate of the product	e	0.35 kg a 3 /ha	Ø	N N O
Concentration of active substance (in-use dilution for liquid	a y	de a		
applications)	1	3.5 3% 5./1		<b>``````</b> ,@`
Dermal absorption of product	and the second s	0.19%		
Dermal absorption of in-use dilution		21.0%		
Oral absorption		10000%	~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~	
Dislodgeable foliar residue (i_AppRate*i_DFR)		1.05 µg a.s./cm <sup>2</sup>		4
Vapour pressure of in-use dilution	A pressue of	<5*10-30 Pa	ST OT	
Concentration in air		03.001 mg (m <sup>3</sup> )		
Resident dermal spray drift exposure 75th percentile - adult	in a second	A.23798 mppray diluti	on/person 🖓 🕺	
Resident dermal spray drift exposure 75th percentile - child		9 0.217 ml spray divit	on/persta	
Resident inhal. spray drift exposure 75th percentile - adult	× ~ * *	0.000 ml spray dituti	on/pesson	Ó
Resident inhal. spray drift exposure 75th percentile - child	10 · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	0.00917 ml spray diluti	on operson	, Ka
Resident dermal spray drift exposure mean - adult	Ó Ó	a 12278 ml spray diluti	Seprension C	×
Resident dermai spray drift exposure mean - child	TO ST		on/person	
Resident inhal, spray drift exposure mean - child.	· ~ ~ ~	0.0000 ml sprav diluti	on/person	
Exposure duration dermal		2 hours	ŝ,	
Exposure duration inhalation		24 how (5)	N D	
Exposure duration entry into treated crops		🔍 🔍 0.25 hours		
Light clothing adjustment factor		🖓 18.0% 🖤		
Breathing rate adult		023∕m³/dav(/kg		
Breathing rate child (1-3 year old)		1.07 m <sup>3</sup> /day/kg	L'	
Drift percentage on surface (75th percentile)	, ~ <sub>D</sub>	Ø2.30%	~	
Drift percentage on surface (nacom)	~ ~?	§ 1.80% 4	J	
Turf transferable residues percentage		5.00%		
Transfer coeff. of surface opposits-addite	, O LI 🔊	7 cm²/hour		
Transfer coeff. of surfa@deposits deposits did (1-3 year old)	¥ . 6° . ~	200 cm <sup>2</sup> /pour		
Saliva extraction percentage		50.00%		
Surface area of banded wouthed	O'			
Frequency of have to mouth activity		9.5 vents/hour		
Ingestion rate for mouthing of grass der day		∘ Scm²		
Dislodgeable residues percentage transferability for object to		.00%		
mouth	n O a	<b>x</b>		
Transfer coefficient for entrighto treated crops (75) Secrentile ad		<b>7500</b> cm²/h		
iransier coefficient for earry into trated crops (75th percentile) - chi	O' O' Ø'	2250 cm²/h		
Transfer coefficient & http://www.into/transfer coefficient & http://wwwwwwwwwwwwwwwwwwwwwwwwwwwwwwwwww		5980 cm²/h		
Transfer coefficient for entry into treated ops (mean child		1794 cm²/h		
Resident - child Spray drift (Zeth percentile) mg/kg by/day	\$ 0,01 <b>\$</b> \$	% of RVNAS	18.81%	
Vapour (75th percent ) mg/kg v/day	~0.9011	% of RVNAS	1.53%	
Surface deposits (75th percedule) mg/kg bw/	day 0.0006	% of RVNAS	0.79%	
Entry arto treated crops (75th perceptive) mg/	kgQ 0.0124	% of RVNAS	17.72%	
au pathway Mean) (Ry/kg bw/day	0.0187	% of RVNAS	26.68%	
Resident - Spray dt (75th percentile) / kg bw/day	0.0024	% of RVNAS	3.42%	
adult Vagor (75th percentile) Ag bw/day	0.0002	% of RVNAS	0.33%	
Smace deposits (75th percentile) mg/kg bw/	day 0.0002	% of RVNAS	0.29%	

0.0069

0.0071

% of RVNAS

% of RVNAS

9.84%

10.18%

Gentry into treated crops (75th percentile) mg/kg

All pathways (mean) mg/kg bw/day

Ŀ,

bw/day



Diflufenican

Resident exposure for			
Croptype	Cereals		
Application method	Downward spraying		
Application equipment	Vehicle-mounted-Drift Reduction		NY O
Formulation type Soluble co	centrates, emulsifiable concentrate, etc.	~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~	
Buffer strip	,,,,,,	m Q	
Application rate of the product	0.07	kgas/ha	A A A
Concentration of active substance (in-use dilution for liquid	0.07	د. ۵	
annlications)	0.7	ga.s./l	O' S' S
Dermal absorption of product	۵. 0.11%		
Dermal absorption of in-use dilution	26.00%		
Oral absorption	100.00%		
Dislodgeable foliar residue (i AppRate*i DER)	د 0.21		
bisougeusie fond residue (i_ripphate i_brit)			
Vapour pressure of in-use dilution	low volatile substances having a vapour		
	pressure of <5*10-3Pa		
Concentration in air	Q0 _0.001	material V	
Resident dermal spray drift exposure 75th percentile - adult	03709	m/spray dilution person	
Resident dermal spray drift exposure 75th percentile - child		and spray dilution/person	
Resident uchilal spray drift exposure 75th percentile - adult		And spray diversion / person	, A o
Resident inhal, spray drift exposure 75th percentile - child		ml spray dilution/person	
Resident dermal spray drift exposure mean - adult	0,12278	ml sprav dilution Derson	
Resident dermal spray drift exposure mean - child	$\sim \sim \sim \sim 0_{0.12}$	ml (pray dilution) person 🗸	
Resident inhal. spray drift exposure mean - adult	, °~, 0°, ~%.0008	In mspray dilution/person	
Resident inhal. spray drift exposure mean - child	0.0001	mil spray difytion/person	y <sup>y</sup> U
Exposure duration dermal	× . ~ . ~ . 0	Phours &	Co.
Exposure duration inhalation	10 14 1 × 124	hours A	de la companya de la comp
Exposure duration entry into treated crops	\$ \$ \$ <sup>0.25</sup>	hour	
Light clothing adjustment factor	18.0%	A A A	Ť
Breathing rate adult	0.23	Q3/day/kg O	1
Breathing rate child (1-3 year old)		m³/day/kg	
Drift percentage on surface (75th percentile)	0 2.30% 2.30%		
Drift percentage on surface (mean)	1.80%		
Turf transferable residues percentage 🦄 🧃	S .00%		
Transfer coeff. of surface deposits-adult	<u> </u>	cm²/hour	
Transfer coeff. of surface deposits-ghild (1-3 year old)		cm²/hour	
Saliva extraction percentage	Ø 50.00%	0 4	
Surface area of hands mouthed 🖉 🕺 🔊	ž ~ ~ ~ ~ 20	cm <sup>2</sup>	
Frequency of hand to mouth activity	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	pyents/have	
Ingestion rate for mouthing of grass per day		vcm <sup>2</sup>	
Dislodgeable residues become transferability for object to	(° L' ~ L		
mouth	'Y . Q . A 100%	.0	
Transfer coefficientiator entry into treated crops (75to percentile) - ag		¢¢¢¢*/h	
Transfer coefficient for entry into treated crops (201) percentifer - ch	i 5 225	cm²/h	
Transfer coefficient for entry into treated crops (mean) - adult		cm²/h	
Transfer coefficient for entry into reated craps (mean) child		cm²/h	
		- /	
Resident - child Spray drift (75th parcentile); mg/kg bw/ gy	~ 0.0016 % 4 % % of	RVNAS 1.48%	
Vasour (75th/bercentile) alg/kg bŵdav	0.9011 % of	RVNAS 0.97%	
Suttace dependence its /7200 percentile			
Surface deposits (/Smpercenue/mg/kg au		NVINAS U.U0%	
Entry into treated crops (75th percentile ing,	/kg 30.0031 6 % of	RVNAS 2.79%	
w/day v Q	- A · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
All pathways (méan) mg/kg bw/day	0,0045 % of	RVNAS 4.06%	
Resident - Spray drift Sth percense e) mg/ke bw/dav	∾009003 % of	RVNAS 0.27%	
adult	<u> </u>		
Vapour (₹5th percentile) mg/kg bw/day	0.0002 % of	RVNAS 0.21%	
Surface deposits 75th percentile) in Surface by	/da 0.0000 % of	RVNAS 0.02%	
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
Fourty into the sted crops 175th percentile) mg	Ng 0.0017 % of	RVNAS 1.55%	
ကြို် All pathways (meမြာ) mg/kg တာ//day	0.0018 % of	RVNAS 1.60%	
A TA			
$e^{\vee}$			
$\lor$			







	Vehicle used (if any)		
Blank product	Name (Lot/Batch No.)		
			g/L or
	Concentration a.s.		g/kg
	Type of formulation		
Tootoutour			O' ' ' '
		Elow through	
Diffusion cell	(If dynamic) Flow rate	Flow-ulliougi	
	Exposed skin area		
Skin comple		A Armatamad	
Skin sample	Skin thickness range		
	Skin dopor ago	notestated w	ypin of the state
	Skin donor sox	Housidieu	years A
	Site	Abdomen 4	
	Integrity test	Wes y the	
		surplemented with 5%	
Receptor	Receptor medium	bovine serum albumin	
		accontable found table	O O Y
		max concentration of	
	Solubility in receptor	acionifer in receptor	S. S.
	fredium 🗸 💭 🔬	fluid of 0.22µg/mL	ý
Sampling	Exposure time		hours
_~~	Şampling@uration ~	X X X X X	hours
~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~	Sample intervals		hours
Ča -		after b hours using 1%	
		WW WEER OU II CODO	
E.		Saline) using a minimum	
Ň		of 15 tissue wipes.	
ŝ		Further swabbing at 24	
<u> </u>	Son wasp/Swapping	Aprs 4	
l ape strips	ape stripping ~ ~	Yes Machdarm adhaaiya	
A	Type of table strike used	tane	
	TS 1-2 analysed		
	Seperately?	Yes	
Remarks			
_ ۵			
Acloniten Concent	pate: a a		
	A 19		
ja ka ka	Ŷ.Ŷ		
	L		
Č <sup>O</sup>			



#### Page 67 of 72 2020-01-13 Document MCP – Section 7: Toxicological studies ACL+DFF SC 600 (500 +100) G

Renlicate	1	2	3	4	5	6	n	MFAN	SD	MAX				
replicate		2	5		3	0			00	IVIAA				DA
T0.5 <= 75 %												Variation	DA corrected	rounded
Absorbed dose	0.01	0.02	0.01	0.01	0.04	0.01		0.02	2 0.01	0.04			<u> </u>	ð
Tape strips 3-x	0.03	0.05	0	0	0.08	0.01		0.04	0.03	0.08			. 4	Č,
Skin preparation	0.11	0.12	0.06	0.02	0.08	0.08		0.08	8 0.04	0.12			N A	y <sup>v</sup>
Sum Delevent data normalized	0.15	0.19	0.07	0.03	0.2	0.1		0.12	0.07	0.2		0		1
Relevant data added	0.15	0.19	0.07	0.03	0.2	0.1		0.12	0.07	<i>€</i> √02		J.		
Relevant data	0.15	0.19	0.07	0.03	0.2	0.1	6	0.12	2 0.07	0.2		55.15	§ § 0.191	0.19
										0			Y	
T0.5 > 75 %									1			OV.	SY C	6
Absorbed dose	0.01	0.02	0.01	0.01	0.04	0.01		0.02	2 ‱0,0∛	0.04	^⊘		9 L	3
Skin preparation	0.11	0.12	0.06	0.02	0.08	0.08	(Č\$	0.08	0.04	0.12	×1			
Sum	0.12	0.14	0.07	0.03	0.12	0.09	- A 7 1	0.10	v 0.04	0.14	Ô	A A		, O
Relevant data normalised	0.12	0.14	0.07	0.03	0.12	0.09	¥		0.04	0.14	<i>a</i> ,	- North Contraction of the second sec		A
Relevant data added	0.12	0.14	0.07	0.03	0.12	0.09	6		0.04	0.14	Ĵ	A2 50		0
Relevant uata	0.12	0.14	0.07	0.03	0.12	0.09	y 0	<b>U.I</b>	0.04	.0	~	42.50		0.14
Non-absorbed dose	98.25	97.1	101.04	97.89	96.65	<del>99</del> 05		-Q 98.33	1.57	101/04	s.	C		
		••••				- Of				Q.	Ő	Re	a.Y	
Total Recovery	98.4	97.29	101.11	97.92	96.85	Q 99.15	$\sim$	98,4	1.53	101.11	$\mathcal{N}$	Q.		
-					,	×.	0	$\sim$	6	6	₽×1	. W	J.Y	
T0.5	0.001	0.0005	0.001	0.001	0.00025	0.00	ð <u>N</u> 6	<b>.</b>	) <b>6(00</b>	0.004	1	$\sim$	N.	
					l Oʻ	. 🔍	Mean lowed limit	y.			e	.4		
					,		of confidence	0.00	ŬÕ <sup>®</sup>	.0			× s.°	
					1	<u>o</u>	k*S <b>Q</b> () 4	0.0003323	Ŭ	<i>N</i>	O	.0	Y	
				×	<u>ل</u> ۲		× ~		. (	ñ.		R.		
Destructo			-	L.		Y'		~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~			9	1.		
Replicate	1	2	3	voor all 4	a adversed			ME AN	SD 7	MAX	7	$\sim$		
Donor ID	A2016/1	X2016/10	A2016/3	X204671	A2096/2	X201648		K)	C	Į,		y (	J	
Pocontor fluid	0.01	0.02	0.01	0 <sup>9</sup> 0.01	2004	S. S0.01		0 0.05	0.01	S0.04		Ĉo		
	0.01	0.02	0.01	0.01	0 <sup>.004</sup>	~0.01			2 0.01	20.04	-Si-			
Receptor compartment wash	0	0	-Qa		- A	. đ		000	0.04	9	s. L	\$\$\ \$		
Donor compartment wash	0	0	0.06	. 692	0.02	2 0.05		al .0.02	10.02	0.06	J	. %		
	98.09	96.99	RØ0.82	\$97.83	96.99	98.94	Ű.	98.18	Q57	100.82	(k			
	0.16	0.14	0.16	0.04	0.24	0.08	No contraction of the second s	0 0.13	0.07	0.24	Ô	/		
	0.03	0.95	j p	0	0.08	0.01	Å	0,03	0.03	0.08	0			
Stripped skin	0.11	0.12	0.06	0.02	0.08	J 0.08	<i>©</i> '''	0.08	0.04	0.12	Ĉo			
		Ô	0	$\sim$		Ø,	<u> </u>			y g				
Receptor fluid after 12 hours	0.0000001	° 1È-07	1E-07	1607	1E/07	1E-Q		×\$0.00	0.90	1E-QZ				
Receptor fluid after 24 hours	0.01	0.02	0.01	0.01	49304	~ 601	ON ON	0.02	2 **9/01	2,84				
	*		ç.		$\bigcirc$	ČO,	°~	V Ç	٤,	°~				
	-C	0	y 1	$\mathbb{S}$	7.	, C	«"́		Y	s , "				
	"Q"	e				S'	N a.	Q	,	. A				
Aclonifen diluti	OR	SY .	~ O)	J.Y	, 	$\sim$		s.	Ø1					
i teronnen unut	Ň,	, O	S S	$\sim$	$\sim$	°~		a, <sup>y</sup>	$\sim$					
_(	Û 🔈	1	$\sim$	<b>&amp;</b> .	$\sim$	L.	~	Ň	L 7					
	) _0	ř 🔍		Ň /	, O	× 1	s	Y						
-Or	Ş	U U	(	9	5	õ		<sup>5</sup> 01						
_	1 De 1	s	<i>C</i> o	ß	°,			\$ N						
, Ô		. // .		4	ð	<i>"</i> "(								
°~y <sup>™</sup>		s, s	, North Contraction of the second sec	~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~	Q			$\sim$						
s Cr		Ĵ	S	Ø	^	S	17 (	$\neg$						
	~_O		り、	~ 4	$\bigcirc$	S, O	~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~							
		s Y	×	ÌĈ	×	, Y (	4. A <sup>1</sup>							
	29	$\sim$	-Q	°~										
	$\sim$	. 1	Ľ	L 1										
	Q i	T> .	S	(M)		$\sim$								
<i>Q</i> .	Ő	\$ .~	y	Ĉ	N N	Ň	1 Alexandre							
	e e	<u> </u>	°~	v r	Ŷ,		0							
~~~	Q	~O			¥ õ		$\gg$							
4		Or	~0″	.CS	<i>t</i> a	i a								
			N	0.5		× ĭ	/							
10°	, C	Q 4	Õ	Ø	S	°~								
- Ci	i 🔊		¥ 🔊		s.	~0″								
		Å		Y a	,¥ °	s and a second s								
A NO	ж <u>о</u> .		~°0'	Ő	~ ~ C	Ý								
		and a second	E.Y	~~	O <sup>¥</sup>	,								
	N		s.	a.	L									
e la companya da companya d		. a	× ~	Ň	0 <sup>v</sup>									
<u></u>	A.	Ś	4	N.	- M									
Q	~~~~×°	- N		, a	7									
	≪″	õ	× 1	~0~										
A.	R I	$\sim$		¥										
ĮŪ a	7,1	9 (	ñ											
NY NY	.9		1											
s s		4.7												
N ON		$\sim$												
ri cr	"0"	S.Y												
		L'												
, Č <sup>y</sup>														
Ĉĭ														
<b>V</b>														



#### Page 68 of 72 2020-01-13 Document MCP – Section 7: Toxicological studies ACL+DFF SC 600 (500 +100) G

Deallasta		0	0		-	0			0.0					
Replicate	1	2	3	4	5	6	n	MEAN	SD	MAX			DA	DA
T0 5 <- 75 %												Variation		roundod
	1.60	2 50	2 27	E 61	7 54	14.70		E 77	4.06	14.70		Variation	corrected *	Tourided
Absorbed dose	1.09	2.59	2.37	5.01	7.54	14.79		5.77	4.90	14.79				
Tape strips 3-x	0.03	0.02	0.12	0.17	0.04	0.3		0.11	0.11	0.3				- St
Skin preparation	0.39	0.07	0.52	1.77	0.5	3.08		1.06	1.15	3.08			6	102
Sum	2.11	2.68	3.01	7.55	8.08	18.17		6.93	6.08	18.17	ð-		an s	
Relevant data normalised	2.11	2.68	3.01	7.55	8.08	18.17		6.93	6.08	18.14	¥	J.		>
Relevant data added	2.11	2.68	3.01	7.55	8.08	18.17		6.93	6.08	18 17	2	~		
Relevant data	2.11	2.68	3.01	7.55	8.08	18.17	6	6.93	6.08	18917		87.66	5 143,011	13
										.1		- N		Ĉo
T0.5 > 75 %									~	, T		. O	l Ø' 、	
Absorbed dose	1.69	2.59	2.37	5.61	7.54	14.79	<i>R</i>	5.77	4.96	🎽 14.79		$\sim$		~
Skin preparation	0.39	0.07	0.52	1.77	0.5	3.08		1.06	1,15	3.08	,		v 63	Î a
Sum	2.08	2.66	2.89	7.38	8.04	17.87	N.	6.82	5.99	17.87		Ŭ an		1
Relevant data normalised	2.08	2.66	2.89	7.38	8.04	17.87		6.82	5.99	17.87	U . U	- N		
Relevant data added	2.08	2.66	2.89	7.38	8.04	17.87	Å,	6.82	O 5.99	17.87	×,	õ	A Start	60
Relevant data	2.08	2.66	2.89	7.38	8.04	17.87	. 🧷 6	6.82	5.99	17.87	O	87.76	12.805	13
							4	Q	<u>م</u>	0 4	6	1	0	0
Non-absorbed dose	01.84	02 07	03.1	88 35	88.80	76 58	Cor Y	88.62	69	021		Y .	Ň	,
Non-absorbed dose	91.04	92.91	93.1	00.55	00.09	10.50	h	00.02	. Of 4	23.4	/ \(	) - O	<u> </u>	
Total Basayany	02.05	05.65	06 14	05.0	06.07	04 75	×	OF DE EC	1 06	60 07	21	- Ki		
Total Recovery	33.33	33.03	30.11	33.3	30.31	4.13	<u>À</u>	DF 33.30	K)* 1.00	S 1	O <sup>y</sup>	° N	s s s s s s s s s s s s s s s s s s s	
<b>T</b> A <b>F</b>		70.0040	50.000	00.077	50.0004	a sas			1 0.70		ACT -	4		
10.5	63.9053	76.0618	58.228	63.677	50.9284	69.575		63 (3	8,72	076.0618	10°	L.	1	0
					4		Misah lower line	0	U U	ŕ	Ŭ .	ò <sup>v</sup> a	** L	
					4	, o,	Confidence	°5€∕01	4					
					<i>S '</i>		rk*SD ∑ 🍸	A 722625	A				- A	
					L.	$\sim$	× V	,O*	dy"	°~~		1.	S.	
					$q_1$	, N		1 (	Dí.	1,7	S			
Replicate	1	2	3	A	<b>ົ</b> ້ 5	6		MEAN	SD 🄌	MAX	Ø	S.	Q	
Donor ID	X2016/5	A2016/3	X2016/8	A20(6)	×2016/14	A2016/	Q N				3			
	12010/0	12010/0	702010/0	ALCON T		y 12010, 0	v V		A Contraction	, D			2	
Pecentor fluid	1.60	2 50	2 37	0146	7.54	13.97	~	5 42	0 65	2/97	, A	i "w		
	1.05	2.35	2.37	4.40	0	0.01	- Or	J. J.42	0 4.03	. 0	Ô	"M		
Receptor compartment			<i></i>	·		(China)	N A		6	×	0			
wash	0	0		1.15%	0	" <i>Q</i> 0.92		0.6	0.54	0 1.15	$\gtrsim$	×,		
			N.	~	1	~	10 A	Q V	l Ro		$\bigcirc$	$\bigcirc$		
Donor compartment wash	0	0	\$_0.26	1.19	20	× 0.3	~	0.29	0.46	1,19		<b>v</b>		
	91.49	92.81	91.88	85/78	8.26	72.65	Y 107	87.15	7.57	s 92.81	Ĉo			
-	0.35	0,000	0.96	1.38	<b>\$</b> .63	3.63	Ŭ	1.19	1.27	8.63				
	2 0.03	°×9,02	0,12	0.17	Ø 0.04	0.3	l a	<b>,</b> <sup></sup> 0.11 <sup>€</sup>	0.11	0.3	$\sim$			
Stripped skin	0.39	0.07	<b>£</b> 52	1.77	0.5	\$3.08	. 01 2	1.06	1.15	3.08	N.			
••		L n	NY.	Ô	) (	0	~ · ·	~	1.	o.0	0)			
Receptor fluid after 12	ſ	~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~	and a	Ś		Å		O	×	6	<i>,</i> •			
hours	1008	<sup>7</sup> 1 97	1 38	× × 84	a \$1	9.65	y Ku	3 46		965				
Recentor fluid after 24		2/50	2 37	0 4 46	54	13.87	Ň	01 542	4.65	13.87				
		- St	2.01	, 1.10	L i	▲ .	.~Q 0		4.00	Ø				
	~~"	<u>م</u> ٩.	s V		~	`¥	× .~		, A	Ý				
Acloniten Diluț	ton ex	cludii	ng~ơu	ther:		$\mathbb{Y}$	L' N		\$	,×				
~C	) (	Or .	õ	Š¥.	1.0	r s		S.	•					
- Or	S	¥ (	0	$\bigcirc$	×,	Õ		and the second s	a.					
•	- Cor	×.	/ ,	>_	, <sup>v</sup>			0						
Ĉ	•		C.	Q	2	s.y	The second secon		×					
		Ľ	s de la companya de l	•	No. Y	O°	Ŭ _ Û	, . "(	)°					
		Ĉĭ	Â.C.		<i>0</i>			× ×						
1 August 1	C	7,	ŐŇ	~		0	Ó V	. 0'						
47	~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~	°,		.// .	, C	°~	ý.,	°N						
		) 	Ĭ.	s	Oľ	1	¥ &,	s"						
	2	Ś	d	Ş	°~		Č¥							
	_v	4	_O	ý 52	2,"	,"0"	U 🎘	,						
	Q	A	S		y .	s s								
_		$\mathcal{Q}^{\cdot}$	N	p.0		¥	S S							
	)_ (	) (	Ĉĩ		. 0	( )	0 '0'							
~0_	Ũ	0		, Y		Ś								
У			, , ,	$\mathbb{Y}$	£0 ″		_0*							
a 1		O.	~C	)	1 N	Ô	Ø							
		<i>A</i> -	S	Ô		les 1	K.							
0		Q	õ	Ľ	2	S.	°							
	*	Y	· 🖌		s S	,	ว"							
<u>^</u>	~0	ř.	<b>`</b>	`۲	a.Y	2	Ť.							
,*©	≪J <sup>¥</sup>		× 、	6	Ø									
	Ŭ	S	Ĩ	1	Q,	Š								
<i>v</i>		"O"	Ö	· _	v	"O								
(	7.		L,	Ø	1 4	4								
. C <sup>W</sup>		<	$\hat{a}^{v}$	$\sim$	Ð	)								
<u> </u>	2		S	47	7	/								
$\sim$		/ 🏹	ž	~	a.									
	\$ "	S	~//		Ň									
Ľ	Ĩ	"Oʻ	, second	, °	$\forall$									
Â, <sup>v</sup>	n y	Û	Ň											
	U	-	$\bigcirc$											
	° ,1	~	Ĩ											
$\sim$ $\sim$	~	× 1	Ň											
× 0,	S		"											
	"0"	s.y												
Ki zi		L'AN												
V AV														
≥O.														
$\bigcirc$														



#### Page 69 of 72 2020-01-13 Document MCP - Section 7: Toxicological studies ACL+DFF SC 600 (500 +100) G

Replicate	1	2	3	4	5	n	MEAN	SD	MAX				
·												DA	DA
T0.5 <= 75 %											Variation	corrected	rounded
Absorbed dose	1.69	2.59	2.37	5.61	7.54		3.96	2.50	7.54				Ø
Tape strips 3-x	0.03	0.02	0.12	0.17	0.04		0.08	0.07	0.17				. 0
Skin preparation	0.39	0.07	0.52	1.77	0.5		0.65	0.65	1.77				N
Sum	2.11	2.68	3.01	7.55	8.08		4.69	2.88	8.08		<b>~</b> .		0)
Relevant data	2.11	2.68	3.01	7.55	8.08		4.69	2.88	8.08	a construction of the second se	0°	l all	í ôs
Relevant data added	2.11	2.68	3.01	7.55	8.08		4.69	2.88	8.08		1	~	
Relevant data	2.11	2.68	3.01	7.55	8.08	5	4.69	2.88	8.08	10	61.47	8.143	8.1
										4		<sup>2</sup> C <sup>4</sup>	$\approx \bigcirc$
T0.5 > 75 %												) Oʻ	m
Absorbed dose	1.69	2.59	2.37	5.61	7.54		3.96	2.50	7.54	"V"	Í .	× *	y ×
Skin preparation	0.39	0.07	0.52	1.77	0.5		65	0.65	1.77	4	, K		S
Sum	2.08	2.66	2.89	7.38	8.04		4.61	2.85	8,04		Õ	~0 <sup>%</sup>	
Relevant data	2.08	2.66	2.89	7.38	8.04		¥ 4.61	2.85	8.94		Ű	.~	
Relevant data added	2.08	2.66	2.89	7.38	8.04	Å	4.61	2.85	<i>e</i> @.04		×,	Ő	S C
Relevant data	2.08	2.66	2.89	7.38	8.04	, <b>A</b> 9	4.61	2.85	8.04		61.93	8.036	jO ,
						4		L.	2	e_ 0	h D	. (	
Non-absorbed dose	91.84	92.97	93.1	88.35	88.89	and the second s	91.03	2.26	93,1	Q L		1	No.
						Rô			. C	7	x 10	.9	
Total Recovery	93.95	95.65	96.11	95.9	96.97	× ·	o 95.72	1.11	96.97	1 and the second se		, W	
						K Ö	) ^	Dr.	×	K)	.~	$\sim$	×Q
T0.5	63.9053	76.06178	58.2278	63.6771	50.9284	O' Qã	62:56	9.21	76.062	. M		e 4	
						Mean lower limit	0		r i	ð,	0		
					1	of confidence	ຼ 🕼 🕅 🕄	Q,		Ő.	, O		
					×, V	k*SD°҄∾∕ຶ	11/05398	s í	2	Ň			
					L		Y e	°.	L y		No.		
					$Q_1^{\vee}$	,°~" (C	i . ^	1	$\bigcirc^{\prime}$	17	-C	~	25
Replicate	1	2	3	Æ	5	( ( ( ( ( ( ( ( ( ( ( ( ( ( ( ( ( ( (	MEAN	SD 🔬	MAX	N.	U a	G (	9
Donor ID	X2016/5	A2016/3	X2016/8	A2016(2)	\$2016/18		~~~	and a	~		5 _ (		
				al a	(A)	°~y"	s i	$\sim$	-	, s	i Q		
Receptor fluid	1.69	2.59	2.37	4.46	7.54	. *	3.73	2.36	2.54	Ŭ.	, N	, so	
Receptor	0	0	0	₹∕1.15	Ô 0	Ø (	0.23	0.51	e¶.15	~ O `	O	$\sim$	
Donor compartment	0	0	0.26	1.19	L 0	W Q	0 @	0.52	\$1.19	Õ	~O (	20	
	91.49	92.81	91 88	85.78	88.26	<u> </u>	90.04	2.94	\$ 92.81	<u> </u>	O'	$\mathbb{N}$	
1	0.35	0.16	10,96	1.38	0.63	1	0.70	0.49	1.38	ò.	C	)	
2	0.03	0.02	0.12	\$0,17	Ø.0Å	L	0.08	×07	0/AZ	°, Ø	4		
Stripped skin	0.39	0.07	0.52	<b>∩</b> <sup>1</sup> .77	0.5	Ø	0.65	0.65	A 1.87	° N	, Q		
		0	2	V	Ô	l D		\$	\$ 0	~CY	× i		
Receptor fluid after 12	1.08	1.97	1.38	2.84	3.84	St al	222	1,13	3.84	Ň	Â		
Receptor fluid after 24	1.69	2.59	2.37	4.48	7.54		073	2,36	7.54		0)		
		N.		. 4	,	" ()"	A	S.V	6	· ~			

# ACL + DFF SC 600 (500+100: 14C)-diflutenican in vitro dermal absorption study using human skin. M-583709-01-1 de la constanción de la constanci de la constanción de la constanción de la constanc

2017. For some data with values below 0% a low number which give an error it is used. Tested doses zero has been added to aid in the excel formulas \$ 0 N.

ð

Tested	doses	0

		Â	
	Concentrate	Dilution 1	Dilution 2
Target concentration [mg/mL]	A00 0 4	20.3	0.3
Surface area dose [µg/cm <sup>2</sup> ]	໌ 10 <b>00 ຊິ</b> ້ 🔊	3	3
Total dose [µg/cell] 🖓 🖂 🖓	1000	3	3
Specific activity [kBq/mb	<b>~3820</b> 🏷	932.3	932.3
No. of donors a start of donors a start of donors and the start of the	6	6	6
No. of replicates used/valig	~~		
replicates*	Å.	6	5
Dilution thad one replicate with	¥		
recovery of 88029% Athe ditotion			
was tecalculated without this			
replicate ( Dilution 2)			
Materials and methods			



General			
information			
	Species	Human	
	Method	In vitro	
Test material		Ča.	
		[difluorophenyl-UL-	
Active substance	Name (Lot/Batch No.)	14Q] Diflufenican	
	Test preparation	pre-formulated	
	Radiochemical purity	<u>v</u> 100	
Product	Name (Lot/Batch No.)	ACL+DFF SC 600 (500+100)	
TTOULCE	Company code		P P & A O
			a/Lar
	Concentration a.s.		gAkg ~ ~ ~ ~
	Type of formulation	SC X X	
	Vehicle used (iPany) 🚿 🛬		
Blank product	Name (Lot/Batch No.)		
	Concentration 200		G/kg
	Type of form@lation		Ave O
Test system	A ST		L'AND C
Diffusion cell	Type of diffusion cell	Plow-through	
Ŕ	🥰 (Îf dynamic) 🖓 Tow rate 🛛 🔌	1.5 گ	mL/ĥ
, D	Exposed skin area 🤍 🔿		∼©m²
Skin sample	Skin type	Dermatomed	
	Skin thickness range	379-464	μm
Ê, <sup>g</sup> '	Skin donor age	bot specified o	years
.,	Skin donor sex	Female	
Š	Site ' ' ' ' '	Aboomen	
	Source Source		
		yes u	
A		Eagle's medium	
		sopplemented with	
Receptor	Receptor medium	albumin	
No.		a a a a a tabla da una d	
, ON		to be 0.7 mg/ml	
~ ~		compared to max	
		concentration of	
		diflufenican in	
S &		2 6ng/ml	
Sampling	Exposure time	8	hours
	Sampling duration	24	hours
Ű	Sample intervals	1	hours







#### Page 72 of 72 2020-01-13 Document MCP – Section 7: Toxicological studies ACL+DFF SC 600 (500 +100) G

10.116

10

Replicate	1	2	3	A	. 5	6	n	MEAN	SD	MAX			
		2	J		3	0						DA	DA
T0.5 <= 75 %											Variatio	n corrected	rounded
Absorbed dose	0.0000002	0.0100001	0.1600001	0.0100001	0.2200001	0.1800001		0.10	0.1	0 0.2200001			<i>a</i> .
Tape strips 3-x	0	0.02	0.01	0.04	0.01	0.21		0.06	0.0	9 0.21			<u>v</u>
Skin preparation	2.69	0.03	0.08	0.15	0.06	0.48		0.58	1.0	5 2.69		1	Ĩ
Sum	2.6900002	0.0600001	0.2500001	0.2000001	0.2900001	0.8700001		0.73	1.0	0 2.6900002		°~	N A
Relevant data normalised	2.88781556	0.06519624	0.2500001	0.22652633	0.3090697	0.8700001		0.77	1.0	7 2.88781556		A.	/ 4
Relevant data added	9.54	8.03	0.2500001	11.91	6.46	0.8700001		6.18	4.7	1 11.9	<b>M</b>	20	
Relevant data	9.54	8.03	0.2500001	11.91	6.46	0.8700001	6	6.18	4.7	1 11,94	76	.28 . 10.88	8 💍 🐴 1
										L.		A.	
T0.5 > 75 %												¥,	. ~
Absorbed dose	0.000002	0.0100001	0.1600001	0.0100001	0.2200001	0.1800001		0.10	0.1	0 0.2200001		<u>.</u>	N.
Skin preparation	2.69	0.03	0.08	0.15	0.06	0.48		0.58	1.0	5 🚯 2.69	~ (	√″~(C	¥ .
Sum	2.6900002	0.0400001	0.2400001	0.1600001	0.2800001	0.6600001		0.68	1.0	1/	0	) Po	1
Relevant data normalised	2.88781556	0.0434642	0.2400001	0.18122109	0.29841213	0.6600001		0.72	1.0	12.88781556	8	0. V	1
Relevant data added	9.54	8.01	0.2400001	11.87	6.45	0.6600001	õ.	6.13	A 7	5 11.87			
Relevant data	9.54	8.01	0.2400001	11.87	6.45	0.6600001	6	6.13	A.H	5 11.87	77	.51 10.87	9 0.11
	5.01	5.01			5.40			5.10	Ŵ.		Ċ.	~~~~	, W
Non-absorbed dose	90.46	91.97	95.34	88.09	93.54	96.11	>	92.59	Q. 3.0	3 96.11	Q1	~~	×U
	1				1				OY	1		S d	¥ .
Total Recovery	93.1500002	92.0300001	95.5900001	88.2900001	93.8300001	96.9800001	SY .	93.31	3.0	3 96.9800001	$\sim$ $\sim$		¥ (4
							,W		1		U 'S	1 0	(m)
T0.5	100	0,001	37.5	0,001	27.2727273	27.777778	6	32QA	36.7	2 <sup>0</sup> 1460	1	$\odot$	, V
	100	2.001	51.0				Mean lower limit		- Ô	<u> </u>	×		
						0	of confidence	~4.63	<i>@</i> *	$\sim$	, O`	Ĉo	$Q_{n}^{\prime\prime}$
						- KO	k*SD	36.7212347		v			Ň
						~	. 0	10	, Y	101		× ^	¥"
	1					S .	Ô à	DÝ 1	$\otimes$	\$ 1	v »	1 🕺	J
Replicate	1	2	3	4	5	× 6	a. 1	MEAN	8D (	MAX	L'	/	
Donor ID	X2016/3	X2016/2	X2016/8	X2016/7	X2016/10	2016/9	$\checkmark$			0 0	e c	. ٩	-
Donor ID		2010/2	12010/0		2010/10	~		"0"	Õ	ñ "(			, C
Receptor fluid	0.0000001	0.01	0.16	0.01	. 10.22	ane	0,	Qn 10	0.1	0 0 22	- O'	_~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~	- A
Receptor compartment	0.0000001	0.01	0.10	0.01		\$_ \$U		. 10	4	a Cyrr		- C	- Øj*
wash	0.0000001	0.000001	0.0000001	0.000001	a pehonon	0 0000001	~ *	0.00	A			~~~ ,	Ň
Deper comportment week	0.0000001	0.0000001	0.0000001	0.0000001	0.000001	0.0000001		0.00	A 0.0	1 0 0 000001	× ,	- 4	y .
Donor compartment wash	00.44	01.96	04.52	0.23		0.29	ai a	0.09	0.1	0.29			5
	90.44	91.80	94.52	83.41	9323	93.80		91.44	4.0	94.52	7		
1	0.02	0.11	0.82	- <u>2</u> C	0.24	1.96		\$ 2	Les les	4.40			
2	0	0.02	0.01	C)	02.01	YE .		0,05				Ro	
Stripped skin	2.69	0.03	0.08		0206	0.48	~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~	Q0.58	- ANI	5 ~2,99			
				Y	"0"	Y	~	NY	Ô°	e o	N <sup>2</sup>	× i	
Receptor fluid after 12 hours	0.0000001	0.0000001	0.06		0.06	0.05	<b>A</b> .	0.03	0.0	3 0.06		× /	
Receptor fluid after 24 hours	0.0000001	0.01	0.16	SY 0.01	0.22	0.18	_0* (	0.10	0.1	0.22	<u> </u>	¥	
			a	. 1		an s	D a		¥ .	Q 0	0		
			<u> </u>	· • · ·	9	0	N C	Ð	•	9 7	× %		
	Replicant 4		~~~~~		'	"(		a.V		C			
	has low		Shi I		ACY.	e	V		, Ø		$\lor$		
	recovery and		••	Se a	Ĉi	A	On	$\sim$	° Roj	Ø			
	has been		A.	No.		$\mathcal{O}_{n}^{\mathcal{V}}$	"0"	~			Ô		
	excluded in		Ø	$\bigcirc$	$\sim$				1		4		
	the next	2	1		Ś	A SI	de a	V X	J.	Y	~		
	spreadsheet		. 1		A	n n	24	<i>v</i>	×	J P	C.		
		0		Pa	$\bigcirc$	1 4	U O'	ų.		Ő	D		
D'A	D:1	*	1. A			′ (0)		Š	4	\$\$ A	//		
Diffutencan	Diluti	on exc	iuame	g OWNI	er:	Ň	1.7	O	~~	r y			
		ñ.	U 2	'an V	A1		, w	~	O.	a second			
Replicate	6	<b>€</b> 1	r 2	3		N	5 n 🔊		SD M	Δχ		1	
replicate		× '	Y 4 0	v) .	× 4		a0 .			â,		DA	DA
L		. O.	)ĭ 🌾	1 S	$\sim$ $^{\prime}$	V 0	, ¥ . 4		1			DA	UA
T0.5 <= 75 %			L,	· _	$\land$		Y N	(U)		y y	Variation	corrected	rounded
Absorbed dose	000	0.02	100001 🕉.	16000 81	0.2200001	0.180050	4	0.91	0.18	.2200001			
Tane strins 3-x	~ (O	<i>O</i>	0.62	0-01	a Rom	\$ 003	1	S (106	0.10	0.21		1	
Ohio anno antina	°NS -	and the second	101	<u> </u>	Saco		<u>.</u> 0°	S.00	0.10	0.21			
Skin preparation	$\lor$	2.09	JU:03	80:0	-%/2.06	Ø 0.4	° N	0 0.67	(J)15	2.69			
Sum	2.690	0.06	506601 0.	2500001	0,2900001	¥9.870000	1	0.83	2 1.08 1 🖌 🔬	.6900002			
Relevant data norma	d 2.8878	1556 0.065	519624 0	2500001	03090697	2.870000	1 "0"	0.885	1.16 2	.8878156			
Relevant data added		9.54	8 03	2500001	646	0 870000	1	5.02	4 23	9.54		1	
Balayant data		O FA	0.02	250000	6.40	0.970000		E E 2	4.22	0.54	04.00	40.405	40
Relevant data		9.94	0.03 80.	200000000	0.46	0.870000	1 1 1	5 2,03	4.23	9.54	ŏ4.08	10.105	10

Tape strips 3-x 🔊	V Q	0,62	( <b>2</b> .56)1°	<i>(</i> ,009/1	\$ 0,21	~~~~	20.06	0.10	0.21	
Skin preparation	) 2:69	0.03	0.08	×\$\$.06	ó) 0.48		0.67	Ø.15	2.69	
Sum	2.6900002	0.0609001	0.2500001	0,2900001	\$8,8700001	No.	0.83	1.08	2.6900002	
Relevant data normalised	2.88781556	0.06519624	0.2500001	∠ <del>0</del> 3090697	28700001	"O" @.	0.88	1.16	2.8878156	
Relevant data added	9.54	8.03	0.2500001	6.46	0.8700001		5.03	4.23	9.54	
Relevant data 🔿	9.54	0 8.03	\$0.25000g€	6.46	0.870000	5	5.03	4.23	9.54	84.08
<u> </u>	. 0	7) <sub>0</sub> 0	5		, Oʻ	<b>N</b>	<u>م</u>			
T0.5 > 75 %	$\sim$	$\sim$	× 1	Š	×~	1.	1 V			
Absorbed dose	0.0600002	0.0200001	0.1600001	0.2200001	<b>\$1,8</b> 00001	× A	0.11	0.10	0.2200001	
Skin preparation	2.69	0.03	0.08	, 🌱 0.06	0.48	O' a	0.67	1.15	2.69	
Sum	2,6900002	0400001	2400001	<b>\$1.280000</b>	0.6600001	Ŭ Ô	0.78	1.09	2.6900002	
Relevant data normalised	2.88781556	0.0434642	0.240000	0.29841213	0.6600,000	, C	0.83	1.17	2.8878156	
Relevant data added	7, 9.5 <b>4</b>	¥ 8,6%	ຶ 0.240000)	6,45	0.6600001	and the second se	4.98	4.28	9.54	
Relevant data	9:54	8.01	0.2400001	6.45	0:6600001	5	4.98	4.28	9.54	85.94
Ŷ	Q			N N	Co <sup>N</sup>	$\sim$				
Non-absorbed dose	90.46	091.97	ه∩ ⁄95.34	93.54	96.11		93.48	2.33	96.11	
4		0	N.	AL N	9					
Total Recovery	93.1500002	Ø7.0300001	95.5900001	03.8300001	96.9800001		94.32	1.97	96.98	
le la	°~		<b>4</b> .							
T0.5	100	0 <sub>4</sub> 001	\$\$7.5	27.2727273	27.77777778	5	38.51	37.10	100	
× 1	17	A	1 and a start of the start of t	Ű	- M	Mean lower				
	$\sim$	£9"	°~	<i>2</i> 0	~C>	limit of				
1		- Oi	e,"	¥	O"	confidence	-6.01			
	N 100			z, L	,	k*SD	44.5232			
	,Ø	< Ô.								
	1	× ×	JY.							
Replicate	`Y	\$ <sup>2</sup>	≈ 3	<i>a</i> , 4	5		MEAN	SD	MAX	
Donor ID	X2016/3 X	X2016(2)	X2016/8	X2016/10	X2016/9					
c >	~	( )) ·	85 /							

×	1	· v		Y						
Replicate		22	× 3	a. 4	5	MEAN	SD	MAX		
Donor ID	X2016/3 🕅	X20162	X2016/8	X2016/10	X2016/9					
		. O`		~Q						
Receptor fluid	0,0000001	0.01	l. 16	0.22	0.18	0.11	0.10	0.22		
Receptor compartment	Ű.	0								
wash	0.000000	0.0008001	0.0000001	0.0000001	0.0000001	0.00	0.00	0.0000001		
Donor compartment wash)		/ 1/0	0	0	0.29	0.06	0.13	0.29		
S OI	90/44	° 91.86	94.52	93.3	93.86	92.80	1.64	94.52		
	"Ø0.02	0.11 <sup>%</sup>	0.82	0.24	1.96	0.63	0.81	1.96		
	0	0.02	0.01	0.01	0.21	0.05	0.09	0.21		
Stripped skin	2.69	0.03	0.08	0.06	0.48	0.67	1.15	2.69		
Â								0		
Receptor fluid after 12	0.0000001	0.0000001	0.06	0.06	0.05	0.03	0.03	0.06		
Receptor fluid after 24	0.0000001	0.01	0.16	0.22	0.18	0.11	0.10	0.22		
								0		