# CAFFIVE SATE For 50 years, leading have repeatedly conducted by used safely and the safely and

For 50 years, leading health regulators around the world have repeatedly concluded that glyphosate products can be used safely, and that glyphosate is not carcinogenic.

## LEADING HEALTH AUTHORITIES AGREE: GLYPHOSATE IS SAFE.

#### U.S. Environmental Protection Agency

"No risks of concern to human health from current uses of glyphosate." (February 2020)

#### European Food Safety Authority

"EFSA did not identify any critical areas of concern in its peer review of the risk assessment of the active substance glyphosate in relation to the risk it poses to humans and animals or the environment." (July 6, 2023)

### European Chemicals Agency

"The committee found that the available scientific evidence did not meet the criteria to classify glyphosate for specific target organ toxicity, or as a carcinogenic, mutagenic or reprotoxic substance." (May 30, 2022)

#### European Commission

"Following the assessments carried out by Member States, EFSA, and ECHA between 2019 and 2023 showing "there is no scientific or legal justification for a ban," the European Commission renewed the approval of glyphosate for 10 years in 2023."

"Under the conditions of approval and by following good agricultural practices, glyphosate is considered not to pose any harmful effects on human health or unacceptable effects on the environment." (November 29, 2023)



 On November 7, 2023, the U.S. Court of Appeals for the Ninth Circuit found California's Proposition 65 warning for glyphosate to be unconstitutional.

"California unquestionably has a substantial interest in preserving the health of its citizens," [U.S. Circuit Judge Consuelo] Callahan wrote. "However, compelling sellers to warn consumers of a potential 'risk' never confirmed by any regulatory body...does not directly advance that interest."

On August 15, 2024, the Third Circuit
 Court of Appeals unanimously ruled in
 Schaffner v. Monsanto, that state-based
 failure-to-warn claims are expressly
 preempted by FIFRA.

"The Court concluded that 'the alleged state-law duty to include the Cancer Warning on Roundup's label (the "Pa. Duty to Warn") imposes requirements that are different from those imposed under FIFRA, and that it is therefore preempted by FIFRA."

The science and the law are clear: Glyphosate is safe for use, and warning labels dictating the contrary—and jeopardizing farmers' access to this essential crop protection chemistry in the process—are unconstitutional.